# Magic States Distillation Using Quantum LDPC Codes.

#### David Ponarovsky

April 1, 2024

#### 1 Current Status.

- 1. Section 5 Correct. In any CSS code, one can find a large subspace  $\Lambda \subset C_X$  with a dimension that is linear in n and this subspace also satisfies the required relation for distillation. Specifically, for any  $x \in \Lambda$ ,  $y, z \in C_X$ , it holds that xy = 0 and xyz = 0.
- 2. Sections 6 and 7 Incorrect. Initially, I believed that assuming the code is LDPC, one could encode the state  $C_Z^{\perp}$  in constant depth. However, this idea turned out to be incorrect both in calculation and in contrast to the fact that synthesizing the ground state of the Toric code requires  $\Omega(\log n)$  depth.

#### 2 Classic Codes With Few Checks.

**Claim 2.1.** There is a family of classic binary codes, with positive rate,  $\Theta(n^{\frac{1}{3}})$  distance, and  $\gamma n^{\frac{1}{3}}$  checks.

*Proof.* We are going to show the existences of bipartite expander, over n left vertices and  $\gamma n^{\frac{1}{3}}$  right vertices such that for any  $S \subset L$  at size at most  $\alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ , the neighbors of S is at size at least  $\beta |S|$ . We use the standard probabilistic 'fusion construction', meaning that we are going to sample permutation from  $[n \times d_1]$  to  $[n^{\frac{1}{3}} \times d_2]$  and fuse together  $d_1$ 's left vertices subsets  $\{d_1 \cdot j, d_1 \cdot j + 1, d_1 \cdot j + 2, ..., d_1 \cdot (j+1) - 1\}$  and similarly fuse together  $d_2$  right vertices.

Now observes that the probability of neighbors  $S \subset L$  being contained in  $T \subset R$  is at most:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[X_{S,T}\right] \le \frac{|T|d_2 \cdot (|T|d_2 - 1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (|T|d_2 - |S|d_1)}{nd_1 \cdot (nd_1 - 1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (nd_1 - |S|d_1)} \le \left(\frac{|T|d_2}{nd_1 - |S|d_1}\right)^{|S|d_1}$$

And for the  $|T| < \beta |S|$  the above is lower than:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[X_{S,T}\right] \leq \left(\frac{2\beta|S|d_2}{nd_1}\right)^{|S|d_1}$$

By the union bound we get that the probability that there exist S at size  $|S| < \alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}}$  such that the

neighbors of S is at size less than  $\beta|S|$  is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Pr} \left[ \bigcup_{\substack{|S| < \alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ |T| < \beta |S|}} \right] &\leq \sum_{\substack{|S| < \alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ |T| < \beta |S|}} \mathbf{Pr} \left[ X_{S,T} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{kge1}^{\alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{\gamma n^{\frac{1}{3}}}{\beta k} \cdot \left( \frac{2\beta k d_2}{n d_1} \right)^{k d_1} \\ &\leq \sum_{kge1}^{\alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left( \frac{e^{2+\beta}}{k} \cdot \frac{n^{1+\beta/3}}{\beta^{\beta} k^{\beta}} \cdot \left( \frac{2\beta k d_2}{n d_1} \right)^{d_1} \right)^{k} \\ &= \sum_{kge1}^{\alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left( \frac{e^{2+\beta}}{k} \cdot \frac{n^{1+\beta/3}}{\beta^{\beta} k^{\beta}} \cdot \left( \frac{2\beta k n^{2/3} d_1}{n d_1} \right)^{d_1} \right)^{k} \\ &= \sum_{kge1}^{\alpha n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \left( \frac{e^{2+\beta} (2\beta)^{d_1}}{\beta^{\beta}} \cdot \frac{k^{d_1-\beta-1}}{n^{d_1/3-\beta/3-1}} \right)^{k} \\ &\leq \sum_{kge1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{e^{2+\beta} (2\beta)^{d_1}}{\beta^{\beta}} \cdot \gamma^{d_1-\beta/3-\frac{1}{3}} \right)^{k} \end{aligned}$$

# 3 Candidate For Triorthogonal LDPC Code.

**Claim 3.1.** Consider the ring  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  where q is a prime number. Let  $\Delta = 4^c$  where  $c \geq 3$ . Then we have:

$$\sum_{x \in [\Delta]} x^i =_{\Delta} \in \{0 , \Delta/2\}$$

*Proof.* By induction on c.

- 1. Base. For c=3 we computes the summation bruthforcely.
- 2. Assumption. Assume the correctness of the claim for c-1.
- 3. Step. Denote by  $B_j(\Delta)$  the bucket  $\Delta \cdot j + 1, \Delta \cdot j + 2, ... \Delta \cdot (j+1) 1$ . Observes that:

$$\sum_{x \in B_{j+1}(\Delta)} x^i =_{\Delta} \sum_{x \in B_{j+1}(\Delta)} (x - \Delta)^i =_{\Delta} \sum_{x \in B_j(\Delta)} x^i$$

On the other hand, by the induction assumption, there is some integer a for which:

$$\sum_{x \in B_1(\Delta/4)} x^i = \Delta/8 \cdot a$$

Thus the summation over  $\Delta$  elements equals to:

$$\sum_{x \in [\Delta]} x^i = \sum_{i \in [4]} \sum_{x \in B_i(\Delta/4)} x^i = \Delta/8 \cdot a \cdot 4 = \Delta \cdot a/2$$

**Definition 3.1.** Let G = (L, R, E) be a bipartite graph, and let  $\Delta$  be an integer. Define G' to be the graph:  $G' = (\Delta \times L, R, E')$  defined as follows:

$$E' = \{\{(i, v), u\} : i \in [\Delta], \{u, v\} \in E\}$$

In addition, we define the equivalence relation  $u \sim v$  for  $u, v \in \Delta \times L$  to hold if the first coordinates of u and v are equal.

Let G' be a graph constructed as described above. Consider the code C over the  $\mathbb{F}_q$  alphabet, defined as all the assignments of symbols from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to the  $\Delta \times L$  vertices. Such any vertex on the right side of G sees a polynomial of degree at most d on its local view, in addition the x's value of bit in  $\Delta \times L$  is the same module  $\Delta$  for all the checks. To clarify, if one checks, treat  $u \in \Delta \times L$  as the value of the polynomial at coordinate z, and treat the other check as the value of the polynomial at coordinate z', then  $z = \Delta z'$ .

**Claim 3.2.** C is a good LDPC code. (If G is expander graph).

Proof. We obtain a lower bound on the code dimension by subtracting restrictions. So,

$$\dim C = \Delta \cdot |L| - |R| \cdot (1 - \rho) \cdot q$$

Now, assume trough contradiction that there is  $x \in C$  at weight  $|x| < \gamma n$  denote by  $S' \subset \Delta \times L$  the set of vertices setted to a non-trivial symbol. And observes that in the original graph G, S' induce a set of vertices S by taking the delegations of the equivalence classes.

Since G is a  $(n, m, \gamma, \alpha)$  expander, and  $|S| < |S'| < \gamma n$ , it follows that  $|\Gamma(S)| > \alpha |S| \Rightarrow$ 

$$|S|/|\Gamma(S)| < \frac{1}{\alpha}$$
  
 $\Rightarrow |S'|/|\Gamma(S)| < \frac{\Delta}{\alpha}$ 

So there is a check that sees a local view at weight less than  $\frac{\Delta}{\alpha}$  bits. (Otherwise,  $|S'| > |\Gamma(S)| \cdot \frac{\Delta}{\alpha}$ ). So, if  $\frac{\Delta}{\alpha}$  is lower than  $C_0$  distance we get a contradiction.

**Claim 3.3.** Let  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  be arbitrary checks of C, not necessarily different. Then:

$$h_1 h_2 =_4 0$$
  
 $h_1 h_2 h_3 =_4 0$ 

Proof. Complete it.

Consider the Tanner **Graph**, such that the graph G is bipartite, and every two checks overlap on the ith bucket,  $\Delta$ -size, bits. So for any two checks, we have that

$$\sum_{x=i\cdot\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} x^j =_{\Delta} \sum_{x'=(i-1)\cdot\Delta}^{i\Delta} (x'+\Delta)^j$$

$$=_{\Delta} \sum_{x=(i-1)\cdot\Delta}^{i\Delta} x'^{,j} = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{\Delta}} x^j$$

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{\Delta}} (x+a\Delta)^i (x+b\Delta)^j = \sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{\Delta}} x^{i+j}$$

So it's left to show that if we take the bipartite graph to be an expander graph then we have a good code. Let G be a bipartite graph G=(L,R,E) that is a  $(n,m,\gamma,\alpha)$  expander. This means that for any subset  $S\subset V(G)$  with  $|S|<\gamma n$ , the size of the group of neighbors of S is at least  $\Gamma(|S|)>\alpha |S|$ . Consider the graph  $G'=(\Delta\times L,R,E')$  defined as follows:

$$E' = \{\{(i, v), u\} : i \in [\Delta], \{u, v\} \in E\}$$

Thus for any  $S \subset \Delta \times L$  if  $|S|/\Delta < \gamma n$  we have that:  $\Gamma'(S) < \Gamma(|S|/\Delta)$ .

Therefore, if S is the set of vertices associated with the non-trivial symbols induced by the assignment of a codeword on the vertices, then if  $|S| < \gamma n$ , we have:

$$\frac{|S|}{\Gamma'(|S|)} \le \frac{|S|}{\Gamma(|S|/\Delta)} \le \frac{\Delta}{\alpha}$$

So there is a check that sees on his local view less than  $\Delta/\alpha$  non-trival bits  $< d(C_0)$ .

### 4 Hyprproduct Code of two Triorthogonal Codes.

Suppose that H is a parity check matrix scuh that  $h_i h_j =_{\Delta} \in \{\Delta, , \Delta/2\}$  for any two rows. IS that true that the same property holds for the following check matrix?

$$H' \leftarrow [H \otimes I | I \otimes H]$$

$$H'_iH'_i = (H \otimes I)_i(H \otimes I)_i + (I \otimes H)_i(I \otimes H)_i$$

Denote  $i = (i_1, i_2)$  and  $j = (j_1, j_2)$ . So:

$$(H \otimes I)_i (H \otimes I)_j = \delta_{i_2, j_2} H_{i_1} H_{j_1}$$

and

$$(I \otimes H)_i (I \otimes H)_j = \delta_{i_1, j_1} H_{i_2} H_{j_2}$$

### 5 The problem with the above.

The code that is obtained by the polynomial tanner is (almost) self dual code, module  $\Delta$  the multiplication  $x \cdot x$  belongs to  $\{0, \Delta/2\}$ . While what we actually want to have is  $x \cdot x =_4 1$ . An idea how to correct that, sets the checks such only two of them don't commute. After taking the Hyprproduct code, they will turned to  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$  that don't commute. So if we have a perfect  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$  T states, we can cancel their phase before the encoding.

Let B be the bucket which matches  $\{2,3,..\Delta-1\}$ . On that bucket, the multiplication of the checks corresponds to  $\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_\Delta}x^i-1^i$ , which is  $\in\{-1,\Delta/2-1\}$ . On the otherhand, the codeword  $\xi$  that corresponds to the constant function f(x)=1 in every bucket gives  $\xi\cdot\xi=_\Delta-1$ .

So  $\xi' = \xi \otimes I$  padding with zeros, is a codeword of the Hyprproduct code, such that  $\xi' \cdot \xi' = 1$ .

# 6 Good Codes With Large $\Lambda$ .

**Claim 6.1.** Let  $v_1, v_2..v_k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , then there are  $u_1, u_2..u_{k'}$  for k' > k/2. Such span  $\{u_1, u_2..u_{k'}\} \subset \text{span } \{v_1, v_2..v_k\}$  and for any i, j it holds that  $u_i u_j = 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider Algorithm 1a, We are going to prove that at line number (8) the alg always finds a subset S that satisfies the equality. Assume not. On one hand, the number of possible values that  $m_S$  can have is  $2^i - 1$ . On the other hand, since J contains i + 1 vectors on the ith iteration, it follows that the number of subsets is  $2^{i+1} - 1 > 2^i$ .

Therefore, there must be at least two different subsets S and S' such that  $u_S = u_{S'}$ . However, this means that

$$m_{S\Delta S',j} = u_j \sum_{w \in S\Delta S'} w = u_j \left( \sum_{w \in S\Delta S'} w + 2 \sum_{w \in S\cap S'} w \right)$$
$$= m_{S,j} + m_{S',j} = 0$$

```
ı Let J \leftarrow \emptyset
2 for i \in [k/2] do
        J \leftarrow J \cup \{v_{2i-1}, v_{2i}\}
        for S \subset J do
4
             Compute the vector m_S
 5
                define as m_{S,j} = u_j \sum_{w \in S} w
 6
7
        Pick S such m_S = 0 and set
          u_i \leftarrow \sum_{w \in S} w
        Choose randomly w \in S and set
          J \leftarrow J/w
10 end
   : Find commuted vectors u_1, u_2, ... u_{k'}
```

```
ı Let J \leftarrow \emptyset
 2 for i \in [k/3] do
           J \leftarrow J \cup \{v_{3i-2}, v_{3i-1}, v_{3i}\}
           for S \subset J do
                 Compute the vector m_S
                    define as
                   m_{S,j,j'} = u_{j'}u_j \sum_{w \in S} w
           Pick S such m_S = 0 and set
 8
          \begin{array}{l} u_i \leftarrow \sum_{w \in S} w \\ \text{Choose randomly } w \in S \text{ and set} \end{array}
10 end
```

: Find commuted vectors  $u_1, u_2, ... u_{k'}$ 

Thus,  $m_{S\Delta S'}=0$ . Additionally, it is clear that the rank does not decrease, as for  $u_i$ , there exists one  $v_i$  such that only  $u_i$  is supported by  $v_i$ .

**Claim 6.2.** Let  $v_1, v_2..v_k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  and m be an integer m < k, then there are  $u_1, u_2..u_{k'}$  for k' > k/2 - m.  $\textit{Such span} \ \{u_1, u_2..u_{k'}\} \subset \textit{span} \ \{v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}..v_k\}, \textit{for any} \ i, j \ \textit{it holds that} \ u_iu_j = 0 \ \textit{and for any} \ i \in ]k', n_i \in [k'], n_i \in [k'],$  $j \leq m$  it holds that  $u_i v_j = 0$ .

*Proof.* Modify the Algorithm 1a as follows, Initialize  $u_1, u_m$  to be  $v_1, ..., v_m$  and  $J = \{v_{m+1}, ..., v_{2m+2}\}$ . Notice that in the *i*th iteration, for the counting argument to works in the proof of Claim 6.1, we have to ensure that:

$$|J| \ge m+i+1$$
, So  $m+i+1 \le k-m-i$  
$$\Rightarrow i \le k/2-m-\frac{1}{2}$$

In the end,  $u_{m+1}, u_{m+2}, ..., u_{k'}$  will satisfy the equations.

**Claim 6.3.** Let  $v_1, v_2..v_k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , then there are  $u_1, u_2..u_{k'}$  for k' > k/4. Such span  $\{u_1, u_2..u_{k'}\} \subset$ span  $\{v_1, v_2...v_k\}$ . And for any  $i, j \sum u_{i,k}u_{j,k} =_4 0$ .

*Proof.* Use the Algorithm 1a twice. However, in the second iteration, define  $m_{S,j}$  to be the product of module 4. Note that  $m_{S,j}$  must be either 4n or 4n+2. Thus, we can follow the proof of Claim 6.1.

Claim 6.4. [COMMENT] Complete for the above the version, which handle triples. number of options is  $(2^i)^2 = 2^{2i}$  and therefore we have the correctness if |J| > 2i + 1.

**Claim 6.5.** Consider the Left-Right  $(\Delta,n)$ -Complex  $\Gamma$ . dim  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp} \cap C_Z/C_X^{\perp}$  is linear in n.

*Proof.* The rates of both  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$  and  $C_Z^{\perp}/C_X^{\perp}$  are  $(2\rho-1)^2$ , where  $\rho$  can be any number in the range (0,1)[LZ22]. Consider choosing  $\rho$  such that the rates of the quotient spaces are strictly greater than  $\frac{1}{2} + \alpha$ . This implies that the rate of their intersection is greater than  $2\alpha$ .

**Corollary 6.1.** Fix the rate of the small codes  $C_A$  and  $C_B$  to  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + \alpha$ . There is a subspace  $\Lambda \subset C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$  at rate  $\frac{1}{4} \cdot 2\alpha$  such that for any  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $y, z \in C_Z^{\perp} \cup \Lambda$  it holds that:

1. 
$$xy =_4 0$$
  
2.  $xyz =_4 \sum_i x_i y_i z_i =_4 0$ 

**Claim 6.6.** Consider  $C, \Lambda$  and  $C', \Lambda'$  defined in  $\ref{eq:constraints}$ . Denote by  $\bar{\Lambda}$  the subspace  $C/\Lambda$ . Then:

$$d(C'/\bar{\Lambda}') \ge d(C/\bar{\Lambda})$$

*Proof.* The way we perform Guess elimination is critical. We want to make sure that we do not add an  $\Lambda$  row to a  $\bar{\Lambda}$  row. [COMMENT] Continue, Easy. Just need to perform the row reduction when rows of  $\Lambda$  at bottom, and then rotate the matrix  $\frown$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} D & C \\ B & A \end{bmatrix}$$

**Claim 6.7** (Not Formal). It is easy to see that by using concatenation again, one can obtain the code dim  $\Lambda' \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \dim \Lambda'$ . For any  $x \in \text{gen } \Lambda'$ ,  $|x|_4 = 1$ , and for any  $x \in C'/\Lambda'$ , we have  $|x|_4 = 0$ .

**Proof.** [COMMENT] We will do it by iterating the generators of C after performing rows reduction to the generator matrix. Now we will concatenate the i coordinate to complete the weight of the ith row to satisfy the requirements.

# 7 Compute $\ket{C_Z^\perp}$ In Constant Depth. [COMMENT] Wrong Section.

Let  $C_0$  be a  $\Delta$ -length error linear binary code,  $\Gamma$  a  $\Delta$ -regular bipartite graph, and let  $C_Z$  be the Tanner code defined by  $C_0$  and  $\Gamma$ . We are about to prove that the uniform superposition over  $C_Z^\perp$  codewords can be computed with constant probability at a depth dependent only on  $\Delta$ , in particular independent of the  $C_Z^\perp$ -length. For this, we are going to use Proposition 10 in [MN98], which states that both the encoder and the decoder of any stabilizer m-length code can be implemented by a circuit at depth  $\Theta(\log m)$  with  $\Theta(m^2)$  ancillae.

Claim 7.1. Let G be a  $\Delta$ -regular bipartite graph, and denote by  $C_Z^{\perp}$  the dual-tanner code  $\mathcal{T}(G, C_0^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Then there is a circuit that with constant probability computes the state  $|C_Z^{\perp}\rangle$  at  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$  depth, and  $\Theta(\Delta^2)n$  ancillarly qubits.

Proof. Let  $E_v$  and  $D_v$  be the encoder and the decoder of  $C_0$  over the local view of vertex v, By [MN98] we have that both have depth  $\Theta(\log \Delta)$  and require  $\Delta^2$  ancillae. Since  $\Gamma$  is bipartite, we can decompose V into  $V^-$  and  $V^+$  such that the local views of any two vertices in  $V^\pm$  are disjoint. Therefore, for any two different vertices  $v, u \in V^\pm$ , the encoders  $E_v$  and  $E_u$  act on disjoint subsets of qubits, each corresponding to the local view of either v or v. Consider the following algorithm:

- ${f 1}$  Initialize 2n qubits.
- <sup>2</sup> Call the left and right segments L and R.
- 3 Apply  $E_v$  in parallel on L for any  $v \in V^+$ .
- 4 Apply  $E_v$  in parallel on R for any  $v \in V^-$ .
- 5 XOR R into L by applying CNOT from the ith bit of R to the ith bit of L.
- 6 Apply  $D_v$  in parallel on R for any  $v \in V^-$ .
- 7 Apply  $H^k$  on L. And measure.
- 8 Accept if the result in  $C_Z$

**Algorithm 1:** Compute  $|C_Z^{\perp}\rangle$ 

For any  $v \in V$ , let  $|z_v\rangle$  be the superposition of codewords in  $C_0$  supported by the local view of v. Similarly, for any subset of vertices  $W \subset V$ , let  $|z_W\rangle$  be the uniform superposition over the subspace spanned by the generators supported by the vertices in W. In other words:

$$|z_W\rangle = |\sum_{v \in W} z_v\rangle$$

Using the notation, applying the encoders  $E_v$ ,  $E_u$  for any pair of vertices with disjoints local view become:

$$E_v \cup E_u |0\rangle^n = E_v |0 + z_u\rangle = E_v |0\rangle_{u\text{'s view}} \otimes |z_u\rangle$$
$$= |z_v\rangle |z_u\rangle = |z_u + z_v\rangle = |z_{\{u,v\}}\rangle$$

So applying all the encoders  $E_v$  at once over the positive vertices results in:

$$(\bigcup_{v \in V^+} E_v) |0\rangle^n = (\bigcup_{v \in V^+/v_0} E_v) |z_{v_0} + 0\rangle = |z_{V^+}\rangle$$

Thus the whole computation sum up into:

$$(\cup_{v \in V^{+}} E_{v}) \otimes (\cup_{v \in V^{+}} E_{v}) \qquad |0\rangle^{n} \otimes |0\rangle^{n} \mapsto$$

$$CNOT \sum_{z \in A} \sum_{z' \in B} \qquad |z_{V^{+}}\rangle |z_{V^{-}}\rangle \mapsto$$

$$I \otimes H^{k} \sum_{z \in A} \sum_{z' \in B} \qquad |z + z'\rangle |z'\rangle \mapsto$$

$$\sum_{z \in A} \sum_{z' \in B} \qquad |z + z'\rangle (-1)^{wz'} |w\rangle \mapsto$$

So if  $w \in C_Z$  then clearly z'w = 0. The probability for that to occur is

$$\Pr[w \in C_Z] = \frac{|C_Z|}{\mathbb{F}_2^n} = 2^{(\rho-1)n}$$

8 Distillate  $|\Lambda + C_Z^{\perp}\rangle$  Into Magic.

Let  $|f\rangle$  be a codeword in  $C_X$ , and let  $\hat{X}_g$  be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on generator g, and 0 otherwise. Observe that applying  $T^{\otimes}$  on  $|f\rangle$  yields the state:

$$\begin{split} T^{\otimes n} \left| f \right\rangle &= T^{\otimes n} \left| \sum_{g} \hat{X}_{g} g \right\rangle = \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} \hat{X}_{g} |g| - 2 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} \hat{X}_{g} \hat{X}_{h} |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &+ 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} \hat{X}_{g} \hat{X}_{h} \hat{X}_{l} |g \cdot h \cdot l| - 8 \cdot i \pi / 4 \cdot \text{ integers} \right) \left| f \right\rangle \\ &= \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} \hat{X}_{g} |g| - 2 \cdot \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} \hat{X}_{g} \hat{X}_{h} |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} \hat{X}_{g} \hat{X}_{h} \hat{X}_{l} |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) \left| f \right\rangle \end{split}$$

So in our case:

$$\begin{split} T^{\otimes n} \left| f \right\rangle &= \\ &= \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g \in \text{ gen } \Lambda} \hat{X}_g \right. \\ &\left. - 2 \cdot \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h \in \text{ gen } C_Z^{\perp}} \hat{X}_g \hat{X}_h |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &\left. + 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h \in \text{ gen } C_Z^{\perp}} \hat{X}_g \hat{X}_h \hat{X}_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) |f\rangle \end{split}$$

So eventually, we have a product of gates when non-Clifford gates are applied on only on generators of  $C_Z^\perp$ .

$$T^{n}\left|f\right\rangle = \prod_{g \in \text{ gen }\Lambda} T_{g} \prod_{g,h \in \text{ gen }C_{Z}^{\perp}} \{CS_{g,h}|CZ_{g,h}|I\} \prod_{g,h,l \in \text{ gen }C_{Z}^{\perp}} \{CCZ_{g,h,l}|I\}\left|f\right\rangle$$

Decompose  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_1$  is supported only on  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$  and  $f_2$  is supported only on  $C_Z^{\perp}$ . By using commuting relations, the above can be turned into.

$$\begin{split} T^n \left| f \right\rangle &= \prod_{g \in \, \text{gen} \, \Lambda} T_g \ X_{f_1} \\ & \prod_{g,h \in \, \text{gen} \, C_Z^{\perp}} \{ CS_{g,h} | CZ_{g,h} | I \} \ \prod_{g,h,l \in \, \text{gen} \, C_Z^{\perp}} \{ CCZ_{g,h,l} | I \} \left| f_2 \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Denote by  $M_1, M_2$  the gates:

$$\begin{split} M_1 &= \prod_{g \in \text{ gen } \Lambda, h} \{CZ_{g,h}|I\} \\ M_2 &= \prod_{g,h \in \text{ gen } C_Z^\perp} \{CS_{g,h}|CZ_{g,h}|I\} \quad \prod_{g,h,l \in \text{ gen } C_Z^\perp} \{CCZ_{g,h,l}|I\} \end{split}$$

And then we get that

$$\begin{split} \prod_{g \in \, \text{gen } \Lambda} T_g \, |f\rangle &= M_1^\dagger T^n M_2^\dagger \, |f\rangle \\ \prod_{g \in \, \text{gen } \Lambda} T_g \, |f\rangle &= M_1^\dagger T^n \; \; E \; \; L[M_2^\dagger] \; \; |L[f]\rangle \end{split}$$

Claim 8.1. Let  $v \in V^-$ , and let  $g_1$  be the generator supported by v, which matches an assignment of a codeword in  $C_A \otimes C_B$  on the local view of v. Denote by  $U_{v,g_1}$  the control-gate which, depending on the control bit (v,1), turns on  $g_1$  over the edges associated with the local view of v in the graph G. Then, the depth of  $U_{v,g_1}$  depend only on  $\Delta$ .

**Claim 8.2.** Let  $(v, g_1)$  and  $(u, g_2)$  be control wires for two different generators in the graph G. Then  $U_{v,g_1}$  and  $U_{u,g_2}$  [COMMENT] There must be a claim about the relationship between two different generators intersection, But I don't sure exactly why.

**Definition 8.1.** We say that a quantum circuit C is well error spreading if the light cone define by any T.

Claim 8.3. The state:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{z \in C_Z^{\perp}} \exp \Big( - 2 \cdot \pi/4 \sum_{g,h \in \text{ gen } C_Z^{\perp}} \hat{X}_g \hat{X}_h |g \cdot h| \\ + 4 \cdot i \pi/4 \sum_{g,h \in \text{ gen } C_Z^{\perp}} \hat{X}_g \hat{X}_h \hat{X}_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \Big) \, |z\rangle \end{split}$$

Can be computed such that any

*Proof.* Denote by  $U_v$  the gate which turn on all the generators supported on v. As any of them is just of a code word of  $C_A \otimes C_B$ , namely turning on generator require touching at most constant number of qubits combing

**Claim 8.4.** The state  $\left(M_2^{\dagger} \otimes I\right) |C_Z^{\perp} + \Lambda\rangle |0\rangle$  can be computed, such that the light cone depth of any non-clifford gate is bounded by constant.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} (I \otimes H_X) \, CX_{n \to n} \, (E \otimes E) \quad I \otimes L[M_2^\dagger] & \prod_{\substack{J \in \{ \text{gen } \Lambda, \, g \in J \\ \text{gen } C_Z^\perp \}}} \prod_{\substack{I \in \Lambda, \, g \in J \\ \text{gen } C_Z^\perp \}}} \left( I + X_{L[g]} \right) & |0\rangle \, |0\rangle \\ &= (I \otimes H_X) \, CX_{n \to n} \sum_{\substack{z \in C_Z^\perp \\ x \in \Lambda}} e^{\varphi(z)} & |x\rangle \, |z\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{z \in C_Z^\perp \\ x \in \Lambda}} \left( M_2^\dagger \otimes I \right) & |x + z\rangle \, |0\rangle \\ &= \left( M_2^\dagger \otimes I \right) & |C_Z^\perp + \Lambda\rangle \, |0\rangle \end{split}$$

Denote by  $p \in [0, 1]$  the error rate of input magic states, and let  $|A\rangle$  be an ancilla initialized to a one-qubit magic state. This  $|A\rangle$  can be used to compute the T gate, with a probability of Z error occurring with a probability of p [BH12].

**Claim 8.5.** There are constant numbers  $\zeta_{\Delta}, \xi_{\Delta}$ , and a circuit C such that:

1. In the no-noise setting, The circuit compute the state

$$\mathcal{C} |0\rangle^{\Theta(n)} \otimes |A\rangle^{\Theta(n)} \to \prod_{g \in \text{gen } \Lambda} T_g |C_Z^{\perp} + \Lambda\rangle$$

2. Otherwise, the circuit computes the state

$$\mathcal{C} \left| 0 \right\rangle^{\Theta(n)} \otimes \left| A \right\rangle^{\Theta(n)} \to Z^e \quad \prod_{g \in \, \mathrm{gen} \, \Lambda} T_g \left| C_Z^\perp + \Lambda \right\rangle$$

, where the probability that  $e_i = 1$  is less than  $\zeta_{\Delta} \cdot p$ . Additionally, for any i, there are at most  $\xi_{\Delta}$  indices j such that  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  are dependent.

*Proof.* Concatinate the  $T^n \otimes I$  with the gate in Claim 8.4.

**Claim 8.6.** For any  $\alpha \in (0,1)$  the probability that  $|e| > (1+\alpha)p\zeta_{\Delta}$  is less than:

$$\mathbf{Pr}\left[|e| > (1+\alpha)\mathbf{E}\left[|e|\right]\right] < \frac{1 \cdot \xi_{\Delta} n}{\alpha^2 \zeta_{\Delta}^2 p^2 n^2} = o\left(1/n\right)$$

*Proof.* By the Chebyshev inequality, notice that the number for which  $\mathbf{E}\left[e_{i}e_{j}\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[e_{i}\right]\mathbf{E}\left[e_{j}\right] \neq 0$  is less than  $\xi_{\Delta}n$ .

**Definition 8.2.** We will said that a decoder  $\mathcal{D}$  for the good qunatum LDPC code is an good-local decoder if

- 1. There is a treashold  $\mu n$  such that if the error size is less than  $|e| < \mu n$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  correct e in constant number of rounds. With probability 1 o(1/n).
- 2. In any rounds  $\mathcal{D}$  performs at most O(n) work (depth  $\times$  width).
- 3. The above is true in operation-noisy settings, where there is a probability of p for an error to occur after acting on a qubit.  $(\star)$

 $\star$  The motivation for this is that if the decoder does not act on the qubit, then it also does not apply a T gate on it. Therefore, in the distillation setting, there is zero chance for an error to occur.

Claim 8.7. Suppose there is a good local decoder  $\mathcal{D}$  for the good qLDPC code. Then, there exists  $p_0$  such that for any sufficiently large n, there is a distillation protocol that, given  $\Theta(n)$  magic states at an error rate  $p < p_0$ , successfully distills  $\Theta(n)$  perfect magic states with a probability of 1 - o(1/n). Furthermore, the protocol's space and time complexity (both quantum and classical) are  $\Theta(n)$  and  $\Theta(n^2)$ , respectively.

#### References

- [MN98] Cristopher Moore and Martin Nilsson. *Parallel Quantum Computation and Quantum Codes.* 1998. arXiv: quant-ph/9808027 [quant-ph].
- [BH12] Sergey Bravyi and Jeongwan Haah. "Magic-state distillation with low overhead". In: *Physical Review A* 86.5 (2012), p. 052329.
- [LZ22] Anthony Leverrier and Gilles Zémor. Quantum Tanner codes. 2022. arXiv: 2202.13641 [quant-ph].