Simple LTC Good LDPC Codes

David Ponarovsky

January 30, 2023

Abstract

We propose a new simple construction based on Tanner Codes, which yields a good LDPC testable code.

ex1. Find a simple description of the work-function algorithm in the case of uniform metric space.

ex2. Consider the following 3-point metric space, w(a,b) = 1 and $w(\cdot,c) = M$. The initial configuration is $\{b,c\}$ (2 servers). Show that randomized competitive ratio, for some value of M is $> H_2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}$.

Solution. Define the following distribution:

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \begin{cases} (ab)^{\frac{M}{3}} & \text{w.p } \frac{1}{2} \\ (ab)^{\frac{M^{100}}{3}} & \text{w.p } \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Using Yao's principle, it's enough to show that any determisntic alogrithm is H_2 competitive in expectation against the that spasific distribution. First notice that konwing what is the exactly drawn σ fix an optimal startegy ehich is one of the following: moving alternaty the server which initialized at a between a,b points, or choosing first the sever that located in c into a in the second senario. Puting down we obtain that:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\text{base}}\left(\sigma\right):\sigma\sim\tilde{\sigma}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{M}{3}+M\right)=\frac{5}{6}M$$

Meanwhile, by the fact that reading any preffix of requests serires at length less than $\frac{M}{3}$ doesn't expose any information about the drawed input which wasn't known at the initilized momment, it follows by undistingushable arguments that the best an radomized algorithm can do is to guess.

ex3. Show that randomized marking algorithm cannot be c-competitive against the adaptive online adversary, for c = o(k).

Solution. Assume by contrdiction that there is a constant c>1, and a randomizded algorithm which is an c-competitive in the adadptive online setting. According to theroem that shown at class, If there is exists an α competitive alg for an online problem in the non-adaptive setting and inadttion there exists a β competitive algorithm for the same problem against adaptive online adversary, then it holds that there exists an algorithm which is $\alpha\beta$ competitive against an offline adaptive adversary. Combine the fact that randomized con't help agaisnt such adversary we obtain that the deterministic comppetitive ratio is lower than $\alpha\beta$. As we know that a k-lowerbound for the determinstic regime and also a log k soultion using randomizion agaisnt a non-adaptive adversary, we obtains that

$$\alpha \beta \ge k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{k}{c} \log k \ge k$$

But for any $k \leq \log 2^c$ we obtain the oppsite direction. Which mean that there is a range of valids k that obtains a better ratio than the lower bound. And that is a contridiction.

 ${\bf ex4}$ - ${\bf Ski}$ Rental. At each step, the adversary decides etiher continue or stop. Stop terminate the game. If it continues, the online algorithm decides, etiher rent or buy. Rent costs 1 Buy costs M>1. Deisgn a primal-dual randomized online ski-rental algorithm with better than 2 competitive ratio.

Solution. Let's start by formulate an integer LP for the Ski-Rental problem. Denote by m the days number, assoicate a varible x indecating wether or not the algorithm dicide to buy. Also let's associate with each day a varible ξ_j which indicate if the algorithm pays for rent. In each turn the soultion must satisfy the restrictions $\xi_j + x \geq 1$. The cost which we would like to minimize is $M \cdot x + \sum_j \xi_j$. So, in overall we get that out LP is:

$$\min Mx + \sum_{j} \xi_{j}$$
s.b $x + \xi_{j} \ge 1 \Leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \xi_{1} \\ \xi_{2} \\ \xi_{3} \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix} \ge \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

So the dual program is

$$\max \sum_{j} z_{j}$$
 subject to
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ z_{1} \\ z_{2} \\ z_{3} \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix} \le \begin{bmatrix} M \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \end{bmatrix}$$

So in total.

Algorithm 1: Decoding

```
Data: x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n
    Result: \arg \min \{y \in C : |y+x|\}\ \text{if } d(y,C) < \tau
                 and False otherwise.
 1 L \leftarrow Array\{\}
 2 for v \in V do
        c'_v \leftarrow \arg\min\left\{y \in C_0 : |y + x|_v|\right\}
         L_v \leftarrow c'_v
 5 end
 6 z \leftarrow \sum_{v \in V} c'_v
7 if |z| < \tau \frac{n}{f(n)} then
         while |z| > 0 do
 8
               find v and c \in C_0 such that |z + c_v| < |z|
 9
              z \leftarrow z + c_v
10
             L_v \leftarrow L_v + c_v
11
         end
12
13 else
     reject.
14
15 end
16 return S(L)
```

ex5. Prove Yao's minimax principle.

$$\begin{split} &\forall \text{rand. alg} \ \exists \ \sigma \\ &\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\text{alg}}\left(\sigma\right): \text{alg} \sim \tilde{\text{alg}}\right] \geq c \cdot c_{\text{base}}\left(\sigma\right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists \ \text{rand. } \tilde{\sigma} \forall \text{alg} \\ &\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\text{alg}}\left(\sigma\right): \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma}\right] \geq c \mathbf{E}\left[c_{\text{base}}\left(\sigma\right): \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma}\right] \end{split}$$

Solution. First direction, assume through contriduction that there exists an determenstic algorithm such that for all distrubtons $\tilde{\sigma}$:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\sigma\right):\sigma\sim\tilde{\sigma}\right]< c\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\mathrm{base}}\left(\sigma\right):\sigma\sim\tilde{\sigma}\right]$$

And that holds, in paritcular, for distribution $\tilde{\sigma}$ which suportted by a single σ . Hence, by the fact that any deterministic algorithm is also a randomized algorithm, set it to be alg and that imdetly yields a contrudioction. It left to show the second direction, By the monotonic preporty of random variables we have that for any distribution $\tilde{\sigma}$:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{E} \left[c_{\text{alg}} \left(\sigma \right) : \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma} \right] : \text{alg} \sim \tilde{\text{alg}} \right] \\ & \geq c \cdot \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{E} \left[c_{\text{base}} \left(\sigma \right) : \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma} \right] : \text{alg} \sim \tilde{\text{alg}} \right] \\ \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{E} \left[c_{\text{alg}} \left(\sigma \right) : \text{alg} \sim \tilde{\text{alg}} \right] : \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma} \right] \\ & \geq c \cdot \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{E} \left[c_{\text{base}} \left(\sigma \right) : \text{alg} \sim \tilde{\text{alg}} \right] : \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma} \right] \\ \mathbf{E} \left[\mathbf{E} \left[c_{\text{alg}} \left(\sigma \right) : \text{alg} \sim \tilde{\text{alg}} \right] : \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma} \right] \\ & \geq c \cdot \mathbf{E} \left[c_{\text{base}} \left(\sigma \right) : \sigma \sim \tilde{\sigma} \right] \end{split}$$

And by the fact that inequality of excrection between random varibles follows an existness of atomic event on which the inequality holds, we obtain that there is must exists at least a single σ such that:

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\mathrm{alg}}\left(\sigma\right):\mathrm{alg}\sim\tilde{\mathrm{alg}}\right]:\sigma\sim\tilde{\sigma}\right]\geq c\cdot\mathbf{E}\left[c_{\mathrm{base}}\left(\sigma\right):\sigma\sim\tilde{\sigma}\right]$$

And that ends the proof.