# On The Cost of Fault-Tolerainzing Shallow Circuits.

#### Michael Ben-Or David Ponarovsky

November 7, 2024

#### **Abstract**

In this work we study the overall depth overhead cost required for constructing fault tolerance circuits. We focus on shallow depth circuits classes, In particular,  $\mathbf{QAC}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{QNC}_{0,f}$  and  $\mathbf{QNC}_1$  and certain knowns problem candidates for demonstrating quantum advantage such as factoring [Sho97] and Instantaneous Quantum Polynomial-time [BMS17], [Pal+24]. We only give a partial answers, Yet, clues that might pave the way towards a full understanding the complexity versus fault tolerance trade-off.

#### 1 Introduction.

The question about the feasibility of computation under noise is almost as ancient as the computer science field itself, initialized by Von Neumann [Neu56] at the time that classical computation putted in debuts. Time been pass and the followed works had pointed that not even a polynomial computation in the presence of noise is still reasonable but one can implement a fault tolerance version at a most constant times cost at the circuits depth [Pip85]. Or in asymptotic sense, classical computation in the presence of noise is as exactly hard as computation in ideal environment. Although

### 2 Todo:

- 1. Move to encoding each qubit by logarithmic width (instead of chanks) the reason is that the gate teleportation becomes complicated when it applied over higher dimension.
- 2. Then showing for 2-qubit gates set that is indeed works.
- 3. Treating separately to noise observed in two qubits gates.

#### 3 Fault tolerance Toffoli.

**[COMMENT]** In that section the  $\cdot$  operation is the pair wise product (pair wise AND).

Assume that  $\bar{0}, \bar{1} \in C_X$  and that they belong to two different cosets of  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$ . Let  $x, y \in \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}\}$ .

$$\sum_{z,z',w\in C_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}} |z\rangle |z'\rangle |w\rangle$$

$$\sum_{z,z',w\in C_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}} |z\rangle |z'\rangle |w+z\cdot z'\rangle$$

$$\sum_{z,z',w\in C_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}} |z+x\rangle |z'+y\rangle |w+z\cdot z'\rangle$$

$$\sum_{z,z',w\in C_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}} |z+x\rangle |z'+y\rangle |x\cdot y+x\cdot z'+y\cdot z+zz'+w+z\cdot z'\rangle$$

$$\sum_{z,z',w\in C_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}} |z+x\rangle |z'+y\rangle |x\cdot y+x\cdot z'+y\cdot z+w\rangle$$

$$\sum_{z,z',w\in C_{\overline{Z}}^{\perp}} |z+x\rangle |z'+y\rangle |x\cdot y+x\cdot z'+y\cdot z+w\rangle$$

Since  $x,y\in\{\bar{0},\bar{1}\}$  we have that  $x\cdot z'$  equals to either z' or  $\bar{0}$ . Hence  $\sum_{w\in C^{\perp}_{\bar{Z}}}|\xi+x\cdot z+w\rangle=\sum_{w\in C^{\perp}_{\bar{Z}}}|\xi+w\rangle$ . So the idea is the following, suppose that one has to compute Toffoli at time t over the

registers  $R_1, R_2, R_3$ . First, at time 0, he initialize a logical zero  $|C_Z^\perp\rangle$  in each register, then he compute pairwise Toffoli  $R_1, R_2$  into  $R_3$ . That gives the ket  $\sum_{z,z',w\in C_Z^\perp}|z\cdot z'+w\rangle$ , immediately afterwords encode  $R_3$  again into a good quantum code. Denote by  $\tau$  the time required for decoding  $R_3$  back, at time  $t-\tau$  start to decode  $R_3$ . Eventually at time time t compute again the transversal Toffoli, by Equation (1) we gets the desired.

By similar arguments exhibited at Claim 6.3 one can show that the errors behaves according to a Pauli noise channel. [COMMENT] That is not correct, since the concatenation construction assumes that all the registers initialized to physical zeros in the begging of the computation.

#### 3.1 Another Idea, $z \cdot z'$ cann't contribute too mach.

Clearly we have that  $|z\cdot z'| \leq |z|, |z'|$  therefore we have that  $\mathbf{Pr}_{z,z'\in C_Z^\perp}[|z\cdot z'| \geq t] \leq \mathbf{Pr}_{z\in C_Z^\perp}[|z|\geq t]$ . Now assume that the tanner code by which the code defined is bipartite graph and denote by  $z_+, z_-$  the grouping of the z's generators supported on the even and the odd vertices of the graph. By triangle inequality  $|z|=|z_++z_-|\leq |z_+|+|z_-|$ , So if |z|>t then at least one of  $|z_-|,|z_+|$  is greater than t/2. Hence via the union bound:

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{z \in C_Z^{\perp}}\left[|z|\right] \leq \mathbf{Pr}_{z \in C_Z^{\perp}}\left[\bigcup_{i \in \pm}|z_i| \geq t/2\right] \leq \sum_{i \in \pm} \mathbf{Pr}_{z \in C_Z^{\perp}}\left[|z_i| \geq t/2\right]$$

Since any two positive (negative) generators are disjoint we have that  $|z_+|$  is a sum of the independent random variables each stands for the weight contributed by a positive vertex. Let us denote by  $V^+,V^-$  the positive and the negative vertices and for each vertex  $v\in V$  we will denote by v the bits of z restricted to v edges. So  $|z_\pm|=\sum_{v\in V^\pm}|z_v|$ . For simplicity assume that  $|V^+|=|V^-|=n/2$  and that  $\mathbf{E}_{z\in C_A\otimes C_B}[|z|]=\mu$ . Then we can use concentration inequality to have:

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{z \in C_{Z}^{\perp}}[|z|] \le \sum_{i \in \pm} \mathbf{Pr}_{z \in C_{Z}^{\perp}} \left[ \sum_{v \in V^{i}} |z_{v}| \ge t/2 \right] \le 2e^{-(\mu - \frac{t}{2})n}$$

Thus if  $\mu - \gamma \ge O(1)$  (from Claim 6.2 ) then with high probability the Toffoli is computed up to reducible error.

#### 4 Notations.

We denote by  $C_g$  the good qLDPC code [Din+22] [PK21] [LZ22b], and by  $C_{ft}$  the concatenation code presented at [AB99] (ft stands for fault tolerance). For a code  $C_y$ , we use  $\Phi_y, E_y, D_y$  to denote the channel maps circuits into the their matched circuits compute in the code space, the encoder, and the decoder, respectively. We use  $\Phi_U$  to denote the 'Bell'-state storing the gate U. We say that a state  $|\psi\rangle$  is at a distance d from a quantum code C if there exists an operator U that sends  $|\psi\rangle$  into C such that U is spanned on Paulis with a degree of at most d. Sometimes, when the code being used is clear from the context, we will say that a block B of qubits has absorbed at most d noise if the state encoded on B is at a distance of at most d from that code.

#### 5 The Noise Model

## 6 Fault Tolerance (With Resets gates) at Linear Depth.

**Claim 6.1.** There exists a value  $p_{th} \in (0,1)$  such that if  $p < p_{th}$ , then any quantum circuit C with a depth of D and a width of W can be computed by a p-noisy circuit C', which allows for resets. The depth of C' is at most  $\max \{O(D), O(\log(WD))\}$ .

#### 6.1 Initializing Magic for Teleportation gates and encodes ancillaries.

The Protocol:

1. Initialization of zeros: The qubits are divided into blocks of size |B|. Each block is encoded in  $C_g$  using  $D_{ft}\Phi_{ft}[E_g]|0^{|B|}\rangle$ .

- 2. Initialization of Magic for Teleportation gates: The gates in the original circuit are encoded in  $C_g$  using  $D_{ft}\Phi_{ft}[E_g]|\Phi_U\rangle$ .
- 3. Gate teleportation: Each gate in the original circuit is replaced by a gate teleportation.
- 4. Error reduction: After the initialization step, at each time tick, each block runs a single round of error reduction.

**Claim 6.2** (From [LZ22a]). Assuming that an error  $|e| \le \gamma n$ , i.e e is supported on less than  $\gamma n$  bits, then a single correction round reduce e to an error e' such that  $|e'| < \nu |e|$ .

**Claim 6.3.** The gate  $D_{ft}\Phi_{ft}[E_g]$  initializes states encoded in  $C_g$  subject to a 3p-noise channel.

Proof. Clearly, with high probability,  $\Phi_{ft}[E_g]$  successfully encodes into  $C_{ft} \circ C_g$ , let's say with probability  $1 - \frac{1}{poly(n)}$ . Denote by  $E_i$  and  $D_i$  the encoder and decoder at the ith level of the concatenation construction. Consider the decoder under  $\mathcal N$  action:  $P_2D_1P_2D_2,...,P_{i-1}D_iP_i$ , by the fault-tolerance construction, a logical error at the ith stage occurs with probability  $p^{2^i}$ . Therefore, by the union bound, the probability that in one of the steps the circuit absorbs an error that is not corrected is less than  $p+p^2+p^4+...<2p$ . Hence, any decoded qubit absorbs noise with probability less than 2p.

Thus, overall, we can bound the probability of a single qubit being faulty by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Pr}\left[\text{fault}\right] &= \mathbf{Pr}\left[\text{fault}|\Phi_{ft}[E_g]\right] \cdot \mathbf{Pr}\left[\Phi_{ft}[E_g]\right] + \mathbf{Pr}\left[\text{fault}|\overline{\Phi_{ft}[E_g]}\right] \cdot \mathbf{Pr}\left[\overline{\Phi_{ft}[E_g]}\right] \\ &\leq \mathbf{Pr}\left[\text{fault}|\Phi_{ft}[E_g]\right] + \mathbf{Pr}\left[\overline{\Phi_{ft}[E_g]}\right] \leq 2p + \frac{1}{poly(n)} \leq 3p \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6.1.** In our construction, we use the concatenation code to encode blocks of length  $\log(n)$ . Therefore, any  $\operatorname{poly}(n)$  in the above should be replaced by  $\log(n)$ . However, this does not affect anything since the inequality does not depend on n.

**Claim 6.4.** With a probability  $1 - \frac{WD}{|B|} \cdot D2e^{-2|B|(\beta-p)}$ , the total amount of noise absorbed in a block at any given time t, is less than  $\gamma n$ .

*Proof.* Consider the ith block, denoted by  $B_i$ . By applying Hoeffding's inequality, we have that the probability that more than  $\beta|B|$  qubits are flipped at time t is less than  $2e^{-2|B|(\beta-p)}$ . By using the union bound over all blocks at all time locations, we can conclude that with probability  $1-\frac{WD}{|B|}\cdot D2e^{-2|B|(\beta-p)}$ , the noise absorbed in a block is less than  $|\beta|B$  for the entire computation.

Let  $X_t$  denote the support size of the error over  $B_i$  at time t. Using Claim 6.2, we can bound the total amount of error absorbed by a block until time t as follows:

$$X_t \le \nu \cdot (X_{t-1} + \beta |B|) \le \nu(\gamma + \beta)|B| \le \gamma |B|$$

**Claim 6.5.** The total depth of the circuit is  $O(D) + O(\log^c |B|)$ .

*Proof.* The gate for encoding |B|-length blocks in  $C_g$  is a Clifford gate and can therefore be computed in  $O(\log |B|)$  depth. The encoding of the magic/bell states is done by first computing them in the logical space (un-encoded qubits) and then encode them using the encoder. Hence, the fault-tolerant version of both initializing ancillaries and magic states/bell states costs  $O((\log |B|) \cdot \log^c(|B| \log |B|))^{-1}$  depth [AB99]. Backing into  $C_g$  from  $C_{ft}$  by decoding the concatenation code takes exactly as long as the encoding, namely  $O((\log |B|) \cdot \log^c(|B| \log |B|))$ .

Then, using the bell measurements, any of the logical gates takes O(1) depth. Since we only perform a single round of error correction, the remaining computation until the last decoding stage takes at most constant time of the original depth. Finally, we pay  $O(\log |B|)$  for complete decoding. Summing all, we get:

$$O(\log |B| \cdot \log^c(|B| \log |B|)) + O(D) + O(\log |B|)$$
  
=  $O(D) + O(\log^c |B|)$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The width of the original circuit is  $|B|^2$  so the number of locations is  $|B|^2 \cdot \log |B|$ 

Assuming that W is polynomial in D, taking the block length to be  $|B| = \log((W \cdot D)^c)$ , as shown in Claim 6.4, results in a linear fault tolerance construction with a success probability of  $1 - \frac{1}{\log^{c_2}(W \cdot D)}$ . This means that the fault tolerance version of circuits in  $\mathbf{QNC}_1$  has a logarithmic depth. Additionally, using the construction in [Aha+96] produces a polynomial fault tolerance circuit in the reversible gates setting. [COMMENT] We missed the fact that it requires non trivial classical computation to compute what gate should be applied after the gate teleportation (i.e  $UPU^{\dagger}$ ).

#### References

- [Neu56] J. von Neumann. "Probabilistic Logics and the Synthesis of Reliable Organisms From Unreliable Components". In: Automata Studies. Ed. by C. E. Shannon and J. Mc-Carthy. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1956, pp. 43–98. ISBN: 9781400882618. DOI: doi:10.1515/9781400882618-003. URL: https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400882618-003.
- [Pip85] Nicholas Pippenger. "On networks of noisy gates". In: 26th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (sfcs 1985). 1985, pp. 30–38. DOI: 10.1109/SFCS.1985.41.
- [Aha+96] D. Aharonov et al. *Limitations of Noisy Reversible Computation*. 1996. arXiv: quant-ph/9611028 [quant-ph]. url: https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9611028.
- [Sho97] Peter W. Shor. "Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer". In: SIAM Journal on Computing 26.5 (Oct. 1997), pp. 1484–1509. DOI: 10.1137/s0097539795293172. URL: https://doi.org/10.1137%2Fs0097539795293172.
- [AB99] Dorit Aharonov and Michael Ben-Or. Fault-Tolerant Quantum Computation With Constant Error Rate. 1999. arXiv: quant-ph/9906129 [quant-ph].
- [BMS17] Michael J. Bremner, Ashley Montanaro, and Dan J. Shepherd. "Achieving quantum supremacy with sparse and noisy commuting quantum computations". In: *Quantum* 1 (Apr. 2017), p. 8. ISSN: 2521-327X. DOI: 10.22331/q-2017-04-25-8. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2017-04-25-8.
- [PK21] Pavel Panteleev and Gleb Kalachev. Asymptotically Good Quantum and Locally Testable Classical LDPC Codes. 2021. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2111.03654. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03654.
- [Din+22] Irit Dinur et al. Good Locally Testable Codes. 2022. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV. 2207.11929. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.11929.
- [LZ22a] Anthony Leverrier and Gilles Zémor. Decoding quantum Tanner codes. 2022. arXiv: 2208.05537 [quant-ph]. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.05537.
- [LZ22b] Anthony Leverrier and Gilles Zémor. *Quantum Tanner codes.* 2022. arXiv: 2202. 13641 [quant-ph].
- [Pal+24] Louis Paletta et al. "Robust sparse IQP sampling in constant depth". In: *Quantum* 8 (May 2024), p. 1337. ISSN: 2521-327X. DOI: 10.22331/q-2024-05-06-1337. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2024-05-06-1337.