Memory.

September 18, 2025

1 Relaxation to The Fault Tolerance Model.

We are interested in the following extension to the fault-tolerant circuit model. We are equipped with an additional type; in each turn, a strong entity, which we trust, sets a hint I_t on the type. We would like to minimize $|I| := \min_t |I_t|$. In particular, a fault-tolerant construction in the standard model exhibits a fault-tolerant construction in the relaxed model with $|I| = 0$.

Another example is using the hints given by the strong entity for either deciding what correction should be applied or what 'gate-teleportation correction' should be applied. It is easy to check that previous constructions give relaxed fault tolerance such that:

1. They output encoded states with non-trivial distance.

2. They exhibit only a constant overhead in depth.

3. At each turn, $|I_t|/$ logical qubits depends on the code length.

That brings us to the following question:

Open-Problem 1. Is there a relaxed fault tolerance scheme that benefits from the first and second bullets above, yet requires a hint at a length that is constant per logical qubit? Namely:

$$\frac{|I|}{\text{logical qubits}} = O(1)?$$

2 Notations and Definitions.

Consider a code with a left k-colorized Tanner graph $\mathcal{T}$, such that any two left bits of the same color share no check. For a subset of bits S, we denote by S_{c_1} its restriction to color c_1. We use the integer Δ to denote the right degree of $\mathcal{T}$. Our computation is subject to p-depolarized noise. We denote by m the block length of the code. The decoder works as follows:

1. On the hint-type Pick a random color.

   [**COMMENT**] In the relaxed version: the 'right/best' color is given by the strong entity.

2. For any (q)bit at that color, check if flipping it decreases the syndrome. If so, then flip it.

Claim 2.1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a Tanner graph such that $\Delta > 2k$. There is $p_0 \in (0,1)$ and $q \in (0,1)$ such that for any $p < p_0$ and a density ρ, which is subjected to q-local stochastic noise, there is a color c_1 such that after a cycle of absorbing p-depolarized noise and correcting according to the decoding rule when color $= c_1$, the resulting state ρ' will remain subjected to q-local stochastic noise.

$$\text{Given - }\rho \longrightarrow \text{Decoding} \longrightarrow p\text{-depololraized}$$
$$\downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad \downarrow$$
$$E_1 \qquad\qquad\qquad E_2 \qquad\qquad\qquad E_3$$

Figure 1: Illustration of the cycle.

2.1 Proof.

First, let's bound the probability that the error after the decoding round (E_2) is supported on S. (We use here the fact that views of the bits through their stabilizer don't overlap since we took only bits of the same color for the decoding.)

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[\mathbf{Sup}\left(E_2\right) = S\right] \leq \mathbf{Pr}\left[\text{any bit } v \in S_{c_1} \text{ sees majority of satisfied checks }\right] \leq q^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S|_{c_1}}$$

Now, to roughly analyze the error after observing a round of p-depolarized noise, we consider a model in which new errors due to the depolarized channel don't correct previous errors. Thus, we get:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[\mathbf{Sup}\left(E_3\right) = S\right] \leq \sum_{S' \subset S} q^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S'|_{c_1}} p^{|S/S'|}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{c_i} |S|_{c_i} = k \cdot \mathbf{E}\left[|S|_{c_i}\right] = |S|$$

$$\Rightarrow \max_{c_i} |S|_{c_i} \geq \frac{1}{k}|S|$$

So if c_1 is the color that maximizes $|S|_{c_1}$, then:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[\mathbf{Sup}\left(E_3\right) = S\right] \leq \sum_{S' \subset S} q^{\frac{1}{2}\Delta|S'|/k} p^{|S/S'|}$$

$$\leq \left(q^{\frac{1}{2k}\Delta} + p\right)^{|S|} \leq q^{|S|}$$

3 Suitable Codes.

We first show that the partition code has a representation (a check matrix) for which the induced $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the relation $\Delta > 4k$, and then show that the hypergraph product code defined by multiplying the Tanner graphs of that representation gives $\Delta > 2k$.

Claim 3.1. Let C be a code with a Tanner graph $\mathcal{T}$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}^\top$ the Tanner graph of the transpose code and by $Q\left(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}^\top\right)$ the Tanner graph obtained by the hypergraph product. Then:

1. $\Delta\left(Q\left(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}^\top\right)\right) = \max\{\Delta(\mathcal{T}), \Delta(\mathcal{T}^\top)\}$

2. $k\left(Q\left(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}^\top\right)\right) \leq k(\mathcal{T}) + k(\mathcal{T}^\top)$

Proof. Easy. $\qquad\square$

Claim 3.2. The repetition code has a representation for which $\Delta > 4k$.

Proof. Denote by H_0 the checks obtained by treating the repetition code as a Tanner code over the cyclic graph. Observe that $k_0 = 2$ and $\Delta_0 = 2$.

2

Now, let V^+, V^- be a partition of the bits according to their color. Any check of the form $v^+ + v^-$ where $v^\pm \in V^\pm$ agrees with the coloring. So, by adding a perfect matching, we increase Δ by 1 and keep the colorization. We have $\sim n/2!$ such matchings, so we can add 100Δ and get the correction of the claim.

Furthermore, the length of the transposed code increases by the number of checks we add, and its distance can't decrease. So, we get that the parameters of the transposed code are $[n+100\Delta n, 1, \geq n]$.
$\square$

Hence, we have a simple code that can serve as memory in the relaxed setting. Yet, it doesn't provide a solution to the problem since the dimension of the code is non-trivial[1]:

$$K_Q = K_1 K_2 + K_1^\top K_2^\top \geq O(1) + \Theta(n)$$

Thus, we will still need to perform a non-trivial computation for the gate-teleportation gadget.

[COMMENT] In fact, since $\dim \mathcal{T}^\top \geq |C| - |V|$ and $|V|\Delta = |C|\Delta_2 \leq |C|k \leq |C|\frac{1}{2}\Delta$ we get that $\dim \mathcal{T}^\top \geq \Theta(n)$. For the hypergraph product. .

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta \leq (1-\varepsilon)\Delta \leq \frac{\Delta}{k} \leq \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_2} \leq \frac{|\Gamma(A)|}{|A|}$$

Question. Consider the n-dimensional toric code, where qubits are placed on k-cells of the n-dimensional hypercubic lattice. For an i-cell, denote by Δ_i^+ the number of $(i+1)$-cells adjacent to it, and by Δ_i^- the number of $(i-1)$-cells adjacent to it. For which values of k do both of the following strict inequalities hold?

$$\Delta_k^+ > \Delta_{k+1}^-, \qquad \Delta_k^- > \Delta_{k-1}^+.$$

Answer. In an n-dimensional hypercubic lattice one has

$$\Delta_i^+ = 2(n-i), \qquad \Delta_i^- = 2i.$$

Therefore, the two inequalities become

$$2(n-k) > 2(k+1) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad k < \tfrac{n-1}{2},$$
$$2k > 2(n-(k-1)) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad k > \tfrac{n+1}{2}.$$

These conditions are mutually exclusive, since they require simultaneously

$$k < \frac{n-1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad k > \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

Thus, there is no value of k (for any dimension n) for which both inequalities hold at once.

Yet, if one is willing to satisfy only the first inequality. Then:

$$1 < \frac{\Delta_k^-}{\Delta_{k-1}^+} = \frac{2k}{2(n-(k-1))} \to k > \frac{2}{3}n$$

Should be verified:

1. In addition the dimension of the code should be $\binom{n}{k}$. (Also known as the Betti numbers).

2. Numebr of k-cells shared by a j - cell and a i -cell. $\binom{j-i}{k-i}$.

3. The partiy of $\binom{2l}{l}$.

4. should understand: Math stachexhange.

---

[1]Can be decreased to $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ if we choose $C_1 = C$ and C_2 to be the transposed code instead of choosing $C_1 = C_2 = C$.

4 Amplification.

Claim 4.1. Consider the tanner graph of the classic code C_X which can be used to construct a quantum LDPC code. For any constant $c \in (0,1)$ there exist $\gamma < c$ and a subset of qubits B at size $B = \gamma n < cn$ such that $|\Gamma(B)| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta B$.

Proof. Easy. □

Now consider the following construction, we pick $c = \frac{1}{\Delta^2}$, and B at size lower than γn, denote by A the complement of B. Now pick Θ hash functions $\Theta = \{h : \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)\}$. One one hand:

$$\frac{|\Gamma(A)|}{|\Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)|} \geq \frac{|\Gamma(A)|}{|\Gamma(B)|} \geq \frac{|\Gamma(A)|}{\frac{1}{2}\Delta|(B)|}$$
$$\geq \frac{(1-\gamma)\,n}{\frac{1}{2}\gamma n} \geq 2\Delta(1 - \frac{1}{\Delta}) \geq \Delta$$

In addition, $|\Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)| \cdot \Delta_2 \geq |B| \Rightarrow |\Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)| \geq |B|/\Delta_2$ and hence:

$$\frac{|\Gamma(A)|}{|\Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)|} \leq \frac{|\Gamma(A)|\Delta_2}{|B|} \leq \frac{|A|}{|B|}\Delta\Delta_2$$

We add the following checks $X_i \cdot X_{\theta(i)}$. The degree of the bits changes as follow:

1. If $v \in A$ then: $\Delta(v) = \Delta + |\Theta|\Delta$

2. Else, namely $v \in B$, denote by Δ_l, Δ_r the degree of v when ristricted to $\Gamma(A)/\Gamma(B)$ and $\Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)$,and define $\xi = \Delta_l|\Theta| + \Delta_r|\Theta| \cdot \left(\frac{|\Gamma(A)|}{|\Gamma(B)/\Gamma(A)|}\right)^{-1}$ then: $\Delta + \xi \geq \Delta(v) \geq \Delta + \frac{1}{2}\xi$.

Claim 4.2.