

# Magic States Distillation Using Quantum Expander Codes.

David Ponnarovsky

March 4, 2024

## 1 Good Codes With Large $\Lambda$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$  upper triangular matrix such that  $k < n$ . We say that  $M$  has the 1-stairs property if  $M_{ij} = 1$  any  $j < i$ .

**Claim 1.1.** Any  $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$  upper triangular matrix can be turn into upper triangular matrix that has the 1-stairs property by elementary operation.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* Consider the following algorithm: Let  $M$  be our initial matrix. We iterate over the rows from left to right. In the  $i$ th iteration, we check for any row  $j < i$  if  $M_{ji} = 1$ . If not, we set  $M$  to be the matrix obtained by adding the  $i$ th row to the  $j$ th row. Since  $M$  is an upper triangular matrix, adding the  $i$ th row does not change any entry  $M_{js}$  for  $s < i$ . Therefore, the obtained matrix is still an upper triangular matrix and the entries at  $M_{js}$  for  $j, s < i$  remain the same, namely 1 if and only if  $j \leq s$ .

Continuing with the process eventually yields, after  $k$  iterations, a matrix with the 1-stair property.  $\square$

**Claim 1.2.** Let  $C$  be a  $[n, k, d]$  binary linear code, and let  $\Lambda$  be subcode  $\Lambda \subset C$  at dimension  $k'$  and distance  $d'$ . Then there exists a code  $C' = [\leq 2n, \geq k - k'/2, d]$  and a subcode of it  $\Lambda'$  in it at dimension  $\geq k'/2$  and distance  $d'$ , such:

1. For every  $x \in \Lambda'$  and  $y \in C'$   $x \cdot y = 0$
2. For every  $x \in \Lambda'$  and  $y, z \in C'$   $x \cdot y \cdot z = 0$

*Proof.* First, we can assume that the generator matrix of  $C$  is an upper triangular matrix, such that the first  $k'$  rows span  $\Lambda$ . Notice that after applying the algorithm from claim 1.1 starting from the first row and stopping at the  $k'$ th row, the first  $k'$  rows are kept in  $\Lambda$ . So let's assume that is the form of the generator matrix.

Now, let's consider the following process: going uphill, from right to left, starting at the  $k'$  row. Initially, set  $j \leftarrow k'$  and in each iteration, advance it to be the index of the next row, namely  $j \leftarrow j - 1$ . In each iteration, ask how many rows  $G_m$ , such that  $m \leq j$ , satisfy  $G_m G_j = 0$  and how many pairs of rows  $G_m, G_{m'}$  such that  $m, m' \leq j$  satisfy  $G_m \cdot G_{m'} \cdot G_j = 0$ . Denote by  $p$  the probability to fall on unsatisfied equation from the above.

- If  $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$  then we move on to the next iteration.
- Otherwise, we encode the  $j$ th coordinate by  $C_0$ , which maps  $1 \rightarrow w$  such that  $w \cdot w = 0$ . This flips the value of  $G_m G_j$  for any pair and  $G_m G_{m'} G_j$  for any triple such that  $m, m' \leq j$ , so we get that the majority of the equations are satisfied. Also notice that the concatenation doesn't change the value of any multiplication at the form  $G_m G_{j'}$  for  $j' > j$ . Therefore, for any  $j < j' \leq k'$  the number of the satisfied equations relative to  $j'$  is not changed, meaning it is still the majority.

Set  $G$  to be the new matrix after the concatenation by  $C_0$ .

In the end of the process  $G$  is going to be the generator matrix of  $C'$ . It's left to construct  $\Lambda'$ , we are going to do so by taking from the  $k'$  rows a subset that satisfies the desired property in Claim 1.2.

Let  $S$  be the set of rows among the first  $k'$  rows for which there is at least one unsatisfied equation. We will now prove that if  $k'$  is large enough, specifically linear in  $k$ , then  $|S|$  is small enough to obtain  $\Lambda'$  by removing the rows in  $S$ .

Observe that the number of satisfied equations is at least:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (k' - 1 + (k' - 1)^2) + \frac{1}{2} (k' - 1 + (k' - 1)^2) + \frac{1}{2} (k' - 2 + (k' - 2)^2) + \dots + \frac{1}{2} (1 + (1)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \binom{k' + 1}{2} + \frac{k'(k' + 1)(2k' + 1)}{6} \right) \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} |S| \cdot k + |S| \cdot k^2 &\leq k' (k + k^2) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \binom{k' + 1}{2} + \frac{k'(k' + 1)(2k' + 1)}{6} \right) \\ \Rightarrow |S| &< k' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{k^2 + k} \binom{k' + 1}{2} + \frac{1}{k^2 + k} \frac{k'(k' + 1)(2k' + 1)}{6} \right) \\ \Rightarrow |S| &< k' - \frac{k'^3}{24k^2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if  $k' \geq \alpha k$  we have that  $|S| < (\alpha - \frac{\alpha^3}{24})k$  implies that  $\dim \Lambda' \geq \frac{\alpha^3}{24}k$ .  $\square$

**Claim 1.3.** We can repeat Claim 1.2 by considering triple multiplications instead of pair multiplications. Let  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  be the codes obtained from this process. We can then guarantee the existence of  $\Lambda_2 \in C_2$  and  $\Lambda_3 \in C_3$  such that for any  $x, y \in \Lambda_2$ ,  $xy = 0$ , and for any triple  $x, y, z \in \Lambda_3$ ,  $xyz = 0$ . The code  $C_2 \otimes C_3$  has a group of codewords  $\Lambda_{23}$  such that for any  $x, y, z \in \Lambda_{23}$ ,  $xy = 0$  and  $xyz = 0$ .

**Claim 1.4.** Suppose that a set of vectors  $\Lambda \subset C$  satisfies the relation  $xy = 0$  and  $xyz = 0$  for any  $x, y, z \in \Lambda$ . Then, there exists a code  $C'$  with a code length roughly equal to  $C$  and a subset  $\Lambda' \subset C'$  such that for any distinct  $x, y, z \in \Lambda'$ ,  $xy = 0$ ,  $xyz = 0$ , and  $xx = 1$ .

*Proof.* We return to the process in Claim 1.2, but taking the standard upper triangular form of  $\Lambda$  instead the 1-stairs form. Notice that the rows are linear combinations of the original vectors in  $\Lambda$  and therefore also preserve the original relations. So now, for any  $j < k$ , we have that encoding the  $M_{jj}$  bit only affects the multiplication of  $u_j u_j$ . Thus, we will encode the  $j$ th coordinate such that the multiplication of a row by itself is 1 residue 4.  $\square$

**Claim 1.5.** We can repeat Claim 1.2 by flipping the bit, ensuring that the majority of pairs and triple multiplications are zero. In the end, we will have the following inequality:

$$|S| \cdot (k + k^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} (k^2 + k^3)$$

And still we will get that  $|S| \leq k/2$