

# Good Codes Singleton Bound

David Ponomarevsky

October 5, 2022

## Abstract

We propose a new asymptotic upper bound on the trade-off between the rate and the distance of a good error correction code.

## 1 Preambles

Coding theory has emerged by the need to transfer information in noisy communication channels. By embedding a message in higher dimension space, one can guarantee robustness against possible faults. The ratio of the original content length to the passed message length is the rate of the code, and it measures how consuming our communication protocol is. Furthermore, the distance of the code quantifies how many faults the scheme can absorb such that the receiver could recover the original message.

Even though it is obvious that any construction resilient to a large number of faults should have a complexity price, The exact relation between the rate and the code distance is still unknown. However, we do know un-tight upper bounds. The first one was proved by Singleton and set the linear constraint:  $\rho + \delta \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\Delta}$  for any  $[\Delta, \rho\Delta, \delta\Delta]$  linear code [Sin64].

Non-formally, we say that code is good if its distance and rate are scaled linearly in the encoded message length. Besides the fact that good codes are considered efficient in terms of robustness and overhead, they are also vital components in establishing secure multiparty computation [BGW19] and have a deep connection to probabilistic proofs.

In this work, we show a new upper bound  $\rho + \frac{5}{16}\delta \leq 1 + \frac{1}{16}$  which tighter than the Singleton bound and holds for any good code. First, we state the notations, definitions, and formal theorem in section 2. Then in sections 3 and 4, we review past results and provide their proofs in order to make this paper self-contained. Readers familiar with the basic concepts of LDPC codes and the Tanner code construction should consider skipping directly to section 5, in which we provide our proof.

## 2 Linear Error Correction Codes

## 3 Tanner Code

## 4 Construction

## 5 Comparing To Known Bounds

## References

- [Sin64] R. Singleton. “Maximum distanceq-nary codes”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 10.2 (Apr. 1964), pp. 116–118. ISSN: 1557-9654. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.1964.1053661.
- [BGW19] Michael Ben-Or, Shafi Goldwasser, and Avi Wigderson. “Completeness Theorems for Non-Cryptographic Fault-Tolerant Distributed Computation”. In: *Providing Sound Foundations for Cryptography: On the Work of Shafi Goldwasser and Silvio Micali*. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2019, pp. 351–371. ISBN: 9781450372664. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3335741.3335756>.