## **Chapter 10**

## Strongly Connected Components and Topological Sort.

## 10.1 Topological Sort

**Definition 10.1.1.** (connectivity)

- 1. Let G = (V, E) be a non-directed graph. A **connected component** of G is a subset  $U \subseteq V$  of maximal size in which there exists a path between every two vertices.
- 2. A non-directed graph G is said to be a **connected** graph if it only has one connected component.
- 3. Let G = (V, E) be a directed graph. A **strongly connected component** of G is a subset  $U \subseteq V$  of maximal size in which for any pair of vertices  $u, v \in U$  there exist both directed path from u to v and a directed path form v to u.

## 10.1.1 Depth First Search (DFS)

As its name implies, depth-first search searches "deeper" in the graph whenever possible. Depth-first search explores edges out of the most recently discovered vertex v that still has unexplored edges leaving it. Once all of v's edges have been explored, the search "backtracks" to explore edges leaving the vertex from which v was discovered. This process continues until all vertices that are reachable from the original source vertex have been discovered. If any undiscovered vertices remain, then depth-first search selects one of them as a new source, repeating the search from that source. The algorithm repeats this entire process until it has discovered every vertex.

```
1 DFS(G):
                                                   1 Previsit(v):
 2 for v \in V do
                                                   2 pre(v) \leftarrow time
       v.visited \leftarrow False
                                                   3 \text{ time} \leftarrow \text{time} + 1
 4 end
                                                   1 Postvisit(v):
 5 time \leftarrow 1
                                                   2 post(v) \leftarrow time
 6 for v \in V do
                                                   3 time ← time +1
        if not v.visited then
             \pi(v) \leftarrow \text{null}
 8
            Explore (G, v)
 9
        end
10
11 end
 1 Explore(G, v):
 2 Previsit(v)
 solution{for } (v,u) \in E do
        if not u.visited then
 4
 5
             \pi\left(u\right)\leftarrow v
            Explore(G, u)
 6
        end
 7
 8 end
 9 Postvisit(v)
```

**Properties of depth-first search.** Depth-first search yields valuable information about the structure of a graph. Perhaps the most basic property of depth-first search is that the predecessor subgraph  $G_{\pi}$  does indeed form a forest of trees since the structure of the depth-first trees exactly mirrors the structure of recursive calls of explore-function. That is,  $u = \pi(v)$  if and only if  $\exp(G, v)$  was called during a search of u's adjacency list.

Additionally, vertex v is a descendant of vertex u in the depth-first forest if and only if v is discovered during the time in which u is gray. Another important property of depth-first search is that discovery and finish times have a parenthesis structure. If the explore procedure were to print a left parenthesis "(u" when it discovers vertex u and to print a right parenthesis "u" when it finishes u, then the printed expression would be well-formed in the sense that the parentheses are properly nested.

The following theorem provides another way to characterize the parenthesis structure.

**Theorem 10.1.1** (Parenthesis theorem). In any depth-first search of a (directed or undirected) graph G = (V, E), for any two vertices u and v, exactly one of the following three conditions holds:

- 1. the intervals [pre(u), post(u)] and [pre(v), post(v)] are entirely disjoint, and neither u nor v is a descendant of the other in the depth-first forest.
- 2. the interval [pre(u), post(u)] is contained entirely within the interval [pre(v), post(v)], and u is a descendant of v in a depth-first tree, or

3. the interval [pre(v), post(v)] is contained entirely within the interval [pre(u), post(u)], and v is a descendant of u in a depth-first tree.

*Proof.* Assume without loss of generality that pre(u) < pre(v). Split to the following:

- 1. Either pre(v) < post(u). In that case, we will prove, by induction on pre(v) pre(u), that for any u, v satisfies the relations, the third case holds.
  - (a) Base.  $\operatorname{pre}(v) \operatorname{pre}(u) = 1$ , Then clearly  $\{u, v\}$ , i.e v is a direct child of u. Showing that the value of  $\operatorname{post}(v)$  has to be set before  $\operatorname{post}(v)$  is left as an exercise.
  - (b) Assumption. Assume correctness for any pre(v) pre(u) < t < post(u).
  - (c) Step. Consider t>1 such  $\operatorname{pre}(v)-\operatorname{pre}(u)=t$ . Since t>1 there is must to be vertex w for which  $\operatorname{pre}(u)<\operatorname{pre}(w)<\operatorname{pre}(v)=t$ . Splits again:
    - i. Either post(w) > pre(v). Observes that:

$$\operatorname{pre}(v) - \operatorname{pre}(w) < \operatorname{pre}(v) - \operatorname{pre}(u) = t$$

and also:

$$pre(w) - pre(u) < pre(v) - pre(u) = t$$

Therefore by the induction assumption:

$$[\operatorname{pre}(v), \operatorname{post}(v)] \subset [\operatorname{pre}(w), \operatorname{post}(w)] \subset [\operatorname{pre}(u), \operatorname{post}(u)]$$

and in addition w is a descendant of u and v is a descendant of w. Hence v is a descendant of u and the third case holds.

- ii. Or post(w) < pre(v) for any w satisfies pre(u) < pre(w) < pre(v). That means that any call for  $\mathbf{Explore}(G, w)$  at line 6 (over suited w's) returned, and at time t a new child of u has been discovered<sup>1</sup>, namely v is a direct child of u and we back to the base.
- 2. Or, post(u) < pre(v), and by definition, pre(u) < post(u) < pre(v) < post(v) and thus the intervals [pre(u), post(u)] and [pre(v), post(v)] are disjoint.

Showing that v is not a descendant of u can be proved in similar manner to the above, by induction on pre(v) - post(u). Completing the proof is left as an exercise.

Corollary 10.1.1. Vertex v is a proper descendant of vertex u in the depth-first forest for a (directed or undirected) graph G if and only if pre(u) < pre(v) < post(v) < post(u).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>otherwise we get a contradiction for post(u) > t