

Quicksort And Liner Time Sorts - Recitation 6

Quicksort, Countingsort, Radixsort, And Bucketsort.

November 19, 2022

Till now, we have quantified the algorithm performance against the worst-case scenario. And we saw that according to that measure, In the comparisons model, one can not sort in less than $\Theta(n \log n)$ time. In this recitation, we present two new main concepts that, in some instances, achieve better analyses. The first one is the Exception Complexity; By Letting the algorithm behave non-determinately, we might obtain an algorithm that most of the time runs to compute the task fast. Yet we will not success get down the $\Theta(n \log n)$ lower bound, but we will go back to use that concept in the pending of the course. The second concept is to restrict ourselves to dealing only with particular inputs. For example, We will see that if we suppose that the given array contains only integers in a bounded domain, then we can sort it in linear time.

0.1 Quicksort.

The quicksort algorithm is a good example of a **non-deterministic** algorithm that has a worst-case running time of $\Theta(n^2)$. Yet its expected running time is $\Theta(n \log n)$. Namely, fix an array of n numbers. The running of Quicksort over that array might be different. Each of them is a different event in probability space, and the algorithm's running time is a random variable defined over that space. Saying that the algorithm has the worst space complexity of $\Theta(n^2)$ means that there exists an event in which it runs $\Theta(n^2)$ time with non-zero probability. But practically, the interesting question is not the existence of such an event but how likely it would happen. It turns out that the expectation of the running time is $\Theta(n \log n)$.

What is the exact reason that happens? By giving up on the algorithm behavior century, we will turn the task of engineering a bad input impossible.

randomized-partition(A, p, r)

```
1  $i \leftarrow \text{random}(p, r)$ 
2  $A_r \leftrightarrow A_i$ 
3 return Partition( $A, p, r$ )
```

randomized-quicksort(A, p, r)

```
1 if  $p < r$  then
2    $q \leftarrow \text{randomized-partition}(A, p, r)$ 
3   randomized-quicksort( $A, p, q - 1$ )
4   randomized-quicksort( $A, q + 1, r$ )
```

Partitioning. To complete the correctness proof of the algorithm (most of it passed in the Lecture), we have to prove that the partition method is indeed rearranging the array such that all the elements contained in the right subarray are greater than all the elements on the left subarray.

Partition(A, p, r)

```

1  $x \leftarrow A_r$ 
2  $i \leftarrow p - 1$ 
3 for  $j \in [p, r - 1]$  do
4   if  $A_j \leq x$  then
5      $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
6      $A_i \leftrightarrow A_j$ 
7  $A_{i+1} \leftrightarrow A_r$ 
8 return  $i + 1$ 

```

claim. At the beginning of each iteration of the loop of lines 3–6, for any array index k , the following conditions hold:

- if $p \leq k \leq i$, then $A_k \leq x$.
- if $i + 1 \leq k \leq j - 1$, then $A_k > x$.
- if $k = r$, then $A_k = x$.

Proof.

1. Initialization: Prior to the first iteration of the loop, we have $i = p - 1$ and $j = p$. Because no values lie between p and i and no values lie between $i + 1$ and $j - 1$, the first two conditions of the loop invariant are trivially satisfied. The assignment in line 1 satisfies the third condition.
2. Maintenance: we consider two cases, depending on the outcome of the test in line 4, when $A_j > x$: the only action in the loop is to increment j . After j has been incremented, the second condition holds for A_{j-1} and all other entries remain unchanged. When $A_j \leq x$: the loop increments i , swaps A_i and A_j , and then increments j . Because of the swap, we now have that $A_i \leq x$, and condition 1 is satisfied. Similarly, we also have that $A_{j-1} > x$, since the item that was swapped into A_{j-1} is, by the loop invariant, greater than x .
3. Termination: Since the loop makes exactly $r - p$ iterations, it terminates, whereupon $j = r$. At that point, the unexamined subarray $A_j, A_{j+1} \dots A_{r-1}$ is empty, and every entry in the array belongs to one of the other three sets described by the invariant. Thus, the values in the array have been partitioned into three sets: those less than or equal to x (the low side), those greater than x (the high side), and a singleton set containing x (the pivot).

1	5	8	5	3	2	1
1	5	8	5	3	2	1
1	5	8	5	3	2	1
1	5	8	5	3	2	1
1	1	8	5	3	2	5
1	1	8	5	3	2	5
1	1	8	5	5	2	3
1	1	2	5	5	8	3

quicksort($A, 0, 6$)

quicksort($A, 2, 6$)

1	1	2	3	5	8	5
1	1	2	3	5	8	5
1	1	2	3	5	5	8
1	1	2	3	5	5	8
1	1	2	3	5	5	8
1	1	2	3	5	5	8
1	1	2	3	5	5	8
1	1	2	3	5	5	8

quicksort($A, 4, 6$)

quicksort($A, 4, 5$)

0.2 Linear Time Sorts

Counting sort. Counting sort assumes that each of the n input elements is an integer at size at most k . It runs in $\Theta(n + k)$ time, so that when $k = O(n)$, counting sort runs in $\Theta(n)$ time. Counting sort first determines, for each input element x , the number of elements less than or equal to x . It then uses this information to place element x directly into its position in the output array. For example, if 17 elements are less than or equal to x , then x belongs in output position 17. We must modify this scheme slightly to handle the situation in which several elements have the **same value**, since we do not want them all to end up in the same position.

Counting-sort(A, n, k)

```
1 let B and C be new arrays at size  $n$  and  $k$ 
2    $C_i \leftarrow 0$ 
3   for  $j \leftarrow [1, n]$  do
4      $C_{A_j} \leftarrow C_{A_j} + 1$ 
5   //  $C_i$  now contains the number of elements equal to  $i$ .
6   for  $i \in [1, k]$  do
7      $C_i \leftarrow C_i + C_{i-1}$ 
8   //  $C_i$  now contains the number of elements less than or equal to  $i$ . // Copy A to
   B, starting from the end of A.
9   for  $i \in [n, 1]$  do
10     $B_{C_{A_i}} \leftarrow A_i$ 
11     $C_{A_i} \leftarrow C_{A_i} - 1$ 
12  // to handle duplicate values
13 return B
```

Notice that Counting sort can beat the lower bound of $\Omega(n \log n)$ only because it is not a comparison sort. In fact, no comparisons between input elements occur anywhere in the code. Instead, counting sort uses the actual values of the elements to index into an array.

An important property of counting sort is that it is **stable**.

Stable Sort.

We will say that a sorting algorithm is stable if elements with the same value appear in the output array in the same order as they do in the input array.

Counting sort's stability is important for another reason: counting sort is often used as a subroutine in radix sort. As we shall see in the next section, in order for radix sort to work correctly, counting sort must be stable.

Radix sort Radix sort is the algorithm used by the card-sorting machines you now find only in computer museums. The cards have 80 columns, and in each column, a machine can punch a hole in one of 12 places. The sorter can be mechanically "programmed" to examine a given column of each card in a deck and distribute the card into one of 12 bins depending on which place has been punched. An operator can then gather the cards bin by bin, so that cards with the first place punched are on top of cards with the second place punched, and so on.

For decimal digits, each column uses only 10 places. (The other two places are reserved for encoding nonnumeric characters.) A d -digit number occupies a field of d columns. Since the card sorter can look at only one column at a time, the problem of sorting n cards on a d -digit number requires a sorting algorithm. Intuitively, you might sort numbers on their most significant (leftmost) digit, sort each of the resulting bins recursively, and then combine the decks in order. Unfortunately, since the cards in 9 of the 10 bins must be put aside to sort each of the bins, this procedure generates many intermediate piles of cards that you would have to keep track of. (See Exercise 8.3-6.) Radix sort solves the problem of card sorting—counterintuitively—by sorting on the least significant digit first. The algorithm then combines the cards into a single deck, with

the cards in the 0 bin preceding the cards in the 1 bin preceding the cards in the 2 bin, and so on. Then it sorts the entire deck again on the second-least significant digit and recombines the deck in a like manner. The process continues until the cards have been sorted on all d digits. Remarkably, at that point the cards are fully sorted on the d -digit number. Thus, only d passes through the deck are required to sort. Figure 8.3 shows how radix sort operates on a "deck" of seven 3-digit numbers. In order for radix sort to work correctly, the digit sorts must be stable. The sort performed by a card sorter is stable, but the operator must be careful not to change the order of the cards as they come out of a bin, even though all the cards in a bin have the same digit in the chosen column. In a typical computer, which is a sequential random-access machine, we sometimes use radix sort to sort records of information that are keyed by multiple fields. For example, we might wish to sort dates by three keys: year, month, and day. We could run a sorting algorithm with a comparison function that, given two dates, compares years, and if there is a tie, compares months, and if another tie occurs, compares days. Alternatively, we could sort the information three times with a stable sort: first on day (the "least significant" part), next on month, and finally on year. The code for radix sort is straightforward. The RADIX-SORT procedure assumes that each element in array $A_{1:n}$ has d digits, where digit 1 is the lowest-order digit and digit d is the highest-order digit.

radix-sort(A, n, d)

```

1 for  $i \in [1, d]$  do
2    $\quad$  use a stable sort to sort array  $A$  on digit  $i$ 

```

Bucket sort. Bucket sort divides the interval $[0, 1)$ into n equal-sized subintervals, or buckets, and then distributes the n input numbers into the buckets. Since the inputs are uniformly and independently distributed over $[0, 1)$, we do not expect many numbers to fall into each bucket. To produce the output, we simply sort the numbers in each bucket and then go through the buckets in order, listing the elements in each.

bucket-sort(A, n)

```

1 let  $B[0 : n - 1]$  be a new array for  $i \leftarrow [0, n-1]$  do
2    $\quad$  make  $B_i$  an empty list
3 for  $i \leftarrow [1, n]$  do
4    $\quad$  insert  $A_i$  into list  $B_{\lfloor nA_i \rfloor}$ 
5 for  $i \leftarrow [0, n-1]$  do
6    $\quad$  sort list  $B_i$ 
7 concatenate the lists  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1}$  together and
8 return the concatenated lists

```