The Dual-Tensor Polynomial Code Is Not w-Robust.

David Ponarovsky

April 2, 2023

Abstract

w-Robust codes are among the main ingredients in the novel constructions of good Quantum LDPC and LTC codes made by [Din+22], [LZ22], and [PK21]. The Robustness property grants that any small-weight local view of the codeword will spread a fraction of it in both directions of the Left-Right Cayley Complex. On our way to construct Locally Testable Quantum Codes, we have tested a particular case on which the small code set on each local view is the polynomial code and focus on whether it can be w-robust code. Unfortunately, our answer to that question is negative. In this work, we share our experiences, ideas, and insights. We hope that all those would serve others in bringing closer a Quantum PCP Theorem.

1 Preambles

Locally Testable Codes (LTC) are error correction codes such that verifying a uniformly chosen check would be enough to detect any error with probability proportional to its size. Simply put, one can imagine puzzle parts such that any trial to connect pieces in order far from a correct assignment would fail (w.p) at an early step of the process. The analogy for not testability is the case in which the contradiction is observed only in the attempt to putting the last piece.

Likewise, Quantum LDPC codes (qLDPC) are also error correction codes; though that qLDPC encode qubits instead bits, for been considered good, they have to protect against two types of error, and obviously, their decoders have to be designed such that any attempt to detect or fix a one type error would not cause a second type error.

Good LTC and qLDPC have more in common besides the fact that their existences were open questions for a long time that were solved at once. For example, It has shown that sampling uniformly a code would be, with probability 1, neither LTC [BHR03] nor qLDPC code. That stands in total contrast to many other valuable entities in computer science, such as good classic LDPC codes, which a random process can achieve. Thus, it is unsurprising that the recent constructions hinge on a complex that is relatively rich with algebraic structure. And even though those results are indeed used for proving the NLTS conjecture [ABN22], one could expect that the construction of a qLTC will follow soon after them.

Here we shatter light on that wondering by point on one reason that cause the straightforward approach to fail. In detail,.. [COMMENT] complete this.

2 Background.

2.1 Polynomial Code

. Consider the field \mathbb{F}_m for an arbitrary prime power $m=q^l$ greater than n. The polynomial codes relay on the fact that any two different polynomials in the ring $\mathbb{F}_m[x]$ at degree at most d different by at least n-d+1 points. By define the code to be the subspace contains all the polynomials at degree at most d encoded by n numbers associated with their values. Formally we define:

Definition 1. Fix m > n to be a prime power and let $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$ distinct points of the field $\mathbb{F}_m = R$ and define the code $C \subset R$ as follows:

$$C = \{p(a_0), p(a_1), p(a_2), \dots p(a_n) : p \text{ is polynomial at degree at most } k\}$$

Lemma 1. Fix the degree of the polynomial code to be at most d. Then the parameters of the code are [n, d+1, n-d].

Proof. The dimension of the code equals to the dimension of the polynomials space at degree at most d which is spanned by the vectors $e_1, e_2...e_d = 1, x...x^d$ and therefore is d+1. In addition suppose that f, g are different polynomials i.e $f \neq g$.

Hence h = f - g is a non-0 polynomial at degree at most d and therefore has at most d roots. Namely at most d points in which f equals g and at least n - d in which they disagree. Put in another way the distance between any two different codewords of the code is at least n - d.

Notice that encoding naively the aleph-bet of \mathbb{F}_p in binary strings require to pay a factor $\log n$ bits, So the asymptotic rate of the code attends to zero. [COMMENT] Add a statement about the vanishing rate of the binary encoded version. And add a paragraph about Tanner code in which each edge correspond to a non binary alpha-bet.

2.1.1 Note On Quantum Polynomial Code.

Let's define the code C such that any state in C is a coset of the polynomials at degree at most d shifted by $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$. In other words the codeword associated with x is the state $|\underline{c}\rangle = \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathbb{F}_d[x] \\ f(0)=0}} |c+f\rangle$. The inner product between any d-degree polynomial with zero free coefficient is:

$$\langle f|x^j\rangle = \sum_{i\leq d} \langle a_i x^i|x^j\rangle = \sum_{i\leq d} a_i \mathbf{E}\left[x^i x^j\right] = \sum_{i\leq d} a_i \mathbf{1}_{i+j=n0}$$

[COMMENT] Say some words about the classily testability of the polynomial code, and why for quantum it doesn't work. (The dual space of polynomials of low degree is the subspace of all the polynomials with heigh degree.)

Next, we will review Tanner's construction, that in addition to being a critical element to our proof, also serves as an example of how one can construct a code with arbitrary length and positive rate.

3 The Polynomial-Code Is Not w-Robust.

One idea for constructing is to use the polynomial code instead C_0 , The follow form the fact that if one pick degree strictly greater than $\Delta/2$ then $C_0^{\perp} \subset C_0$ and therefore one could choose C_z to be the same code defined on the negative vertices of the graph.

Here we prove that the dual-tensor code, in that case, is not w-robust, meaning that any such construction should be consider other way for proving the reduction Lemma.

Claim 1. Let C_0 be the $[\Delta, d, \Delta - d]$ polynomial code. Then any code word in $(C_0^{\perp} \otimes C_0^{\perp})^{\perp}$ is a polynomial in F[x, y] at degree at most $\Delta + d$

Proof. Consider base element $C_0 \otimes \mathbb{F}$, denote it by $c = g_i \otimes e_j$. And notice that c has representation in F[x,y] of $\prod_{y'\neq j} (y-y')g_i(x)$. By the fact that $g_i(x) \in C_0$ we have that degree of c is at most $\Delta + \delta$. Hence any element in the subspace of $C_0 \otimes \mathbb{F}$ is a polynomial at degree at most $\Delta + d$.

Claim 2. The dual-tensor polynomial code is not w-robust.

Proof.

$$P(x,y) = \prod_{i \neq \Delta - 1} (x + iy) = \prod_{i \neq 1} (x - iy)$$

$$P(x,x) = \prod_{i \neq \Delta - 1} (x + ix) = x^{\Delta - 1} \prod_{i \neq \Delta - 1} (1 + i) = (\Delta - 1)! =_{\Delta} - 1 \neq_{\Delta} 0$$

References

[BHR03] Eli Ben-Sasson, Prahladh Harsha, and Sofya Raskhodnikova. "Some 3CNF Properties Are Hard to Test". In: *Proceedings of the Thirty-Fifth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. STOC '03. San Diego, CA, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2003, pp. 345–354. ISBN: 1581136749. DOI: 10.1145/780542.780594. URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/780542.780594.

- [PK21] Pavel Panteleev and Gleb Kalachev. Asymptotically Good Quantum and Locally Testable Classical LDPC Codes. 2021. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2111.03654. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.03654.
- [ABN22] Anurag Anshu, Nikolas P. Breuckmann, and Chinmay Nirkhe. *NLTS Hamiltonians from good quantum codes.* 2022. arXiv: 2206.13228 [quant-ph].
- [Din+22] Irit Dinur et al. *Good Locally Testable Codes.* 2022. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2207.11929. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.11929.
- [LZ22] Anthony Leverrier and Gilles Zémor. Quantum Tanner codes. 2022. arXiv: 2202.13641 [quant-ph].