Magic States Distillation Using Quantum Expander Codes.

David Ponarovsky

February 14, 2024

Definition 0.1. Let $\{h_i\}_1^t$ be the checks of Δ -length code C_0 . We say that ith bit and the jth bit collide if there a check h such that $h_i = h_j = 1$. We say that a C_0 is a checks-hashed if:

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{i,j\sim[\Delta]^2}\left[i,j \; collide \; \right] < \frac{1}{2\Delta}$$

Claim 0.1. Suppose that C_0^{\perp} is a checks-hashed. Then $(C_0^{\otimes m})^{\perp}$ is also a checks-hashed. Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v \sim [n]^2} \left[X_{u,v}^{(m)} \right] \leq & \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v \sim [\Delta]^2} \left[X_{u,v}^{(1)} \right] \cdot \mathbf{Pr}_{u,v \sim [n/\Delta]^2} \left[X_{u,v}^{(m-1)} \right] \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2\Delta} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta} \right)^{m-1} = \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta} \right)^m \end{split}$$

Consider the following decoder, we flip a bit if flipping it decrease the syndrome. Now observers that if a non faulty bit i has been flip then it means that there is at least one faulty bit j in the error e that i, j collide. Similarly if a faulty bit i hasn't been flip then it means that there is another faulty bit j that collide with him. In overall we conclude that the total number of incorrect flips made by the decoder is at most the number of collisions.

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sum_{v\in e}\sum_{u\in[n]}X_{v,u}\right] \leq |e|\cdot n\cdot \left(\frac{1}{2\Delta}\right)^m = \frac{|e|}{2^m}$$

Now we are going to add a random error at weight $\frac{|e|}{2^m}$ to ensure that in the next iteration the $\frac{|e|}{2^{m-1}}$ error will distributed uniformly. Repeating for $\log_{2^{m-1}}$ rounds correct the error. (not exactly there is an error in each round that should be handled).

Claim 0.2. Let H be a $|V| \times r$ binary parity check matrix of \tilde{C} . Also, let G be a Δ -regular graph. A bit assignment over G edges x will be said to be \tilde{C} -vertices-respect if the vector $z(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{|V|}$ which is defined as:

$$z(x)_v = \begin{cases} 1 & v \text{ sees at least one } 1\\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

is a codeword of \tilde{C} . Let Λ be the set of all \tilde{C} -vertices-respect assignments. Then $|\Lambda| > (1-\varepsilon)2^{\rho|V|}$. Proof. Any $x \in \Lambda$ is a solution for the following system of equations:

$$z_v = 1 + \prod_{e \in v} (1 - x_e)$$
$$Hz = 0$$

Claim 0.3. Assume that C_0 is a Δ -length code such that for any two non-trival codewords $c, c' \in C_0$ we have that $c \cdot c' = 1$, and denote by $C = \mathcal{T}(G, C_0)$. And let Λ be a the set of all \tilde{C} -vertices-respect assignments where \tilde{C} satisfies relation R. Then also $C \cap \Lambda$ satisfies R.

Let $|f\rangle$ be a codeword in C_X , and let X_g be the indicator that equals 1 if f has support on X_g , and 0 otherwise. Observes that applying T^{\otimes} on $|f\rangle$ yilds the state:

$$\begin{split} T^{\otimes n} \left| f \right\rangle &= T^{\otimes n} \left| \sum_{g} X_g g \right\rangle = \exp \left(i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| \right. \\ &+ 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| - 8 \cdot i \pi / 4 \cdot \text{ integers } \right) \left| f \right\rangle \\ &= \exp \left(i \pi / 4 \sum_{g} X_g |g| - 2 \cdot \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i \pi / 4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) \left| f \right\rangle \end{split}$$

1 Many to One.

Assume that f is supported on exactly one generator. Then we have that $T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = e^{i\pi|g|/4} |f\rangle$. Therefore, if |g| = 4k + 1 then we are done.

2 Using Quntum Error Correction Codes.

Now assume that the code C_X is the quantum Tanner code, denote by G, A, B the group and the two generator sets that are used for constructing the square complex.

Claim 2.1. Consider g, h that are supported on the same $v \in V$. We will call such a pair a source-sharing pair. Suppose that for any we have that $|g \cdot h|$ is even. Then there is a Clifford gate that computes $|f\rangle \mapsto \exp\left(-i\pi \sum_{g,h \text{ source-sharing }} X_g X_h |g \cdot h|\right) |f\rangle$.

Claim 2.2. Let C_A and $C_{A'}$ such that $C_{A'} \subset C_A$. Then $\left(C_A^{\perp} \otimes C_B^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}$, $C_{A'} \otimes C_{B'}$ form a **CSS** code C such there exists a subspace $V \subset C$ with effictive distance d.

Proof. Idea. consider generators of the form $e_0 \otimes g$. Any codeword in their span is just a first row assistment to a code word of C_A . If we assume less than linear number on that row then we will secuces to decode it, + some other generators that we don't care about.

$$C_X = \left((C_A \otimes C_0)^{\perp} \otimes C_0^{\perp} \right)^{\perp}$$
$$C_Z = \left((C_A \otimes C_0) \otimes C_0 \right)^{\perp}$$

Claim 2.3. Let C be a code at rate $\rho(C) > 7/8$ has at least one codeword $x \in C$, such that |x| = 81.

Definition 2.1. We will say that a code C is (l,m)-genorthogonal if there exists a generator set G for C such that for any $I \subset G$ such that 1 < |I| < l we have that:

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} \prod_{g_i \in I \subset G} g_j^i =_m 0$$

Claim 2.4. If there exists a single (l,m)-genorthogonal code for a finite length Δ , then there is a family of (l,m)-genorthogonal good codes. Moreover, if there exists a generator in C_0 of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$, then there exists a family that also has at least one generator of weight $|\cdot|_m = 1$.

Proof. Denote by $C_0 = \Delta[1, \rho_0, \delta_0]$ an (l, m)-genorthogonal code and observes that for any $C = [n, \rho n, \delta n]$ the tensor code $C_0 \otimes C = [\Delta n, \rho_0 \rho \Delta n, \delta_0 \delta \Delta n]$ is also (l, m)-genorthogonal code.

For the second part of the claim, Choose C to be a good code with rate $> (2^m - 1)/2^m$ by Claim 2.3 there is at least on codeword c in C such that $|c| =_m 1$.

So pick the base for $C_0 \otimes C$ such the first generator is $g_0 \otimes c$ where g_0 denote a generator of C_0 satisfies $|g_0| =_m 1$. Then $|g_0 \otimes c| = |g_0| \cdot |c| =_m 1$.

Claim 2.5. Suppose that there exists (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then there exists also a family of good (m+1,m)-genorthogonal codes such that a liner portion of his generators g have weight $|g| =_m 1$.

Proof. Denote by C_0 a finte (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code, such that any generator of it has weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$. Let C be a good (m+1,m)-genorthogonal code with generator c such that $|c| =_m 1$, the existence of which is given by Claim 2.4. Denote its rate by ρ . If C has more than $\rho/m \cdot n$ generators at weight $|\cdot| =_m 1$ then we are done. Otherwise, by the pigeonhole principle, there is an i such that more than ρ/m portion of the generators are at weight $|\cdot| =_m i$. Denote them by $g_1, g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_m$.

Define the set $g_1, g_2'..g_m'$ as

$$g'_{t} = c + \sum_{j=t}^{t+m} g_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow |g'_{t+1}| = |c| + \sum_{t} |g_{j}| + \sum_{|I| < l+1} \left| \prod_{g \in I} \alpha_{\star} g \right|$$

$$=_{m} c + m \cdot i =_{m} c =_{m} 1$$

Now take $C_0 \otimes C$, and set the new generator set to be $g_i^0 \otimes g_j'$. And it's easy to verify that we got the code we wanted.

Claim 2.6. There exists, a good LDPC code (classic) C such that C^{\perp} is also a good code and a generator set G, for exists $G' \subset G$ and $|G'| = \Theta(|G|)$ such:

- 1. For any pair $x \neq y \in G' \rightarrow x \cdot y =_8 0$
- 2. For any triple $x \neq y, z \in G' \rightarrow \sum_i x_i y_i z_i =_8 0$
- 3. For any $x \in G' \rightarrow |x| =_8 1$

Claim 2.7. There is $n \to \Theta(n)$ magic states distillation into a binary qldpc code with $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ distance, and therefore with asymptotic overhead approaching 1

Proof. For the encoding we are going to use the hyperproduct code defined in [TZ14]. Let C be the code given by Claim 2.6 and consider the hyperproduct of C with itself $Q = Q(C \times_H C)$. In addition, denote by C_X , C_Z the CSS representation of Q.

By the fact that C^{\perp} is also a good code, then Q is a positive rate, square root distance code. Let ρ be the rate of C and $1-\rho$ be the rate of C^{\perp} . As $\rho>0$, then one can find $I\subset [n]$ coordinates such that for any $i\in I$ the indicator $e_i\not\in C^{\perp}$. Hence, it holds from [TZ14] that any vector of the form $e_i\otimes x$ is a codeword of C_X/C_Z^{\perp} .

Denote by ρ' the portion of G' as defined in Claim 2.6, and define S to be:

$$S = \left\{ e_i \otimes x | e_i \not\in C^{\perp}, x \in G' \right\}$$

Observes that $|S| = \rho' \rho n^2$ and in addition S satisfies the properties in Claim 2.6. Denote by f a codeword supported only on S and denote by X_s the indecator that indicate that s supports f.

Thus:

$$T^{\otimes n} |f\rangle = \exp\left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g} X_g \frac{8k+1}{|g|} - 2 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h |g \cdot h| + 4 \cdot i\pi/4 \sum_{g,h} X_g X_h X_l |g \cdot h \cdot l| \right) |f\rangle$$

$$= \exp\left(i\pi/4 \sum_{g \in S} X_g \right) |f\rangle$$

Therefore we can, generate the enocded ([COMMENT] For now without spanning on on C_Z^{\perp}) product of $T^{\otimes |S|} |+\rangle^{|S|}$:

$$\prod_{s \in S} \left(\left. |0\rangle + \exp\left(i\pi/4\right) \left| s \right\rangle \right)$$

[COMMENT] What is left:

- 1. Show that one can generate $\prod_{s \in S} \left(|C_Z^{\perp}\rangle + \exp\left(i\pi/4\right) |C_Z^{\perp} + s\rangle \right)$ without propagate the errors. I think I know how to do it.
- 2. Compute a threshold p_0 for using Baravi construction.

Thus we have that $\gamma = \log(n/k)/\log(d) = \log(n/|S|)/\log(\Theta(\sqrt{n})) \to 0$ and the overhead growes as $\log^{\gamma}(n) \to 1$ [BH12], [MEK12].

References

- [BH12] Sergey Bravyi and Jeongwan Haah. "Magic-state distillation with low overhead". In: *Physical Review A* 86.5 (2012), p. 052329.
- [MEK12] Adam M. Meier, Bryan Eastin, and Emanuel Knill. Magic-state distillation with the four-qubit code. 2012. arXiv: 1204.4221 [quant-ph].
- [TZ14] Jean-Pierre Tillich and Gilles Zemor. "Quantum LDPC Codes With Positive Rate and Minimum Distance Proportional to the Square Root of the Blocklength". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60.2 (Feb. 2014), pp. 1193–1202. DOI: 10.1109/tit. 2013.2292061. URL: https://doi.org/10.1109%2Ftit.2013.2292061.