# $\sqrt{n}\mapsto \Theta(n)$ Magic States 'Distillation' Using Quantum LDPC Codes.

David Ponarovsky

August 16, 2024

## 1 Notations.

#### 2 Notations and Definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $C, \tilde{C}$  be linear binary codes, We will say that  $\tilde{C}$  is a Triorthogonal in respect to C if:

- 1.  $\tilde{C} \subset C$
- 2.  $|x \cdot y \cdot z|$  is even for  $x, y, z \in C$  such that at least one of x, y, z belongs to  $\tilde{C}$ .
- 3.  $|x \cdot y|$  is even for  $x, y \in C$  such that at least one of x, y belongs to  $\tilde{C}$ .

j++i

# 3 The Construction.

Let  $x_0$  be a codeword of  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$ , Denote by  $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  the binary string presents the Z-generator that anti commute with the X-generator corresponds to  $x_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_0, x_1, ... x_{k'}\} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be a subset of a base for the code  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$ . Such (span  $\mathcal{X}/x_0$ )  $|_w$  is Triorthogonal code. Let us denote by  $\mathcal{X}'$  the base  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_{k'}\} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  defined such:  $y_i = x_j + x_0$ .

Denote by E the circuit that encodes the logical ith bit to  $y_i$ , by  $T^{(w)}$  the application of T gates on the qubits for which both w and  $x_0$  act non trivial, means  $T^{(w\cap x_0)}$  is a tensor product of T's and identity where on the ith qubit  $T^{(w)}$  apply T if  $w_i$  and  $(x_0)_i$  are both 1 and identity otherwise. And finally by D denote the gate that decode binary strings in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  back into the logical space.

Let 
$$|\mathcal{X}'\rangle \propto \sum_{x \in \text{span } \mathcal{X}'} |x\rangle$$
.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\Delta$  be a constant integer,  $C_0$ ,  $\tilde{C}_0$  codes over  $\Delta$  bits such  $\tilde{C}_0$  is Triorthogonal and  $C_0^\perp$  contains  $\tilde{C}_0$ ,  $C_0$  has parameters  $\Delta[1,\delta_0,\rho_0]$ , and  $C_0^\top$  has relative distance greater than  $\delta_0$ . Let  $C_{Tanner}$  be a Tanner code, defined by taking an expander graph with good expansion and  $C_0$  as the small code. Let  $C_{initial}$  be the dual-tensor code obtained by taking  $(C_{Tanner}^\perp \otimes C_{Tanner}^\perp)^\perp$ . Notes that first this code has positive rate and  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$  distance, second this code is an LDPC code as well. Notice also that  $C_{initial}^\top$  obtained by transporting the parity check matrix, and therefore equals to  $(C_{Tanner}^{\top} \otimes C_{Tanner}^{\top})^\perp$ . Hence  $C_{initial}^\top$  has a square root distance as well.

Let Q the CSS code, obtained by taking the Hyperproduct of  $C_{initial}$  with itself. So Q is an quantum qLDPC code with parameters  $[n, \Theta(n^{\frac{1}{4}}), \Theta(n)]$ .

**Claim 4.1.** There exists family of non-trivial distance quantum LDPC codes Q such the codes span  $\mathcal{X}'$  chosen respect to them has a positive rate. Furthermore, the rate of span  $\mathcal{X}'$  is a asymptotically converges to Q rate:

$$|\rho(Q) - \rho(\operatorname{span} \mathcal{X}')| = o(1)$$

*Proof.* Pick  $x_0$  and  $w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , which correspond to the supports of anti commute X and Z generators, such that w can be obtains by setting a codeword of  $C_{\text{Tanner}}$  on the first  $n^{\frac{1}{4}}$  bits and padding by zeros the rest. Clearly,  $|w| = \Theta(n^{\frac{1}{4}})$ .

Now for defying span  $\mathcal{X}$ , we are going to consider the parity checks matrix obtained by adding restrictions to  $C_X$ 's restrictions as follows: Divide the first w bits into  $\Delta$ -size buckets, define by w(i) the ith coordinate on which w isn't trivial. For example if w(1)=j then j is the first nonzero coordinate of w, Denote by  $B_1, B_2, ..., B_{|w|/\Delta}$  the partion of w's bits:

$$\begin{split} B_1 &= \{w(1), w(2), ..., w(\Delta)\} \\ B_2 &= \{w(\Delta+1), w(\Delta+2), ..., w(2\Delta)\} \\ B_i &= \{w((i-1)\Delta+1), w((i-1)\Delta+2), ..., w(i\Delta)\} \end{split}$$

Then let span  $\mathcal X$  be all the codewords of  $C_X/C_Z^\perp$  satisfying  $\tilde C_0$  restrictions for each bucket, Let us name the union of  $\tilde C_0$  restrictions over the buckets by B. The dimension of the space satisfies both  $C_X$  restrictions and B is at least:

$$\rho(C_X) \cdot n - |B| \cdot (1 - \rho(\tilde{C}_0))\Delta \ge \rho(C_X) \cdot n - n^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

And by the fact that the dimension of  $C_Z^{\perp}$ 's codewords satisfying B is strictly lower then  $\dim C_Z^{\perp}$ , we get the following lower bound:

$$\dim \operatorname{span}\, \mathcal{X} \geq \rho(C_X) \cdot n - n^{\frac{1}{4}} + \rho(C_Z) \cdot n - n$$
 
$$\geq \rho(Q) - n^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

**Remark 4.1.** We emphasise that the above proof can be easily adapted to result the following for general CSS codes:

$$|\rho\left(Q\right) - \rho\left(\operatorname{span}\mathcal{X}'\right)| = d(Q)(1 - \rho(\tilde{C}_0))$$

For example lets consider the quantum Tanner code. Since the distance of the quantum Tanner codes is  $\sim n/\Delta$ , where  $\Delta^2$  is the degree of the square complex graph, (obtained by taking a codeword for which each local view of it is supported only on rows correspond to a specific single left generator), we get that for any  $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  one there is a good qLDPC such that the dimension of span  $\mathcal{X}'$  obtained respecting to it  $\geq (1-2\rho)^2 n - n/\Delta \cdot (1-\rho(\tilde{C}_0))$ .

**Claim 4.2.** There is a family of quantum circuits C consists of Clifford gates and at most  $o(\sqrt{n})$  number of T gates such that:

$$T^{(w)} \ket{\mathcal{X}' + C_Z^{\perp}} \propto E \, \mathcal{C} \, \left(TH\right)^{
ho\left(\operatorname{span} \mathcal{X}'
ight)n} \ket{0}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tau \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{X}' + C_Z^{\perp}$ , applying  $T^{(w)}$  on  $|\tau\rangle$  add a phase of  $i\frac{\pi}{4} |\tau|_w$ . Notice that  $\tau$  can decompose to the sum of  $x_0 + y + z$  when  $y \in \operatorname{span} \mathcal{X}$  and  $z \in C_Z^{\perp}$ , so

$$\begin{split} |\tau|_w &= |x_0 + y_z|_w \\ &= |x_0|_w + |y|_w + |z|_w - 2|x \cdot y|_w - 2|x \cdot z|_w - 2|z \cdot y|_w + 4|x_0 \cdot y \cdot z|_w \\ &= |x_0 \cdot w| + |y|_w + |z|_w - 2|y|_w - 2|z|_w - 2|z \cdot y|_w + 4|y \cdot z|_w \end{split}$$

Since we picked  $\tilde{C}_0 \in C_0^{\perp}$  then  $y \cdot z|_w = 0 \Rightarrow |y \cdot z|_w|$  is even.