

Cyclomatic Complexity

YEGOR BUGAYENKO

Lecture #2 out of 24

80 minutes

The slidedeck was presented by the author in this [YouTube Video](#)

All visual and text materials presented in this slidedeck are either originally made by the author or taken from public Internet sources, such as web sites. Copyright belongs to their respected authors.



1. Some programmers mistakenly feel proud of higher complexity of their code.



THOMAS J. McCABE

“Cyclomatic Complexity (CC) is a count of the number of decisions in the source code. The higher the count, the more complex the code. The formula is simple: $C = E - N + 2$.”

— Thomas J. McCabe. A Complexity Measure. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, (4):308–320, 1976. doi:[10.1109/tse.1976.233837](https://doi.org/10.1109/tse.1976.233837)

What is the complexity of this program?



I found this picture [here](#).

2. In his presentation "*Software Quality Metrics to Identify Risk*", Tom McCabe introduces the following categorisation to interpret cyclomatic complexity: 1–10 little risk, 11–20 moderate risk, 21–50 high risk, 50+ very high risk.



JOANNE E. HALE

“The models developed are found to successfully predict roughly 90% of CC’s variance by LOC alone. This suggest not only that the linear relationship between LOC and CC is stable, but the aspects of code complexity that CC measures, such as the size of the test case space, grow linearly with source code size across languages and programming paradigms.”

— Jay Graylin, Joanne E. Hale, Randy K. Smith, Hale David, Nicholas A. Kraft, and Ward Charles. Cyclomatic Complexity and Lines of Code: Empirical Evidence of a Stable Linear Relationship. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 2(03):137, 2009. doi:[10.4236/jsea.2009.23020](https://doi.org/10.4236/jsea.2009.23020)




ADNAN MUSLIJA

“There is a low to moderate correlation between the effort needed to test a program and its complexity.”

— Adnan Muslija and Eduard P. Enoiu. On the Correlation between Testing Effort and Software Complexity Metrics, 2018



3. What is a complexity of a class? How about a module?



4. Feature creep is one of the most common sources of cost and schedule overruns; it can even kill products and projects — Wikipedia.

5. Tom McCabe suggested to prohibit functions where CC is larger than ten. Modern static analyzers may help you control this automatically.



GEOFFREY K. GILL

“The complexity density ratio is demonstrated to be a useful predictor of software maintenance productivity on a small pilot sample of actual maintenance project.”

— Geoffrey K. Gill and Chris F. Kemerer. Cyclomatic Complexity Density and Software Maintenance Productivity. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 17(12):1284–1288, 1991. doi:[10.1109/32.106988](https://doi.org/10.1109/32.106988)

Read this:

The Better Architect You Are, The Simpler Your Diagrams (2015)

Are You a Hacker or a Designer? (2014)

References

Geoffrey K. Gill and Chris F. Kemerer. Cyclomatic Complexity Density and Software Maintenance Productivity. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 17(12):1284–1288, 1991. doi:[10.1109/32.106988](https://doi.org/10.1109/32.106988).

Jay Graylin, Joanne E. Hale, Randy K. Smith, Hale David, Nicholas A. Kraft, and Ward Charles.

Cyclomatic Complexity and Lines of Code: Empirical Evidence of a Stable Linear Relationship. *Journal of Software Engineering and Applications*, 2(03):137, 2009. doi:[10.4236/jsea.2009.23020](https://doi.org/10.4236/jsea.2009.23020).

Thomas J. McCabe. A Complexity Measure. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, (4):308–320, 1976. doi:[10.1109/tse.1976.233837](https://doi.org/10.1109/tse.1976.233837).

Adnan Muslija and Eduard P. Enoiu. On the Correlation between Testing Effort and Software Complexity Metrics, 2018.