Quantum LTC With Positive Rate

David Ponarovsky

September 1, 2022

preamble. preamble.

The Construction. Fix primes q, p_1, p_2, p_3 such that each of them has 1 residue mode 4. Let A_1, A_2, A_3 be a different generators sets of $\mathbf{GPL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ obtained by taking the solutions for $a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = p_i$ such that each pair A_i, A_j satisfy the TNC constraint. Then consider the graphs: (G is the $\mathbf{PGL} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ group).

$$\begin{split} &\Gamma_{1} = Cay_{2}\left(G, A_{1}\right) \times_{G} Cay_{2}\left(G, A_{2}\right) \\ &\Gamma_{2} = Cay_{2}\left(G, A_{1}\right) \times_{G} Cay_{2}\left(G, A_{3}\right) \\ &\Gamma_{\Box_{1}} = \left(G, \left\{(g, agb) : a \in A_{1}, b \in A_{2}\right\}\right) \\ &\Gamma_{\Box_{2}} = \left(G, \left\{(g, agc) : a \in A_{1}, c \in A_{3}\right\}\right) \\ &\Gamma_{\Box\Box} = \left(G, \left\{(gb, agc), (gc, agb) : a \in A_{1}, b \in A_{2}, c \in A_{3}\right\}\right) \end{split}$$

Then define the codes:

$$\begin{split} C_z^{\perp} &= \mathcal{T} \left(\Gamma_{\square_1}, C_{A_1}^{\perp} \otimes C_{A_2}^{\perp} \right) \\ &\mid \mathcal{T} \left(\Gamma_{\square_2}, C_{A_1}^{\perp} \otimes C_{A_3}^{\perp} \right) \\ C_x &= \mathcal{T} \left(\Gamma_{\square_1}, \left(C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \right)^{\perp} \right) \\ &\mid \mathcal{T} \left(\Gamma_{\square_2}, \left(C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_3} \right)^{\perp} \right) \\ C_w &= \mathcal{T} \left(\Gamma_{\square\square}, \left(C_{A_1} \otimes C_{A_2} \otimes C_{A_3} \right)^{\perp} \right) \end{split}$$

Notice that the faces of $\Gamma_{\square_1}, \Gamma_{\square_2}$ are disjointed and here the symbol | means just joint them together. The main focus here is to prove local test-ability for computation base (i.e C_x) and for completeness one also must to define the code

$$C_{w_z} = \mathcal{T}\left(\Gamma_{\square\square}, \left(C_{A_1}^{\perp} \otimes C_{A_2}^{\perp} \otimes C_{A_3}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}\right)$$

What We Currently Have. Given a candidate for a codeword c we could check efficiently if $c \in C_z^{\perp}$. Additionally summing up the local correction of each vertex in C_x yields a codeword in C_w . Now we would want to show something similar to property 1 in Levarier and Zemor which imply that any codeword of C_w with weigh beneath a linear threshold ηn must to be also in C_X . (And therefore we can reject candidates with high weight).

Assume that we have succeed to do so, Then the testing protocol will be looked as follow, first we check that the candidate is not in C_z^{\perp} and then we check that is indeed in C_x . And repeat again

in the phase base. Then there are constants κ_1, κ_2

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{accept} &\sim \kappa_1 \cdot d\left(c, C_z^{\perp}\right) \\ &+ \left[1 - \kappa_1 \cdot d\left(c, C_z^{\perp}\right)\right] \kappa_2 d\left(c, C_x\right) \\ \mathbf{reject} &\sim \left[1 - \kappa_1 \cdot d\left(c, C_z^{\perp}\right)\right] \\ &+ \kappa_1 \cdot d\left(c, C_z^{\perp}\right) \cdot \left[1 - \kappa_2 d\left(c, C_x\right)\right] \end{split}$$

Disclaimer. The use of the \sim was made by purpose. The above should be formalize by inequalities. (And this also make another problem as the term $1 - \kappa_1 \cdot d$ () is in the opposite direction).

The Hard Part. It seems (at least for now) that the hard part is to find an analog for Lemma 1 in Levrier-Zemor, Which can formalize as follow: Consider a codeword $c \in C_w$ such that $|c| \leq \eta n$ then we could always find a vertex in Γ_{\Box_1} and a local codeword $\xi \in C_{A_1} \otimes c_{A_2}$ on his support such that $|c + \xi| < |c|$.

Tasks.

- 1. Prove that $\Gamma_{\square\square}$ is indeed an expander. Should be (relative) easy.
- 2. Prove a Lemma 1 analogy. And while do so, understand what are the properties we should require from the small code. (i.e wrobustness and p-resistance for puncturing).
- 3. Show that we could actually choose such $\{A\}_i$ and the matched small codes.
- 4. Understand what it mean quantomly test if a $c \in C_w/C_x$. Namely, is weight counting can be consider as X-check which commute with the other Z-checks?
- 5. Write a program which plot small complex in a small scale for getting more intuition.