

## ARTICLE IN PRESS – Acta Cryst. A



FOUNDATIONS  
ADVANCES

ISSN 2053-2733

## Delone lattice studies in $\mathbb{C}^3$ , the space of three complex variables

### Proof instructions


Proof corrections should be returned by **29 July 2023**. After this period, the Editors reserve the right to publish your article with only the Managing Editor's corrections.

Please

- (1) Read these proofs and assess whether any corrections are necessary.
- (2) Check that any technical editing queries highlighted in **bold underlined** text have been answered.
- (3) Send corrections by e-mail to **na@iucr.org**. Please describe corrections using plain text, where possible, giving the line numbers indicated in the proof. Please do not make corrections to the pdf file electronically and please do not return the pdf file. If no corrections are required please let us know.

To arrange payment for **open access**, please visit <https://scripts.iucr.org/openaccess/?code=uv5019>. To purchase printed offprints, please complete the attached order form and return it by e-mail.

### Please check the following details for your article



Thumbnail image for contents page

**Synopsis:** The space  $\mathbb{C}^3$  is explained in more detail than in the original description. Boundary transformations of the fundamental unit are described in detail. A graphical presentation of the basic coordinates is described and illustrated.

**Abbreviated author list:** Andrews, L.C. ( 0000-0002-4451-1641); Bernstein, H.J. ( 0000-0002-0517-8532)

**Keywords:** lattice; Delone; Delaunay; reduction; Selling;  $\mathbb{C}^3$

**Licence to publish:** Licence to publish licence agreed.

### How to cite your article in press

Your article has not yet been assigned page numbers, but may be cited using the doi:

Andrews, L.C. & Bernstein, H.J. (2023). *Acta Cryst.* A79, <https://doi.org/10.1107/S2053273323006198>.

You will be sent the full citation when your article is published and also given instructions on how to download an electronic reprint of your article.



# Delone lattice studies in $\mathbf{C}^3$ , the space of three complex variables

Lawrence C. Andrews<sup>a\*</sup> and Herbert J. Bernstein<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ronin Institute, 9515 NE 137th Street, Kirkland, WA, 98034-1820, USA, and <sup>b</sup>Ronin Institute, c/o NSLS-II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, 11973, USA. \*Correspondence e-mail: larry6640995@gmail.com

Received 14 March 2023

Accepted 14 July 2023

Edited by M. I. Aroyo, Universidad del País Vasco, Spain

**Keywords:** lattice; Delone; Delaunay; reduction; Selling;  $\mathbf{C}^3$ .

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/a

The Delone (Selling) scalars, which are used in unit-cell reduction and in lattice-type determination, are studied in  $\mathbf{C}^3$ , the space of three complex variables. The three complex coordinate planes are composed of the six Delone scalars. The transformations at boundaries of the Selling-reduced orthant are described as matrices of operators. A graphical representation as the projections onto the three coordinates is described. Note, in his later publications, Boris Delaunay used the Russian version of his surname, Delone.

## 1. Introduction

The scalars used by Delaunay (1932) in his formulation of Selling reduction (Selling, 1874) are (in the conventional order)  $b \cdot c$ ,  $a \cdot c$ ,  $a \cdot b$ ,  $a \cdot d$ ,  $b \cdot d$ ,  $c \cdot d$ , where  $d = -a - b - c$ . (As a mnemonic device, observe that the first three terms use  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ , in that order, and the following terms use  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , in that order.)

Andrews *et al.* (2019b) chose to represent the Selling scalars in the space  $\mathbf{S}^6$ ,  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6\}$  (defined in the order above), as a way to create a metric space for the measurement of the distance between lattices. They also considered the representation of this space as the space of three complex dimensions,  $\mathbf{C}^3$  or  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$ .


In  $\mathbf{C}^3$ , in terms of the Selling scalars, a vector is defined as  $\{(s_1, s_4), (s_2, s_5), (s_3, s_6)\}$ , where the real and imaginary parts of each are the ‘opposite’ scalars according to the definition of Delaunay (1932) (opposite in terms of the Bravais tetrahedron of scalars) (see Andrews *et al.*, 2019a). As a mnemonic device, note that the complex components involve  $(\alpha, a)$ ,  $(\beta, b)$  and  $(\gamma, c)$ . Additionally, each complex term uses all four three-space vectors; for example,  $c_1$  is  $(b \cdot c, a \cdot d)$ .

Andrews *et al.* (2019b) considered the matrix representations of the reflections in  $\mathbf{C}^3$  (and in  $\mathbf{S}^6$ ). This paper describes the boundary transformations at the edges of the fundamental unit of  $\mathbf{C}^3$  and also a graphical presentation. In  $\mathbf{S}^6$ , the fundamental unit is the all-negative orthant, which contains only, and all of, the reduced cells. In  $\mathbf{S}^6$  and  $\mathbf{C}^3$ , the boundaries are located where any  $\mathbf{S}^6$  scalar (or correspondingly in  $\mathbf{C}^3$ , a real or imaginary part) equals zero.

## 2. Notation

Complex numbers are represented in Cartesian format  $(x, y)$ , where  $x$  is the real part and  $y$  is the imaginary part.

We represent a vector in  $\mathbf{C}^3$  by  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)\}$  as an alternative to  $\{(s_1, s_4), (s_2, s_5), (s_3, s_6)\}$ .



**Table 1**

The 'Result' column values give the products of each operator as applied to  $(x_j, y_j)$  [**imag = imaginary?**].

Operator	Usage	Result	Name
$\mathcal{M}_r$	$\mathcal{M}_r(c_j)$	$(-x_j, -x_j + y_j)$	Minus real
$\mathcal{M}_i$	$\mathcal{M}_i(c_j)$	$(x_j - y_j, -y_j)$	Minus imag
$\mathcal{P}_r$	$\mathcal{P}_r(c_j)$	$(x_j, x_j)$	Plus real
$\mathcal{P}_i$	$\mathcal{P}_i(c_j)$	$(y_j, y_j)$	Plus imag
$\mathcal{R}$	$\mathcal{R}(c_j)$	$x_j$ or $(x_j, 0)$	Real
$\mathcal{I}$	$\mathcal{I}(c_j)$	$y_j$ or $(y_j, 0)$	Imaginary

Next we define the operators in  $\mathbf{C}^3$  that will be used in the matrix descriptions of the transformations at the boundaries of the fundamental unit (see Table 1).

### 3. Matrices of boundary transformations

Andrews *et al.* (2019b) listed the boundary transformations for each of the six  $\mathbf{S}^6$  boundaries. For each, they only listed two transformations, following Delaunay (1932) and Delone *et al.* (1975). That list is incomplete in the sense that applying the 24 reflections gives 24 boundary transformations, four for each boundary, rather than 12.

The  $\mathbf{C}^3$  vector transformations are represented as multiplication by a matrix of the operators defined in Table 1. When a complex vector component is 'multiplied' by an operator, the result is as defined in the table.

For the boundary at  $s_1$ : (the real component of  $c_1$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{R} & i\mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{I} & i\mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

For the boundary at  $s_4$ : (the imaginary component of  $c_1$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_i & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_i & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_i & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_i & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_i & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{P}_i & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_i & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_i & \mathcal{R} & i\mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_i & \mathcal{I} & i\mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

For the boundary at  $s_2$  (the real component of  $c_2$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_r & 0 \\ i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{I} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_r & 0 \\ i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{R} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_r & 0 \\ \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

For the boundary at  $s_5$ : (the imaginary component of  $c_2$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_i & \mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_i & 0 \\ i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_i & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_i & \mathcal{I} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_i & 0 \\ i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_i & \mathcal{R} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_i & i\mathcal{R} \\ 0 & \mathcal{M}_i & 0 \\ \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_i & i\mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

For the boundary at  $s_3$  (the real component of  $c_3$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_r \\ i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_r \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_r \\ i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_r \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R} & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_r \\ \mathcal{I} & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_r \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_r \end{bmatrix}$$

For the boundary at  $s_6$  (the imaginary component of  $c_3$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_i \\ i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_i \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_i \\ i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_i \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{R} & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{P}_i \\ \mathcal{I} & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{P}_i \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{M}_i \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 3.1. Derivation

Consider the  $\mathbf{S}^6$  vector  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)\}$ ; in  $\mathbf{C}^3$  that vector is:  $\{(s_1, s_4), (s_2, s_5), (s_3, s_6)\}$ . Stated in  $\mathbf{C}^3$ , the problem is to find a matrix that converts a  $\mathbf{C}^3$  vector to a more reduced one. Take the case of  $s_1$  positive and the other scalars negative:

$$\begin{aligned} &(-s_1, s_4 - s_1), (s_3 + s_1, s_2 + s_1), (s_6 + s_1, s_5 + s_1) \\ &= \text{RedMat} \begin{Bmatrix} (s_1, s_4) \\ (s_2, s_5) \\ (s_3, s_6) \end{Bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We need to solve for RedMat [=reduction matrix?]. Here, one of the four possible result vectors has been chosen (arbitrarily).

Element 1 of the result vector is  $(-s_1, s_4 - s_1)$ , and it is created by multiplying the beginning  $\mathbf{C}^3$  vector by the first row of the transformation matrix. In this case, we see that  $c_1$  of the result only contains scalars from  $c_1$  of the input, so the first row must contain some operator to be multiplied times input  $c_1$ , and the second and third elements of the matrix row must be zero. We create an operator (Minus real) to transform the input  $c_1$  to the output  $c_1$ ; so the first row of the reduction matrix is:  $\mathcal{M}_r00$ .

Element 2 of the result vector is  $(s_3 + s_1, s_2 + s_1)$ , and it is created by multiplying the beginning  $\mathbf{C}^3$  vector by the second row of the transformation matrix. The result  $c_2$  contains  $s_1$  in both the real and imaginary parts. So the first matrix element in that row must insert  $s_1$ , the real part of the input  $c_1$ , into

Table 2

Phospholipase A2 unit cells [ $\text{\AA}$  for  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $^\circ$  for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ].

PDB id	Centering	$a$	$b$	$c$	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$
1dpy <sup>a</sup> and 1fe5 <sup>a</sup>	$hR$	57.98	57.98	57.98	92.02	92.02	92.02
1g0z <sup>b</sup> and 1u4j <sup>c</sup>	$hP$	80.36	80.36	99.44	90	90	120
1g2x <sup>d</sup>	$mC$	80.95	80.57	57.1	90	90.35	90
2osn <sup>e</sup>	$hR$	57.10	57.10	57.10	89.75	89.75	89.75

<sup>a</sup>Singh *et al.* (2001); <sup>b</sup>Gourinath *et al.* (unpublished); <sup>c</sup>Singh *et al.* (2005b); <sup>d</sup>Singh *et al.* (2005a); <sup>e</sup>Le Trong & Stenkamp (2007).

both the real and imaginary parts. An operator to produce that is created (Plus real). Next we see that the result contains  $s_2$  and  $s_3$ .  $s_3$  appears in the real part of the result element and in the third part of the input vector. So the third element of the second matrix row must extract the  $s_3$ , the real part of  $c_3$  of the input. So the second row now contains the Plus real operator and the element to put the real part of  $c_3$  of the input [into ?]. The final matrix element of the second row (the second element) must extract the real part of input  $c_2$  and place it in the imaginary part of the output vector. The resulting second row of the matrix is  $\mathcal{P}_r i\mathcal{R} \mathcal{R}$ .

Using similar logic, the third row of the matrix is  $\mathcal{P}_r i\mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$ .

Having derived the first matrix, the other 23 can be derived by the same process. However, because all the  $\mathbf{S}^6$  boundaries are related by the 24 reflections, the other 23 matrices can be generated simply by multiplying by each of the reflection matrices. **[computer code in Sec. 3.2 has been updated - please check]**

### 3.2. Example C++ implementation

```
static std::complex<double> c3_Minus_Real(std::complex<double>& a) {
    const std::complex<double> c = { -a.real(), -a.real() + a.imag() };
    return c;
}

C3 C3::c3_s1(const C3& a) {
    C3 c;
    c[0] = c3_Minus_Real(a[0]);
    c[1] = c3_Plus_Real(a[0]) + c3_I_Times_Real(a[1]) +
          c3_Real(a[2]);
    c[2] = c3_Plus_Real(a[0]) + c3_I_Times_Imag(a[1]) +
          c3_Imag(a[2]);
    return c;
}
```

### 3.3. Example of use

To demonstrate the application of the above matrices, example C++ code was written to create matrices of operators; see the supporting information for the code or the complete library reference in Section 7 below.




Figure 1  
Phospholipase A2 unit cells as reported.

Create a  $\mathbf{C}^3$  vector  $\mathbf{c}_3$  with the values  $\{(1, 2), (3, 4), (5, 6)\}$  (which does not correspond to a valid unit cell). Multiply it by the matrix of operators derived above.

The matrix multiplication can be implemented as follows [including only one example of the functions for operators ( $\mathbf{c}_3\_Minus\_Real$ )].

Therefore, RedMat =

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

For example:

$$\{(-1.000, 1.000)(4.000, 6.000)(5.000, 7.000)\} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{R} & \mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_r & i\mathcal{I} & \mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} (1, 2) \\ (3, 4) \\ (5, 6) \end{Bmatrix}$$

which can be verified by hand.

## 4. Basics

The standard representation of the identity operation is

$$\mathbf{c}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}.$$

The identity in  $\mathbf{C}^3$  could also be written as


$$\mathbf{c}' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mathcal{R} + i\mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{c},$$

which is simply replacement of the value one with an equivalent representation as operators.

Delaunay (1932) does not consider the boundary transformations in detail. However, he uses them to define the process of Selling reduction. For example, in  $\mathbf{S}^6$ , he lists the following as one of the possible results for a transformation on  $s_1$ , translated to  $\mathbf{S}^6$ :  $\{-s_1, -s_1 + s_2, s_1 + s_3, s_1 + s_5, s_1 + s_4, s_1 + s_6\}$ . The third boundary transform for  $s_1$  above implements this operation and interchanges the real part of  $c_3$  and the imaginary part of  $c_2$ :


$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{M}_r & 0 & 0 \\ \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{R} & i\mathcal{R} \\ \mathcal{P}_r & \mathcal{I} & i\mathcal{I} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Considered in  $\mathbf{C}^3$ , Delone's alternate transformation for the  $s_1$  boundary would exchange the real part of  $c_2$  with the imaginary part of  $c_3$ . That is the fourth matrix above in the list for  $s_1$ . The other two transformations for  $s_1$  can be generated from the two we have just mentioned by the 'exchange operation' (Andrews *et al.*, 2019b) applied to the second and third  $\mathbf{C}^3$  coordinates. Delone did not describe the latter two transformations, perhaps because even a single transformation was adequate to implement reduction. He had already listed two.



**Figure 2**

The PLA2 unit cells Niggli reduced. The similarity of the three projections is indicative of the exact or nearly exact rhombohedral symmetry.



**Figure 3**


The PLA2 unit cells, Niggli reduced, and five copies were perturbed 10% orthogonally to the  $S^6$  vector.

## 5. Graphical display of projections

The two-dimensional nature of the three coordinates of  $C^3$  suggests their use for graphical display.


As an example, we use phospholipase A2 (PLA2) [retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (Bernstein *et al.*, 1977)], which has had several similar or identical structures determined (Le Trong & Stenkamp, 2007). Andrews *et al.* (2019b) found additional cases (see Table 2).

Fig. 1 shows the unit cells as reported (the centering of lattices has not been removed). The following figures (Figs. 2




**Figure 4**

The PLA2 unit cells, Niggli reduced, and five copies were perturbed 10% orthogonally to the  $S^6$  vector, followed by Niggli reduction.




**Figure 5**

The PLA2 unit cells Delone reduced.



**Figure 6**

The PLA2 cells Delone reduced and five copies perturbed by 10%.



**Figure 7**


The same cells as plotted in Fig. 6 and Delone reduced.

to 3) show various transformations and embellishments of the reported cells. [cite also Figs. 4–8?]

## 6. Summary

The transformation matrices shown above demonstrate the considerable regularity of Selling reduction, as used by Delaunay (1932). The reduction operations of Niggli reduction (Niggli, 1928) are more complex. While all the boundaries of the non-positive orthant of  $S^6$  are essentially the same (and related by reflections), the boundaries formed in Niggli reduction are of multiple types, and the fundamental unit of the space representing Niggli-reduced cells [ $G^6$ , see Andrews & Bernstein (2014)] is non-convex.

Several aspects of  $C^3$  are evident from inspecting the matrices. First, each boundary has four possible transformations that can be applied. Since each of the transformations at boundaries are self-inverse, they are the same transformations that would be used in the process of cell (lattice) reduction. Delaunay (1932) and Delone *et al.* (1975) give only two choices, presumably for simplicity, omitting transformations that use the ‘exchange’ operator (see Andrews *et al.*, 2019b).



**Figure 8**

The same cells as plotted in Fig. 6 and Delone reduced, and then each multiplied by the 24  $S^6$  reflections.

## 7. Availability of code

The C++ code for **C<sup>3</sup>** and related software tools are available in <https://github.com/>, at <https://github.com/duck10/LatticeRepLib.git>. The program *CmdToC3* uses the required files.

## Acknowledgements

Careful copy-editing and corrections by Frances C. Bernstein are gratefully acknowledged. Our thanks to Jean Jakoncic and Alexei Soares for helpful conversations and access to data and facilities at Brookhaven National Laboratory.

## Funding information

Funding for this research was provided in part by: US Department of Energy Offices of Biological and Environmental Research and of Basic Energy Sciences (grant Nos. DE-AC02-98CH10886, E-SC0012704); US National Institutes of Health (grant Nos. P41RR012408, P41GM103473, P41GM111244, R01GM117126, 1R21GM129570); Dectris, Ltd.

## References

- Andrews, L. C. & Bernstein, H. J. (2014). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **47**, 346–359.
- Andrews, L. C., Bernstein, H. J. & Sauter, N. K. (2019a). *Acta Cryst. A* **75**, 115–120.
- Andrews, L. C., Bernstein, H. J. & Sauter, N. K. (2019b). *Acta Cryst. A* **75**, 593–599.
- Bernstein, F. C., Koetzle, T. F., Williams, G. J. B., Meyer, E. F. Jr, Brice, M. D., Rodgers, J. R., Kennard, O., Shimanouchi, T. & Tasumi, M. (1977). *J. Mol. Biol.* **112**, 535–542.
- Delaunay, B. N. (1932). *Z. Kristallogr.* **84**, 109–149.
- Delone, B. N., Galiulin, R. V. & Shtogrin, M. I. (1975). *J. Math. Sci.* **4**, 79–156.
- Le Trong, I. & Stenkamp, R. E. (2007). *Acta Cryst. D* **63**, 548–549.
- Niggli, P. (1928). *Krystallographische und Strukturtheoretische Grundbegriffe, Handbuch der Experimentalphysik*, Vol. 7, part 1. Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig.
- Selling, E. (1874). *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle's J.)*, **1874**, 143–229.
- Singh, G., Gourinath, S., Saravanan, K., Sharma, S., Bhanumathi, S., Betzel, C., Srinivasan, A. & Singh, T. P. (2005a). *Acta Cryst. F* **61**, 8–13.
- Singh, G., Gourinath, S., Saravanan, K., Sharma, S., Bhanumathi, S., Betzel, C., Yadav, S., Srinivasan, A. & Singh, T. P. (2005b). *J. Struct. Biol.* **149**, 264–272.
- Singh, G., Gourinath, S., Sharma, S., Paramasivam, M., Srinivasan, A. & Singh, T. P. (2001). *J. Mol. Biol.* **307**, 1049–1059.



ISSN: 2053-2733

YOU WILL AUTOMATICALLY BE SENT DETAILS OF HOW TO DOWNLOAD  
AN ELECTRONIC REPRINT OF YOUR PAPER, FREE OF CHARGE.  
PRINTED REPRINTS MAY BE PURCHASED USING THIS FORM.

Please scan your order and send to [na@iucr.org](mailto:na@iucr.org)

**INTERNATIONAL UNION OF CRYSTALLOGRAPHY**

5 Abbey Square  
Chester CH1 2HU, England.

VAT No. GB 161 9034 76

Article No.: A230619-UV5019

Title of article Delone lattice studies in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , the space of three complex variables

Name Lawrence C. Andrews

Address Ronin Institute, 9515 NE 137th St., Kirkland, WA, 98034, United States

E-mail address (for electronic reprints) [larry6640995@gmail.com](mailto:larry6640995@gmail.com)

**OPEN ACCESS**

IUCr journals offer authors the chance to make their articles open access on **Crystallography Journals Online**. If you wish to make your article open access please go to <https://scripts.iucr.org/openaccess/?code=UV5019>

The charge for making an article open access is from **1800 United States dollars** (for full details see <https://journals.iucr.org/a/services/openaccess.html>). For authors in European Union countries, VAT will be added to the open-access charge.

**DIGITAL PRINTED REPRINTS**

I wish to order . . . . . paid reprints

These reprints will be sent to the address given above. If the above address or e-mail address is not correct, please indicate an alternative:

--

**PAYMENT (REPRINTS ONLY)**

Charge for reprints . . . . . USD

☐ An official purchase order made out to **INTERNATIONAL UNION OF CRYSTALLOGRAPHY** ☐ is enclosed ☐ will follow

Purchase order No.

☐ Please invoice me

☐ I wish to pay by credit card

EU authors only: VAT No:

Date

Signature



## OPEN ACCESS

The charge for making an article open access is from **1750 United States dollars** (for full details see <https://journals.iucr.org/a/services/openaccess.html>). For authors in European Union countries, VAT will be added to the open-access charge.

## DIGITAL PRINTED REPRINTS

An electronic reprint is supplied free of charge.

Printed reprints without limit of number may be purchased at the prices given in the table below. The requirements of all joint authors, if any, and of their laboratories should be included in a single order, specifically ordered on the form overleaf. All orders for reprints must be submitted promptly.

Prices for reprints are given below in **United States dollars** and include postage.

	Size of paper (in printed pages)				
Number of reprints required	1–2	3–4	5–8	9–16	Additional 8's
50	184	268	372	560	246
100	278	402	556	842	370
150	368	534	740	1122	490
200	456	664	920	1400	610
Additional 50's	86	128	178	276	116

## PAYMENT AND ORDERING

Official purchase orders should be made out to **INTERNATIONAL UNION OF CRYSTALLOGRAPHY**.

Orders should be returned by email to [na@iucr.org](mailto:na@iucr.org)

## ENQUIRIES

Enquiries concerning reprints should be sent to [support@iucr.org](mailto:support@iucr.org).