No-Existence Of Generalize Diffusion.

David Ponarovsky

July 25, 2022

Preamble One of the most promised applications of quantum computation is the Amplitude Amplification algorithm [Bra+02], In which, one can transform a known state $|\Psi\rangle$ withprobabilityatomeasurea $|i\rangle$ to a state in which the desired measurement obtained with probability grater than $\frac{1}{2}$ at the cost of less than \sqrt{a} sort of Grover iterations. A critical requirement for that precedure is to have the ability to generate a copeis of the initial state, formulated in [Bra+02] as holding algorithm \mathcal{A} , which does not make any mausrements, such $\mathcal{A}|0\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$. One question that might rise is whether the above can be done given a single entity of the state. We show that there is no operator that given two state $|\psi\rangle$, $|\phi\rangle$ compute the transformation:

$$D |\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle (\mathbb{I} - 2 |\psi\rangle \langle\psi|) |\phi\rangle$$

We name the gate above the Generalize Diffusion gate, As if such gate were exists it could be used instand of the projection operator to simulate the amplitude amplification procedure. The contradiction of the existence follows by showing that using D two players can compute the disjoints of their sets in single round and $O(\sqrt{n})$ communication complexity, which shown by Braverman to be impossible [Bra+18].

Quantum Communication Complexity of Disjointness. Consider the following communication problem. As inputs Alice gets an x and Bob get a y, where $x, y \in \{0,1\}^n$, and by exchanging information they want to determine if there is an index k with $x_k = y_k = 1$ or not. In other words, if x encodes the set $A = \{k|x_k = 1\}$, and y encodes $B = \{k|y_k = 1\}$, then Alice and Bob want to determine whether $A \cap B$ is empty or not.

The classical randomized communication complexity of this problem is $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Assuming Alice and Bob can exchange quantum messages, It is known that Alice and bob can solve the task correctly with probability greater than 2/3 by exchanging at most $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}\log n)$ qubits [COMMENT] add ciation of the original solution.

The reduction. Assume by way of contradiction the existance of D defined above. Let $x^{(j)}$ be the j-th \sqrt{n} -block of x, e.g $x^{(j)} = x_{j\sqrt{n}}, x_{j\sqrt{n}+1}..., x_{(j+1)(\sqrt{n})-1}$. And denote by $|\psi_x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2^{\bigotimes \sqrt{n}} \bigotimes \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{n}}$ the uniform superposition state over the $x^{(j)}$ -'s "tensored" with \sqrt{n} -qudit

(which will correspond to the block number).

$$|\psi_x\rangle = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_{j}^{\sqrt{n}} |x^{(j)}\rangle |j\rangle$$

Note that the encoding of $|\psi_x\rangle$ require only $\sqrt{n} + \log(\sqrt{n})$ qubits. Clearly both Alice and Bob can generate the states $|\psi_x\rangle$, $|\psi_y\rangle$, then Bob sends he's share to Alice. We know that there is a classical circuit with logarithmic depth in \sqrt{n} that act over the pure states $|x^{(j)}\rangle|j\rangle$, $|y^{(k)}\rangle|k\rangle$ and decides whether

$$(j=k) \bigwedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in [\sqrt{n}]} x_i^{(j)} \wedge y_i^{(k)} \right)$$

Denote it by C and by U the phase flip controlled by C i.e. $U|i\rangle = (-1)^{C(i)}|i\rangle$.

Claim. Recall the operator $\mathbf{Q} = -A\mathbf{S}_0A^{-1}\mathbf{S}_{\chi}$ defined in [Bra+02], such that $A|0\rangle = |\Psi\rangle = |\psi_x\rangle |\psi_y\rangle$ and consider the generalize diffusion gate D, Then it holds that for any state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\Psi}$:

$$(\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbf{Q}) |\psi_x\rangle |\psi_y\rangle |\phi\rangle = -D (\mathbb{I} \otimes U) |\psi_x\rangle |\psi_y\rangle |\phi\rangle$$

Proof. Let $|\Psi_0\rangle$, $|\Psi_1\rangle$ be the base which span \mathcal{H}_{Ψ} and in addition $U |\Psi_0\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle$, $U |\Psi_1\rangle = -|\Psi_1\rangle$.

First consider the case in which the diminsion of \mathcal{H}_{Ψ} is exactly 1, If $|\Psi\rangle$ supported only on non-satisfaing states (i.e $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle$) then it's clear that $I\otimes U$ act over the $|\Psi\rangle |\Psi\rangle$ as identity and therefore $-D\left(I\otimes U\right)$ act also as identity:

$$-D(I \otimes U)|\Psi\rangle|\Psi\rangle = -|\Psi\rangle(I - 2|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle|\Psi\rangle$$

Similar calculation yields that the action is tricial also when \mathcal{H}_{Ψ} supported only over $|\Psi_1\rangle$.

It is left to show the equivaliance when $|\Psi\rangle$ supported both over $|\Psi_0\rangle$ and $|\Psi_1\rangle$. Then it follows that:

$$-D\left(\mathbb{I}\otimes U\right)|\psi_{x}\rangle|\psi_{y}\rangle|\Psi_{1}\rangle = D|\psi_{x}\rangle|\psi_{y}\rangle|\Psi_{1}\rangle$$
$$|\psi_{x}\rangle|\psi_{y}\rangle\langle\mathbb{I} - 2|\psi_{x}\rangle|\psi_{y}\rangle\langle\psi_{x}|\langle\psi_{y}|)|\Psi_{1}\rangle$$
$$|\psi_{x}\rangle|\psi_{y}\rangle\langle\mathbb{I} - 2|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)|\Psi_{1}\rangle$$
$$|\psi_{x}\rangle|\psi_{y}\rangle\langle(1 - 2a)|\Psi_{1}\rangle - 2a|\Psi_{0}\rangle)$$

$$-D\left(\mathbb{I}\otimes U\right)\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle = -D\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle$$

$$-\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left(\mathbb{I}-2\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{x}\right|\left\langle\psi_{y}\right|\right)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle$$

$$-\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left(\mathbb{I}-2\left|\Psi\right\rangle\left\langle\Psi\right|\right)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle$$

$$-\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left(\left(-(2-2a))\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle+1-(2-2a)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle\right)$$

$$\left|\psi_{x}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{y}\right\rangle\left(\left(2-2a\right)\left|\Psi_{1}\right\rangle+(1-2a)\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle\right)$$

Now, it's clear that Alice, could simulate the **algqsearch** algorithm [Bra+02],

Theorem 3. Quadratic speedup without knowing **a** There exists a quantum algorithm **algqsearch** with the following property. Let A be any quantum algorithm that uses no measurements, and let $\chi : \mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}$ be any Boolean function. Let a denote the initial success probability of A. Algorithm **algqsearch** finds a good solution using an expected number of applications of A and A^{-1} which are in $\Theta(\sqrt{a})$ if a > 0, and otherwise runs forever.

Proof of Theorem 1 Suppose that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ then, the support of $|\psi_x\rangle \otimes |\psi_y\rangle$ contain a state $|\phi\rangle$ which satisfies C, or in other words $a=|\langle \Psi_1|\Psi\rangle|^2>0$ and therefore by *Theorem 3* there is an explicit procedure which take a $\Theta(\sqrt{a})$ time in expectation, Hence for any $\varepsilon>0$ we could construct a finite algorithm that fail with probability less than ε by rejecting runs that last longer than 1

On the other hand, Consider the case when $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then $\Rightarrow a = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\Psi}$ is 1-dimension space spanned only by $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and the operator $I - 2 |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|$ act over the $|\Psi_0\rangle$ as identity and therefore after executing any number of iterations the probability to measure from $|\Psi_0\rangle$ will remain 1.

Summarize the above yields the following protocol,

- 1. Bob create $|\psi_x\rangle$ and send it to Alice.
- 2. Alice simulate **algqsearch** either the algorithm accept or either n^4 turns were passed.
- 3. If the algorithm accept then Alice return True otherwise Alice return False.

The protocol compute the disjointness in single round while requiring transmission of less than $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ qubits. That in contrast to the known lower bound proved by Braverman [Bra+18]:

Theorem A The r-round quantum communication complexity of Disjointness_n is $\Omega\left(\frac{n}{r \log^8 r}\right)$.

Open question.

References

- [Bra+02] Gilles Brassard et al. Quantum amplitude amplification and estimation. 2002. DOI: 10. 1090/conm/305/05215. URL: https://doi.org/10.1090%2Fconm%2F305%2F05215.
- [Bra+18] Mark Braverman et al. "Near-Optimal Bounds on the Bounded-Round Quantum Communication Complexity of Disjointness". In: SIAM Journal on Computing 47.6 (2018), pp. 2277-2314. DOI: 10.1137/16M1061400. eprint: https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1061400. URL: https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1061400.