

CAMC and NHD

YEGOR BUGAYENKO

Lecture #9 out of 24

80 minutes

All visual and text materials presented in this slidedeck are either originally made by the author or taken from public Internet sources, such as website. Copyright belongs to their respected authors.

Rational Unified Process (RUP)



Decoupling via Interfaces (in Java)

```
1 interface Shape
2     double area();
3
4 class Circle implements Shape
5     int r;
6     @Override
7     double area()
8         return r * r * 3.14d;
9
10 void main(Shape s)
11     double a = s.area();
```



CAMC

+2pt



“Cohesion Among Methods of Classes (CAMC) evaluates the relatedness of methods in the interface of a class using the parameter lists defined for the methods. It can be applied earlier in the development than can traditional cohesiveness metrics because it relies only on method prototypes declared in a class.”

— *A Class Cohesion Metric for Object-Oriented Designs*, Jagdish Bansiya, Letha Etzkorn, Carl Davis and Wei Li, *Journal of Object-Oriented Programming*, vol. 11, no. 8, 1999

```
Alert(AlertType, byte, *text = 0, Bitmap *bm = 0);
~Alert();
VObject *DoCreateDialog();
int Show(char *fmt);
int ShowV(char *fmt, va_list ap);
class Menu *GetMenu();
void InspectorId(char *buf, int sz);
```

| <i>O</i> | AlertType | byte | Bitmap | char | va_list | int |
|----------------|-----------|------|--------|------|---------|-----|
| Alert | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ~Alert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DoCreateDialog | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Show | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ShowV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GetMenu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| InspectorId | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

(b) The parameter occurrence matrix.

k — total number of methods

l — total number of types

$$\text{CAMC} = \frac{1}{k \times l} \times \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l o_{ij}$$

NHD

+2pt



“The hamming distance (HD) provides a measure of disagreement between rows in a binary matrix. The Normalised Hamming Distance (NHD) metric measures agreement between rows in a binary matrix.”

— *The Interpretation and utility of three cohesion metrics for object-oriented design*, Steve Counsell, Stephen Swift, Jason Crampton, *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM)*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2006

```
Alert(AlertType, byte, *text = 0, Bitmap *bm = 0);
~Alert();
VObject *DoCreateDialog();
int Show(char *fmt);
int ShowV(char *fmt, va_list ap);
class Menu *GetMenu();
void InspectorId(char *buf, int sz);
```

k — total number of methods

l — total number of types

$$\text{NHD} = \frac{2}{l \times k \times (k-1)} \times \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=j+1}^k a_{ij}$$

| O | AlertType | byte | Bitmap | char | va_list | int |
|----------------|-----------|------|--------|------|---------|-----|
| Alert | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ~Alert | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DoCreateDialog | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Show | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| ShowV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| GetMenu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| InspectorId | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

(b) The parameter occurrence matrix.

| A | Alert | ~Alert | DoCreateDialog | Show | ShowV | GetMenu |
|----------------|-------|--------|----------------|------|-------|---------|
| ~Alert | 3 | | | | | |
| DoCreateDialog | 3 | 6 | | | | |
| Show | 2 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| ShowV | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | | |
| GetMenu | 3 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | |
| InspectorId | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| | 13 | 25 | 19 | 15 | 8 | 4 |

(c) The parameter agreement matrix.

Interface Segregation Principle

+2pt



“Classes that have 'fat' interfaces are classes whose interfaces are not cohesive. In other words, the interfaces of the class can be broken up into groups of methods.”

— *Agile Software Development, Principles, Patterns, and Practices*, Robert C. Martin, 2002

InputStream in Java

Bad:

```
1 abstract class InputStream
2     int read();
3     int read(byte[] b);
4     int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);
5
6 class FileInputStream
7     implements InputStream
8     native int read();
9     native int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);
10    int read(byte[] b)
11        return read(b, 0, b.length);
```

Better (but slower!):

```
1 interface InputStream {
2     int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);
3
4 class FileInputStream
5     implements InputStream
6     native int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);
7
8 class OneByteStream
9     InputStream s;
10    int read()
11        byte[] b = new byte[1];
12        s.read(b, 0, 1);
13        return (int) b[0];
```

Source: [Why InputStream Design Is Wrong \(2016\)](#)

Also Known As...

- “interface” in Java
- “protocol” in Objective-C
- “interface” in C#
- “abstract class” in C++
- absent in Python
- absent in JavaScript
- “interface” in Go
- “trait” in Rust

Read this:

A Class Cohesion Metric for Object-Oriented Designs, Jagdish Bansiya, Letha Etzkorn, Carl Davis and Wei Li, Journal of Object-Oriented Programming, vol. 11, no. 8, 1999

The Interpretation and utility of three cohesion metrics for object-oriented design, Steve Counsell, Stephen Swift, Jason Crampton ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM), vol. 15, no. 2, 2006

Agile Software Development, Principles, Patterns, and Practices, Robert C. Martin, 2002

Why InputStream Design Is Wrong (2016)

Fat vs. Skinny Design (2020)

Fear of Decoupling (2018)

SRP is a Hoax (2017)