

Clone Coverage

YEGOR BUGAYENKO

Lecture #11 out of 24

80 minutes

All visual and text materials presented in this slidedeck are either originally made by the author or taken from public Internet sources, such as website. Copyright belongs to their respected authors.



“Duplication is the primary enemy of a well-designed system.”

— *Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship*, Robert C. Martin, Pearson, 2008



“If a bug is identified in one segment of code, all the similar segments need to be checked for the same bug. Consequently, code duplication may lead to bug propagation that significantly affects the maintenance cost.”

— *A Systematic Review on Code Clone Detection*, Qurat Ul Ain, Wasi Haider Butt, Muhammad Waseem Anwar, Farooque Azam, Bilal Maqbool, IEEE Access, 2019



“The problem with code cloning is that errors in the original must be fixed in every copy. Other kinds of maintenance changes, for instance, extensions or adaptations, must be applied multiple times, too. Yet, it is usually not documented where code was copied.”

— *Comparison and Evaluation of Clone Detection Tools*, Stefan Bellon, Rainer Koschke, Giuliano Antoniol, Jens Krinke, Ettore Merlo, IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 2007

Motivating Example (part I)

Before (**wrong**):

```
1 printf("Hi, %s!", getName(42));  
2 printf("Hi, %s!", getName(7));  
3 printf("Hi, %s!", getName(55));
```

After (**better**):

```
1 sayHello(42);  
2 sayHello(7);  
3 sayHello(55);  
4  
5 void sayHello(int id) {  
6     var n = getName(id);  
7     printf("Hi, %s!", n);  
8 }
```

Motivating Example (part II)

Before (**still not ideal**):

```
1 sayHello(42);  
2 sayHello(7);  
3 sayHello(55);  
4  
5 void sayHello(int id) {  
6     var n = getName(id);  
7     printf("Hi, %s!", n);  
8 }
```

After (**perfect**):

```
1 var users = [42, 7, 55];  
2 for (id : users) {  
3     sayHello(id);  
4 }  
5  
6 void sayHello(int id) {  
7     var n = getName(id);  
8     printf("Hi, %s!", n);  
9 }
```



“Two lines of code are considered to be identical if they contain the same sequence of characters after removing comments and white space; the semantics of the program statements are not analyzed.”

— *A Program for Identifying Duplicated Code*, Brenda S. Baker, Computing Science and Statistics, 1993

Up to 38% of lines are involved in duplicates



The plots are dense near the main diagonal, implying that most copies tend to occur fairly locally, e.g. within the same file or module.

However, certain line segments occur away from the main diagonal; it would be interesting to investigate why the corresponding sections of code are duplicated.

Don't Repeat Yourself (DRY)



“Every piece of knowledge must have a single, unambiguous, authoritative representation within a system.”

— *The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master*, Andrew Hunt and David Thomas, Addison-Wesley, 1999

The Rule of Three



“The first time you do something, you just do it. The second time you do something similar, you wince at the duplication, but you do the duplicate thing anyway. The third time you do something similar, you refactor.”

— *Refactoring*, Martin Fowler and Kent Beck, Addison-Wesley, 1999

Clone Coverage



“Code-clone analysis is a good vehicle to quantitatively understand the differences and improvements between two versions of the same software system”

— *Analysis of the linux kernel evolution using code clone coverage*, Simone Livieri, Yoshiki Higo, Makoto Matsushita, Katsuro Inoue, International Workshop on Mining Software Repositories (MSR), 2007



“We identified and analyzed 26 Code Clone Detection (CCD) tools, i.e., 13 existing and 13 proposed/developed. Moreover, 62 open-source subject systems whose source code is utilized for the CCD are presented.”

— *A Systematic Review on Code Clone Detection*, Ain, Qurat Ul, Wasi Haider Butt, Muhammad Waseem Anwar, Farooque Azam, Bilal Maqbool, IEEE Access, 2019

Type-1: Exact Clone

Original:

```
1 printf("Hi, %s\n", name(42));
```

Clone:

```
1 // Here we print a message
2 // to the console for a user
3 printf(
4     "Hi, %s\n",
5     name(42)
6 );
```

Identical code segments except for changes in comments, layouts and whitespaces.

Type-2: Parameterized Clone

Original:

```
1 var n = name(42);  
2 printf("Hi, %s\n", n);
```

Clone:

```
1 String name = name(42);  
2 printf("Hi, %s\n", name);
```

Code segments which are syntactically or structurally similar other than changes in comments, identifiers, types, literals, and layouts.

Type-3: Gapped Clone

Original:

```
1 printf("Hi, %s\n", name(42));
```

Clone:

```
1 var msg = "Hi, %s\n";  
2 var n = name(42);  
3 printf(msg, n);
```

Copied pieces with further modification such as addition or removal of statements and changes in whitespaces, identifiers, layouts, comments, and types but outcomes are similar.

Type-4: Semantic Clone

Original:

```
1 printf("Hi, %s\n", name(42));
```

Clone:

```
1 var s = sprintf(  
2   "Hi, %s\n",  
3   name(42));  
4 print(s);
```

More than one code segments that are functionally similar but implemented by different syntactic variants.

Clones in Linux Kernel

	alpha	arm	i386	ia64	mips	mips64	ppc	s390	sh	sparc	sparc64
alpha	100%	0%	5.0%	0%	5.0%	10%	10%	5%	5%	5%	0%
arm	0%	100%	2.4%	0%	8.6%	4.9%	4.9%	0%	1.2%	9.8%	0%
i386	3.5%	7.1%	100%	0%	7.1%	7.1%	10.7%	14.2%	32.1%	0 %	0%
ia64	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.7%	9.5%	0%
mips	0.6%	8.7%	1.3%	0%	100%	19.4%	4.2%	0%	0.6%	4.1 %	0%
mips64	2.5%	3.8%	2.5%	0%	38.4%	100%	3.8%	0%	1.2%	2.5 %	0%
ppc	3.2%	4.9%	4.9%	0%	8.1%	4.9%	100%	1.6%	3.2 %	0 %	0%
s390	5.2%	0%	21.1%	0%	0%	0%	5.2%	100%	5.2%	0%	0%
sh	2.3%	2.3%	20.9%	2.3%	2.3%	2.3%	4.6%	2.3%	100%	0%	0%
sparc	0.3%	2.2%	0%	0.6%	2.8%	0.6%	0%	0%	0%	100 %	1.9%
sparc64	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	16.6%	100 %

Table 3. Cloning Percentage among ^{mm} Subsystem Architecture-Dependent Code

Identifying Clones in the Linux Kernel, Gerardo Casazza, Giuliano Antoniol, Umberto Villano, Ettore Merlo, Massimiliano Di Penta, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Source Code Analysis and Manipulation, 2001

Methods of clone detection:

1. Using text
2. Using tokens
3. Using metrics
4. Using “tree matching”
5. Using Program Dependency Graphs (PDG)
6. Using Machine Learning (ML)



“For the three Java systems studied, the following results were found: 1) cloned code is usually older than non-cloned code, 2) cloned code in a file is usually older than the non-cloned code in the same file. Both results suggest that cloned code is more stable than non-cloned code.”

— *Is cloned code older than non-cloned code?*, Jens Krinke, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Software Clones, 2011

These tools can help detecting duplicate code:

1. IntelliJ IDEA by JetBrains
2. Copy/Paste Detector (CPD) by PMD for Java
3. SonarQube
4. CloneDR by Semantic Designs
5. Simian by Quandary Peak Research

Simian 4.0.0

```
-bash
/code/cactos$ java -jar ~/Downloads/simian-4.0.0/simian-4.0.0.jar -threshold=17 **/*.java
Simian Similarity Analyzer 4.0.0 - https://simian.quandarypeak.com
Copyright (c) 2023 Quandary Peak Research. All rights reserved.
Subject to the Quandary Peak Academic Software License.
{failOnDuplication=true, ignoreCharacterCase=true, ignoreCurlyBraces=true, ignoreIdentifierCase=true, ignoreModifiers=true, ignoreStringCase=true, threshold=17}
Found 17 duplicate lines with fingerprint 8d01496ba38a19cb808ae9235ac8db2a in the following files:
  Between lines 87 and 107 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/test/java/org/cactos/io/InputOfTest.java
  Between lines 131 and 151 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/test/java/org/cactos/bytes/BytesOfTest.java
Found 17 duplicate lines with fingerprint b598bab8d6e4187f2109de9732ec2285 in the following files:
  Between lines 171 and 188 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/main/java/org/cactos/io/LoggingOutputStream.java
  Between lines 143 and 160 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/main/java/org/cactos/io/LoggingOutputStream.java
Found 18 duplicate lines with fingerprint 67a118fb204dfa3159a42f61ca6cb8f7 in the following files:
  Between lines 139 and 164 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/test/java/org/cactos/experimental/ThreadsTest.java
  Between lines 263 and 288 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/test/java/org/cactos/experimental/ThreadsTest.java
Found 19 duplicate lines with fingerprint 1800fef4f92055a4cacabe1d6c9cacb7 in the following files:
  Between lines 113 and 132 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/test/java/org/cactos/io/TempFolderTest.java
  Between lines 73 and 92 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/test/java/org/cactos/io/TempFolderTest.java
Found 21 duplicate lines with fingerprint 89b2815ad5cacc028593951c22a0440b in the following files:
  Between lines 48 and 82 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/main/java/org/cactos/list/ListIteratorEnvelope.java
  Between lines 48 and 82 in /Volumes/sec/code/cactos/src/main/java/org/cactos/list/ImmutableListIterator.java
Found 184 duplicate lines in 10 blocks in 7 files
Processed a total of 24317 significant (62285 raw) lines in 638 files
Processing time: 0.137sec
/code/cactos$
```

Read this:

A Program for Identifying Duplicated Code, Brenda S. Baker, Computing Science and Statistics, 1993

A Systematic Review on Code Clone Detection, Qurat Ul Ain, Wasi Haider Butt, Muhammad Waseem Anwar, Farooque Azam, Bilal Maqbool, IEEE Access, 2019

Comparison and Evaluation of Clone Detection Tools, Stefan Bellon, Rainer Koschke, Giuliano Antoniol, Jens Krinke, Ettore Merlo, IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 2007

Refactoring, Martin Fowler and Kent Beck, Addison-Wesley, 1999