## Union Find - Recitation 11

January 11, 2023

## 1 Union Find.

We have mentioned that for finding efficiently the minimal spanning tree using kruskal, one has to answer quickly about wheter a pair of vertices v, u share the same connactivity commponent. In this recitation we will presnt a datastructure that will allow us both quering the belonging of given item and mergging groups at efficient time cost.

The problem define as follow. Given n items  $x_1...x_n$  we would like to maintain the parttion of them into disjoints sets by supporting the following operations:

- 1. Make-Set(x) create an empty set whose only member is x. We could assume that this operation can be called over x only once.
- 2. Union(x, y) merge the set which contains x with the one which contains y.
- 3. Find-Set(x) returns a pointer to the set holding x.

Notice that the navie immpleamntion using pointres array, A, defined to store at place i a pointer to the set containing x can perform the Find-Set operation at O(1). The bottle neck of that immplemntion is that the mergging will require from us to run over the whole itmes and changes their corresponding pointer at A one by one. Namly, a running time cost of O(n) time. Let's review a diffrent approach:

**Linked Lists Immplemntation.** One way to have a non-trival improvement is to associate for each set a linked list storing all the elements belonging to the set. Each node of those linked lists contains, additilly to it's value and it's sibling pointer, also a pointer for the list itself (the set). Consider again the mergging operation. it's clear that having those lists allow us to uinfind sets by iterating and updating only the elements belong to them. Still one more trick is needed for achiving a good running cost.

Clearly, executing the above over sets at linear size require at least linear time. Let's anlayze what happens when mergging n times. As we have allredy seen at graphs, runtime can be measured by counting the total number of operations that each item/vertex do along the whole running. So we can ask our selfes how many times does an item change his location and his set pointer. Assume that at the time when x were

changed A[x] containd (before the mergging) t elemenets then immdetly after that A[x] will store at least 2t elements:

size 
$$A^{(t+1)}[x] \leftarrow \text{size } A^{(t)}[x] + \text{size } A^{(t)}[y] \ge 2A^{(t)}[x]$$

Hence, if we will list down the sizes of the x's set at the momments mergging had occured we could write only  $\log n$  nmbers before the exceiding the maximal size (n). That proves that the number of times vertex changed his pointer is bounded by  $\log n$ , and the total number of actions costs at most  $(n \log n)$ .

Noitce that that in the case in which m = O(1) we will still pay much more than nedded. Any how, the next implementation is going to give us (enventuly) much faster algorithm.

**Forest Immplementation.** Insted of associating each set with a linked list, one might attach a forst. The vertices holds the values of the items, and we could think about the root of each tree as the represtive of the tree. If two vertices x, y share the same root than it's clear than they are belong to the same set.

## Union By Rank.

**Path Compression.** Let's analyses the cost of queries m times by counting the edges on which the algorithm went over. Let's denote by  $\operatorname{find}(v^{(t)})$  the query which requestef at time t and let  $P^{(t)} = v, v_2..v_k$  be the vertices path on which the algorithm climbe from v up to his root. Now, observes that by comperssing the path the ranks of the verticis in P must be distincit. Now consdier any parttion of the line into buckets  $B_i = [b_i, b_{i+1}]$ .  $\sum_v \sum_{B_i} \sum_{v \to u} \sum_{r[v] = r[u]} 1 \le \sum_v \sum_{B_i} |B|$ 

$$\begin{split} T\left(n,m\right) &= \text{ direact parent move } + \text{ climbing moves } = \\ &= \text{ direact parent move } + \text{ stage exchange } + \text{ inner stage } = \\ &\leq m + m \cdot |\mathcal{B}| + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \text{ steps inside B} \\ &\leq m + m \cdot |\mathcal{B}| + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{u \in B} \text{ steps inside B started at } u \\ &\leq m + m \cdot |\mathcal{B}| + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \sum_{u \in B} |B| \\ &\leq m + m \cdot |\mathcal{B}| + \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{n}{\min B} |B| \end{split}$$