PCP - Huji Course, Ex 2.

David Ponarovsky

July 5, 2023

1 Ex 1. Sumchecking with coefficients.

We would like to verify that a given polynomial box P satisfies that $\sum_{x \in [d]^m} \varphi(x) f_P(x) = 0$ by accessing to at most $O(md)$ variables. For any function $\varphi : [d]^m \to \mathbb{F}_q$. Denote by $\varphi' : \mathbb{F}_q^m \to \mathbb{F}_q$ the extension of φ into a polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_q^m$. We saw in that lectures (and also in the previews assignment) that there is such a uinq extension.

We are going to split the section into three, first we are going to show how to verify that $\sum_{x \in [d]^m} f_P(x) = 0$. When the polynomial is a function into $\mathbb{F}_q$. (I think, but not sure, that in the lecture we saw only the case when $q = 2$). Then in the second part we will show how can one redact the coefficients case into the non-coefficients case. Finally, in the last part, we combine all together to show that the construction achieve the requirements.

1.1 Over non binary field.

Let's define a series of polynomial boxes f_i such that:

$$f_0 = f$$
$$f_{i+1}(x_1, .., x_{m-i}) = \sum_{y \in [d]} f_i(x_1, .., x_{m-i}, x_{m-i+1} = y)$$

Our verifier will ask for a proof which is a list of $f_0, f_1, f_2.., f_m$. Now, notice that if f is an honest assignment then f_m is just the summation of f over the cube $[d]^m$. So it sufficient to show the existences of verifier that reject with heigh probability any string far from been encoded by the previews structure.

1 Sample uniformly random $i \sim [m]$ and check that f_i is a codeword of the polynomial code in m variables at degree at most $m \cdot d$.

2 $r_1, r_2.., r_m \leftarrow$ sample uniformly m points of $[d]$

3 **for** $i \in [1, m]$ **do**

4 $\quad$ Check if $f_{i+1}(r_1, .., r_{m-i-1}, x_{m-i}) - \sum_{y \in [d]} f_i(r_1, r_2, .., r_{m-i-1}, x_{m-i}, y)$ is the zero polynomial by a random test that uses at most single query. (Here $x_{m-i} \in [d]$ is the only variable)

5

6 $\quad$ If not then reject.

7 **end**

8 Accept if $f_0 = 0$

Proof. For convenient let's denote by $g_i(x_{m-i})$ the difference that been queried in line number 3.

1. Correctness. Easy. If the assignment is honest then by definition $g_i = 0$ for any $i \in [m]$ and therefore for any x_{m-i} we will have that $g_i(x_{m-i}) = 0$. So, in that case iteration will pass. And whole proof will be aspected with probability 1.

2. Soundness. Assume that there is any $\deg f_{i+1} \leq \deg f_i$, Thus asking

$\square$

1.2 Coefficients $\mapsto$ non-coefficients.

Now as we proved in the classes $\deg f \cdot g \leq \deg f + \deg g$. Therefore we can redact the problem of verifying whether the weight summation is zero by considering the summation of the polynomial $\varphi' \cdot f$ over the cube $[d]^m$.

1 Sample uniformly random $x \sim [d]^m$ and check that $\varphi'(x) = \varphi(x)$

2 Check that φ is a polynomial at degree at most $d \cdot m$.

3 If both of the checks passed, accept.

Proof. □

1.3 Combine all.

1 Use the first tester to check the validity of the pair (φ', φ).

2 Check that the degree of ξ is at most $2md$

3 Check that the polynomial $f \cdot \varphi' - \xi$ is the zero polynomial.

4 Using the first verifier, accept if the summation of ξ overt the cube $[d]^m$ is zero.

Proof. □

2 Ex 2.

The question concerns with the following test: **[COMMENT]** rewrite again.

1 Choose $x, y \in \{\pm 1\}^k$ independently.

2 Choose $\mu \in \{\pm\}$.

3 Choose a random noise $z \in \{\pm\}^k$ such that z_i gets $+1$ with probability $1 - \varepsilon$.

4 Accept if $\mu f(\mu x) \cdot g(y) = f(z \cdot xc^{-1}(y))$

2.1 2.a.

Let $f = \chi_{\{i\}}, g = \chi_{\{j\}}$ and $j = c(i)$. In that case it holds that:

$$\mu f(\mu x) \cdot g(y) = \mu \chi_{\{i\}}(\mu x) \chi_{\{j\}}(y) = \mu^2 x_i y_j = x_i y_j$$
$$f(z \cdot xc^{-1}(y)) = \chi_{\{i\}}(zxc^{-1}(y)) = z_i x_i y_j$$

Thus, the test pass only if $z_i = 1$ and it given that this event happens with probability $1 - \varepsilon$.

2.2 2.b.

Denote by $\alpha_I \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta_I \in \mathbb{R}$ the coefficients of f, g over the character $\chi_{\{I\}}$.

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mu f(\mu x) \cdot g(y) f(z \cdot xc^{-1}(y))\right]$$
$$= \sum_{I,J,K} \alpha_I \alpha_K \beta_J \mathbf{E}\left[\mu \chi_{\{I\}}(\mu x) \chi_{\{J\}}(y) \chi_{\{K\}}(zxc^{-1}(y))\right]$$
$$= \sum_{I,J,K} \alpha_I \alpha_K \beta_J \mu^{|I|+1} \mathbf{E}\left[\chi_{\{I\}}(x) \chi_{\{J\}}(y) \varepsilon^{|z|}(-1)^{|z \cap K|} \chi_{\{K\}}(xc^{-1}(y))\right]$$

So it left to compute the expectation $\mathbf{E}\left[\chi_{\{I\}}(x) \chi_{\{J\}}(y) \chi_{\{K\}}(xc^{-1}(y))\right]$ and observes that if $c^{-1}(y)$ has no intersection with K. Define by $C(K)$ all the indices i such that there exist $k \in K$ for which $y_{c_k} = y_i$.

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i \in I} x_i \prod_{j \in J} y_j \prod_{k \in K} x_k \cdot y_{c_k}\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\prod_{i \in I \Delta K} x_i \prod_{j \in J \Delta C(K)} y_j\right]$$

2

Proof. □

2.3   Ex 3. The label cover problem.

Let us assume that that $|\Sigma|$ is a power of 2. Associate for each vertex a vector in $\mathbb{F}_2^{|\Sigma|}$ (Soon we will add more $\Theta(|\Sigma|)$ variables for having a sparse sum checking, namely for checking that $\sum_j^{|\Sigma|} x_{vj} = 1$). And for each constraint.

Idea, there are more than μ equations that satisfied then $\Rightarrow$ there are more than $\Theta(|V|)$ which their local environment is $\frac{1}{2}\mu$ satisfied. $\Rightarrow$ the local $T_\varepsilon(c)$ test accepts with probability $\frac{1}{2} + \delta(\mu)$ and therefore there exist $i \in L_\delta(f), j \in M_\delta(g)$ s.t $c(i) = j$. $\Rightarrow$ we could pick f and g to be $\chi_{\{i\}}$ on those vertices and get a solution such $(1 - \varepsilon) \cdot$ are satisfied.

Other direction to consider, suppose that we satisfy more than $\frac{1}{2} + \delta$ equations, than for at least $\Theta()$ of the edges we success to fined $i, j, i = c(j)$. Therefore we can construct another assignment in which at least $1 - \varepsilon$ of the equations are satisfied.

3   Part 3.

Label cover when the aleph-bet depends on the vertex. Instead of showing reduction into the general label cover we will show a reduction to a similar problem in which vertices can have an additional restriction on the valid charters that one can sets on. In formal, we will say that $\langle G, \{\Sigma_v : v \in V\}, \{c_e : e \in E\}\rangle$ instance of Generalized-Label-Cover if there is an assignment $A : V \to \Sigma$ such that for any $\{v, u\} \in E$ it holds that $c_e A(v) = A(u)$ and in addition for any $v \in V$ we have that $A(v) \in \Sigma_v \subset \Sigma$.

The reduction. Define the Bipartite graph $G = (L, R, E)$. Associate the left vertices with the variables and the right with the closures. Define $\{u, v\}$ to be an edge if the literal which associate with the vertex u is in the closure associate with vertex v. For the alphabet take $\Sigma = \mathbb{Z}_2^3$. For any right vertex $v \in R$ define Σ_v be all the assignments for which the v-closures is satisfied and for any left vertex u define $\Sigma_u = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0)\}$. Finally define c_e for $e = \{v \in R, u \in L\}$ to be the projection of $\sigma \in \Sigma$, setted on v, to the coordinate corresponding with u. For example, assume that v associate with $x \vee y \vee z$ and let u be the vertex associate with x, And assume that $A(v) = (1, 0, 1)$, then $c_e A_v = (1, 0, 0)$.

Compeletnce. Suppose that $\varphi \in$ E3-CNF-SAT and let $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^*$ be the assignment that satisfies φ. That it, $\varphi(x) = \textbf{True}$. Let A be the assignment that sets for any vertex on the left the bit matched to that literal by x follows by zeros padding. And for any right vertex the triple of the bits corresponding to literals involving in the associated closure. By the fact that x satisfies φ any closure in φ is satisfied by x and therefore each of the right vertices (closures) see on his local view a character of Σ_v. In addition by the definition of the construction any pair of connected vertices satisfies the edge restriction.

Soundness. Suppose that $\varphi \notin$ E3-CNF-SAT and $\langle G, \{\Sigma_v : v \in V\}, \{c_e : e \in E\}\rangle$ is an instance obtained by the reduction above. Assume throawds contradiction that there exists assignment A such that more than $\mu' = 6\mu$ of the restriction $\{c_e\}$ are satisfied.

Define by α_i to be the number of right vertices which satisfy exactly i edges, that it,

$$\alpha_i = |\{| \{c_e A(v) = A(u) : u \in L\}| = i : v \in R\}|$$

Claim 1. *For any labeling A that satisfy ξ constraints, there exists labeling A' such that any constraint that satisfied by A also satisfied by A' and in addition $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$. Put it differently, we can assume that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = 0$.*

Proof. Let $v \in R$ be a vertex that satisfies less than two edges. Recall that Σ_v contains all the triple that satisfy the closure associated with v. By the fact that for any 3-CNF closure there is exactly one assignment which does not satisfy it, It follows that $|\Sigma_v| = 2^3 - 1 = 7 \geq 2^2$. Therefore, we can replace $A(v)$ by a triple that agree with the first two vertices connected to it. $\qquad\square$

Using the above claim we can infer that $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = |R|$ and in addition $2 \cdot \alpha_2 + 3 \cdot \alpha_3 \geq \mu' \cdot 3|R|$. Thus, $\alpha_3 \geq (3\mu' - 2)|R|$. Particularly if $\mu' \geq \frac{\mu+2}{3}$ then $\alpha_3 \geq \mu|R|$.