## Magic States Distillation Using $\Delta$ -Toric (good qLDPC?).

David Ponarovsky

January 4, 2024

Let  $|f\rangle$  be a codeword in  $C_X$ , and let  $X_g$  be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on  $X_g$ , and 0 otherwise. Observes that applying  $T^{\otimes}$  on  $|f\rangle$  yilds the state:

$$\begin{split} T^{\otimes n} \left| f \right\rangle &= T^{\otimes n} \left| \sum_{g} X_g g \right\rangle = \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &+ 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| - 8 \cdot i \pi / 4 \cdot \text{ integers } \right) \left| f \right\rangle \\ &= \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) \left| f \right\rangle \end{split}$$

## 1 Many to One.

Assume that f is supported on exactly one generator. Then we have that  $T^{\otimes n}|f\rangle = e^{i\pi|g|/4}|f\rangle$ . Therefore, if |g| = 4k + 1 then we are done.

## 2 Using Quntum Error Correction Codes.

Now assume that the code  $C_X$  is the quantum Tanner code, denote by G, A, B the group and the two generator sets that are used for constructing the square complex.

Claim 2.1. Consider g,h that are supported on the same  $v \in V$ . We will call such a pair a source-sharing pair. Suppose that for any we have that  $|g \cdot h|$  is even. Then there is a Clifford gate that computes  $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h \text{ source-sharing }} X_g X_h |g \cdot h|\right) |f\rangle$ .

## 3 Fail Attempt.

In addition, let us assume the existence of  $d \in G$  such that d is non-identity and commutes with any element in  $A \cup B$ . Then, observe that multiplying by d preserves adjacency on the complex. Namely, if  $\{u,v\} \in E$  then also  $\{du,dv\} \in E$ .

Consider  $|f\rangle$  such that if  $X_g$  is not zero, and g is associated with a local codeword  $c \in C_A \otimes C_B$  on vertex v, then the generator associated with the local codeword c on vertex  $d \cdot v$  also supports f, denoted by g'. Thus, the exponent above becomes:



Figure 1: Quantum Circuit for distillation.

$$\begin{split} &=\exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g}X_{g}|g|-2\cdot\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}|g\cdot h|+X_{g'}X_{h'}|g\cdot h|\\ &+4\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}X_{l}|g\cdot h\cdot l|+X_{g'}X_{h'}X_{l'}|g\cdot h\cdot l|\right)|f\rangle\\ &=\exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g}X_{g}|g|-2\cdot2\cdot\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}|g\cdot h|+2\cdot4\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}X_{l}|g\cdot h\cdot l|\right)|f\rangle\\ &=\exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g}X_{g}|g|-i\pi\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}|g\cdot h|\right)|f\rangle \end{split}$$

Claim 3.1. The gate 
$$|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h\in G/a} X_g X_h |g\cdot h|\right) |f\rangle$$
 is in the Clifford.

*Proof.* Just decode f and apply  $\mathbf{CZ}$  between any pair of qubits corresponding to the generators g,h such that  $g \cap h = 1$ . Then encode the state again. Observes that  $\mathbf{CZ}$  is a Clifford gate, and by the fact that the code is a CSS code then the decoder and the encoder are both in the Clifford.

Let's denote the circuit defined in Claim 3.1 by  $\Lambda$ . So we have that:

$$\Lambda^{\dagger} \exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g} X_{g}|g| - i\pi\sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_{g}X_{h}|g \cdot h|\right)|f\rangle$$

$$= \exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g} X_{g}|g|\right)|f\rangle$$

Maybe what do we need is to arrange in some way |g|+|g'|=4k+1 and  $\langle g,f\rangle=\langle g',f'\rangle$ 

**Claim 3.2.** For any m codewords  $x_1...x_m$  there is a set of coordinates I and  $|I| < \alpha n$ . Such that:

$$\sum_{j \in [n]/I} x_a^j x_b^j = 0$$

For any pair  $x_a, x_b$ .

Claim 3.3. For any m codewords  $x_1...x_m$  there is a set of coordinates I and  $|I| < \alpha n$ . Such that:

$$\sum_{a,b,j\in[n]/I} x_a^j x_b^j = 4k$$

For any pair  $x_a, x_b$ .

**Claim 3.4.** Let C be a code at rate  $\rho(C) > 7/8$  has at least one codeword  $x \in C$ , such that |x| = 8.

**Definition 3.1.** We will say that a code C is m-genorthogonal if there exists a generator set G for C such that for any  $I \subset G$  such that 1 < |I| < m we have that:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} \prod_{g_i \in G} g_j^i =_k 0$$

**Claim 3.5.** If there exists a single m-genorthogonal code for a finite length  $\Delta$ , then there is a family of m-genorthogonal codes.

*Proof.* Denote by  $C_0 = \Delta[1, \rho_0, \delta_0]$  an m-genorthogonal code and observes that for any  $C = [n, \rho_0, \delta_0]$  the tensor code  $C_{0 \otimes} C = [\Delta n, \rho_0 \rho \Delta n, \delta_0 \delta \Delta n]$  is also m-genorthogonal code.

Claim 3.6. Let C be a 9-genorthogonal code at rate  $\rho(C) > 7/8$  then there are at least  $\rho/8$  generators  $g \in G$  at weight  $|g| =_8 1$ .

*Proof.* If C has more than  $\rho/8n$  generators at weight  $|\cdot|_8 = 1$  then we done. Otherwise by pigeonhole principle we have a i such that more than  $\rho/8$  portion of the generators are at weight  $|\cdot|_8 = i$ . Denote them by  $g_1, g_2, g_3...g_m$ . On the otherhand by Claim 3.4 there is in C at least one codewored c such that  $|c|_8 = 1$ . Define the set  $g'_1, g'_2...g'_m$  as

$$\begin{split} g_t' &= c + \sum_{j=t}^{t+8} g_j \\ &\Rightarrow |g_{t+1}'| = |c| + \sum_t |g_j| + \sum_{|I| < 9} |\prod_{g \in I} \alpha_{|I|} g| = \\ &=_8 c + 8 \cdot i =_8 c =_8 1 \end{split}$$

**Claim 3.7.** There exists, a good LDPC code (classic) C such that  $C^{\perp}$  is also a good code and a generator set G:

- 1. For any pair  $x \neq y \in G \rightarrow x \cdot y = 0$
- 2. For any triple  $x \neq y, z \in G \rightarrow \sum_i x_i y_i z_i = 0$
- 3. There exists  $\rho' > 0$  such that one can choose a generator set G satisfying that at least  $\rho'$  portion of its generators g have weight |g| = 8k + 1.

Claim 3.8. Let  $C_0$  be a Triorthogonal code of constant length  $\Delta$ . Let  $C_1 = [n, \rho n, \delta n]$  be a good LDPC code with rate > 7/8 such that  $C^{\perp}$  is also a good code. Denote by C the hyperproduct code obtained by multiplying the tensor code defined by them. Namely:

$$C = (C_1 \otimes C_0) \times_H (C_1 \otimes C_0)$$

Then there is an efficient circuit for  $2\Delta n \to (\rho_0 \rho/8)\Delta n$  magic states distillation with asymptotic overhead approaching 1