

# Quantum LTC With Positive Rate

David Ponnarovsky

September 5, 2022

**preamble.** preamble.

**The Construction.** Fix primes  $q, p_1, p_2, p_3$  such that each of them has 1 residue mode 4. Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be a different generators sets of  $\mathbf{PGL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$  obtained by taking the solutions for  $a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = p_i$  such that each pair  $A_i, A_j$  satisfy the TNC constraint. Then consider the graphs: ( $G$  is the  $\mathbf{PGL} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  group).

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 &= \text{Cay}_2(G, A_1) \times_G \text{Cay}_2(G, A_2) \\ \Gamma_2 &= \text{Cay}_2(G, A_1) \times_G \text{Cay}_2(G, A_3) \\ \Gamma_{\square_1} &= (G, \{(g, agb) : a \in A_1, b \in A_2\}) \\ \Gamma_{\square_2} &= (G, \{(g, agc) : a \in A_1, c \in A_3\}) \\ \Gamma_{\square\square} &= (G, \{(g, gb, agc), (g, gc, agb) : a \in A_1, b \in A_2, c \in A_3\})\end{aligned}$$

Then define the codes:

$$\begin{aligned}C_z^\perp &= \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_{\square_1}, C_{A_1}^\perp \otimes C_{A_2}^\perp) \\ &\quad | \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_{\square_2}, C_{A_1}^\perp \otimes C_{A_3}^\perp) \\ C_x &= \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_{\square_1}, (C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2})^\perp) \\ &\quad | \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_{\square_2}, (C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3})^\perp) \\ C_w &= \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_{\square\square}, (C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3})^\perp)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the faces of  $\Gamma_{\square_1}, \Gamma_{\square_2}$  are disjointed and here the symbol  $|$  means just joint them together. The main focus here is to prove local test-ability for computation base (i.e  $C_x$ ) and for completeness one also must to define the code

$$C_{w_z} = \mathcal{T}(\Gamma_{\square\square}, (C_{A_1}^\perp \otimes C_{A_2}^\perp \otimes C_{A_3}^\perp)^\perp)$$

**What We Currently Have.** Given a candidate for a codeword  $c$  we could check efficiently if  $c \in C_z^\perp$ . Additionally summing up the local correction of each vertex in  $C_x$  yields a codeword in  $C_w$ . Now we would want to show something similar to property 1 in Levrier and Zemor which imply that any codeword of  $C_w$  with weigh beneath a linear threshold  $\eta n$  must to be also in  $C_x$ . (And therefore we can reject candidates with high weight).

Assume that we have succeed to do so, Then the testing protocol will be looked as follow, first we check that the candidate is not in  $C_z^\perp$  and then we check that is indeed in  $C_x$ . And repeat again in the phase base. Then

there are constants  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{accept} &\sim \kappa_1 \cdot d(c, C_z^\perp) \\ &\quad + [1 - \kappa_1 \cdot d(c, C_z^\perp)] \kappa_2 d(c, C_x) \\ \text{reject} &\sim [1 - \kappa_1 \cdot d(c, C_z^\perp)] \\ &\quad + \kappa_1 \cdot d(c, C_z^\perp) \cdot [1 - \kappa_2 d(c, C_x)]\end{aligned}$$

**Disclaimer.** The use of the  $\sim$  was made by purpose. The above should be formalize by inequalities. (And this also make another problem as the term  $1 - \kappa_1 \cdot d()$  is in the opposite direction).

**The Hard Part.** It seems (at least for now) that the hard part is to find an analog for Lemma 1 in Levrier-Zemor, Which can formalize as follow: Consider a codeword  $c \in C_w$  such that  $|c| \leq \eta n$  then we could always find a vertex in  $\Gamma_{\square_1}$  and a local codeword  $\xi \in C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2}$  on his support such that  $|c + \xi| < |c|$ .

**Tasks.**

1. Prove that  $\Gamma_{\square\square}$  is indeed an expander. Should be (relative) easy.
2. Prove a Lemma 1 analogy. And while do so, understand what are the properties we should require from the small code. (i.e w-robustness and p-resistance for puncturing).
3. Show that we could actually choose such  $\{A\}_i$  and the matched small codes.
4. Understand what it mean quantomlly test if a  $c \in C_w/C_x$ . Namely, is weight counting can be consider as  $X$ -check which commute with the other  $Z$ -checks?
5. Write a program which plot small complex in a small scale for getting more intuition.

**All The Vertices Are Normal** Define a normal vertex in  $V_1$  to be a vertex such his local view (a codeword in a dual tensor code). supported on less then  $w = \Delta^{\frac{3}{2}}$  faces. Consider the code  $C_w$  defined above, and assume in addition that the distance and the rate of the small codes  $C_{A_j}$ ,  $\delta \Delta$  satisfy the equation  $(\Delta r)^4 (1 - \delta) < \frac{1}{2} \delta^3$  and also the code  $C_{A_1}$  contains the word  $1^\Delta$ .

Then for any  $x \in C_w$  such that all the vertices in the induced graphs  $\Gamma_{\square_1}, \Gamma_{\square_2}$  by it are normal. Then

there exists a vertex  $g \in V_0$  and a local codeword  $c \in C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3}$  supported entirely on the neighborhood of  $g$  such that:  $|x + c| \leq |x|$ .

**Proof.** Let  $g$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $V_0$  we know by Leveir and Zemor that the local views of  $g$  in  $\Gamma_{\square_1}, \Gamma_{\square_2}$  are  $\Delta^{3/2}$  close to  $C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2}$  and  $C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3}$  by the  $w$ -robustness property.

So we can represent the local views on  $g$  as the following disjointed vectors, each lays on  $\Gamma_{\square_1}, \Gamma_{\square_2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} y &= y_1 y_2^\top + \xi_y \\ z &= z_1 z_2^\top + \xi_z \end{aligned}$$

such that  $y_1 y_2^\top \in C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2}$ ,  $z_1 z_2^\top \in C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3}$  and the  $\xi_y, \xi_z$  are the corresponded errors of the local views from the tensor codes.

Let  $\{y_1^j y_2^i\}^\top, \{z_1^j z_2^i\}^\top$  be the bases for  $C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2}$  and  $C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3}$  such that  $y_1^j, z_1^j \in C_{A_1}$  and  $y_2^i \in C_{A_2}, z_2^i \in C_{A_3}$ . And denote by  $\alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}_2$  the coefficients of  $y_1 y_2^\top$  and  $z_1 z_2^\top$ .

By the fact that  $1^\Delta \in C_{A_1}$  we have that for any  $i, j$  the vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_1^j y_2^i{}^\top &= 1^\Delta y_2^i{}^\top \\ &+ y_1^j y_2^i{}^\top = (1^\Delta + y_1^j) y_2^i{}^\top \\ &\in C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \end{aligned}$$

And by the same calculation we get also that  $\bar{z}_1^j z_2^i{}^\top \in C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3}$ .

**Claim.** Assume that  $y_1 y_2^\top$  and  $z_1 z_2^\top$  are in the bases defined above. Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{F}_2^{A \times B \times C}$  such that  $\tau_{abc} = (y_1 y_2^\top)_{ab} (z_1 z_2^\top)_{ac}$  then:

$$d(\tau, C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3}) \leq (1 - \delta) \Delta^3$$

**Proof.** First notice that  $y_{1a} y_{2b} z_{2c}$  is a valid codeword of  $C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3}$ . That because that the projection obtained by fixing any two coordinates yields either a zero or a codeword of one the codes.

Therefore we could consider the following codeword  $\tilde{\tau}_{abc} = (y_{1a} + \bar{z}_{1a}) y_{2b} y_{2c}$  and bounding the distance of  $\tau$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d(\tau, C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3}) &\leq d(\tau, \tilde{\tau}) \\ &= \sum_{abc} (y_{1a} + \bar{z}_{1a}) y_{2b} y_{2c} \oplus (y_{1a} z_{1a}) y_{2b} y_{2c} \\ &= \sum_{abc} (y_{1a} + \bar{z}_{1a} \oplus y_{1a} z_{1a}) y_{2b} y_{2c} \\ &\leq |\{y_{1a} = 0 \text{ and } z_{1a} = 0\}| \cdot \Delta^2 \leq (1 - \delta) \Delta^3 \end{aligned}$$

**Claim.** Let  $y_1 y_2^\top, z_1 z_2^\top$  be codewords in  $C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2}, C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3}$ . And let  $w$  be the vector define by  $w_{abc} = (y_1 y_2^\top)_{ab} (z_1 z_2^\top)_{ac}$ . Then

$$d(w, C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3}) \leq (r\Delta)^4 (1 - \delta) \Delta^3 + \Theta(\Delta^{2\frac{1}{2}})$$

Consider again the representation of the local view  $w$  on the vertex  $g$ .

$$\begin{aligned} w_{abc} &= y_{ab} z_{ac} = (y_1 y_2^\top + \xi_y)_{ab} (z_1 z_2^\top + \xi_z)_{ac} \\ (y_1 y_2^\top)_{ab} (z_1 z_2^\top)_{ac} &= \left( \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} y_1^i y_2^j{}^\top \right)_{ab} \left( \sum_{ij} \beta_{ij} z_1^i z_2^j{}^\top \right)_{ac} \\ &= \sum_{ijkl} \alpha_{ij} \beta_{lk} y_{1a}^i y_{2b}^j{}^\top z_{1a}^l z_{2c}^k{}^\top \\ &\Rightarrow d \left( \sum_{abc} (y_1 y_2^\top)_{ab} (z_1 z_2^\top)_{ac}, C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3} \right) \\ &\leq (\Delta r)^4 (1 - \delta) \Delta^3 \end{aligned}$$

In addition its clear that  $|\sum_{abc} \xi_{ab} (z_1 z_2^\top + \xi)_{ac}| \leq \sum_c \sum_{ab} |\xi_{ab}| \leq \Delta^{2\frac{1}{2}}$ . Hence, we have that

$$d(w, C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3}) \leq (r\Delta)^4 (1 - \delta) \Delta^3 + \Theta(\Delta^{2\frac{1}{2}})$$

**Dense Normal Net Countiong** Let us call the normal vertices the vertices with degree less then  $\xi$  in  $\Gamma^{\cup, \square} = \Gamma_{\square_1}^x \cup \Gamma_{\square_2}^x$ . And Let us say that that an edge of  $\Gamma^{\cup}$  is heavy if it is incident to at least  $\eta$  squares in  $\Gamma_{\square_1}$  and  $\Gamma_{\square_2}$ . Let  $T$  be set of vertices in  $V_0$  that are connected to (at least) one normal vertex through a heavy edge.

First notice that the number of vertices in the induced graph by  $x$  is bounded by it's weight:  $|S| \leq \frac{2|x|}{\delta\Delta}$

By the mixing Lemma we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |E(S, T)| &\geq \eta |T| \\ |E(S, T)| &= |E(S, T)_{\Gamma_1} \cup E(S, T)_{\Gamma_2}| \\ &\leq \frac{|S||T|}{n} (2 \cdot 2\Delta - \Delta) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{|S||T|} (2 \cdot \lambda_{\text{double cover}} + \lambda_{\text{ramnujan}}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} |T| \left( \eta - \frac{2|x|}{\delta\Delta} \cdot \frac{3\Delta}{n} \right) &\leq \sqrt{|S||T|} \lambda^* \\ |T| &\leq \left( \frac{\lambda^*}{\eta - \frac{6|x|}{n\delta}} \right)^2 |S| \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $S_e$  the set of vertices in  $\Gamma^{\cup, \square}$  with degree greater then  $\xi$ . Then by reaping on the above calculation, while subsuting  $\Gamma_i$  by  $\Gamma_{i, \square}$ , We obtain that there is  $\lambda_2^*$  such that:

$$|S_e| \leq \left( \frac{\lambda_2^*}{\xi - (2\Delta^2 - \Delta) \frac{|x|}{n\delta\Delta}} \right)^2 |S|$$

Define  $\bar{d}_T$  to be the average (over  $T$ ) of heavy edges in-

cident to a vertex of  $T$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_T &= \frac{|E(T, S/S_e)|}{T} \geq \frac{|S| - |S_e|}{|T|} \\ &\geq \left(1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2^*}{\xi - (2\Delta^2 - \Delta) \frac{|x|}{n\delta\Delta}}\right)^2\right) / \\ &\quad \left(\frac{\lambda^*}{\eta - \frac{6|x|}{n\delta}}\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$