## **Chapter 5**

## Reserves Recitation.

## 5.1

Another sorting algorithms, that it's correctness isn't so obivoius.

**Claim 5.1.1.** After the *i*th iteration,  $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq A_3 ... \leq A_i$  and  $A_i$  is the maximum of the whole array.

*Proof.* By induction on the iteration number i.

- 1. Base. For i=1, it is clear that when j reaches the position of the maximal element, an exchange will occur and  $A_1$  will be set to be the maximal element. Thus, the condition on line (3) will not be satisfied until the end of the inner loop and indeed, we have that  $A_1$  at the end of the first iteration is the maximum.
- 2. Assumption. Assume the correctness of the claim for any i' < i.
- 3. Step. Consider the ith iteration. And observes that if  $A_i = A_{i-1}$  then  $A_i$  is also the maximal elemennt in A, namely no exchange will be made in ith iteration, yet  $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq ... \leq A_{i-1}$  by the induction assumption, thus  $A_1 \leq A_2 \leq ... \leq A_{i-1} \leq A_i$  and  $A_i$  is the maximal element, so the claim holds in the end of the iteration. If  $A_i < A_{i-1}$  then there exists  $k \in [1, i-1]$  such  $A_k > A_i$ . Set k to be the minimal position for which the inequality holds. For Convinet denote by  $A^{(j)}$  the array in the begging of the jth iteration of the inner loop. And let's split to cases according to j value.

- (a) j < k By definition of k, for any j < k,  $A_j^{(1)} < A_i^{(1)}$ , Hence in the first k-1 iteration no exchange will be made and we can conclude that  $A_l^{(j)} = A_l^{(1)}$  for any  $l \in [n]$  and  $j \le k$ .
- (b)  $j \ge k$  and j < i + 1, We claim that for each such j an exhange will always occuer.

**Claim 5.1.2.** For any  $j \in [k, i]$  we have that in the end of the jth iteration:

• 
$$A_i^{(j+1)} = A_i^{(j)}$$

Proof. And again we are going to prove it by induction.

i. Base.  $A_k^{(1)}$  is greater than  $A_i$ , and be the previews case we have that at the begging of the k iteration  $A_k^{(k)} = A_k^{(1)}, A_i^{(k)} = A_k^{(1)}$ . Therefore the condition on line (3) is satisfied, exchange is been made, and  $A_k^{(k+1)} = A_i^{(k)} = A_i^{(0)}$  and  $A_i^{(k+1)} = A_k^{(k)}$ 

 $A_k^{(1)}$  is greater than  $A_i$ , and be the previews case we have that at the begging of the k iteration  $A_k^{(k)}=A_k^{(1)}, A_i^{(k)}=A_k^{(1)}$ . Therefore the condition on line (3) is satisfied, exchange is been made, and  $A_k^{(k+1)}=A_i^{(k)}=A_i^{(k)}$  and  $A_i^{(k+1)}=A_k^{(k)}$ . Now observes that we didn't toach  $A_{k+1}^{(k)}$  on the j=k iteration of the inner loop. So  $A_{k+1}^{(k+1)}=A_{k+1}^{(k)}=A_{k+1}^{(0)}$ . By the induction assumption  $A_k^{(0)}\leq A_{k+1}^{(0)}\Rightarrow A_i^{(k+1)}\leq A_{k+1}^{(k+1)}$ , So

(c) j = i - 1

**Result:** returns the multiplication  $x \cdot y$  where  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ 

```
2 if x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2 then
        return x \cdot y
 4 end
 5
 6 else
                                                               //O(n).
          define x_l, x_r \leftarrow x and y_l, y_r \leftarrow x
 8
          calculate z_0 \leftarrow \text{Karatsuba}(x_l, y_l)
 9
                     z_2 \leftarrow \text{Karatsuba}\left(x_r, y_r\right)
10
                     z_1 \leftarrow \text{Karatsuba}\left(x_r + x_l, y_l + y_r\right) - z_0 - z_2
11
12
         return z_0 + 2^{\frac{n}{2}} z_1 + 2^n z_2 // O(n).
14 end
```