Magic States Distillation Using Quantum Expander Codes.

David Ponarovsky

March 4, 2024

1 Good Codes With Large Λ .

Definition 1.1. Let $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$ upper triangular matrix such that k < n. We say that M has the 1-stairs property if $M_{ij} = 1$ any j < i.

Claim 1.1. Any $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$ upper triangular matrix can be turn into upper triangular matrix that has the 1-stairs property by elementary operation.

[]	L	1	1	1	1			
()	1	1	1	1			
()	0	1	1	1			
()	0	0	1	1			
()	0	1 1 1 0 0	0	1			
_								

Proof. Consider the following algorithm: Let M be our initial matrix. We iterate over the rows from left to right. In the ith iteration, we check for any row j < i if $M_{ji} = 1$. If not, we set M to be the matrix obtained by adding the ith row to the jth row. Since M is an upper triangular matrix, adding the ith row does not change any entry M_{js} for s < i. Therefore, the obtained matrix is still an upper triangular matrix and the entries at M_{js} for j, s < i remain the same, namely 1 if and only if $j \le s$.

Continuing with the process eventually yields, after k iterations, a matrix with the 1-stair property. \Box

Claim 1.2. Let Λ be a set of k' independent codewords in a [n,k,d] code. Then there exists a code $C'=[\leq 2n, \geq k-k'/2,d]$ and a set of independent codewords Λ' in it, such that $|\Lambda'|>\frac{1}{2}|\Lambda|$ and for every pair $x,y\in\Lambda'$, we have $x\cdot y=0$.

Proof. First, consider the upper triangular matrix obtained by applying Gaussian elimination on Λ that has the 1-stair property. Now, consider the following process: go uphill, from right to left, iterating over the matrix. Let j=k be the first non-zero coordinate in the bottom row of the matrix. In the ith iteration, we ask how many rows u_m , such that m < j, satisfy $u_m u_j = 0$.

- If more than half of such u_m satisfy the equality, then we move on to the next iteration.
- Otherwise, we encode the jth coordinate by C_0 , which maps $1 \to w$ such that $w \cdot w = 0$. This flips the value of $u_m u_j$ for any pair, so we get that the majority of pairs satisfy the equality.

Notice that because we iterate on the upper triangular matrix, we don't change the value of $u_m u_{j'}$ for any j' > j (since its jth coordinate was 0 before the encoding, the encoded bit will also be 0, thus not affecting the multiplication).

Denote the set of the obtained vectors by Γ . Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be the group of vectors for which there exists at least one vector in Γ whose multiplication with them is not zero. Note that the total number of pairs with zero multiplication is greater than:

$$\frac{k'-1}{2} + \frac{k'-2}{2} + \ldots + \frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k'-1)(k'-2)}{2}$$

So

$$|S| \cdot (k'-1) \le {k' \choose 2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k'-1)(k'-2)}{2} < \frac{k'(k'-1)}{2} \Rightarrow |S| < \frac{k'}{2}$$

Claim 1.3. We can repeat Claim 1.2 by considering triple multiplications instead of pair multiplications. Let C_2 and C_3 be the codes obtained from this process. We can then guarantee the existence of $\Lambda_2 \in C_2$ and $\Lambda_3 \in C_3$ such that for any $x,y \in \Lambda_2$, xy = 0, and for any triple $x,y,z \in \Lambda_3$, xyz = 0. The code $C_2 \otimes C_3$ has a group of codewords Λ_{23} such that for any $x,y,z \in \Lambda_{23}$, xy = 0 and xyz = 0.

Claim 1.4. Suppose that a set of vectors $\Lambda \subset C$ satisfies the relation xy=0 and xyz=0 for any $x,y,z\in \Lambda$. Then, there exists a code C' with a code length roughly equal to C and a subset $\Lambda' \subset C'$ such that for any distinct $x,y,z\in \Lambda'$, xy=0, xyz=0, and xx=4 1.

Proof. We return to the process in Claim 1.2, but taking the standard upper triangular form of Λ instead the 1-stairs form. Notice that the rows are linear combinations of the original vectors in Λ and therefore also preserve the original relations. So now, for any j < k, we have that encoding the M_{jj} bit only affects the multiplication of $u_j u_j$. Thus, we will encode the jth coordinate such that the multiplication of a row by itself is 1 residue 4.

Claim 1.5. We can repeat Claim 1.2 by flipping the bit, ensuring that the majority of pairs and triple multiplications are zero. In the end, we will have the following inequality:

$$|S| \cdot (k+k^2) \le \frac{1}{2} (k^2 + k^3)$$

And still we will get that $|S| \le k/2$