

CRYPTOGRAPHY #02

Supportive Algorithms

Jacek Tchorzewski, jacek.tchorzewski@pk.edu.pl

1. Euclidean Algorithm

Developed in Greece in 300 B.C. by ancient mathematician Euclid. This algorithm allows to find GCD of two numbers in an efficient way.

Example: find GCD(22, 59)

- 1) $59 = 2 * 22 + 15$
- 2) $22 = 1 * 15 + 7$
- 3) $15 = 2 * 7 + 1$ ----- the result is **1**, because the next rest is equal to 0
- 4) $7 = 7 * 1 + 0$

As You can see above, the final result is the last non-zero rest, thus $\text{GCD}(22, 59) = 1$. However, we should perform algorithm until the rest is equal to 0, because we need to know which rest is the last non-zero rest.

We can assume that each iteration can be represented as:

$$b = \left\lfloor \frac{b}{a} \right\rfloor * a + r$$

And algorithm looks as follows:

- 0) $r = b \bmod a$
- 1) if $r = 0$ break. The result is r from the previous iteration.
- 2) $b = a$
- 3) $a = r$
- 4) $r = b \bmod a$
- 5) go to the step 1)

2. Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Extended Euclidean Algorithm may be used to represent GCD of two numbers as a combination of these numbers:

$$\text{GCD}(a, b) = x * a + y * b$$

Where x and y are integers. From a cryptographical point of view, it is important, because it allows to find an inverse modulo value. Inverse modulo can be represented as:

$$x \equiv a^{-1} \bmod b$$

Equation above can be represented also as: $x * a \equiv 1 \bmod b$ and further as $x * a + y * b = 1$. As you can see, inverse modulo value can be found only when $\text{GCD}(a, b) = 1$. Before I will show you pseudocode, let's look at the example from a mathematical point of view. So, let's assume that we want to find $22^{-1} \bmod 59$. We know from the previous example that $\text{GCD}(22, 59) = 1$, thus inverse modulo exists. We can write: $x * 22 + y * 59 = 1$ and start to solve it in the following way:

Classic Algorithm

Extended Algorithm

(solving for the rests)

(backwards substitutions)

$$1) \quad 15 = 59 - 2 * 22$$

$$1 = 15 - 2 * 7$$

$$2) \quad 7 = 22 - 1 * 15$$

$$1 = 15 - 2 * (22 - 15) = 15 - 2 * 22 + 2 * 15 = 3 * 15 - 2 * 22$$

$$3) \quad 1 = 15 - 2 * 7$$

$$1 = 3 * (59 - 2 * 22) - 2 * 22 = 3 * 59 - 8 * 22$$

Thus we know that $1 = 3 * 59 - 8 * 22 = (-8) * 22 + 3 * 59$. $x = -8$ and $y = 3$. The value which we are looking for is x. x also should be greater than 0. Thus we can write: $x = -8 + 59 = 51$. The inverse modulo of our example is equal to 51. As you can see, it may be hard to implement this algorithm in such way, because to perform first step of the Extended Algorithm we need values from the last step of the Classic Algorithm. If we assume that the only value which we need is x, we can rewrite algorithm in a simpler, iterative way.

Input parameters: $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, q_i = \left\lfloor \frac{b_i}{a_i} \right\rfloor$

$$1) \quad 59 = 2 * 22 + 15 \quad x_0 = 0$$

$$2) \quad 22 = 1 * 15 + 7 \quad x_1 = 1$$

Next x_i values are calculated accordingly to the formula:

$$x_i = (x_{i-2} - [q_{i-2} * x_{i-1}]) \bmod b$$

$$3) \quad 15 = 2 * 7 + 1 \quad x_2 = (0 - [2 * 1]) \bmod 59 = -2 \bmod 59 = 57$$

$$4) \quad 7 = 7 * 1 + 0 \quad x_3 = (1 - [1 * 57]) \bmod 59 = -56 \bmod 59 = 3$$

$$5) \quad \text{-----} \quad x_4 = (57 - [2 * 3]) \bmod 59 = 51$$

Result is equal to 51. Note, that in comparison with a classic algorithm, we need to calculate x one more time after the rest is equal to 0. The algorithm above is simpler implementation of Extended Euclidean Algorithm and is dedicated only for finding modular inverse.

3. Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem state that if b is a prime number, than for any value a , $a^b - a$ is a multiple of b :

$$a^b \equiv a \mod b$$

Thus we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} a^b &\equiv a \mod b & | :a \\ a^{b-1} &\equiv 1 \mod b & | :a \\ a^{b-2} &\equiv a^{-1} \mod b \end{aligned}$$

59 is a prime number. So we may write: $22^{59-2} \equiv 22^{-1} \mod 59$, and further:

$$22^{59-2} = 22^{57} =$$

$$32968015588397012383304605752905171719650002960101406309072097007825019994112 \mod 59 = 51.$$

4. Binary Powering Method

Powering big numbers is common in cryptography. Naive methods appears to be useless (too time consuming) when it comes to practice usage. Binary powering allows to speed this process up drastically and works in quite simple way. Example:

Naive method: $5^{13} = 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5 * 5$ (12 multiplications)

Binary method: $5^{13} = 5^{(1+4+8)} = 5 * 5^4 * 5^8$ (2 multiplications)

Note that $(13)_{10} = (1101)_2$. Thus: $5^{13} = 5^{(1101)_2} = 5^{2^0+2^2+2^3}$

Algorithm of binary multiplication of a^b can be presented as follows:

Notation and input params:

$base = a$,

$result = 1$,

$LSB(b)$ – Least Significant Bit of b ,

1) If $LSB(b) = 1$ do step 2), otherwise skip it

- 2) $\text{result} = \text{result} * \text{base}$
- 3) $\text{base} = \text{base} * \text{base}$
- 4) $b = b \gg 1$
- 5) if $b = 0$ return result, otherwise go to step 1)

Project 2

Exercise 1:

Implement Euclidean Algorithm and calculate: $\text{GCD}(59, 22)$, $\text{GCD}(15, 7)$, $\text{GCD}(101, 49)$ and $\text{GCD}(123, 66)$.

Exercise 2:

Implement Extended Euclidean Algorithm and try to calculate: $22^{-1} \bmod 59$, $7^{-1} \bmod 15$, $49^{-1} \bmod 101$ and $66^{-1} \bmod 123$. Is it possible to calculate all values?

Exercise 3:

Implement Fermat Little Theorem and try to calculate the same modular inverses as in Exercise 2. Is it possible to calculate all values?

Exercise 4:

Implement Binary Multiplication Algorithm and solve: 5^{10} , 3^{13} , 16^5 , and 2^{17} .