

## Chapter 6

# Linear Time Sorts and Lower Bounds in the Comparison Model.

### 6.1 Heapsort (David's group).

We will start by introducing the heap-sort algorithm and providing a proof of its correctness.

```
1  $A \leftarrow \text{Build-Heap}(A)$ 
2 for  $i \in [n]$  do
3   | swap  $A_1 \leftrightarrow A_{n-i+1}$ 
4   | heapsize( $A$ )  $\leftarrow n - i$ 
5   | heapify( $A, 1$ )
6 end
7 return  $A$ 
```

**Algorithm 1:** Heap-sort( $A$ )

Correctness. We are going to prove the following statement.

**Claim 6.1.1.** *At the end of the  $i$ th iteration,  $A_{n-i+1}, A_{n-i+2}, \dots, A_n$  are the  $i$  largest elements of  $A$  placed in order, and  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-i}$  is a maximum heap.*

*Proof.* By induction.

1. Base.  $A_n$  is set in line (3) to be the root of the heap, and therefore is the maximum of  $A$ . After line (4),  $A_1$  is the parent of the heap's roots as line (4) excludes  $A_n$  from the heap (So the heap inequality holds for any  $j \in [2, (n-1)/2]$ ). Therefore, by the correctness of heapify, we get that after line (5),  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}$  is a heap.
2. Assumption. Assume the correctness of the claim for any  $i' < i$ .
3. Step. Consider the  $i$ th iteration. By the induction assumption,  $A_1$  is a root of the heap  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-i+1}$  and therefore is their maximum. So after the swapping in line (3), we get that  $A_{n-i+1}$  is the element which is greater than

$n - i$  elements in  $A$ . By using the induction assumption again, we know that it is also less than  $A_{n-i+2}, A_{n-i+3}, \dots, A_n$ , so after line (3) and by the fact that  $A_{n-i+2}, A_{n-i+3}, \dots, A_n$  are the  $i - 1$  largest elements placed in order, we have that  $A_{n-i+1}, A_{n-i+2}, A_{n-i+3}, \dots, A_n$  are the  $i$  largest elements placed in order.

By repeating the same arguments in the base case, we can conclude, based on the correctness of heapify, that after line (5),  $A_1$  is either the root of a heap or the heap inequality did not hold for some  $i \in [2, (n - i)/2]$ . In the latter case, this would contradict the induction assumption (since before line (3),  $A_1 \dots A_{n-i+1}$  were heaps).

□