Algorithms in Computational Biology, 2021 Exercise 4 - Evolution

Due date: 18/01/2022

Please submit a tar file containing the code (Python3) and a pdf containing plots and typed answers.

1 MLE for Branch Length

Suppose we are given two aligned sequences $a_1, ..., a_n$ and $b_1, ..., b_n$ (assume no gaps). In class we derived the following probabilistic model for two single character sequences:

$$P(a \xrightarrow{t} b) = P(X^{t_0+t} = b | X^{t_0} = a) = [e^{tR}]_{a,b}$$

We want to derive the MLE for branch length for the two sequences, e.g.:

$$\hat{t} = \arg\max_{t} \prod_{i} \pi_{a_i} [e^{t\mathbf{R}}]_{a_i,b_i}$$

Assume R is the Jukes-Cantor rate matrix introduced in class with $\alpha = 1$:

$$\mathbf{R}_{JC}(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} -3\alpha & \alpha & \alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & -3\alpha & \alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha & -3\alpha & \alpha \\ \alpha & \alpha & \alpha & -3\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with transition probabilities:

$$P_{JC}(a \xrightarrow{t} b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(1 + 3e^{-4\alpha t}) & a = b\\ \frac{1}{4}(1 - e^{-4\alpha t}) & a \neq b \end{cases}$$

1. Define the sufficient statistics for this likelihood and derive equation for calculating the maximum-likelihood estimator \hat{t} . Assume independence between different positions in the alignment.

2. Building a sampler for a branch

- (a) Given distance t and character a build a procedure that samples b from $P_{JC}(a \xrightarrow{t} b)$.
- (b) Use the procedure to generate N samples of b and compare between the actual frequency of b and the predicted frequency (based on the JC model). Repeat the process for t = 0.15, 0.4, 0.9 and N = 10, 100, 1000, 100000.
- (c) Discuss your results. What are your conclusions about the sampling process? Submit a table/graph that summarizes the comparison and your code.

3. Estimating the evolutionary distance

- (a) Now you will use the sampler that you wrote to sample a pair of nucleotides (a, b). Write a procedure that given t, samples a from a stationary distribution π (uniform in the case of Jukes Cantor) and b using the sampler.
- (b) Use the procedure from the previous item to sample a pair of sequences of length N nucleotides that are distance t from each other (i.e., apply the procedure above N times).

Repeat this procedure M times, and examine the relation between the "real" t you used in generating the data and the MLE estimate.

For each value of t, visualize the distribution of the estimated distances. Plot the box-plot of estimates for each value of t = 0.15, 0.4, 0.9 with N = 500 and M = 100.

(c) What are your conclusions about the branch length estimate? How will it affect distance-based methods for tree reconstruction?

2 Choosing rate matrices

- 1. Write a rate matrix R that converges into a **uniform** stationary distribution π but is **not reversible**.
- 2. Write a rate matrix R that converges into a **non-uniform** stationary distribution π but is **reversible**.

Explain your rationale in one sentence and demonstrate by calculating e^{tR} and using the Detailed Balance Property.

$$\forall a, b, t : \pi_a P(a \xrightarrow{t} b) = \pi_b P(b \xrightarrow{t} a)$$

3 Probabilistic Model of Evolution

Suppose we are given a binary tree T with leaves labeled 1...n and n-1 internal nodes. Assume 2n-1 is the root of the tree and let $\tau = \{t_{ij} | (i \xrightarrow{t_{ij}} j) \in T\}$ be the set of branch lengths.

Let $X_1, X_2, ... X_n, ... X_{2n-1}$ be random variables representing the sequences in the tree. For simplicity, let's assume that all sequences are of length 1.

We would like to show that if R generates reversible matrices P, then we are allowed to revoot the tree without changing the likelihood.

We can calculate the likelihood using:

$$P(X_1, X_2, ... X_{2n-1}) = P(X_{2n-1}) \prod_{(i \to j) \in T} P(X_i \stackrel{t_{ij}}{\to} X_j)$$

(We sample the root and then sample each node given its parent)

where $P(a \xrightarrow{t} b) = [e^{tR}]_{a,b}$ and $P(X_{2n-1} = a) = \pi_a$ is the stationary distribution.

1. Show that the likelihood can also be written as:

$$P(X_1, X_2, ... X_{2n-1}) = \left[\prod_i \pi_{X_i}\right] \prod_{(i \to j) \in T} \frac{[e^{t_{ij}R}]_{X_i X_j}}{\pi_{X_j}}$$

2. Now assume the underlying Markov process is reversible, and show that re-rooting the tree will not change the joint distribution. Recall the Detailed Balance property defined in class.