State Synthesis Using PRS.

David Ponarovsky

September 19, 2023

Abstract

We studies the complexity of synthesis quantum states using PRS, our reasch continues the work by [Ira+22], [Ros23], [RY21], [MY23], [Del+23].

1 Pseudorandomness.

Definition 1.1 (Pseudorandom Quantum states)**.** *Let $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{K}$ be the Hilbert and the key spaces, their diminsions depeand on a security paramter n. A state famliy $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}}$ is a pseudiorandom, if the following hold:*

1. *Efficient generation. There is a polynomial-time quantum algorithm G that generates state $|\psi_k\rangle$ on input k.*
2. *Pseudorandomness. Any polynomially many copies of $|\phi_k\rangle$ with the same random $k \in K$ is computationaly indistinguishable from the same number of copies of the Haar random state.*

Definition 1.2 (Pseudorandom Unitary Operators)**.** *A famliy of unitary operators $\{U_k \in U(\mathcal{H})\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}}$ is pseudorandom, if two conditions hold:*

1. *Efficient computation. There is an efficient quantum algorithm Q, such that for all k and any $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ $Q(k, |\psi\rangle) = U_k |\psi\rangle$.*
2. *Pseudorandomness. The uniform random distribution on U_k is computationally in distinguishable from a Haar random unitary operator.*

Definition 1.3 (The keeping setting)**.** *Let $R^A \otimes R^B$ be a general two registers domain. We define the **keeping setting** to let one construct quntum/classical circuits[1] $G : R^A \otimes R^B \to R^A \otimes R^B$ such that it is gurnted that the register R^B cann't be acssed after the computation.*

Claim 1.1. *Let G be a PRS generator, than under the the keeping setting one can assume that G takes as input two register, the first contains n ancille qubits initiliazied to $|0\rangle$ and the seconed contain a classic string initiliezed to be the seed k.*

Proof. Given a PRS $G : R^A \to R^A$ define $\tilde{G} : R^A \otimes R^B \to R^A \otimes R^B$ as follow, first $\tilde{G}$ copy the calsical state in R^B (the k-length seed) to R^A and then appaly G on R^A, Hence on sampled seed $k \in R^B$ results the output $|\psi_k\rangle \otimes |k\rangle$. Under the keeping setting any polynomial distingushier-canidate D has acsses only for $|\psi_k\rangle$, So if D distinguish between the distrubition generated by $\tilde{G}$ and the Haar measure then it also distingush between G and Haar measure. □

Claim 1.2. *Let $G : |0\rangle^n \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^k \to \{|\psi_k\rangle\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}}$ be a PRS generator uses n- ancilles and k classicl bits. Then for any unitery $V : \mathcal{H}_n \to \mathcal{H}_n$ it holds that $(V \otimes I^{\otimes k})G$ is also a PRS.*

Proof. □

[1] On which we think as a canidate for PRS/PRF/PRG generator.

Claim 1.3 (Levis Lemma for PRS)**.** *Let $f : \mathcal{H} \to R$ be a **BQP**-computible fuction on the n-qubits hilbert space, and let $g : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ a function such that:*

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle \sim U}\left[f\left(|\psi\rangle\right) > \varepsilon\right] < g(\varepsilon)$$

Then, a similar inequality also holds for states sampled by the PRS, when the probability for the measure f-value grater than ε is bounded by $g(2\varepsilon)$. Namely,

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle \sim |\psi_k\rangle}\left[f\left(|\psi\rangle\right) > \varepsilon\right] < g(2\varepsilon)$$

In praticular, Levi's lemma has a version that capture consetration of states sampled by PRS generator, states the following: Assume there exsists K such that for any $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{C}^d)$ $|f(|\psi\rangle) - f(|\phi\rangle)| < K| |\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle |$. Then there exsists a universal constant $C > 0$ such:

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{|\psi\rangle \sim |\psi_k\rangle}\left[\left|f\left(|\psi\rangle\right) - \mathbf{E}_{|\phi\rangle \sim U}\left[f\left(|\phi\rangle\right)\right]\right| > \varepsilon\right] < exp\left(-\frac{Cd}{K^2}4\varepsilon^2\right)$$

Proof. □

Claim 1.4. *Probablisitc counting argument and ε-net over PRS.*

Claim 1.5. *exsistness of poly(n) gates $G_1, G_2..$ such that, any G_i has a polynomial depth, $\langle p(G_i)|\tau\rangle > a$ and $\langle \tau^{\perp}|p(G_j)\rangle \langle p(G_i)|\tau^{\perp}\rangle < b$ for any $i \neq j$.*

Proof. □

Claim 1.6. *bla bla bla*

Definition 1.4. *ε-bised test 2-degree for testing RPU/RPS. $f(\langle x_j|G_s|\theta\rangle) = 1$ For example ask if $\langle \psi_{j'}\tau^{\perp}\rangle \langle \tau^{\perp}|\psi_j\rangle$ what I can say about that quantenty as polynomail?.*

2 What We Need for Synthesis.

Definition 2.1 (Pseudorandom Unitary for Synthesis)**.** *A famliy of unitary operators $\{U_k \in U(\mathcal{H})\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}}$ is pseudorandom for synthesis, if two conditions hold:*

1. *Efficient computation. There is an efficient quantum algorithm Q, such that for all k and any $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ $Q(k, |\psi\rangle) = U_k |\psi\rangle$.*
2. *Pseudorandomness for synthesis. Given a state $|\tau\rangle$ and polynomial number of samples $U_1, U_2..U_m$. Then:*

   (a) $|\langle \Phi(\tau, U_k)|U_k\tau\rangle|^2 > a$

   (b) $|\langle \Phi(\tau, U_k)|U_k\tau^{\perp}\rangle \langle \tau^{\perp}U_j^{\dagger}|\Phi(\tau, U_j)\rangle|^2 < b$

   The uniform random distribution on U_k is computationally in distinguishable from a Haar random unitary operator.

What about, Assume that U is a quantum circuit such that $\log n$ qubits are intilaized to some to some input and instead anciles, we have noisy ancilea, can we show that circuit is equavilanent to $\log n$ circuit? That will enable us to prove a quantum version for Nisan Wigerzdon PRG (BPP = P).

Problem. Let U be a quntum circuit which get $\log n$ stable qubits and $\mathrm{poly}(n)$ more random qubits obtained from the random Haar masure, can we simulate the circuit in $\log n$ time?

approximate the absoulte value function, For example, you can consider the binomial expansion of $\sqrt{1-y}$ on $[0,1]$. Namely, setting $y = 1-x^2$, we have $|x| = \sqrt{1-y} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{m}(-y)^m, \quad x \in [-1,1]$. That will allow me to bound the k-design.

Denote by $q_d(x)$ the d-order approximation of $|x|$, Namely

$$q_d(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{d} \binom{1/2}{m}(-1)^m \left(1-x^2\right)^m$$

and as the series is convergres to any $x \in (-1,1)$ we have that $|x| = q_d(x) + O(\binom{1/2}{d}(1-x^2)^d)$ which by the fact that $1-x^2 \in (-1,1)$ can be simpllified to $|x| = q_d(x) + O(\binom{1/2}{d}) = q_d(x) + O(1/d^{1+1/2})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}_{U\sim D}\left[(\langle\Phi(\tau,U)|\operatorname{Re} U\tau\rangle)^2\right] &= \mathbf{E}_{U\sim D}\left[\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\sum_x (-1)^{\operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re}\langle x|U\tau\rangle)}\operatorname{Re}\right. \\
&= \mathbf{E}_{U\sim D}\left[\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\sum_x |\operatorname{Re}\langle x|U\tau\rangle|\right] \\
&= \mathbf{E}_{U\sim D}\left[\sum_x |\operatorname{Re}\langle x|U\tau\rangle/2^{n/2}|\right] \\
&\geq \mathbf{E}_{U\sim D}\left[\sum_x q_d\left(\operatorname{Im}\langle x|U\tau\rangle|/2^{n/2}\right) - \right. \\
&\geq \mathbf{E}_{U\sim D}\left[q_d\left(\sum_x \operatorname{Im}\langle x|U\tau\rangle|/2^{n/2}\right) - \right. \\
&\geq \mathbf{E}_{U\sim Haar}\left[\sum_x q_d\left(\operatorname{Im}\langle x|U\tau\rangle|/2^{n/2}\right) - \right. \\
\geq \mathbf{E}_{U\sim Haar}\left[\sum_x q_d\left(\operatorname{Im}\langle x|U\tau\rangle|/2^{n/2}\right) - \mathbf{2}\cdot\binom{1/2}{d}\left(\frac{|\operatorname{Im}\langle x|U\tau\rangle|}{2^{n/2}}\right)^d\right] - \delta\cdot 2^n &\sim \mathbf{E}_{U\sim Haar}\left[\sum_x |\operatorname{Re}\langle x|U\tau\rangle/2^{n/2}| - \right.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[RY21] Gregory Rosenthal and Henry Yuen. *Interactive Proofs for Synthesizing Quantum States and Unitaries*. 2021. arXiv: 2108.07192 [quant-ph].

[Ira+22] Sandy Irani et al. "Quantum Search-To-Decision Reductions and the State Synthesis Problem". en. In: Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022. DOI: 10.4230/LIPICS.CCC.2022.5. URL: https://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/2022/16567/.

[Del+23] Hugo Delavenne et al. *Quantum Merlin-Arthur proof systems for synthesizing quantum states*. 2023. arXiv: 2303.01877 [quant-ph].

[MY23] Tony Metger and Henry Yuen. *stateQIP = statePSPACE*. 2023. arXiv: 2301.07730 [quant-ph].

[Ros23] Gregory Rosenthal. *Efficient Quantum State Synthesis with One Query*. 2023. arXiv: 2306.01723 [quant-ph].