Magic States Distillation Using Quantum Expander Codes.

David Ponarovsky

February 14, 2024

Definition 0.1. *Let $\{h_i\}_1^t$ be the checks of Δ-length code C_0. We say that ith bit and the jth bit collide if there a check h such that $h_i = h_j = 1$. We say that a C_0 is a checks-hashed if:*

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{i,j\sim[\Delta]^2}\left[i,j \ collide \ \right] < \frac{1}{2\Delta}$$

Claim 0.1. *Suppose that $C_0^{\perp}$ is a checks-hashed . Then $\left(C_0^{\otimes m}\right)^{\perp}$ is also a checks-hashed .*

Proof.

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{u,v\sim[n]^2}\left[X_{u,v}^{(m)}\right] \leq \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v\sim[\Delta]^2}\left[X_{u,v}^{(1)}\right]\cdot\mathbf{Pr}_{u,v\sim[n/\Delta]^2}\left[X_{u,v}^{(m-1)}\right]$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2\Delta}\cdot\left(\frac{1}{2\Delta}\right)^{m-1} = \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta}\right)^m$$

$\square$

Consider the following decoder, we flip a bit if flipping it decrease the syndrome. Now observers that if a non faulty bit i has been flip then it means that there is at least one faulty bit j in the error e that i,j collide. Similarly if a faulty bit i hasn't been flip then it means that there is another faulty bit j that collide with him. In overall we conclude that the total number of incorrect flips made by the decoder is at most the number of collisions.

Claim 0.2. *Let H be a $|V|\times r$ binary parity check matrix of $\tilde{C}$. Also, let G be a Δ-regular graph. A bit assignment over G edges x will be said to be $\tilde{C}$-vertices-respect if the vector $z(x)\in\mathbb{F}_2^{|V|}$ which is defined as:*

$$z(x)_v = \begin{cases} 1 & v \ sees \ at \ least \ one \ 1 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

is a codeword of $\tilde{C}$. Let Λ be the set of all $\tilde{C}$-vertices-respect assignments. Then $|\Lambda| > (1-\varepsilon)2^{\rho|V|}$.

Proof. Any $x\in\Lambda$ is a solution for the following system of equations:

$$z_v = 1 + \prod_{e\in v}(1-x_e)$$
$$Hz = 0$$

$\square$

Claim 0.3. *Assume that C_0 is a Δ-length code such that for any two non-trival codewords $c,c'\in C_0$ we have that $c\cdot c' = 1$, and denote by $C = \mathcal{T}(G,C_0)$. And let Λ be a the set of all $\tilde{C}$-vertices-respect assignments where $\tilde{C}$ satisfies relation R. Then also $C\cap\Lambda$ satisfies R.*

Let $|f\rangle$ be a codeword in C_X, and let X_g be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on X_g, and 0 otherwise. Observes that applying $T^{\otimes}$ on $|f\rangle$ yields the state:

$$T^{\otimes n}|f\rangle = T^{\otimes n}|\sum_g X_g g\rangle = \exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_g X_g|g| - 2\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h|g\cdot h|\right.$$
$$\left. + 4\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l|g\cdot h\cdot l| - 8\cdot i\pi/4\cdot \text{ integers }\right)|f\rangle$$
$$= \exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_g X_g|g| - 2\cdot\pi/4\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h|g\cdot h| + 4\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l|g\cdot h\cdot l|\right)|f\rangle$$

1 Many to One.

Assume that f is supported on exactly one generator. Then we have that $T^{\otimes n}|f\rangle = e^{i\pi|g|/4}|f\rangle$ Therefore, if $|g| = 4k+1$ then we are done.

2 Using Quntum Error Correction Codes.

Now assume that the code C_X is the quantum Tanner code, denote by G, A, B the group and the two generator sets that are used for constructing the square complex.

Claim 2.1. *Consider g, h that are supported on the same $v \in V$. We will call such a pair a source-sharing pair. Suppose that for any we have that $|g\cdot h|$ is even. Then there is a Clifford gate that computes $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi\sum_{g,h \text{ source-sharing}} X_g X_h|g\cdot h|\right)|f\rangle$.*

Claim 2.2. *Let C_A and $C_{A'}$ such that $C_{A'} \subset C_A$. Then $\left(C_A^\perp \otimes C_B^\perp\right)^\perp$, $C_{A'} \otimes C_{B'}$ form a **CSS** code C such there exists a subspace $V \subset C$ with effective distance d.*

Proof. Idea. consider generators of the form $e_0 \otimes g$. Any codeword in their span is just a first row asssitmentd to a code word of C_A. If we assume less than linear number on that row then we will secucess to decode it, + some other generators that we don't care about. $\square$

$$C_X = \left((C_A \otimes C_0)^\perp \otimes C_0^\perp\right)^\perp$$
$$C_Z = ((C_A \otimes C_0) \otimes C_0)^\perp$$

Claim 2.3. *Let C be a code at rate $\rho(C) > 7/8$ has at least one codeword $x \in C$, such that $|x| =_8 1$.*

Definition 2.1. *We will say that a code C is (l, m)-genorthogonal if there exists a generator set G for C such that for any $I \subset G$ such that $1 < |I| < l$ we have that:*

$$\sum_{i\in[n]}\prod_{g_j\in I\subset G} g_j^i =_m 0$$

Claim 2.4. *If there exists a single (l, m)-genorthogonal code for a finite length Δ, then there is a family of (l, m)-genorthogonal good codes. Moreover, if there exists a generator in C_0 of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$, then there exists a family that also has at least one generator of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$.*

Proof. Denote by $C_0 = \Delta[1, \rho_0, \delta_0]$ an (l, m)-genorthogonal code and observes that for any $C = [n, \rho n, \delta n]$ the tensor code $C_0 \otimes C = [\Delta n, \rho_0\rho\Delta n, \delta_0\delta\Delta n]$ is also (l, m)-genorthogonal code.

For the seconed part of the claim, Choose C to be a good code with rate $> (2^m - 1)/2^m$ by Claim 2.3 there is at least on codeword c in C such that $|c| =_m 1$.

So pick the base for $C_0 \otimes C$ such the first generator is $g_0 \otimes c$ where g_0 denote a generator of C_0 satisfies $|g_0| =_m 1$. Then $|g_0 \otimes c| = |g_0| \cdot |c| =_m 1$. $\square$

Claim 2.5. *Suppose that there exists $(m+1, m)$-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then there exists also a family of good $(m+1, m)$-genorthogonal codes such that a liner portion of his generators g have weight $|g| =_m 1$.*

Proof. Denote by C_0 a finte $(m+1, m)$-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$. Let C be a good $(m+1, m)$-genorthogonal code with generator c such that $|c| =_m 1$, the existence of which is given by Claim 2.4. Denote its rate by ρ. If C has more than $\rho/m \cdot n$ generators at weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then we are done. Otherwise, by the pigeonhole principle, there is an i such that more than ρ/m portion of the generators are at weight $|\cdot| =_m i$. Denote them by $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_m$.

Define the set $g_1', g_2'..g_m'$ as

$$g_t' = c + \sum_{j=t}^{t+m} g_j$$

$$\Rightarrow |g_{t+1}'| = |c| + \sum_t |g_j| + \sum_{|I|<l+1} \left| \prod_{g \in I} \alpha_\star g \right|$$

$$=_m c + m \cdot i =_m c =_m 1$$

Now take $C_0 \otimes C$, and set the new generator set to be $g_i^0 \otimes g_j'$. And it's easy to verify that we got the code we wanted. □

Claim 2.6. *There exists, a good LDPC code (classic) C such that $C^\perp$ is also a good code and a generator set G, for exists $G' \subset G$ and $|G'| = \Theta(|G|)$ such:*

1. *For any pair $x \neq y \in G' \rightarrow x \cdot y =_8 0$*

2. *For any triple $x \neq y, z \in G' \rightarrow \sum_i x_i y_i z_i =_8 0$*

3. *For any $x \in G' \rightarrow |x| =_8 1$*

Claim 2.7. *There is $n \rightarrow \Theta(n)$ magic states distillation into a binary qldpc code with $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ distance, and therefore with asymptotic overhead approaching 1*

Proof. For the encoding we are going to use the hyperproduct code defined in [TZ14]. Let C be the code given by Claim 2.6 and consider the hyperproduct of C with itself $Q = Q(C \times_H C)$. In addition, denote by C_X, C_Z the CSS representation of Q.

By the fact that $C^\perp$ is also a good code, then Q is a positive rate, square root distance code. Let ρ be the rate of C and $1 - \rho$ be the rate of $C^\perp$. As $\rho > 0$, then one can find $I \subset [n]$ coordinates such that for any $i \in I$ the indicator $e_i \notin C^\perp$. Hence, it holds from [TZ14] that any vector of the form $e_i \otimes x$ is a codeword of $C_X/C_Z^\perp$.

Denote by ρ' the portion of G' as defined in Claim 2.6, and define S to be:

$$S = \left\{ e_i \otimes x | e_i \notin C^\perp, x \in G' \right\}$$

Observes that $|S| = \rho'\rho n^2$ and in addition S satisfies the properties in Claim 2.6. Denote by f a codeword supported only on S and denote by X_s the indecator that indicate that s supports f.

Thus:

$$T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = \exp\Big(i\pi/4 \sum_g X_g \overbrace{|g|}^{8k+1}$$

$$- 2 \cdot i\pi/4 \overbrace{\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h|}^{8k}$$

$$+ 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \overbrace{\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l|}^{8k}\Big) |f\rangle$$

$$= \exp\Big(i\pi/4 \sum_{g \in S} X_g\Big) |f\rangle$$

Therefore we can, generate the enocded ([**COMMENT**] For now without spanning on on $C_Z^\perp$) product of $T^{\otimes |S|} |+\rangle^{|S|}$:

$$\prod_{s \in S} \Big(|0\rangle + \exp\left(i\pi/4\right) |s\rangle \Big)$$

[**COMMENT**] What is left:

1. Show that one can generate $\prod_{s \in S} \Big(|C_Z^\perp\rangle + \exp\left(i\pi/4\right) |C_Z^\perp + s\rangle \Big)$ without propagate the errors. I think I know how to do it.

2. Compute a threshold p_0 for using Baravi construction.

Thus we have that $\gamma = \log(n/k)/\log(d) = \log(n/|S|)/\log(\Theta(\sqrt{n})) \to 0$ and the overhead growes as $\log^\gamma(n) \to 1$ [BH12], [MEK12]. $\qquad\qquad\square$

References

[BH12]   Sergey Bravyi and Jeongwan Haah. "Magic-state distillation with low overhead". In: *Physical Review A* 86.5 (2012), p. 052329.

[MEK12]   Adam M. Meier, Bryan Eastin, and Emanuel Knill. *Magic-state distillation with the four-qubit code*. 2012. arXiv: 1204.4221 [quant-ph].

[TZ14]   Jean-Pierre Tillich and Gilles Zemor. "Quantum LDPC Codes With Positive Rate and Minimum Distance Proportional to the Square Root of the Blocklength". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60.2 (Feb. 2014), pp. 1193–1202. DOI: 10.1109/tit.2013.2292061. URL: https://doi.org/10.1109%2Ftit.2013.2292061.