

Problem 1

Implement linear regression mathematical

Solution

We have:

+, Set a observation $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)^T$

+, Total observation N

+, Target values $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)^T$

Suppose that the observations are drawn independently from a Gaussian distribution.

$$t = y(x, w) + N(0, \beta^{-1}) \Rightarrow t = N(y(x, w), \beta^{-1})$$

with $\beta = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$

$$p(t|x, w, \beta) = N(t|y(x, w), \beta^{-1})$$

We now use the training data x, t to determine the values of the unknown parameters w and by maximum likelihood. If the data are assumed to be drawn independently from the distribution then the likelihood function:

$$p(t|x, w, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^N N(t|y(x, w), \beta^{-1})$$

It is convenient to maximize the logarithm of the likelihood function:

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(t|x, w, \beta) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \log(N(t|y(x, w), \beta^{-1})) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \log\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\beta^{-1}}} e^{-\frac{(t_n - y(x_n, w))^2 \beta}{2}}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\beta^{-1}) - (t_n - y(x_n, w))^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \right] \\ &\cong - \sum_{i=1}^N (t_n - y(x_n, w))^2 \\ &\longrightarrow \text{we minimize } (t_n - y(x_n, w))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Set:

$$L = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N (t_n - y(x_n, w))^2$$

with:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad w = \begin{bmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ \dots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 x_1 + w_0 \\ w_1 x_2 + w_0 \\ w_1 x_3 + w_0 \\ \dots \\ w_1 x_n + w_0 \end{bmatrix} = xw$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta L}{\delta w} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta L}{\delta w_0} \\ \frac{\delta L}{\delta w_1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t - xw \\ x(t - xw) \end{bmatrix} = x^T (t - xw) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow x^T t = x^T xw \\ &\Leftrightarrow w = (x^T x)^{-1} x^T t \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2

Proof $X^T X$ is invertible when X full rank

Solution

Suppose $X^T v = 0$.

Then, of course, $XX^T v = 0$ too.

Conversely, suppose $XX^T v = 0$.

Then $v^T XX^T v = 0$, so that $(X^T v)^T (X^T v) = 0$.

This implies $X^T v = 0$.

Hence, we have proved that $X^T v = 0$ if and only if v is in the nullspace of $X^T X$.

But $X^T v = 0$ and $v \neq 0$ if and only if X has linearly dependent rows.

Thus, $X^T X$ is invertible if and only if X has full row rank.