Linear Array Algorithms

Student Duisenbek Bekzat: Boyer-Moore Majority Vote (single-pass majority element detection)

https://github.com/duesenbek/assignment2-Boyer-Moore-Majority-Vote

Student Imanbayev Farhad: Kadane's Algorithm (maximum subarray sum with position tracking)

https://github.com/F4rqday/Assignment-2-Kadane-s-Algorithm-

Algorithm Complexity Analysis

Empirical Complexity Validation

The Boyer–Moore Majority algorithm has a time complexity of O(n) and space complexity of O(1) — both confirmed through testing.

Kadane's Algorithm also demonstrates O(n) time complexity and O(1) space complexity, which were successfully validated.

Key Performance Metrics

- Boyer-Moore: ~3 comparisons/element, ~2 array accesses/element
- Kadane's: ~2 comparisons/element, ~1 array access/element
- Both: Sub-millisecond performance for 100K elements
- Both: Constant memory usage (~0.38MB)

Implementation Quality Comparison

Code Structure & Testing

For Boyer–Moore, the project includes 13 unit tests and over 200 property-based tests, along with a comprehensive 4-type benchmarking analysis. It also features complete edge case coverage and detailed metrics tracking (comparisons, array accesses, assignments, and execution time).

In contrast, Kadane's Algorithm contains 4 unit tests, basic scalability tests, and simplified validation for errors. Its metrics tracking is limited to basic operation counting.

Key Findings

- Both implementations correct and efficient
- Proper OOP structure with separation of concerns
- Performance tracking integrated
- Kadane's has limited test coverage
- Boyer-Moore has more comprehensive benchmarking

Kadane's Algorithm

Boyer-Moore

```
INF0]
INF0] Results:
INF0]
INF0] Tests run: 13, Failures: 0, Errors: 0, Skipped: 0
INF0]
INF0]
INF0] ------
INF0] BUILD SUCCESS
INF0] ------
INF0] Total time: 2.248 s
INF0] Finished at: 2025-10-05T20:44:09+05:00
INF0]
```

Distribution Performance

Boyer-Moore: Consistent across random, sorted, reverse-sorted data

Kadane's: Performance consistent (limited distribution data)

Both: No performance degradation on specific patterns

Code Quality & Results

Boyer-Moore Majority Vote:

100 elements: 297 comparisons | 0.032ms

100,000 elements: 300,003 comparisons | 0.923ms

Linear growth: ~3n operations <

Kadane's Algorithm:

100 elements: 200 comparisons l (time data needed)

100,000 elements: 200,000 comparisons I (time data needed)

Linear growth: ~2n operations <a>V

Conclusions & Recommendations

For Kadane's Algorithm:

- Expand test coverage for edge cases
- Add comprehensive benchmarking suite
- Include memory profiling
- Enhance documentation

For Boyer-Moore:

- Maintain current quality standards
- Consider minor optimizations for constant factors

Final Verdict

Both algorithms successfully achieve their theoretical complexity bounds with high-quality implementations. Kadane's shows slightly better constant factors, while Boyer-Moore demonstrates more comprehensive testing and benchmarking practices.