Magic States Distillation Using Δ -Toric (good qLDPC?).

David Ponarovsky

January 4, 2024

Let $|f\rangle$ be a codeword in C_X , and let X_g be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on X_g , and 0 otherwise. Observes that applying T^{\otimes} on $|f\rangle$ yilds the state:

$$\begin{split} T^{\otimes n} \left| f \right\rangle &= T^{\otimes n} \left| \sum_{g} X_g g \right\rangle = \exp \left(i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &+ 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| - 8 \cdot i \pi / 4 \cdot \text{ integers } \right) \left| f \right\rangle \\ &= \exp \left(i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) \left| f \right\rangle \end{split}$$

1 Many to One.

Assume that f is supported on exactly one generator. Then we have that $T^{\otimes n}|f\rangle = e^{i\pi|g|/4}|f\rangle$. Therefore, if |g| = 4k + 1 then we are done.

2 Using Quntum Error Correction Codes.

Now assume that the code C_X is the quantum Tanner code, denote by G, A, B the group and the two generator sets that are used for constructing the square complex.

Claim 2.1. Consider g,h that are supported on the same $v \in V$. We will call such a pair a source-sharing pair. Suppose that for any we have that $|g \cdot h|$ is even. Then there is a Clifford gate that computes $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h \text{ source-sharing }} X_g X_h |g \cdot h|\right) |f\rangle$.

3 Fail Attempt.

In addition, let us assume the existence of $d \in G$ such that d is non-identity and commutes with any element in $A \cup B$. Then, observe that multiplying by d preserves adjacency on the complex. Namely, if $\{u,v\} \in E$ then also $\{du,dv\} \in E$.

Consider $|f\rangle$ such that if X_g is not zero, and g is associated with a local codeword $c \in C_A \otimes C_B$ on vertex v, then the generator associated with the local codeword c on vertex $d \cdot v$ also supports f, denoted by g'. Thus, the exponent above becomes:



Figure 1: Quantum Circuit for distillation.

$$\begin{split} &=\exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g}X_{g}|g|-2\cdot\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}|g\cdot h|+X_{g'}X_{h'}|g\cdot h|\\ &+4\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}X_{l}|g\cdot h\cdot l|+X_{g'}X_{h'}X_{l'}|g\cdot h\cdot l|\right)|f\rangle\\ &=\exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g}X_{g}|g|-2\cdot2\cdot\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}|g\cdot h|+2\cdot4\cdot i\pi/4\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}X_{l}|g\cdot h\cdot l|\right)|f\rangle\\ &=\exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g}X_{g}|g|-i\pi\sum_{g,h\in G/a}X_{g}X_{h}|g\cdot h|\right)|f\rangle \end{split}$$

Claim 3.1. The gate
$$|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h\in G/a} X_g X_h |g\cdot h|\right) |f\rangle$$
 is in the Clifford.

Proof. Just decode f and apply \mathbf{CZ} between any pair of qubits corresponding to the generators g,h such that $g \cap h = 1$. Then encode the state again. Observes that \mathbf{CZ} is a Clifford gate, and by the fact that the code is a CSS code then the decoder and the encoder are both in the Clifford.

Let's denote the circuit defined in Claim 3.1 by Λ . So we have that:

$$\Lambda^{\dagger} \exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g} X_{g}|g| - i\pi\sum_{g,h \in G/a} X_{g}X_{h}|g \cdot h|\right)|f\rangle$$

$$= \exp\left(i\pi/4\sum_{g} X_{g}|g|\right)|f\rangle$$

Maybe what do we need is to arrange in some way |g|+|g'|=4k+1 and $\langle g,f\rangle=\langle g',f'\rangle$

Claim 3.2. For any m codewords $x_1...x_m$ there is a set of coordinates I and $|I| < \alpha n$. Such that:

$$\sum_{j \in [n]/I} x_a^j x_b^j = 0$$

For any pair x_a, x_b .

Claim 3.3. For any m codewords $x_1...x_m$ there is a set of coordinates I and $|I| < \alpha n$. Such that:

$$\sum_{a,b,j\in[n]/I} x_a^j x_b^j = 4k$$

For any pair x_a, x_b .

Claim 3.4. Let C be a code at rate $\rho(C) > 7/8$ has at least one codeword $x \in C$, such that |x| = 8.

Definition 3.1. We will say that a code C is (l,m)-genorthogonal if there exists a generator set G for C such that for any $I \subset G$ such that 1 < |I| < l we have that:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} \prod_{g_j \in I \subset G} g_j^i =_m 0$$

Claim 3.5. If there exists a single (l,m)-genorthogonal code for a finite length Δ , then there is a family of (l,m)-genorthogonal good codes. Moreover, if there exists a generator in C_0 of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$, then there exists a family that also has at least one generator of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$.

Proof. Denote by $C_0 = \Delta[1, \rho_0, \delta_0]$ an (l, m)-genorthogonal code and observes that for any $C = [n, \rho n, \delta n]$ the tensor code $C_0 \otimes C = [\Delta n, \rho_0 \rho \Delta n, \delta_0 \delta \Delta n]$ is also (l, m)-genorthogonal code.

For the second part of the claim, Choose C to be a good code with rate $> (2^m - 1)/2^m$ by Claim 3.4 there is at least on codeword c in C such that $|c| =_m 1$.

So pick the base for $C_0 \otimes C$ such the first generator is $g_0 \otimes c$ where g_0 denote a generator of C_0 satisfies $|g_0| =_m 1$. Then $|g_0 \otimes c| = |g_0| \cdot |c| =_m 1$.

Claim 3.6. Suppose that there exists (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then there exists also a family of good (m+1,m)-genorthogonal codes such that a liner portion of his generators g have weight $|g| =_m 1$.

Proof. Denote by C_0 a finte (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$. Let C be a good (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code with generator c such that $|c| =_m 1$, the existence of which is given by Claim 3.5. Denote its rate by ρ . If C has more than $\rho/m \cdot n$ generators at weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then we are done. Otherwise, by the pigeonhole principle, there is an i such that more than ρ/m portion of the generators are at weight $|\cdot| =_m i$. Denote them by $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_m$.

Define the set $g_1', g_2'..g_m'$ as

$$g'_{t} = c + \sum_{j=t}^{t+m} g_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow |g'_{t+1}| = |c| + \sum_{t} |g_{j}| + \sum_{|I| < l+1} \left| \prod_{g \in I} \alpha_{\star} g \right|$$

$$=_{m} c + m \cdot i =_{m} c =_{m} 1$$

Now take $C_0 \otimes C$, and set the new generator set to be $g_i^0 \otimes g_j'$. And it's easy to verify that we got the code we wanted.

Claim 3.7. There exists, a good LDPC code (classic) C such that C^{\perp} is also a good code and a generator set G, for exists $G' \subset G$ and $|G'| = \Theta(|G|)$ such:

- 1. For any pair $x \neq y \in G' \rightarrow x \cdot y =_8 0$
- 2. For any triple $x \neq y, z \in G' \rightarrow \sum_i x_i y_i z_i =_8 0$
- 3. For any $x \in G' \rightarrow |x| =_8 1$

Claim 3.8. There is $n \to \Theta(n)$ magic states distillation into a binary qldpc code with $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ distance, and therefore with asymptotic overhead approaching 1

Proof. For the encoding we are going to use the hyperproduct code defined in [TZ14]. Let C be the code given by Claim 3.7 and consider the hyperproduct of C with itself $Q = Q(C \times_H C)$. In addition, denote by C_X, C_Z the CSS representation of Q.

By the fact that C^{\perp} is also a good code, then Q is a positive rate, square root distance code. Let ρ be the rate of C and $1-\rho$ be the rate of C^{\perp} . As $\rho>0$, then one can find $I\subset [n]$ coordinates such that for any $i\in I$ the indicator $e_i\not\in C^{\perp}$. Hence, it holds from [TZ14] that any vector of the form $e_i\otimes x$ is a codeword of C_X/C_Z^{\perp} .

Denote by ρ' the portion of G' as defined in Claim 3.7, and define S to be:

$$S = \left\{ e_i \otimes x | e_i \not\in C^{\perp}, x \in G' \right\}$$

Observes that $|S| = \rho' \rho n^2$ and in addition S satisfies the properties in Claim 3.7. Denote by f a codeword supported only on S and denote by X_s the indecator that indicate that s supports f. Thus:

$$T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = \exp\left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g} X_{g} \frac{8k+1}{|g|} - 2 \cdot \pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_{g} X_{h} |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_{g} X_{h} X_{l} |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) |f\rangle$$

$$= \exp\left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g} X_{g} \right) |f\rangle$$

References

[TZ14] Jean-Pierre Tillich and Gilles Zemor. "Quantum LDPC Codes With Positive Rate and Minimum Distance Proportional to the Square Root of the Blocklength". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 60.2 (Feb. 2014), pp. 1193–1202. DOI: 10.1109/tit.2013.2292061. URL: https://doi.org/10.1109%2Ftit.2013.2292061.