# Magic States Distillation Using Quantum Expander Codes.

#### David Ponarovsky

March 3, 2024

## 1 Good Codes With Large $\Lambda$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$  upper triangular matrix such that k < n. We say that M has the 1-stairs property if  $M_{ij} = 1$  any j < i.

Claim 1.1. Any  $M \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k \times n}$  upper triangular matrix can be turn into upper triangular matrix that has the 1-stairs property by elementary operation.

*Proof.* Consider the following algorithm: Let M be our initial matrix. We iterate over the rows from left to right. In the ith iteration, we check for any row j < i if  $M_{ji} = 1$ . If not, we set M to be the matrix obtained by adding the ith row to the jth row. Since M is an upper triangular matrix, adding the ith row does not change any entry  $M_{js}$  for s < i. Therefore, the obtained matrix is still an upper triangular matrix and the entries at  $M_{js}$  for j, s < i remain the same, namely 1 if and only if  $j \le s$ .

Continuing with the process eventually yields, after k iterations, a matrix with the 1-stair property.

Claim 1.2. Let  $\Lambda$  be a set of k' independent codewords in a [n, k, d] code. Then there exists a code  $C' = [\leq 2n, \geq k - k'/2, d]$  and a set of independent codewords  $\Lambda'$  in it, such that  $|\Lambda'| > \frac{1}{2}|\Lambda|$  and for every pair  $x, y \in \Lambda'$ , we have  $x \cdot y = 0$ .

*Proof.* First, consider the upper triangular matrix obtained by applying Gaussian elimination on  $\Lambda$  that has the 1-stair property. Now, consider the following process: go uphill, from right to left, iterating over the matrix. Let j=k be the first non-zero coordinate in the bottom row of the matrix. In the *i*th iteration, we ask how many rows  $u_m$ , such that m < j, satisfy  $u_m u_j = 0$ .

If more than half of such  $u_m$  satisfy the equality, then we move on to the next iteration. Otherwise, we encode the jth coordinate by  $C_0$ , which maps  $1 \to w$  such that  $w \cdot w = 0$ . This flips the value of  $u_m u_j$  for any pair, so we get that the majority of pairs satisfy the equality.

Let  $S \subset \Gamma$  be the group of vectors for which there exists at least one vector in  $\Gamma$  whose multiplication with them is not zero. Note that the total number of pairs with zero multiplication is greater than:

$$\frac{k'-1}{2} + \frac{k'-2}{2} + \ldots + \frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k'-1)(k'-2)}{2}$$

So

$$|S| \cdot (k'-1) \le {k' \choose 2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(k'-1)(k'-2)}{2} < \frac{k'(k'-1)}{2} \Rightarrow |S| < \frac{k'}{2}$$

Set  $\Lambda' \leftarrow \Gamma/S$ . And we got what we wanted.

[COMMENT] Change to  $\mathbf{Pr}_{j \sim [\Delta]}\left[i, j \text{ collide }\right] < \frac{1}{2\Delta}$ 

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\{h_i\}_1^t$  be the checks of  $\Delta$ -length code  $C_0$ . We say that ith bit and the jth bit collide if there a check h such that  $h_i = h_j = 1$ . We say that a  $C_0$  is a checks-hashed if:

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{i,j\sim[\Delta]^2}\left[i,j \; collide \; \right] < rac{1}{2\Delta}$$

**Claim 1.3.** Suppose that  $C_0^{\perp}$  is a checks-hashed. Then  $(C_0^{\otimes m})^{\perp}$  is also a checks-hashed. Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v \sim [n]^2} \left[ X_{u,v}^{(m)} \right] \leq & \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v \sim [\Delta]^2} \left[ X_{u,v}^{(1)} \right] \cdot \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v \sim [n/\Delta]^2} \left[ X_{u,v}^{(m-1)} \right] \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2\Delta} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{2\Delta} \right)^{m-1} = \left( \frac{1}{2\Delta} \right)^m \end{split}$$

Consider the following decoder, we flip a bit if flipping it decrease the syndrome. Now observers that if a non faulty bit i has been flip then it means that there is at least one faulty bit j in the error e that i, j collide. Similarly if a faulty bit i hasn't been flip then it means that there is another faulty bit j that collide with him. In overall we conclude that the total number of incorrect flips made by the decoder is at most the number of collisions.

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{v \in e} \sum_{u \in [n]} X_{v,u}\right] \le |e| \cdot n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta}\right)^m = \frac{|e|}{2^m}$$

Now we are going to add a random error at weight  $\frac{|e|}{2^m}$  to ensure that in the next iteration the  $\frac{|e|}{2^{m-1}}$  error will distributed uniformly. Repeating for  $\log_{2^{m-1}}$  rounds correct the error. (not exactly there is an error in each round that should be handled).

**[COMMENT]** We flip in over all  $|e| \sum \frac{1}{2^i} < 2|e|$  bits, so we would like to have  $|e| \le d/4$ .

**[COMMENT]** Yet we can do better, if  $e = z + \tilde{e}$  where z commute with all our generators.

**[COMMENT]** And if it anticommute with only l of them, then we have only l errors.

$$\Delta^m \leq 1/p_0^2 \to \alpha \cdot 1/p_0^2, \frac{m}{2^m} \log \Delta$$

Claim 1.4. Let H be a  $|V| \times r$  binary parity check matrix of  $\tilde{C}$ . Also, let G be a  $\Delta$ -regular graph. A bit assignment over G edges x will be said to be  $\tilde{C}$ -vertices-respect if the vector  $z(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{|V|}$  which is defined as:

$$z(x)_v = \begin{cases} 1 & v \text{ sees at least one } 1\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

is a codeword of  $\tilde{C}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all  $\tilde{C}$ -vertices-respect assignments. Then  $|\Lambda| > (1-\varepsilon)2^{\rho|V|}$ .

*Proof.* Any  $x \in \Lambda$  is a solution for the following system of equations:

$$z_v = 1 + \prod_{e \in v} (1 - x_e)$$
$$Hz = 0$$

Claim 1.5. Assume that  $C_0$  is a  $\Delta$ -length code such that for any two non-trival codewords  $c, c' \in C_0$  we have that  $c \cdot c' = 1$ , and denote by  $C = \mathcal{T}(G, C_0)$ . And let  $\Lambda$  be a the set of all  $\tilde{C}$ -vertices-respect assignments where  $\tilde{C}$  satisfies relation R. Then also  $C \cap \Lambda$  satisfies R.

Let  $|f\rangle$  be a codeword in  $C_X$ , and let  $X_g$  be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on  $X_g$ , and 0 otherwise. Observes that applying  $T^{\otimes}$  on  $|f\rangle$  yilds the state:

$$\begin{split} T^{\otimes n} \left| f \right\rangle &= T^{\otimes n} \left| \sum_{g} X_g g \right\rangle = \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &+ 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| - 8 \cdot i \pi / 4 \cdot \text{ integers } \right) \left| f \right\rangle \\ &= \exp \left( i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) \left| f \right\rangle \end{split}$$

## 2 Many to One.

Assume that f is supported on exactly one generator. Then we have that  $T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = e^{i\pi|g|/4} |f\rangle$ Therefore, if |g| = 4k + 1 then we are done.

## 3 Using Quntum Error Correction Codes.

Now assume that the code  $C_X$  is the quantum Tanner code, denote by G, A, B the group and the two generator sets that are used for constructing the square complex.

Claim 3.1. Consider g, h that are supported on the same  $v \in V$ . We will call such a pair a source-sharing pair. Suppose that for any we have that  $|g \cdot h|$  is even. Then there is a Clifford gate that computes  $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h \text{ source-sharing}} X_g X_h |g \cdot h|\right) |f\rangle$ .

Claim 3.2. Let  $C_A$  and  $C_{A'}$  such that  $C_{A'} \subset C_A$ . Then  $(C_A^{\perp} \otimes C_B^{\perp})^{\perp}$ ,  $C_{A'} \otimes C_{B'}$  form a CSS code C such there exists a subspace  $V \subset C$  with effictive distance d.

*Proof.* Idea. consider generators of the form  $e_0 \otimes g$ . Any codeword in their span is just a first row asssituentd to a code word of  $C_A$ . If we assume less than linear number on that row then we will secuces to decode it, + some other generators that we don't care about.

$$C_X = \left( \left( C_A \otimes C_0 \right)^{\perp} \otimes C_0^{\perp} \right)^{\perp}$$
$$C_Z = \left( \left( C_A \otimes C_0 \right) \otimes C_0 \right)^{\perp}$$

**Claim 3.3.** Let C be a code at rate  $\rho(C) > 7/8$  has at least one codeword  $x \in C$ , such that |x| = 81.

**Definition 3.1.** We will say that a code C is (l, m)-genorthogonal if there exists a generator set G for C such that for any  $I \subset G$  such that 1 < |I| < l we have that:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} \prod_{g_j \in I \subset G} g_j^i =_m 0$$

Claim 3.4. If there exists a single (l,m)-genorthogonal code for a finite length  $\Delta$ , then there is a family of (l,m)-genorthogonal good codes. Moreover, if there exists a generator in  $C_0$  of weight  $|\cdot|_m = 1$ , then there exists a family that also has at least one generator of weight  $|\cdot|_m = 1$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $C_0 = \Delta[1, \rho_0, \delta_0]$  an (l, m)-genorthogonal code and observes that for any  $C = [n, \rho n, \delta n]$  the tensor code  $C_0 \otimes C = [\Delta n, \rho_0 \rho \Delta n, \delta_0 \delta \Delta n]$  is also (l, m)-genorthogonal code.

For the second part of the claim, Choose C to be a good code with rate  $> (2^m - 1)/2^m$  by Claim 3.3 there is at least on codeword c in C such that  $|c| =_m 1$ .

So pick the base for  $C_0 \otimes C$  such the first generator is  $g_0 \otimes c$  where  $g_0$  denote a generator of  $C_0$  satisfies  $|g_0| =_m 1$ . Then  $|g_0 \otimes c| = |g_0| \cdot |c| =_m 1$ .

**Claim 3.5.** Suppose that there exists (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight  $|\cdot| =_m 1$  then there exists also a family of good (m+1,m)-genorthogonal codes such that a liner portion of his generators g have weight  $|g| =_m 1$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $C_0$  a finte (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight  $|\cdot| =_m 1$ . Let C be a good (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code with generator c such that  $|c| =_m 1$ , the existence of which is given by Claim 3.4. Denote its rate by  $\rho$ . If C has more than  $\rho/m \cdot n$  generators at weight  $|\cdot| =_m 1$  then we are done. Otherwise, by the pigeonhole principle, there is an i such that more than  $\rho/m$  portion of the generators are at weight  $|\cdot| =_m i$ . Denote them by  $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_m$ .

Define the set  $g_1', g_2'..g_m'$  as

$$\begin{split} g_t' &= c + \sum_{j=t}^{t+m} g_j \\ \Rightarrow |g_{t+1}'| &= |c| + \sum_t |g_j| + \sum_{|I| < l+1} \left| \prod_{g \in I} \alpha_\star g \right| \\ &=_m c + m \cdot i =_m c =_m 1 \end{split}$$

Now take  $C_0 \otimes C$ , and set the new generator set to be  $g_i^0 \otimes g_j'$ . And it's easy to verify that we got the code we wanted.

**Claim 3.6.** There exists, a good LDPC code (classic) C such that  $C^{\perp}$  is also a good code and a generator set G, for exists  $G' \subset G$  and  $|G'| = \Theta(|G|)$  such:

- 1. For any pair  $x \neq y \in G' \rightarrow x \cdot y =_8 0$
- 2. For any triple  $x \neq y, z \in G' \rightarrow \sum_i x_i y_i z_i =_8 0$
- 3. For any  $x \in G' \rightarrow |x| =_8 1$

**Claim 3.7.** There is  $n \to \Theta(n)$  magic states distillation into a binary qldpc code with  $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$  distance, and therefore with asymptotic overhead approaching 1

*Proof.* For the encoding we are going to use the hyperproduct code defined in [TZ14]. Let C be the code given by Claim 3.6 and consider the hyperproduct of C with itself  $Q = Q(C \times_H C)$ . In addition, denote by  $C_X, C_Z$  the CSS representation of Q.

By the fact that  $C^{\perp}$  is also a good code, then Q is a positive rate, square root distance code. Let  $\rho$  be the rate of C and  $1-\rho$  be the rate of  $C^{\perp}$ . As  $\rho>0$ , then one can find  $I\subset [n]$  coordinates such that for any  $i\in I$  the indicator  $e_i\not\in C^{\perp}$ . Hence, it holds from [TZ14] that any vector of the form  $e_i\otimes x$  is a codeword of  $C_X/C_Z^{\perp}$ .

Denote by  $\rho'$  the portion of G' as defined in Claim 3.6, and define S to be:

$$S = \{ e_i \otimes x | e_i \notin C^{\perp}, x \in G' \}$$

Observes that  $|S| = \rho' \rho n^2$  and in addition S satisfies the properties in Claim 3.6. Denote by f a codeword supported only on S and denote by  $X_s$  the indecator that indicate that s supports f.

Thus:

$$T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = \exp\left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g} X_g \underbrace{|g|}_{|g|} \right)$$

$$-2 \cdot i\pi/4 \underbrace{\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h|}_{8k}$$

$$+4 \cdot i\pi/4 \underbrace{\sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l|}_{8k} \right) |f\rangle$$

$$= \exp\left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g \in S} X_g\right) |f\rangle$$

Therefore we can, generate the enocded ([COMMENT] For now without spanning on on  $C_Z^{\perp}$ ) product of  $T^{\otimes |S|} |+\rangle^{|S|}$ :

$$\prod_{s \in S} \left( \left. |0\rangle + \exp\left(i\pi/4\right) \left| s \right\rangle \right)$$

#### [COMMENT] What is left:

- 1. Show that one can generate  $\prod_{s \in S} \left( |C_Z^{\perp}\rangle + \exp\left(i\pi/4\right) |C_Z^{\perp} + s\rangle \right)$  without propagate the errors. I think I know how to do it.
- 2. Compute a threshold  $p_0$  for using Baravi construction.

Thus we have that  $\gamma = \log(n/k)/\log(d) = \log(n/|S|)/\log(\Theta(\sqrt{n})) \to 0$  and the overhead growes as  $\log^{\gamma}(n) \to 1$  [BH12], [MEK12].

#### References

- [BH12] Sergey Bravyi and Jeongwan Haah. "Magic-state distillation with low overhead". In: *Physical Review A* 86.5 (2012), p. 052329.
- [MEK12] Adam M. Meier, Bryan Eastin, and Emanuel Knill. Magic-state distillation with the four-qubit code. 2012. arXiv: 1204.4221 [quant-ph].
- [TZ14] Jean-Pierre Tillich and Gilles Zemor. "Quantum LDPC Codes With Positive Rate and Minimum Distance Proportional to the Square Root of the Blocklength". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60.2 (Feb. 2014), pp. 1193–1202. DOI: 10.1109/tit. 2013.2292061. URL: https://doi.org/10.1109%2Ftit.2013.2292061.