In-Class Programming Activity 13

Math 253: Statistical Computing & Machine Learning

k-1 cross-validation

Today you are going to write a function to carry out k-fold cross validation. Recall that this involves dividing the available data set into k equal-sized, independent sets. For each of the k sets, reserve that set as testing data, fit your model to the remaining data, and evaluate the fitted model on the reserved set. In doing this, you will accumulate k different estimates of the model error. Average those to produce an overall estimate of model error.

A function framework

Call your function k_fold1(). It should have this interface:

```
k_fold1 <- function(formula, method = lm, data = mtcars, predfun = predict, k = 10) {
    # do the calculations,
    # producing an estimate of error
    return(error_estimate)
}</pre>
```

As written above, the function does nothing. You're going to fix that. But use exactly the interface (function name, argument names and order, default values) given above.

The k sets

In k-fold cross validation, you'll divide the cases in your data into k sets. To help do that, you're going to create a vector named sets that has one element for each case in the data. The value of that element will be 1 if the corresponding case is to be in set 1 of the k sets, 2 if the case is to be in set 2, and so on. It doesn't matter how you assign cases to sets, so long as there are k sets of roughly equal size.

There are many ways to construct the vector sets. Here are two operations, %% and rep(, , each=) that might be the basis for two different ways of creating the vector Here are two operations that may give you a hint. The example assumes that there are 51 rows in the data.

```
k <- 10
sets <- (1:51 %% k) + 1
# or, alternatively, ...
sets <- rep(1:k, each = 51/k,
length.out = 51)</pre>
```

The loop

Your function will perform the same operation k times. Doing this will produce k numbers, the mean square prediction error for each of

the k test data sets. In writing a loop, you ...

- 1. Set up the state or "accumulator" that will be updated as you go through the loop
- 2. Construct the outline of the loop
- 3. Fill in the operations to be carried out inside the loop.
- 4. Tidy up the accumulator to produce the final result.

Inside the loop

As you can see, the statements inside the loop will be evaluated k times. The object i can be used inside the loop to indicate which of these k passes through the loop is currently being performed.

Here's what to do inside the loop:

- 1. Compare i to sets to create a logical (that is, TRUE/FALSE vector) of the rows to be used for the test set. Create a new data frame, For_Testing that has just these rows.
- 2. Using logical negation (that is, !) to create another data frame, fill a data frame For_Training with the remaining rows.
- 3. Fit your model using For_Training. For simplicity in developing your function, you can use a particular model appropriate for the mtcars data set: mod <- lm(mpg ~ hp + wt + am). Note that mpg is the response variable. Later on, you'll replace this with a more general statement.
- 4. Evaluate the model on the For_Testing data. You can do this with pred_vals <- predict(mod, newdata = For_Testing)</pre>
- 5. Calculate the mean square prediction error (MSPE). This will be mean((For_Testing[["mpg"]] - pred_vals)^2)
- 6. Save the MSPE in the appropriate slot of mspe.

Trying out your function

At this point, you should have a function k_fold1() that, when evaluated with the default settings for the arguments, returns a number. That number should be very roughly similar in size to this one, which is called the "in-sample" error.

[1] 5.634096

In-sample prediction errors are biased to be lower than crossvalidated prediction errors.

```
Here's a code fragment that handles (1),
(2), and (4).
mspe <- numeric(k)</pre>
for (i in 1:k) {
# Your statements go here
mean(mspe)
Make sure that you understand what
numeric(k) is doing.
```

Generalizing the function

When you are satisfied that your k_fold1() function is working, copy the code to create another function which we'll call k_fold(). This is going to be the generalization of the function to other data sets and other model formulas.

Modify $k_{\text{fold}}()$ in the following ways:

- Replace mpg ~ hp + wt + am with formula. This will allow you to specify the formula on as the first argument.
- Replace lm() with method(). This will allow your function to use modeling types other than lm()
- Replace predict() with predfun()
- Replace [["mpg"]] with [[as.character(formula[[2]])]].

Test out your function using the same data and formula as before, that is:

```
k_{fold}(formula = mpg \sim hp + wt + am, data=mtcars)
```

You should get the same answer as with k_fold1().

Indexing formula objects enables extraction of parts of the formula. For those with an interest in computer languages, a formula can be used as a parse tree, with the operator (e.g. ~ or +, etc.) at the top and the arguments underneath.