

Magic States Distillation Using Δ -Toric (good qLDPC?).

David Ponnarovsky

January 4, 2024

Let $|f\rangle$ be a codeword in C_X , and let X_g be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on X_g , and 0 otherwise. Observe that applying T^\otimes on $|f\rangle$ yields the state:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle &= T^{\otimes n} \left| \sum_g X_g g \right\rangle = \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g| - 2 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| - 8 \cdot i\pi/4 \cdot \text{integers} \right) |f\rangle \\ &= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g| - 2 \cdot \pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) |f\rangle \end{aligned}$$

1 Many to One.

Assume that f is supported on exactly one generator. Then we have that $T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = e^{i\pi|g|/4} |f\rangle$. Therefore, if $|g| = 4k + 1$ then we are done.

2 Using Quantum Error Correction Codes.

Now assume that the code C_X is the quantum Tanner code, denote by G, A, B the group and the two generator sets that are used for constructing the square complex.

Claim 2.1. *Consider g, h that are supported on the same $v \in V$. We will call such a pair a source-sharing pair. Suppose that for any we have that $|g \cdot h|$ is even. Then there is a Clifford gate that computes $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp \left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h \text{ source-sharing}} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| \right) |f\rangle$.*

3 Fail Attempt.

In addition, let us assume the existence of $d \in G$ such that d is non-identity and commutes with any element in $A \cup B$. Then, observe that multiplying by d preserves adjacency on the complex. Namely, if $\{u, v\} \in E$ then also $\{du, dv\} \in E$.

Consider $|f\rangle$ such that if X_g is not zero, and g is associated with a local codeword $c \in C_A \otimes C_B$ on vertex v , then the generator associated with the local codeword c on vertex $d \cdot v$ also supports f , denoted by g' . Thus, the exponent above becomes:



Figure 1: Quantum Circuit for distillation.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g\rangle - 2 \cdot \pi/4 \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h |g \cdot h\rangle + X_{g'} X_{h'} |g \cdot h\rangle \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l\rangle + X_{g'} X_{h'} X_{l'} |g \cdot h \cdot l\rangle \right) |f\rangle \\
&= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g\rangle - 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \pi/4 \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h |g \cdot h\rangle + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l\rangle \right) |f\rangle \\
&= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g\rangle - i\pi \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h |g \cdot h\rangle \right) |f\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Claim 3.1. The gate $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp \left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h |g \cdot h\rangle \right) |f\rangle$ is in the Clifford.

Proof. Just decode f and apply **CZ** between any pair of qubits corresponding to the generators g, h such that $g \cap h = 1$. Then encode the state again. Observes that **CZ** is a Clifford gate, and by the fact that the code is a CSS code then the decoder and the encoder are both in the Clifford. \square

Let's denote the circuit defined in Claim 3.1 by Λ . So we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^\dagger \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g\rangle - i\pi \sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_g X_h |g \cdot h\rangle \right) |f\rangle \\
= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g |g\rangle \right) |f\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Maybe what do we need is to arrange in some way $|g| + |g'| = 4k + 1$ and $\langle g, f \rangle = \langle g', f' \rangle$

Claim 3.2. For any m codewords $x_1 \dots x_m$ there is a set of coordinates I and $|I| < \alpha n$. Such that:

$$\sum_{j \in [n]/I} x_a^j x_b^j = 0$$

For any pair x_a, x_b .

Claim 3.3. For any m codewords $x_1 \dots x_m$ there is a set of coordinates I and $|I| < \alpha n$. Such that:

$$\sum_{a,b,j \in [n]/I} x_a^j x_b^j = 4k$$

For any pair x_a, x_b .

Claim 3.4. Let C be a code at rate $\rho(C) > 7/8$ has at least one codeword $x \in C$, such that $|x| =_8 1$.

Definition 3.1. We will say that a code C is (l, m) -genorthogonal if there exists a generator set G for C such that for any $I \subset G$ such that $1 < |I| < l$ we have that:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} \prod_{g_j \in I \subset G} g_j^i =_m 0$$

Claim 3.5. If there exists a single (l, m) -genorthogonal code for a finite length Δ , then there is a family of (l, m) -genorthogonal good codes. Moreover, if there exists a generator in C_0 of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$, then there exists a family that also has at least one generator of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$.

Proof. Denote by $C_0 = \Delta[1, \rho_0, \delta_0]$ an (l, m) -genorthogonal code and observes that for any $C = [n, \rho n, \delta n]$ the tensor code $C_0 \otimes C = [\Delta n, \rho_0 \rho \Delta n, \delta_0 \delta \Delta n]$ is also (l, m) -genorthogonal code.

For the second part of the claim, Choose C to be a good code with rate $> (2^m - 1)/2^m$ by Claim 3.4 there is at least one codeword c in C such that $|c| =_m 1$.

So pick the base for $C_0 \otimes C$ such the first generator is $g_0 \otimes c$ where g_0 denote a generator of C_0 satisfies $|g_0| =_m 1$. Then $|g_0 \otimes c| = |g_0| \cdot |c| =_m 1$. \square

Claim 3.6. Suppose that there exists $(m+1, m)$ -genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then there exists also a family of good $(m+1, m)$ -genorthogonal codes such that a linear portion of its generators g have weight $|g| =_m 1$.

Proof. Denote by C_0 a finite $(m+1, m)$ -genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$. Let C be a good $(m+1, m)$ -genorthogonal code with generator c such that $|c| =_m 1$, the existence of which is given by Claim 3.5. Denote its rate by ρ . If C has more than $\rho/m \cdot n$ generators at weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then we are done. Otherwise, by the pigeonhole principle, there is an i such that more than ρ/m portion of the generators are at weight $|\cdot| =_m i$. Denote them by $g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots, g_m$.

Define the set g'_1, g'_2, \dots, g'_m as

$$\begin{aligned} g'_t &= c + \sum_{j=t}^{t+m} g_j \\ \Rightarrow |g'_{t+1}| &= |c| + \sum_t |g_j| + \sum_{|I| < l+1} \left| \prod_{g \in I} \alpha_{\star} g \right| \\ &=_m c + m \cdot i =_m c =_m 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now take $C_0 \otimes C$, and set the new generator set to be $g_i^0 \otimes g'_j$. And it's easy to verify that we got the code we wanted. \square

Claim 3.7. There exists, a good LDPC code (classic) C such that C^\perp is also a good code and a generator set G , for exists $G' \subset G$ and $|G'| = \Theta(|G|)$ such:

1. For any pair $x \neq y \in G' \rightarrow x \cdot y =_8 0$
2. For any triple $x \neq y, z \in G' \rightarrow \sum_i x_i y_i z_i =_8 0$
3. For any $x \in G' \rightarrow |x| =_8 1$

Claim 3.8. There is $n \rightarrow \Theta(n)$ magic states distillation into a binary qldpc code with $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ distance, and therefore with asymptotic overhead approaching 1

Proof. For the encoding we are going to use the hyperproduct code defined in [TZ14]. Let C be the code given by Claim 3.7 and consider the hyperproduct of C with itself $Q = Q(C \times_H C)$. In addition, denote by C_X, C_Z the CSS representation of Q .

By the fact that C^\perp is also a good code, then Q is a positive rate, square root distance code. Let ρ be the rate of C and $1 - \rho$ be the rate of C^\perp . As $\rho > 0$, then one can find $I \subset [n]$ coordinates such that for any $i \in I$ the indicator $e_i \notin C^\perp$. Hence, it holds from [TZ14] that any vector of the form $e_i \otimes x$ is a codeword of C_X/C_Z^\perp .

Denote by ρ' the portion of G' as defined in Claim 3.7, and define S to be:

$$S = \{e_i \otimes x | e_i \notin C^\perp, x \in G'\}$$

Observe that $|S| = \rho' \rho n^2$ and in addition S satisfies the properties in Claim 3.7. Denote by f a codeword supported only on S and denote by X_s the indicator that indicates that s supports f . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle &= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g \overbrace{|g|}^{8k+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} \overbrace{X_g X_h |g \cdot h|}^{8k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} \overbrace{X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l|}^{8k} \right) |f\rangle \\ &= \exp \left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g \in S} X_g \right) |f\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can, generate the encoded ([\[COMMENT\]](#) For now without spanning on C_Z^\perp) product of $T^{\otimes |S|} |+\rangle^{|S|}$:

$$\prod_{s \in S} \left(|0\rangle + \exp(i\pi/4) |s\rangle \right)$$

[\[COMMENT\]](#) What is left:

1. Show that one can generate $\prod_{s \in S} \left(|C_Z^\perp\rangle + \exp(i\pi/4) |C_Z^\perp + s\rangle \right)$ without propagate the errors.
I think I know how to do it.
2. Compute a threshold p_0 for using Baravi construction.

□

References

- [TZ14] Jean-Pierre Tillich and Gilles Zemor. “Quantum LDPC Codes With Positive Rate and Minimum Distance Proportional to the Square Root of the Blocklength”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60.2 (Feb. 2014), pp. 1193–1202. DOI: [10.1109/tit.2013.2292061](https://doi.org/10.1109/tit.2013.2292061). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1109/2Ftit.2013.2292061>.