CAMC and NHD


YEGOR BUGAYENKO

Lecture #9 out of 24 80 minutes

The slidedeck was presented by the author in this YouTube Video

All visual and text materials presented in this slidedeck are either originally made by the author or taken from public Internet sources, such as web sites. Copyright belongs to their respected authors.

Rational Unified Process (RUP)




Decoupling via Interfaces (in Java)

```
interface Shape
double area();

class Circle implements Shape
int r;
QOverride
double area()
return r * r * 3.14d;

void main(Shape s)
double a = s.area();
```





Jagdish Bansiya

"CAMC: Cohesion Among Methods of Classes (CAMC) evaluates the relatedness of methods in the interface of a class using the parameter lists defined for the methods. It can be applied earlier in the development than can traditional cohesiveness metrics because it relies only on method prototypes declared in a class."

— Jagdish Bansiya. Class Cohesion Metric for Object Oriented Designs. *Journal of Object-Oriented Programming*, 11(8):47–52, 1999


k — total number of methods

l — total number of types

$$\mathtt{CAMC} = \tfrac{1}{k \times l} \times \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^l o_{ij}$$

O	AlertType	byte	Bitmap	char	va_list	int
Alert	1	1	1	0	0	0
$^{\sim}$ Alert	0	0	0	0	0	0
${\tt DoCreateDialog}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
Show	0	0	0	1	0	0
${\tt ShowV}$	0	0	0	1	1	0
GetMenu	0	0	0	0	0	0
${\tt InspectorId}$	0	0	0	1	0	1

(b) The parameter occurrence matrix.



STEVE COUNSELL

"NHD: The hamming distance (HD) provides a measure of disagreement between rows in a binary matrix. The Normalised Hamming Distance (NHD) metric measures agreement between rows in a binary matrix."

— Steve Counsell, Stephen Swift, and Jason Crampton. The Interpretation and Utility of Three Cohesion Metrics for Object-Oriented Design. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM)*, 15(2):123–149, 2006. doi:10.1145/1131421.1131422

k — total number of methods

l — total number of types

$$NHD = \frac{2}{l \times k \times (k-1)} \times \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=j+1}^{k} a_{ij}$$

0	AlertType	byte	Bitmap	char	va_list	int
Alert	1	1	1	0	0	0
$^{\sim}$ Alert	0	0	0	0	0	0
${\tt DoCreateDialog}$	0	0	0	0	0	0
Show	0	0	0	1	0	0
${\tt ShowV}$	0	0	0	1	1	0
GetMenu	0	0	0	0	0	0
${\tt InspectorId}$	0	0	0	1	0	1

(b) The parameter occurrence matrix.

A	Alert	$^{\sim}$ Alert	DoCreateDialog	Show	ShowV	GetMenu
$^\sim$ Alert	3					
${\tt DoCreateDialog}$	3	6				
Show	2	5	5			
${\tt ShowV}$	1	4	4	5		
GetMenu	3	6	6	5	4	
InspectorId	1	4	4	5	4	4
	13	25	19	15	8	4

(c) The parameter agreement matrix.

Interface Segregation Principle



"Classes that have 'fat' interfaces are classes whose interfaces are not cohesive. In other words, the interfaces of the class can be broken up into groups of methods."

 Agile Software Development, Principles, Patterns, and Practices, Robert C. Martin, 2002

InputStream in Java

Bad:

```
abstract class InputStream
int read();
int read(byte[] b);
int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);

class FileInputStream
implements InputStream
native int read();
native int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);
int read(byte[] b)
return read(b, 0, b.length);
```

Better (but slower!):

```
interface InputStream {
  int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);

class FileInputStream
  implements InputStream
  native int read(byte[] b, int o, int l);

class OneByteStream
  InputStream s;
  int read()
  byte[] b = new byte[1];
  s.read(b, 0, 1);
  return (int) b[0];
```

Source: Why InputStream Design Is Wrong (2016)

Also Known As...

- "interface" in Java
- "protocol" in Objective-C
- "interface" in C#
- "abstract class" in C++

- absent in Python
- absent in JavaScript
- "interface" in Go
- •"trait" in Rust

Read this:

Agile Software Development, Principles, Patterns, and Practices, Robert C. Martin, 2002

Why InputStream Design Is Wrong (2016)

Fat vs. Skinny Design (2020)

Fear of Decoupling (2018)

<u>SRP is a Hoax</u> (2017)

References

Jagdish Bansiya. Class Cohesion Metric for Object Oriented Designs. *Journal of Object-Oriented Programming*, 11(8):47–52, 1999. Steve Counsell, Stephen Swift, and Jason Crampton. The Interpretation and Utility of Three Cohesion Metrics for Object-Oriented Design. *ACM Transactions on Software Engineering and Methodology (TOSEM)*, 15(2):123–149, 2006. doi:10.1145/1131421.1131422.