Chapter 11

Minimum Spanning Tree Recitation.

11.1 The Spanning Tree Problem.

Definition 11.1.1. A spanning tree T of a graph G = (V, E) is a subset of edges in E such that T is a tree (having no cycles), and the graph (V, T) is connected.

Problem 11.1.1 (MST). Let G=(V,E) be a weighted graph with weight function $w:E\to\mathbb{R}$. We extend the weight function to subsets of E by defining the weight of $X\subset E$ to be $w(X)=\sum_{e\in X}w(e)$. The minimum spanning tree (MST) of G is the spanning tree of G that has the minimal weight according to w. Note that in general, there might be more than one MST for G.

Definition 11.1.2. Let $U \subset V$. We define the cut associated with U as the set of outer edges of U, namely all the edges $(u,v) \in E$ such that $u \in U$ and $v \notin U$. We use the notation $X = (U, \bar{U})$ to represent the cut. We say that $E' \subset E$ respects the cut if $E' \cap X = \emptyset$.

Lemma 11.1.1 (The Cut-Lemma). Let T be an MST of G. Consider a forest $F \subset T$ and a cut X that respects X (i.e. $F \cap X = \emptyset$). Then $F \cup \operatorname{arg\,min}_e w(e)$ is also contained in some MST. Note that it does not necessarily have to be the same tree T.

Proof. If $e \in T$ then $F \cup \{e\} \subset T$ and we done. So consider the second case $e \notin T \Rightarrow T \cup \{e\}$ has |V| edges and therefore has a cycle, denote $\Gamma = T \cup \{e\}$. Let x, y be the ends of e (namely e = (x, y)). Denote the vertices subset defying the cut X by U. Since T is connected, there is a path $x \rightsquigarrow y$ in T denote it by \mathcal{P} , In addition, because $e \notin T \Rightarrow e \notin P$ we have that there must to be other edge in P connecting a vertex in U to a vertex in \overline{U} .

The intersection $T \cap X$ must not be empty, otherwise there is no path connecting vertices on the opposite ends of the cut 2 , and that is contradiction for T be a spanning tree. Denote by $e' \in T \cap X$ 3. And consider $T' = T/\{e'\} \cup \{e\}$.

Our goal is to prove that T' is a minimum spanning tree, let's start with showing that T' is a spanning tree, Since it has |V| - 1 edges it's enough to show

¹Otherwise walking a long \mathcal{P} can not takes one out from U in contradiction for \mathcal{P} leads to v ²In notations of Definition 11.1.2, no path connects vertices in U to vertices in \bar{U}

 $^{{}^3}$ If F spans either U or \bar{U} then there is only one such e'. A good exercise would be prove it.

that T' is connected. Assume that T' is not a spanning tree, since T' has |V|-1 edges, T must have cycle (otherwise T' is a tree with |V| vertices $\Rightarrow T'$ is a spanning tree). Denote by u and v the ends vertices of e and by x,y the ends of e' (i.e e=(u,v),e'=(x,y)). Without loose of generality assume that $u,x\in U$ and $v,y\in \bar{U}$, since T is connected

In the lecture, you have seen the Kruskal algorithm for finding an MST. This algorithm constructs the MST iteratively, where in each step it holds a forest F contained in the MST and then looks for the minimal edge in a cut that it respects. Note that since F has no cycles, any edge $e \subset E$ that does not close a cycle in F must belong to some cut X that is respected by F. By enforcing the order of edges being examined to be increasing in weight, it follows that the first edge that does not close a cycle is also the one with the minimum weight among them. Therefore, by Lemma 11.1.1, we can conclude that the forest obtained by adding e into F is contained in the MST, and we can continue with it.

```
Result: Returns MST of given G=(V,E,w)
1 sorts the E according to w
2 define F_0=\emptyset and i\leftarrow 0
3 for e\in E in sorted order do
4 | if F_i\cup\{e\} has no cycle then
5 | F_{i+1}\leftarrow F_i\cup\{e\}
6 | i\leftarrow i+1
7 | end
8 end
9 return F_i
Algorithm 1: Kruskal alg.
```

Let C be a composted anothe containing a cuele C. Then the culture

Claim 11.1.1. Let G be a connected graph containing a cycle C. Then the subtraction of any an edge in C gives a connected graph.

Proof. Assume, by contradiction, that a graph $G' = G/\{e\}$, where $e \in C$, is not connected. This means that there are two vertices u and v that have a path between them in G, but no such path exists in G'. Denote this path by \mathcal{P} and observe that $e \in \mathcal{P}$, otherwise, \mathcal{P} would also be a path from u to v in G'.

Denote the ends of e by (x, y) = e. Also, denote C by $\langle x_0, x_1, ... x_i, x, y, y_0, ..., y_j \rangle$, where $y_j = x_0$ and there is an inequality for any other pair of vertices (we used the cycle definition). Then, there is a path $x \rightsquigarrow y$ in C, defined by

$$\langle x_i, x_{i-1}, ..., x_1, x_0, y_{j-1}, y_{j-2}, ..., y_0, y \rangle$$

We denote this path by \mathcal{P}' . By replacing e in \mathcal{P} with \mathcal{P}' , we obtain a path $u \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow^{\mathcal{P}'} y \rightsquigarrow v$, which is a path between u and v that does not contain e. This contradicts the assumption that there is no path between u and v in G'.