## Quantum Information Theory - 67749 Recitation 2, May 7, 2025

# 1 Overview - Quantum States as Computational Resources.

In the last lectures, we saw that quantum states can be considered as resources. In particular, we saw that shared **EPR** pair ( $\mathbf{Bell}_{00}$ ) enables one:

- 1. Transmit two classical bits by sending a single qubit, via the superdense-coding.
- 2. 'Teleoperate' a qubit by sending two classical bits. From an engineering point of view, it means that for having a complete quantum internet, it's enough to provide a mechanism to distribute **EPR** pairs.

## 2 Dense Encoding.

)

## 3 Quantum Teleportation.



Figure 1: Measuring the single-qubit state  $|\psi\rangle$  at the  $\{|+\rangle\,, |-\rangle\}$  base.

#### 4 Gate Teleportation.

Gate teleportation is a method to 'encode' operations by states. At the high level, given a precomputed state, it allows one to apply an operation (gate) by using (probably) simpler gates. The precomputed states are called **Magic States**.

#### 4.1 Leading Example: *T*-Teleportation.

Recall that the Clifford  $^1$  + T is a universal quantum gate set. The Clifford group alone is considered from the computer science point of view a simple/weak computational class since it can be classically simulated  $^2$ . Yet, we will see that given access to the magic  $|T\rangle = T|+\rangle$ , one can simulate the T gate using only Clifford gates and measurements.



Figure 2: Measuring the single-qubit state  $|\psi\rangle$  at the  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$  base.

$$\left(\sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle\right) \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|0\rangle + e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} |1\rangle\right) \xrightarrow{\text{CX}} \sum_{x,y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle |x \oplus y\rangle e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}y}$$

$$\mapsto \begin{cases} \sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}x} = T |\psi\rangle & \text{measured } 0 \\ \sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}\bar{x}} & \text{measured } 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{CS}} \begin{cases} T |\psi\rangle \\ \sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\bar{x} + \frac{\pi}{2}x\right)} = \sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{i\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\bar{x} + \frac{\pi}{4}x\right)} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} T |\psi\rangle \\ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} T |\psi\rangle \end{cases}$$

#### 4.2 Extends it.

Let's extends it to a general gate. First create  $|\mathbf{GHZ}_{2n}\rangle$  state, then Let's split upon the measurement result.

1. If we measured 0, means the states 'agreed' in the computational base.

$$|\psi\rangle\otimes\left(\sum_{x}|x\rangle\otimes U|x\rangle\right)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Generated by H, S and CX

 $<sup>^2\</sup>mathrm{And}$  conjectured to be strictly weaker than  $\mathbf P$ 

#### 5 Uhlmann's theorem

Claim 5.1.

$$\langle \Omega | A \otimes B | \Omega \rangle = \mathbf{Tr} A B^{\dagger}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega | A \otimes B | \Omega \rangle &= \sum_{ij} \left\langle i; i | AB | j; j \right\rangle = \sum_{ij} \left\langle i | A | j \right\rangle \left\langle i | B | j \right\rangle = \sum_{ij} \left\langle i | A | j \right\rangle \left\langle j | B^{\dagger} | i \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i} \left\langle i | AB^{\dagger} | i \right\rangle = \mathbf{Tr} AB^{\dagger} \end{split}$$

 $|\psi_{\rho}\rangle = \sum_{i} \left(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi_{i}\rangle\right) |i\rangle = \sum_{i} \left(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\rho} |i\rangle\right) |i\rangle = \left(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\rho}\right) \otimes I |\Omega\rangle$ 

$$|\psi_{\sigma}\rangle = \sum_{i} \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} |\psi'_{i}\rangle\right) |i'\rangle = \sum_{i} \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\sigma} |i\rangle\right) V |i\rangle = \left(\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\sigma}\right) \otimes V |\Omega\rangle$$

Claim 5.2. For any square matrix A:

$$\max_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbf{Tr} A U = \mathbf{Tr} \sqrt{A^{\dagger} A}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max |\langle \psi_{\rho} | \psi_{\sigma} \rangle|^2 &= \max |\langle \Omega | \left( U_{\rho}^{\dagger} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \otimes I \left( \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\sigma} \right) \otimes V | \Omega \rangle|^2 \\ &= \max |\mathbf{Tr} \left[ \left( U_{\rho}^{\dagger} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{\sigma} \right) V^{\dagger} \right]|^2 \\ &= \max |\mathbf{Tr} \left[ \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} V^{\dagger} \right]|^2 \\ &\leq \left| \mathbf{Tr} \sqrt{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right|^2 = \left| \mathbf{Tr} \sqrt{\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $|i\rangle\langle j|$  is unitray since.

### 6 Magic State Distillation.

**Question.** Can we purify noisy magic states into high-fidelity ones, using only Clifford operations?

Magic state distillation is a procedure that uses many copies of noisy magic states, plus only Clifford gates and measurements, to produce fewer, higher-fidelity magic states.