



Christopher Manning



More General Features

- Features can be more general than just binary matching:
 - Can compute a real value from input, e.g., $\log(\text{word length})$
 - Can match a set of values – e.g., perhaps a partial structure – across “classes”
 - This leads to structured classification, which is common in NLP, for example to match parse tree candidates, etc.
 - A discriminative can have features that match a tree with a unary S to VP
 - A coreference model can not like a cluster with different gender items


Christopher Manning



Building a Simple Discriminative Model

- We define features (indicator functions) over data points
 - Features represent sets of data points which are distinctive enough to deserve model parameters.
 - Words, but also “word contains number”, “word ends with *ing*”, POS, syntactic structure, relation between two phrases, etc.
- We might simply encode each Φ feature as a unique String
 - A datum will give rise to a set of Strings: the active Φ features
 - Each feature $f_i(c, d) = [\Phi(d) \wedge c = c_i]$ gets a real number weight
- We concentrate on Φ features, but one weight for each i of f_i


Christopher Manning



Building a Simple Discriminative Model

- Features are normally added in big batches via feature templates
 - E.g., one feature template adds $\forall ij$ observed: $\text{lastWord}=w_i \wedge c = c_j$
 - Another is: $\text{nextWord}=w_i \wedge c = c_j$. Each may add tens of thousands of features
- A model may be specified by the set of feature templates used
- Features are often added during model development to target errors
 - Often, the easiest thing to think of are features that mark bad combinations

Christopher Manning




Linear classifiers at classification time

- Linear function from feature sets $\{f_i\}$ to classes $\{c\}$.
- Assign a weight λ_i to each feature f_i .
- We consider each class for an observed datum d
- For a pair (c, d) , features vote with their weights:
 - $\text{vote}(c) = \sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$

PERSON LOCATION DRUG
in Québec *in Québec* *in Québec*

- Choose the class c which maximizes $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$

Christopher Manning



Linear classifiers at classification time


- Linear function from feature sets $\{f_i\}$ to classes $\{c\}$.
- Assign a weight λ_i to each feature f_i .
- We consider each class for an observed datum d
- For a pair (c, d) , features vote with their weights:
 - $\text{vote}(c) = \sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$


PERSON LOCATION DRUG
in Québec 1.8 *in Québec* -0.6 *in Québec* 0.3 *in Québec*

- Choose the class c which maximizes $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d) = \text{LOCATION}$

Feature-based Discriminative Classifiers

Making features from text for discriminative NLP models





Feature-based softmax/maxent linear classifiers

How to put features into a classifier

Christopher Manning

Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Linear classifiers are a linear function from feature sets $\{f_i\}$ to classes $\{c\}$
- At test time, we consider each class c for a datum d
 - We generate a feature set $\{f_i\}$ for an observed datum-class pair (c, d)
 - Each feature f_i has a weight λ_i
 - We then score each possible class assignment: $\text{vote}(c) = \sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d) = \lambda \cdot f$
 - We choose the class c which maximizes $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$
- At training time we have observed (c, d) pairs from labeled examples
 - We generate sets of features $\{f_i(c, d)\}$ for them
 - We use information about what features occur and don't occur to set a weight λ_i for each feature

Christopher Manning

Example features

- $f_1(c, d) = [c = \text{LOCATION} \wedge w_1 = \text{"in"} \wedge \text{isCapitalized}(w)]$
- $f_2(c, d) = [c = \text{LOCATION} \wedge \text{hasAccentedLatinChar}(w)]$
- $f_3(c, d) = [c = \text{DRUG} \wedge \text{ends}(w, \text{"c"})]$

1.8 LOCATION^{-0.6} LOCATION^{-0.6} 0.3 DRUG PERSON
 in Arcadia in Québec taking Zantac saw Sue

Christopher Manning

Maxent models (softmax, multiclass logistic, exponential, conditional log-linear, Gibbs)

- Make a probabilistic model from the linear combination $\sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)$

$$P(c | d, \lambda) = \frac{\exp \sum \lambda_i f_i(c, d)}{\sum \exp \sum \lambda_i f_i(c', d)}$$

← Makes votes positive
 ← Normalizes votes

- $P(\text{LOCATION} | \text{in Québec}) = e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} / (e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.586$
- $P(\text{DRUG} | \text{in Québec}) = e^{0.3} / (e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.238$
- $P(\text{PERSON} | \text{in Québec}) = e^0 / (e^{1.8} e^{-0.6} + e^{0.3} + e^0) = 0.176$

- The **weights** are the **parameters** of the probability model, combined via a "soft max" function

Christopher Manning

Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

- Maxent models:
 - Given this model form, we choose parameters $\{\lambda_i\}$ that **maximize the conditional likelihood** of the data according to this model (as discussed later): $\max_{\lambda} P(D | C, \lambda)$
 - We construct not only classifications, but probability distributions over classifications.

Christopher Manning

Feature-Based Linear Classifiers

There are other (good!) ways to choose weights for features

- Perceptron: find a currently misclassified example, and nudge weights in the direction that corrects classification
- Margin-based methods (Support Vector Machines)
- Boosting algorithms

But these methods are not as trivial to interpret as probability distributions over classes

