

No-Existence Of Generalize Diffusion.

David Ponnarovsky

August 1, 2022

Abstract

We show that there is no operator that given two state $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle$ compute the transformation: $D|\psi\rangle|\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle(\mathbb{I} - 2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\phi\rangle$. The contradiction of the existence follows by showing that using D two players can compute the disjoints of their sets in single round and $O(\sqrt{n})$ communication complexity, which shown by Braverman to be impossible [Bra+18].

Preamble It's wide belived that quantum machines have a significt advantage over the classical in optimization tasks. Simple algortihms, which could be interpted as the quntum version of “scanning all the options” cut the running time by squire root of the classical magnitude. That cut achived by using the superposition prenicpal in most naive way. One of the most promised applications of quantum computation is the Amplitude Amplification algorithm [Bra+02], In which, one can transform a known state $|\Psi\rangle$ with probability a to measure a $|i\rangle$ to a state in which the desired measurement obtained with probability grater than $\frac{1}{2}$ at the cost of less than \sqrt{a} sort of Grover iterations.

A critical requirement for that procedure is to have the ability to generate a copies of the initial state, Formulated by [Bra+02] as holding an algorithm \mathcal{A} , which does not make any measurements, such that $\mathcal{A}|0\rangle = |\Psi\rangle$. Assuming having this ability one could mimic the scattering done in the Grover search, but restrict himself to be supported on $|\Psi\rangle$.

One question that might rise is whether the above amplification process can be done assuming nothing but given a single entity of the initial state. We gave a partly answer for that question by proving the follow theorem:

Theorem 1 *There is no operator that given two state $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle$ compute the transformation:*

$$D|\psi\rangle|\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle(\mathbb{I} - 2|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)|\phi\rangle$$

We name the gate above the *Generalize Diffusion* gate, As if such gate were exists it could be used instand of the projection operator to simulate the amplitude amplification procedure. The contradiction of the existence follows by showing that using D two players can compute the disjoints of their sets in single round and $O(\sqrt{n})$ communication complexity in contradict to the fact that r -rounds two party computation needs at least $\Omega(\frac{n}{r})$ communication to compute disjointness (up to log factors) [Bra+18].

Quantum Communication Complexity of Disjointness. Consider the following communication problem. As inputs Alice gets an x and Bob get a y , where $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^n$, and by exchanging information they want to determine if there is an index k with $x_k = y_k = 1$ or not. In other words, if x encodes the set $A = \{k | x_k = 1\}$, and y encodes $B = \{k | y_k = 1\}$, then Alice and Bob want to determine whether $A \cap B$ is empty or not.

The classical randomized communication complexity of this problem is $\mathcal{O}(n)$ [HW07]. Assuming Alice and Bob can exchange quantum messages, It is known that Alice and bob can solve the task correctly with probability greater than $2/3$ by exchanging at most $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n} \log n)$ qubits [COMMENT] [add cation of the original solution](#).

The reduction. Assume by way of contradiction the existence of D defined above. Let $x^{(j)}$ be the j -th \sqrt{n} -block of x , e.g $x^{(j)} = x_{j\sqrt{n}}, x_{j\sqrt{n}+1}, \dots, x_{(j+1)(\sqrt{n})-1}$. And denote by $|\psi_x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2^{\otimes \sqrt{n}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\sqrt{n}}$ the uniform superposition state over the $x^{(j)}$ -s "tensored" with \sqrt{n} -qudit (which will correspond to the block number).

$$|\psi_x\rangle = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{4}}} \sum_j^{\sqrt{n}} |x^{(j)}\rangle |j\rangle$$

Note that the encoding of $|\psi_x\rangle$ require only $\sqrt{n} + \log(\sqrt{n})$ qubits. Clearly both Alice and Bob can generate the states $|\psi_x\rangle, |\psi_y\rangle$, then Bob sends he's share to Alice. We know that there is a classical circuit with logarithmic depth in

\sqrt{n} that act over the pure states $|x^{(j)}\rangle|j\rangle, |y^{(k)}\rangle|k\rangle$ and decides whether

$$(j = k) \bigwedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in [\sqrt{n}]} x_i^{(j)} \wedge y_i^{(k)} \right)$$

Denote it by C and by U the phase flip controlled by C i.e. $U|i\rangle = (-1)^{C(i)}|i\rangle$.

The next claim argue that D, U are sufficient to Alice to simulate a single iteration of the amplitude amplification.

Claim. Recall the operator $\mathbf{Q} = -\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}_0\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{S}_\chi$ defined in [Bra+02], such that $\mathcal{A}|0\rangle = |\Psi\rangle = |\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle$ and consider the generalize diffusion gate D , Denote by \mathcal{H}_Ψ the space which is spanned by the $|\Psi\rangle$ support. Then it holds that for any state $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\Psi$:

$$(\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbf{Q})|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle|\phi\rangle = -D(\mathbb{I} \otimes U)|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle|\phi\rangle$$

Proof. Let $|\Psi_0\rangle, |\Psi_1\rangle$ be the base which span \mathcal{H}_Ψ and in addition $U|\Psi_0\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle, U|\Psi_1\rangle = -|\Psi_1\rangle$.

First consider the case in which the dimension of \mathcal{H}_Ψ is exactly 1, If $|\Psi\rangle$ supported only on non-satisfying states (i.e $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle$) then it's clear that $I \otimes U$ act over the $|\Psi\rangle|\Psi\rangle$ as identity and therefore $-D(I \otimes U)$ act also as identity:

$$-D(I \otimes U)|\Psi\rangle|\Psi\rangle = -|\Psi\rangle(I - 2|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi\rangle|\Psi\rangle$$

Similar calculation yields that the action is trivial also when \mathcal{H}_Ψ supported only over $|\Psi_1\rangle$.

It is left to show the equivalence when $|\Psi\rangle$ supported both over $|\Psi_0\rangle$ and $|\Psi_1\rangle$. Then it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} -D(\mathbb{I} \otimes U)|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle|\Psi_1\rangle &= D|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle|\Psi_1\rangle \\ |\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle(\mathbb{I} - 2|\psi_x\rangle\langle\psi_x||\psi_y\rangle\langle\psi_y|)|\Psi_1\rangle \\ |\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle(\mathbb{I} - 2|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)|\Psi_1\rangle \\ |\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle((1 - 2a)|\Psi_1\rangle - 2a|\Psi_0\rangle) \\ -D(\mathbb{I} \otimes U)|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle|\Psi_0\rangle &= -D|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle|\Psi_0\rangle \\ -|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle(\mathbb{I} - 2|\psi_x\rangle\langle\psi_x||\psi_y\rangle\langle\psi_y|)|\Psi_0\rangle \\ -|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle(\mathbb{I} - 2|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)|\Psi_0\rangle \\ -|\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle((-2 - 2a)|\Psi_1\rangle + 1 - (2 - 2a)|\Psi_0\rangle) \\ |\psi_x\rangle|\psi_y\rangle((2 - 2a)|\Psi_1\rangle + (1 - 2a)|\Psi_0\rangle) \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, it's clear that Alice, could simulate the **algqsearch** algorithm [Bra+02],

Theorem 3. Quadratic speedup without knowing **a** There exists a quantum algorithm **algqsearch** with the following property. Let \mathcal{A} be any quantum algorithm that uses no measurements, and let $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be any Boolean function. Let a denote the initial success probability of \mathcal{A} . Algorithm **algqsearch** finds a good solution using an expected number of applications of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^{-1} which are in $\Theta(\sqrt{a})$ if $a > 0$, and otherwise runs forever.

Proof of Theorem 1 Suppose that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ then, the support of $|\psi_x\rangle \otimes |\psi_y\rangle$ contain a state $|\phi\rangle$ which satisfies C , or in other words $a = |\langle\Psi_1|\Psi\rangle|^2 > 0$ and therefore by Theorem 3 there is an explicit procedure which take a $\Theta(\sqrt{a})$ time in expectation, Hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we could construct a finite algorithm that fail with probability less than ε by rejecting runs that last longer than $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$.

On the other hand, Consider the case when $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then $\Rightarrow a = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Psi$ is 1-dimension space spanned only by $|\Psi_0\rangle$, and the operator $I - 2|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ act over the $|\Psi_0\rangle$ as identity and therefore after executing any number of iterations the probability to measure from $|\Psi_0\rangle$ will remain 1.