



## MOTIVATION


- $k$  Nearest Neighbor Classifier ( $k$ NN)




- Challenge of  $k$ NN for big data



- If we have a super computer (oracle  $k$ NN)
  - Large computational/space complexity
  - Expensive cost
  - Communication, privacy or ownership limitations




- Without a super computer, split the data!




## BIGNN CLASSIFIER

- Divide & Conquer Framework



- Construction of Pac-Batman (Big Data)



## STATISTICAL GUARANTEES OF BIGNN

- Primary criterion: classification accuracy
  - Regret=Expected Risk–Bayes Risk=  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}} [R(\hat{\phi}_n)] - R(\phi^{\text{Bayes}})$
  - A small value of regret is preferred
- Secondary criterion: classification stability

### Definition: Classification Instability (CIS)


Define classification instability of a classification procedure  $\Psi$  as

$$\text{CIS}(\Psi) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2} [\mathbb{P}_X (\hat{\phi}_{n1}(X) \neq \hat{\phi}_{n2}(X))],$$

where  $\hat{\phi}_{n1}$  and  $\hat{\phi}_{n2}$  are the classifiers trained from the same classification procedure  $\Psi$  based on  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  with the same distribution.

- A small value of CIS is preferred
- Asymptotic comparison between bigNN and oracle  $k$ NN
  - Theorem 1:** bigNN and oracle  $k$ NN have the same convergence rate of excess risk (regret)
  - Theorem 2:** bigNN and oracle  $k$ NN have the same convergence rate of classification instability (CIS)

## DENOISED BIGNN CLASSIFIER



- Theorem 3:** Regret of denoised bigNN equals Regret of bigNN plus a relatively small additional error


## REAL DATA EXAMPLES FOR BIGNN

Data	size	dim	$\gamma$	R.BigNN	R.kNN	R.OWNN	C.BigNN	C.kNN	C.OWNN	Speedup
htru2	17898	8	0.1	2.0385	2.1105	2.1188	0.3670	0.6152	0.5528	2.72
htru2	17898	8	0.2	2.0929	2.1105	2.1188	0.6323	0.6152	0.5528	7.65
htru2	17898	8	0.3	2.1971	2.1105	2.1188	0.5003	0.6152	0.5528	21.65
gisette	6000	5000	0.2	3.9344	3.5020	3.4749	4.4261	4.4752	4.3317	5.13
musk1	476	166	0.1	14.7619	14.9767	14.9757	24.2362	23.0664	23.2707	1.79
musk2	6598	166	0.2	3.8250	3.4400	3.2841	4.7575	5.1925	4.1615	5.73
occup	20560	6	0.1	0.6207	0.6205	0.6037	0.3790	0.4431	0.5795	2.93
occup	20560	6	0.2	0.6119	0.6205	0.6037	0.3717	0.4431	0.5795	6.97
occup	20560	6	0.3	0.6548	0.6205	0.6037	0.3081	0.4431	0.5795	19.19
credit	30000	24	0.1	18.8300	18.8681	18.8414	2.7940	3.5292	3.4392	3.36
credit	30000	24	0.2	18.8467	18.8681	18.8414	4.3917	3.5292	3.4392	7.86
credit	30000	24	0.3	18.9250	18.8681	18.8414	4.2496	3.5292	3.4392	23.22
SUSY	5000K	18	0.1	19.3103	21.0381	20.7752	7.7034	7.4011	7.5921	4.59
SUSY	5000K	18	0.2	21.6149	21.0381	20.7752	7.9073	7.4011	7.5921	16.76
SUSY	5000K	18	0.3	22.3197	21.0381	20.7752	4.6716	7.4011	7.5921	88.22


Notes: Number of subsets  $s = N^\gamma$ . The prefix 'R.' means risk, and 'C.' means CIS (both in %). Speedup is defined as the computing time for oracle  $k$ NN divided by that for bigNN. OOWNN is the oracle optimal weighted NN classifier.

## SIMULATIONS

### bigNN V.S. oracle $k$ NN




**Figure 1:** Regret and CIS for bigNN and oracle  $k$ NN ( $\gamma = 0$ ). Different curves show different  $\gamma$ .



**Figure 2:** Speedup of bigNN,  $\gamma = 0.0, 0.1 \dots 0.9$ .  $\gamma = 0$  corresponds to the oracle  $k$ NN.

### denoised bigNN V.S. bigNN



**Figure 3:** Regret and prediction time trade-off for denoised bigNN and bigNN (black diamonds).  $\gamma = 0.2, 0.3$ .  $\theta = 0.1, 0.2, \dots, 0.7$ . Different curves show different  $I$ .

## FUTURE WORK

- Explore the optimal splitting schemes for bigNN
- Quantify the relative performance of two NN classifiers attaining the same rate (such as bigNN and oracle NN)
- Prove the sharp upper bound on  $\gamma$