Generate States.

David Ponarovsky

May 23, 2023

Problem 1. Given amplitudes $\{a_i\}_0^{2^n}$ Show that there is a Quantum cuircuit that generate $|\psi\rangle = \sum_i a_i |i\rangle$.

We will show a construction of the controlled gate.

1 Equivalence to Fusion-controlled gates problem.

1.1 Stage (1) - Fusion-controlled circuit.

For a circuit, U denotes by U^c , the controlled version of it. Define the fusion-controlled gate to be the circuit

$$(U \otimes V)^c : 0^{\Delta} \otimes 0^U \otimes 0^V \to \begin{cases} 00^{\Delta - 1} U 0^U 0^V & x_0 = 0 \\ 10^{\Delta - 1} 0^U V 0^V & else \end{cases}$$

We first show that given U^c, V^c one can implement at the same depth cost the circuit $(U \otimes V)^c$

1.2 stage (2) - Induction.

Assume that for any set of given 2^{n-1} amplitudes encoding a state ψ there is a $\log(n-1)$ circuit $U_{\psi}^{(n-1)}$ that generate ψ . Recall that any state in a n-dimisional space could be write as $\psi = \alpha_0 0 \psi_0 + \alpha_1 1 \psi_1$. By the assumption there are $U_{\psi_0}^{(n-1)}, U_{\psi_1}^{(n-1)}$ circuits each at depth at most $\log n$ generate ψ_0 and ψ_1 corespondly. We are going to construct a circuit that computes ψ by the following:

- 1. Prepare $2 \times S_{n-1} + 1$ anciles. And arrange them by $S_{n-1} \mid 0 \mid S_{n-1}$.
- 2. Rotate the middle qubit as follow: $0 \mapsto \alpha_0 0 + \alpha_1 1$.
- 3. Apply $\left(U_{\psi_0}^{(n-1)} \otimes U_{\psi_1}^{(n-1)}\right)^c$ to have

$$\alpha_0 00^{\Delta-1} U_{\psi_0}^{(n-1)} 0^{(n-1)} 0^{(n-1)} + \alpha_1 10^{(\Delta-1)} 0^{(n-1)} U_{\psi_1}^{(n-1)} 0^{(n-1)}$$

4. Now apply control swap, use the Δ th qubit as the control wire and swap between S_0, S_1 . That yields the state:

$$0^{\Delta-1} \left(\alpha_0 0 U_{\psi_0}^{(n-1)} 0^{(n-1)} + \alpha_1 1 U_{\psi_1}^{(n-1)} 0^{(n-1)} \right) 0^{(n-1)}$$

5. By induction, the above state expanse to $\psi \otimes 0^*$.

So if we denote by d(n), S(n) the depth and the space needed to compute a general state correspond to a given amplitude, It follows by the recursion that:

$$S(n) = T_S[S(n-1)]$$

$$d(n) = T_d[d(n-1)] + \underbrace{1}_{rotation} + \underbrace{n-1}_{swap} = T_d[d(n-1)] + n$$

2 First Soultion $\times 4$ Space.

- 1. Prapere +2 qubits.
- 2. Apply CX from the first qubit to the second.
- 3. Apply U^c negative-controlled by the first qubit over the first S_u qubits, and in parallel apply V^c controlled by the second qubit over the S_v quibtis.
- 4. Apply CX from the first qubit to the second. (reverse step 2).

Clearly $T_S[S(n)] = 2 \cdot S(n) + 2$ and $T_d[d(n)] = 1 + d(n) + 1$ And that sumup to:

$$S(n) = T_S[S(n-1)] = 2T_S[S(n-2)] + 2$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} \dots + 2 \cdot 2^2 + 2 \cdot 2 + 2$$

$$= 2 \cdot 2^n$$

$$d(n) = T_d[d(n-1)] + \underbrace{1}_{rotation} + \underbrace{n-1}_{rotation} = T_d[d(n-1)] + n$$

3 Second Solution $\times 2$ Space.

3.1 Stage (1) - Fusion-controlled circuit.

For a circuit, U denotes by U^c , the controlled version of it. We first show that given U^c , V^c one can implement at the same depth cost the circuit $(U \otimes V)^c$. It's well known that U^c could be obtained by U by adding single qubits gates on U wires and connecting Cnot gates from the control wire to U wires. Notice that for running $(V \otimes U)^c$ it's sufficient to handle the Conts as each of the single qubits gates operate independently in parallel. Consider the following recipe:

On the *i*th iteration of the circuits,

- 1. If there is no conflict between U^c and V^c , meaning that either only one of them uses the control wire at that step or that neither of them, then $(U \otimes V)_t^c \leftarrow U_t^c \otimes V_t^c$
- 2. Else, at the i step the controlled wire flow for both of them, So denote by x_c, x_v, x_u the tree bits such at time t

4 Third Solution T.C.S Approach.