

Diophantine m -tuples in finite fields and modular forms

Andrej Dujella and Matija Kazalicki

ABSTRACT

For a prime p , a Diophantine m -tuple in \mathbb{F}_p is a set of m nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_p with the property that the product of any two of its distinct elements is one less than a square.

In this paper, we present formulas for the number $N^{(m)}(p)$ of Diophantine m -tuples in \mathbb{F}_p for $m = 2, 3$ and 4 . Fourier coefficients of certain modular forms appear in the formula for the number of Diophantine quadruples.

We prove that asymptotically $N^{(m)}(p) = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{m}{2}}} \frac{p^m}{m!} + o(p^m)$, and also show that if $p > 2^{2m-2}m^2$, then there is at least one Diophantine m -tuple in \mathbb{F}_p .

1. Introduction

A Diophantine m -tuple is a set of m positive integers with the property that the product of any two of its distinct elements is one less than a square. If a set of nonzero rationals has the same property, then it is called a rational Diophantine m -tuple. Diophantus of Alexandria found the first example of a rational Diophantine quadruple $\{1/16, 33/16, 17/4, 105/16\}$, while the first Diophantine quadruple in integers was found by Fermat, and it was the set $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$. It was proved in [Duj04] that an integer Diophantine sextuple does not exist and that there are only finitely many such quintuples. A folklore conjecture is that there does not exist an integer Diophantine quintuple. On the other hand, it was shown in [DKMS16] that there are infinitely many rational Diophantine sextuples (for another construction see [DujKaz]), and it is not known if there are rational Diophantine septuples. For a short survey on Diophantine m -tuples see [Duj16].

One can study Diophantine m -tuples over any commutative ring with unity. In this paper, we consider Diophantine m -tuples in finite fields \mathbb{F}_p , where p is an odd prime. In this setting, it is natural to ask about the number $N^{(m)}(p)$ of Diophantine m -tuples with elements in \mathbb{F}_p (we consider 0 to be a square in \mathbb{F}_p).

Since half of the elements of \mathbb{F}_p^\times are squares, heuristically, one expects that a randomly chosen m -tuple of different elements in \mathbb{F}_p^\times will have the Diophantine property with probability $\frac{1}{2^{\binom{m}{2}}}$, i.e. we expect $N^{(m)}(p) = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{m}{2}}} \frac{p^m}{m!} + o(p^m)$. We prove this asymptotic formula at the end of Section 6.

The main theorem of the paper gives an exact formula for the number of Diophantine quadruples $N^{(4)}(p)$ given in terms of the Fourier coefficients of the following modular forms.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_0(32)), \\ f_2(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b(n)q^n \in S_3\left(\Gamma_0(8), \left(\frac{-2}{\bullet}\right)\right), \\ f_3(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n)q^n \in S_3\left(\Gamma_0(16), \left(\frac{-4}{\bullet}\right)\right), \\ f_4(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)q^n \in S_4(\Gamma_0(8)), \\ f_5(\tau) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e(n)q^n \in S_5\left(\Gamma_0(4), \left(\frac{-4}{\bullet}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

be (the unique rational) newforms in the corresponding spaces of modular forms. Here $S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \chi)$ denotes the space of cusp forms of weight k , level N and nebentypus χ . Note that all modular forms except $f_4(\tau)$ are CM forms so we have explicit formulas for their Fourier coefficients which are given in Section 4.2.

THEOREM 1. *Let p be an odd prime. Denote by $q(p) = e(p) - 6d(p) + 24b(p) - 24c(p)$. Then, the number $N^{(4)}(p)$ of Diophantine quadruples over \mathbb{F}_p is given by the following formula:*

$$N^{(4)}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{24 \cdot 64} (p^4 - 24p^3 + 206p^2 - 650p + 477 + q(p)), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{24 \cdot 64} (p^4 - 24p^3 + 236p^2 - 1098p + 1761 + q(p)), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{24 \cdot 64} (p^4 - 24p^3 + 206p^2 - 698p + 573 + q(p)), & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{1}{24 \cdot 64} (p^4 - 24p^3 + 236p^2 - 1050p + 1761 + q(p)), & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

An elementary upper bound for the Fourier coefficients of cusp forms (see Chapter 5 of [Iwa97]) implies that $q(p) = O(p^{5/2})$, so we have $N^{(4)}(p) = \frac{1}{24 \cdot 64} p^4 + O(p^3)$, which is consistent with the heuristics mentioned earlier.

In addition to this, using a more elementary approach of character sums, in Propositions 18 and 19 we derive formulas for the number of Diophantine pairs $N^{(2)}(p)$ and the number of Diophantine triples $N^{(3)}(p)$ in \mathbb{F}_p . Already for $m = 4$ this method becomes too involved.

For a general m it is natural to ask how large p must be so that there is at least one Diophantine m -tuple in \mathbb{F}_p . In Theorem 17, we prove that this is the case if $p > 2^{2m-2}m^2$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct a correspondence between the set of Diophantine quadruples $\{a, b, c, d\}$ and the set of admissible triples (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) of \mathbb{F}_p -points on the curve $\mathcal{D}_t : (x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1) = t$, for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ such that each admissible triple corresponds to one or two Diophantine quadruples. If $t \neq 0, 1$, the curve \mathcal{D}_t is birationally equivalent to the elliptic curve $E_t : V^2 = U^3 - 2(t - 2)U^2 + t^2U$, with the distinguished point $R = (t, 2t)$ of order 4. Hence we identify $\mathcal{D}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p) := E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}, R, 2R, 3R\}$.

If $t \neq 0, 1$ we say that the triple (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) of points on $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is admissible if and only if $x(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + R)$ is a square, and if for no two Q_i and Q_j with $i \neq j$, we have that

$Q_i = \pm Q_j + kR$, where $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. For the definition of admissibility when $t = 1$ see the end of Section 2.

In Section 3, we find a formula for $N^{(4)}(p)$ by counting admissible triples on $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for each t (see Propositions 5 and 6). The formula can be written as a linear combination of sums of the form $\sum_{t \in X(\mathbb{F}_p)} P(t)^k$, where X is one of the modular curves (for definitions see Section 4.1)

$$X_1(4), X_1(8), X(2, 4), X(2, 8), X(4, 8)$$

and $P(t)$ is the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points on the fiber above t of the universal elliptic curve over the modular curve X , and $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

In Section 4, using universal elliptic curves over the modular curves introduced above, we define certain compatible families of ℓ -adic Galois representations such that the trace of Frobenius $Frob_p$ under these representations is essentially equal to the sums above. On the other hand, these representations are isomorphic to the ℓ -adic realisations of the motives associated to the spaces of cusps forms of weight $k + 2$ on the corresponding groups, which enables us to express the traces of Frobenius in terms of the coefficients of the Hecke eigenforms in those spaces.

In Section 5, using the methods from the previous section we calculate in Propositions 11-16 the sums from the formula for $N^{(4)}(p)$, and prove Theorem 1.

By using character sums (Weil's estimates), in Section 6 we obtain formulas for $N^{(2)}(p)$ and $N^{(3)}(p)$, and prove Theorem 17 together with an asymptotic formula for $N^{(m)}(p)$.

2. Correspondence

Let $\{a, b, c, d\}$ be a Diophantine quadruple with elements in \mathbb{F}_p , and let

$$\begin{aligned} ab + 1 &= t_{12}^2, & ac + 1 &= t_{13}^2, & ad + 1 &= t_{14}^2, \\ bc + 1 &= t_{23}^2, & bd + 1 &= t_{24}^2, & cd + 1 &= t_{34}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(t_{12}, t_{34}, t_{13}, t_{24}, t_{14}, t_{23}, t = abcd) \in \mathbb{F}_p^7$ defines a point on an algebraic variety \mathcal{C} over \mathbb{F}_p defined by the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{12}^2 - 1)(t_{34}^2 - 1) &= t \\ (t_{13}^2 - 1)(t_{24}^2 - 1) &= t \\ (t_{14}^2 - 1)(t_{23}^2 - 1) &= t. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, the points $(\pm t_{12}, \pm t_{34}, \pm t_{13}, \pm t_{24}, \pm t_{14}, \pm t_{23}, t) \in \mathbb{F}_p^7$ on \mathcal{C} determine two Diophantine quadruples $\pm\{a, b, c, d\}$ (or one if $\{a, b, c, d\} = \{-a, -b, -c, -d\}$), provided that the elements a, b, c and d are \mathbb{F}_p -rational, distinct and non-zero. Here, we take $a = \sqrt{(t_{12}^2 - 1)(t_{13}^2 - 1)/(t_{23}^2 - 1)}$ to be any square root, while b, c and d are defined using identities $ab + 1 = t_{12}^2$, $ac + 1 = t_{13}^2$ and $ad + 1 = t_{14}^2$. It follows from this definition and the equations defining \mathcal{C} that $bc + 1 = t_{23}^2$, $bd + 1 = t_{24}^2$ and $cd + 1 = t_{34}^2$. Also, if only one element of quadruple is \mathbb{F}_p -rational, then all the elements are \mathbb{F}_p -rational.

The projection $(t_{12}, t_{34}, t_{13}, t_{24}, t_{14}, t_{23}, t) \mapsto t$ defines a fibration of \mathcal{C} over the projective line, and the generic fiber is a cube of $\mathcal{D}_t : (x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1) = t$. Any point on \mathcal{C} corresponds to the three points $Q_1 = (t_{12}, t_{34})$, $Q_2 = (t_{13}, t_{24})$ and $Q_3 = (t_{14}, t_{23})$ on \mathcal{D}_t . The elements of a quadruple corresponding to these three points are distinct if and only if no two of these points can be transformed from one to another by changing signs and switching coordinates (e.g. for the points (t_{12}, t_{34}) , $(-t_{34}, t_{12})$ and (t_{14}, t_{23}) , we have that $a = d$).

The curve \mathcal{D}_t for $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is birationally equivalent to the curve

$$E_t : V^2 = U^3 - 2(t-2)U^2 + t^2U.$$

The map is given by $U = 2(x^2 - 1)y + 2x^2 - (2 - t)$, and $V = 2Ux$. The family E_t over the t -line together with $R = (t, 2t)$, the point of order 4, is the universal elliptic curve over the modular curve $X_1(4)$ (we identify \mathbb{P}^1 with $X_1(4)$ such that cusps of $X_1(4)$ correspond to $t = 0, 1$ and ∞). It is easy to see that the affine \mathbb{F}_p -points on the curve \mathcal{D}_t are in 1-1 correspondence with the set $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p) := E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}, R, 2R, 3R\}$.

If $t \neq 0, 1$ the curve E_t is an elliptic curve, so in our analysis of Diophantine quadruples we will naturally distinguish two cases $t = 1$ and $t \neq 0, 1$ (note that $t = 0$ would imply that one of the elements in quadruple $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is zero).

If $t = 1$ then there is a singular point $(-1, 0)$ on the curve $E_1 : V^2 = U(U + 1)^2$ which corresponds to the point $(0, 0)$ on \mathcal{D}_1 .

If $Q \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is the nonsingular point that corresponds to the point $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$, then a direct calculation shows that the points $-Q$ and $Q + R$ correspond to the points $(-x, y)$ and $(y, -x)$ respectively. Hence the following lemma follows.

LEMMA 2. *Let $t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. The triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)^3$ corresponds to the quadruple whose elements are not distinct if and only if there are two nonsingular points, Q_i and Q_j with $i \neq j$ such that $Q_i = \pm Q_j + kR$, where $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ or if at least two points in the triple are singular.*

A short calculation shows that for the point $(U, V) \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ corresponding to $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_t$ we have

$$x^2 - 1 = \left(\frac{V}{2U}\right)^2 - 1 = T \left(\frac{U-t}{2U}\right)^2 =: f((U, V)).$$

Since

$$a^2 = \frac{f(Q_1)f(Q_2)f(Q_3)}{t} \equiv x(Q_1)x(Q_2)x(Q_3)t \equiv x(Q_1)x(Q_2)x(Q_3)x(R) \pmod{\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}}$$

for the rationality of a it is enough to prove that $x(Q_1)x(Q_2)x(Q_3)x(R)$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_p .

If $t = 1$ and $Q = (U, V) \in \tilde{E}_1(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a nonsingular point, then $x(Q) = \frac{V^2}{(U+1)^2}$ is always a square in \mathbb{F}_p , hence the triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in \tilde{E}_1(\mathbb{F}_p)^3$ of distinct points corresponds to the quadruple whose elements are \mathbb{F}_p -rational if and only if -1 is a square in \mathbb{F}_p (since -1 is x -coordinate of the singular point) or if all the points Q_i are nonsingular.

LEMMA 3. *If $t \neq 0, 1$ then the triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)^3$ corresponds to the quadruple whose elements are \mathbb{F}_p -rational, if and only if*

$$Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + R \in \{\mathcal{O}, 2R\} \text{ or } x(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + R) \text{ is a square.}$$

Proof. Since $(0, 0) \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a point of order two, there is an elliptic curve E'_t defined over \mathbb{F}_p and 2-isogeny $\phi' : E_t \rightarrow E'_t$ such that $\ker \phi' = \langle (0, 0) \rangle$. Denote by $\phi : E'_t \rightarrow E_t$ the dual isogeny of ϕ' . Then there is a descent homomorphism $\delta_\phi : E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)/\phi(E'_t(\mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{F}_p, E'_t[\phi]) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^\times/\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}$ (see Section 2 of [MS10]), which maps point $(U, V) \mapsto U$ if $U \neq 0$, and points $2R = (0, 0), \mathcal{O} \mapsto 1$.

It follows that

$$x(Q_1)x(Q_2)x(Q_3)x(R) \equiv \delta_\phi(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + R) \pmod{\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}},$$

hence the claim follows. \square

We call a triple $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)^3$ *admissible* if it corresponds to a Diophantine quadruple. It follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 that this holds if and only if the following is true

- a) $t \neq 0$,
- b) there are no two nonsingular points Q_i and Q_j with $i \neq j$ such that $Q_i = \pm Q_j + kR$ for some $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,
- c) if $t \neq 0, 1$ then $x(Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + R)$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_p or if $t = 1$ then all Q_i 's are nonsingular or -1 is a square in \mathbb{F}_p .

3. Counting admissible triples

The main idea of this paper is to count the number $N^{(4)}(p)$ of Diophantine quadruples over \mathbb{F}_p , by counting the admissible triples (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) .

Since one Diophantine quadruple $\{a, b, c, d\}$ corresponds to several admissible triples, we count each admissible triple with weight $w = w(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ where $48/w$ (or $24/w$ if $\{-a, -b, -c, -d\} = \{a, b, c, d\}$) is equal to the number of admissible triples that correspond to the same Diophantine quadruple(s) as (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) . More precisely, if some Q_i or $Q_i \pm R$ has order 2 then the weight is $w = \frac{1}{24}$ (because one t_{ij} will be equal to 0), otherwise it is $w = \frac{1}{2^5}$. Note that if there are two Q_i and Q_j such that $2Q_i = \pm R$ and $2Q_j = \pm R$, then the corresponding Diophantine quadruple has the property that $\{-a, -b, -c, -d\} = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and we count such a triple with weight $w = \frac{1}{2^5}$ (unless the third element Q_k or $Q_k \pm R$ has order 2, in which case the weight is $w = \frac{1}{24}$). For $t \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0, 1\}$, denote by

$$W(t) = \frac{1}{24} \sum_{(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)} w(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3),$$

where the sum is over all admissible triples $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)^3$. Thus $W(t)$ is equal to the number of Diophantine quadruples $\{a, b, c, d\}$ with $abcd = t$. Also for the correct count, Diophantine quadruples corresponding to the singular fiber \mathcal{D}_1 will be counted separately, we denote their number by $W(1)$. For $t \in \mathbb{F}_p, t \neq 0, 1$, denote by $P(t) = \#E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

For every $Q \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$, denote by $[Q]$ the set $\{Q + kR, -Q + kR : k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\}$. We call such a set the class of Q . Note that $\#[Q] = 8$, unless $[Q]$ contains a point of order 2 (different than $2R = (0, 0)$) or a point Q' such that $2Q' = \pm R$, in which case $\#[Q] = 4$.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $t \neq 0, 1$ in \mathbb{F}_p be such that $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

- a) *Let $T \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be a point of order two, $T \neq 2R$. Then $x(T)$ is a square if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.*
- b) *Let $Q \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ satisfy $2Q = \pm R$, and let $P \in E_t(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ be such that $2P = Q$. Then $x(Q)$ is a square if and only if the subgroup $\langle P \rangle \leq E_t(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ generated by P is \mathbb{F}_p -rational.*
- c) *Let $T \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ satisfy $2T = \mathcal{O}$ ($T \neq 2R$), and let $P \in E_t(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ be such that $2P = T$. Then $x(T)$ is a square if and only if $P^\sigma - P \in \{\mathcal{O}, 2R\}$, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$.*

Proof. a) The x -coordinates of the points of order two satisfy $x(x^2 + (4 - 2t)x + t^2) = 0$. In particular, $x(T) = t - 2 \pm 2\sqrt{1 - t} = -(\pm\sqrt{1 - t} - 1)^2$ is a square if and only if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ (since $\left(\frac{1-t}{p}\right) = 1$). Hence the claim follows.

b) It follows from the explicit two-descent theory (see Theorem 1.1. in Chapter X of [Sil09]) that

there is a bilinear pairing

$$b : E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)/2E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \times E_t[2] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times/\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}$$

satisfying

$$e_2(P^\sigma - P, 2R) = \delta_{\mathbb{F}_p}(b(Q, 2R))(\sigma) \text{ for every } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p),$$

where e_2 is the Weil pairing, and $\delta_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is the connecting homomorphism for the Kummer sequence associated to the group variety $\mathbb{G}_m/\mathbb{F}_p$. Moreover, $b(Q, 2R) \equiv x(Q) \pmod{\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}}$. In particular, $x(Q)$ is square if and only if $e_2(P^\sigma - P, 2R) = 1$ for all σ , or equivalently if and only if $P^\sigma - P \in \{\mathcal{O}, 2R\}$ for all σ (since $e_2(2R, 2R) = e_2(\mathcal{O}, 2R) = 1$ and $e_2(T, 2R) = e_2(T+2R, 2R) = -1$ where $T \neq 2R$ is a point of order two). The claim follows since $4P = \pm R$.

- c) Same as in b), $x(T)$ is square if and only if $e_2(P^\sigma - P, 2R) = 1$ for all σ . Hence, the claim follows. □

REMARK. Note that half of the points in $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ will have a x -coordinate equal to a square.

For the rest of the section fix $t \neq 0, 1$ in \mathbb{F}_p . We calculate $W(t)$ in the following three cases.

3.1 R is not divisible by 2 in $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$

- a) In the case where $x(R) \neq \square$, we can count triples (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) by first choosing three different classes $([Q_1], [Q_2], [Q_3])$, and then choosing all possible elements from these classes. Half of these triples will be admissible since for every $P \in \tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ precisely one of $x(P)$ and $x(P+R)$ is a square since by two-isogeny descent homomorphism we have that $x(P+R) \equiv x(P)x(R) \pmod{\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}}$ (see the proof of Lemma 3). We consider two cases:

- i) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ($2R$ is the only point of order two and $x(R) \neq \square$)
All the classes in $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (note that we don't consider class $[R]$ which has order 4) have eight elements and weight $w = \frac{1}{2^5}$. Denote by b the total number of classes. Then $b = \frac{P(t)-4}{8}$ and

$$24W(t) = w \cdot b(b-1)(b-2)2^8 = \frac{(P(t)-20)(P(t)-12)(P(t)-4)}{64}.$$

- ii) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $x(R) \neq \square$
Let $T \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be a point of order two different than $2R$. The class $[T]$ contains four elements (and triples containing its elements have weight $w = \frac{1}{2^4}$), while other $b = \frac{P(t)-8}{8}$ classes different from $[T]$ and $[R]$ contain eight elements. Hence,

$$24W(t) = \frac{1}{2^5}b(b-1)(b-2)2^8 + \frac{1}{2^4}3 \cdot b(b-1)2^7 = \frac{P(t)(P(t)-8)(P(t)-16)}{64}.$$

- b) In the case where $x(R) = \square$, we consider two cases:

- i) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
Proposition 4 implies that $x(T) = \square$, hence there are $b_1 = \frac{P(t)-16}{16}$ eight-element classes $[Q_i]$ for which $x(Q_i)$ is a square, and $b_2 = \frac{P(t)}{16}$ eight-element classes $[Q_i]$ for which $x(Q_i)$ is not a square. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5}b_1(b_1-1)(b_1-2)2^9 + \frac{3}{2^5}b_1b_2(b_2-1)2^9 + \frac{3}{2^4}(b_1(b_1-1) + b_2(b_2-1))2^8 \\ &= \frac{P(t)(P(t)-8)(P(t)-16)}{64}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this case $W(t)$ is equal to the $W(t)$ from the b).

ii) $E_t[2](\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

Proposition 4 implies that $x(T) \neq \square$, hence there are $b = \frac{P(t)-8}{16}$ classes $[Q_i]$ for which $x(Q_i)$ is a square, and b classes $[Q_i]$ for which $x(Q_i)$ is not a square. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5}(b(b-1)(b-2)2^9 + 3b(b-1)b \cdot 2^9) + \frac{6}{2^4}(b \cdot b)2^8 \\ &= \frac{1}{64}(P(t)-8)(P(t)^2 - 16P(t) + 192). \end{aligned}$$

3.2 $2Q = R$ and $x(Q) \neq \square$

Since $2Q = R$ for some $Q \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we have that $x(P) \equiv x(P+R) \pmod{\mathbb{F}_p^{\times 2}}$ for all $P \in E$, so for any class $[Q_1]$ in $\tilde{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ the x -coordinates of the points in $[Q_1]$ are either all squares or non-squares. We consider two cases:

a) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ($2R$ is the only point of order two)

The class $[Q]$ contains four points, while the other $b = \frac{P(t)-8}{8}$ classes contain eight points. All triples have weight $w = \frac{1}{2^5}$. There are precisely $\frac{b}{2}$ classes $[Q_1]$ for which $x(Q_1)$ is equal to a square (since $x(Q)$ is not a square, and half of the points in $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ have a x -coordinate which is a square). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{b}{2} - 2 \right) 2^9 + 3 \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) 2^9 + 3! \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 2^8 \right) \\ &= \frac{(P(t)-8)(P(t)^2 - 28P(t) + 288)}{64}. \end{aligned}$$

b) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)[2] \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ($T \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a point of order two different than $2R$)

There are three classes with four elements: $[Q]$, $[T]$, and $[T+Q]$.

i) $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

In this case Proposition 4 implies that $x(T)$ is a square, and $x(Q+T)$ is not a square. There are $b = \frac{P(t)-16}{8}$ classes with eight elements, half of which have x -coordinate equal to a square. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{b}{2} - 2 \right) 2^9 + 3 \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) 2^9 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2 \cdot 3}{2^4} \left(\frac{b}{2} \right) \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) 2^8 + \frac{2}{2^5} \left(3! \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \right) 2^8 + \frac{3!}{2^4} \frac{b}{2} 2^7 \\ &\quad + \frac{3!}{2^4} \frac{b}{2} 2^7 + \frac{3!}{2^5} \frac{b}{2} 2^7 + \frac{3!}{2^4} 2^6 \\ &= \frac{P(t)(P(t)^2 - 24P(t) + 224)}{64}. \end{aligned}$$

ii) $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

In this case Proposition 4 implies that $x(T)$ is not a square, and $x(Q+T)$ is a square. There are $b = \frac{P(t)-16}{8}$ classes with eight elements, half of which have x -coordinate equal

to a square. We calculate $W(t)$ as in i).

$$\begin{aligned}
 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5} \left(\frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) \left(\frac{b}{2} - 2 \right) 2^9 + 3 \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) 2^9 \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{3!}{2^4} \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 2^8 + \frac{1}{2^5} \left(3! \left(\frac{b}{2} \right)^2 + 3 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{b}{2} \left(\frac{b}{2} - 1 \right) \right) 2^8 + \frac{3!}{2^4} \frac{b}{2} 2^7 \\
 &\quad + \frac{3!}{2^4} \frac{b}{2} 2^7 + \frac{3!}{2^5} \frac{b}{2} 2^7 + \frac{3!}{2^4} 2^6 \\
 &= \frac{P(t)^3 - 24P(t)^2 + 416P(t) - 3072}{64}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.3 $2Q = R$ and $x(Q) = \square$

We consider two cases.

a) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ($2R$ is the only point of order two)

The class $[Q]$ contains four points, while the other $b = \frac{P(t)-8}{8}$ classes contain eight points. All triples have weight $w = \frac{1}{2^5}$. There are $b_1 = \frac{b-1}{2}$ classes $[Q_1]$ with $x(Q_1) = \square$, and $b_2 = \frac{b+1}{2}$ classes $[Q_1]$ with $x(Q_1) \neq \square$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5} (b_1(b_1 - 1)(b_1 - 2) + 3b_1b_2(b_2 - 1)) 2^9 + \frac{1}{2^5} (3b_1(b_1 - 1) + 3b_2(b_2 - 1)) 2^8 \\
 &= \frac{(P(t) - 16)(P(t)^2 - 20P(t) + 192)}{64}.
 \end{aligned}$$

b) $E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ($T \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is a point of order two different than $2R$)

There are three classes with four elements: $[Q]$, $[T]$, and $[T + Q]$, and $b = \frac{P(t)-16}{8}$ classes with eight elements.

i) $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

Proposition 4 implies that $x(T)$ and $x(T + Q)$ are both squares. Then $b_1 = \frac{b-2}{2}$ and $b_2 = \frac{b+2}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5} (b_1(b_1 - 1)(b_1 - 2) + 3b_1b_2(b_2 - 1)) 2^9 + (2 + 2) \frac{1}{2^5} (3b_1(b_1 - 1) + 3b_2(b_2 - 1)) 2^8 \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{2^4} 3!b_1 2^7 + \frac{1}{2^5} 3!b_1 2^7 + \frac{1}{2^4} 3!2^6 \\
 &= \frac{(P(t) - 16)(P(t)^2 - 8P(t) + 96)}{64}.
 \end{aligned}$$

ii) $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

Proposition 4 implies that $x(T)$ and $x(T + Q)$ are not squares. Then $b_1 = b_2 = \frac{b}{2}$, and we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 24W(t) &= \frac{1}{2^5} (b_1(b_1 - 1)(b_1 - 2) + 3b_1b_2(b_2 - 1)) 2^9 + \frac{1}{2^5} (3b_1(b_1 - 1) + 3b_2(b_2 - 1)) 2^8 \\
 &\quad + (1 + 2) \frac{1}{2^5} (3!b_1b_2) 2^8 + \frac{1}{2^4} 3!b_1 2^7 + \frac{1}{2^4} 3!b_2 2^7 + \frac{1}{2^5} 3!b_1 2^7 + \frac{1}{2^4} 3!2^6 \\
 &= \frac{P(t)^3 - 24P(t)^2 + 416P(t) - 3072}{64}.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Putting everything together

For the fixed prime p we define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_0 &= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \setminus \{1\} : E_t[2](\mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } x(R) = \square \right\} \\
 T_1 &= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \setminus \{1\} : E_t[2](\mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \right\} \\
 T_2 &= \left\{ t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \setminus \{1\} : E_t[2](\mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \text{ and } 2Q = R \text{ for some } Q \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \right\} \\
 T_3 &= \{ t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \setminus \{1\} : 2Q = R \text{ for some } Q \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \} \\
 T_4 &= \{ t \in T_3 : \langle S \rangle \text{ is } \mathbb{F}_p\text{-rational, where } 2S \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \text{ and } 4S = R \text{ for some } S \in E_t \} \\
 T_5 &= \left\{ t \in T_4 : E_t[2](\mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Note that it follows from Proposition 4 that

$$T_4 = \{ t \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \setminus \{1\} : 2Q = R \text{ and } x(Q) = \square \text{ for some } Q \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p) \}.$$

Also, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $T_2 = T_5$.

We have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. a) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 24 \sum_{t \neq 0,1} W(t) &= \sum_{t \neq 0,1} \left(\frac{1}{64} P(t)^3 - \frac{9}{16} P(t)^2 + \frac{23}{4} P(t) - 15 \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t \in T_1} \left(\frac{3}{16} P(t)^2 - \frac{15}{4} P(t) + 15 \right) - \sum_{t \in T_2} \left(\frac{3}{4} P(t) - 21 \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t \in T_3} \left(\frac{9}{4} P(t) - 21 \right) - 12 \sum_{t \in T_4} 1 - 12 \sum_{t \in T_5} 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

b) If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 24 \sum_{t \neq 0,1} W(t) &= \sum_{t \neq 0,1} \left(\frac{1}{64} P(t)^3 - \frac{9}{16} P(t)^2 + \frac{23}{4} P(t) - 15 \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t \in T_1} \left(\frac{3}{16} P(t)^2 - \frac{15}{4} P(t) + 15 \right) - \sum_{t \in T_2} \left(\frac{3}{4} P(t) + 3 \right) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{t \in T_3} \left(\frac{9}{4} P(t) - 21 \right) + \sum_{t \in T_0} (3P(t) - 24) - 12 \sum_{t \in T_4} 1 + 12 \sum_{t \in T_5} 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

3.5 Calculating $W(1)$

The curve $\mathcal{D}_1 : (x^2 - 1)(y^2 - 1) = 1$ is birationally equivalent to the genus zero curve $E_1 : S^2 = T(T+1)^2$. Analysis similar (but easier) to the one in Section 2 yields the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 6.

$$24 \cdot W(1) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-9)(p^2-18p+113)}{32}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{(p-3)(p-11)(p-19)}{32}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{(p-5)(p-9)(p-13)}{32}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{(p-7)(p-11)(p-15)}{32}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

4. Families of universal elliptic curves and ℓ -adic representations

4.1 Modular curves and cusps

For $M, N \geq 1$, $M|N$, we denote by $Y(M, N)$ the quotient of the upper half plane by the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(N) \cap \Gamma^0(M)$. Here $\Gamma^0(M) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{M} \right\}$. As a modular curve (irreducible, connected and defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M)$) $Y(M, N)$ parametrizes elliptic curves E together with the points P and Q of order M and N , such that P and Q generate subgroup of order MN and the Weil pairing $e_{N/M}$ between the points P and $\frac{N}{M}Q$ is equal to the fixed primitive M -th root of unity, i.e. $e_{N/M}(P, \frac{N}{M}Q) = e^{2\pi i/M}$. We denote by $X(M, N)$ the compactification of $Y(M, N)$. For more information on modular curves $Y(M, N)$ see Section 2 in [Kato04].

In Section 5, we will need to know the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational cusps on modular curves $X_1(8)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, $X(2, 4)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, $X(2, 8)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $X(4, 8)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Following [BN16, Section 2], we briefly explain how to calculate the field of definition of cusps on $X(M, N)$.

Let r be a divisor of N . The cusps of $X(M, N)$ represented by the points $(a : b) \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, where a, b are co-prime integers with $\gcd(b, N) = r$, all have the same field of definition, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_M) \leq F_r \leq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. If we canonically identify $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ with $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then F_r is the fixed field of the group H_r acting on $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, where $H_r = H_r^0 := \{s \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times : s \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathrm{lcm}(M, N/r)}\}$, if $\gcd(Mr, N) > 2$, and $H_r = H_r^0 \cdot \{\pm 1\}$ otherwise.

It follows immediately that all four cusps of $X(2, 4)$ are \mathbb{Q} -rational (i.e. $c(2, 4) = 4$), and that the number $c(2, 8)$ of \mathbb{F}_p -rational cusps of $X(2, 8)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is equal to

$$c(2, 8) = \begin{cases} 10, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 6, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ 8, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the curve $X(4, 8)_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$ has eight cusps defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ and eight cusps defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$, hence the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational cusps is equal to

$$c(4, 8) = \begin{cases} 16, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 8, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

The number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational cusps on modular curve $X_1(8)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is equal to

$$c(8) = \begin{cases} 6, & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{8}, \\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

The curves $X_1(4)$, $X(2, 4)$, $X_1(8)$ and $X(2, 8)$ have genus zero, while the curve $X(4, 8)$ has genus one.

4.2 Modular forms

Here we collect some facts about the spaces of modular forms related to the modular curves from the previous subsection. They can be checked using Sage [SAGE] and LMFDB database [LMFDB].

PROPOSITION 7. *Denote by T_p the p -th Hecke operator acting on the space of cusp forms $S_3(\Gamma_1(8) \cap \Gamma^0(2))$. We have*

- a) $\dim S_3(\Gamma_1(4)) = \dim S_4(\Gamma_1(4)) = 0$ and $S_5(\Gamma_1(4)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot f_5(\tau)$,
- b) $\dim S_3(\Gamma_1(8) \cap \Gamma^0(2)) = 3$ and $\text{Trace}(T_p) = 2b(p) + c(p)$,
- c) $S_3(\Gamma_1(8)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot f_2(\tau)$,
- d) $S_3(\Gamma_1(4) \cap \Gamma^0(2)) = 0$ and $S_4(\Gamma_1(4) \cap \Gamma^0(2)) = \mathbb{C} \cdot f_4(\tau)$.

Modular forms $f_1(\tau)$, $f_2(\tau)$, $f_3(\tau)$ and $f_5(\tau)$ are CM forms, and their Fourier coefficients are given in the following proposition. For some standard facts about CM modular forms see [Ono03, p.9].

PROPOSITION 8. *Let p be an odd prime and $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. We have*

- a) $f_1(\tau) = \eta^2(4\tau)\eta^2(8\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{4n})^2 (1 - q^{8n})^2$, and

$$a(p) = \begin{cases} \pm 2x, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p = x^2 + 4y^2 \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$
- b) $f_2(\tau) = \eta^2(\tau)\eta(2\tau)\eta(4\tau)\eta^2(8\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^2 (1 - q^{2n}) (1 - q^{4n}) (1 - q^{8n})^2$, and

$$b(p) = \begin{cases} 2(x^2 - 2y^2), & \text{if } p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8} \text{ and } p = x^2 + 2y^2 \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}, \end{cases}$$
- c) $f_3(\tau) = \eta^6(4\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{4n})^6$, and

$$c(p) = \begin{cases} \pm 2(x^2 - 4y^2), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p = x^2 + 4y^2 \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$
- d) $f_4(\tau) = \eta^4(2\tau)\eta^4(4\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})^4 (1 - q^{4n})^4$,
- e) $f_5(\tau) = \eta^4(\tau)\eta^2(2\tau)\eta^4(4\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^4 (1 - q^{2n})^2 (1 - q^{4n})^4$, and

$$e(p) = \begin{cases} 2p^2 - 16x^2y^2, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } p = x^2 + y^2 \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

4.3 Families of universal elliptic curves

Let E^1, E^2, E^3, E^4 and E^5 be elliptic surfaces fibered over the modular curves $X_1(4)$, $X(2, 4)$, $X_1(8)$, $X(2, 8)$ and $X(4)$ defined by affine equations (given with the sections of the corresponding

orders):

$$\begin{aligned}
 E^1 : Y^2 &= X(X^2 - 2(t_1 - 2)X + t_1^2), \quad P_1 = [t_1, 2t_1]; 4P_1 = \mathcal{O} \\
 E^2 : Y^2 &= X(X + t_2^2 - 2t_2 + 1)(X + t_2^2 + 2t_2 + 1), \\
 P_2 &= [1 - t_2^2, 2(1 - t_2^2)], \quad T_2 = [-t_2^2 + 2t_2 - 1, 0]; 4P_2 = 2T_2 = \mathcal{O} \\
 E^3 : Y^2 &= X(X^2 - 2(t_3^4 - 2t_3^2 - 1) + (t_3 - 1)^4(t_3 + 1)^4), \\
 Q_3 &= [(t_3 - 1)(t_3 + 1)^3, 2t_3(t_3 - 1)(t_3 + 1)^3]; 8Q_3 = \mathcal{O} \\
 E^4 : Y^2 &= X \left(X + \frac{64t_4^4}{(t_4^2 + 1)^4} \right) \left(X + \frac{4(t_4 - 1)^4(t_4 + 1)^4}{(t_4^2 + 1)^4} \right), \\
 Q_4 &= \left[\frac{-16t_4(t_4 - 1)(t_4 + 1)^3}{(t_4^2 + 1)^4}, \frac{32t_4(t_4 - 1)(t_4 + 1)^3(t_4^2 - 2t_4 - 1)}{(t_4^2 + 1)^5} \right], T_4 = \left[-\frac{64t_4^4}{(t_4^2 + 1)^4}, 0 \right]; \\
 8Q_4 &= 2T_4 = \mathcal{O} \\
 E^5 : Y^2 &= X(X + t_5^4 - 2t_5^2 + 1)(X + t_5^4 + 2t_5^2 + 1), \\
 Q_5 &= [1 - t_5^4, 2 - 2t_5^4], \quad Q'_5 = [-t_5^4 + 2it_5^3 + 2t_5^2 - 2it_5 - 1, 2t_5^5 - 4it_5^4 - 4t_5^3 + 4it_5^2 + 2t_5]; \\
 4Q_5 &= 4Q'_5 = \mathcal{O}
 \end{aligned}$$

together with the maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_1 : E^1 &\rightarrow X_1(4) \quad (X, Y, t_1) \mapsto t_1, \\
 h_2 : E^2 &\rightarrow X(2, 4) \quad (X, Y, t_2) \mapsto t_2, \\
 h_3 : E^3 &\rightarrow X_1(8) \quad (X, Y, t_3) \mapsto t_3, \\
 h_4 : E^4 &\rightarrow X(2, 8) \quad (X, Y, t_4) \mapsto t_4, \\
 h_5 : E^5 &\rightarrow X(4) \quad (X, Y, t_5) \mapsto t_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we identify modular curves $X_1(4)$, $X(2, 4)$, $X_1(8)$, $X(2, 8)$ and $X(4)$ with \mathbb{P}^1 using parameters t_1 , t_2 , t_3 , t_4 and t_5 .

We have the natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_2 : X(2, 4) &\rightarrow X_1(4), \quad (E, T_2, P_2) \mapsto (E, P_2), \quad t_1 = 1 - t_2^2 \\
 g_3 : X_1(8) &\rightarrow X_1(4), \quad (E, Q_3) \mapsto (E, 2Q_3), \quad t_1 = (t_3^2 - 1)^2 \\
 g_4 : X(2, 8) &\rightarrow X_1(4), \quad (E, T_4, Q_4) \mapsto (E, 2Q_4), \quad t_1 = \frac{16t_4^2(t_4 - 1)^2(t_4 + 1)^2}{(t_4^2 + 1)^4}, \\
 g_5 : X(4) &\rightarrow X_1(4), \quad (E, Q_5, Q'_5) \mapsto (E, Q_5), \quad t_1 = 1 - t_5^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Elliptic surfaces E^1, E^2, E^3, E^4 and E^5 are universal elliptic curves over the modular curves $X_1(4), X(2, 4), X_1(8), X(2, 8)$ and $X(4)$ respectively (for the universality, it is enough to check that for each i the degree of j -invariant $j(E^i)$ is equal to the index of the corresponding subgroup in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$). Note that $X(4)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$.

4.4 Model for $X(4, 8)$

In this section we calculate $\#X(4, 8)(\mathbb{F}_p)$, where $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Denote by t'_5 and t'_4 pullbacks of function t_4 and t_5 on $X(2, 8)$ and $X(4)$ along the natural maps $X(4, 8) \rightarrow X(2, 8)$, $(E, P, Q) \mapsto (E, 2P, Q)$ and $X(4, 8) \rightarrow X(4)$, $(E, P, Q) \mapsto (E, P, 2Q)$. Then we have

$$1 - t'_5 = \frac{16t'^2_4(t'_4 - 1)^2(t'_4 + 1)^2}{(t'^2_4 + 1)^4},$$

which implies

$$(t'_5(t'^2_4 + 1))^2 = \pm(t'^2_4 - 2t'_4 - 1)(t'^2_4 + 2t'_4 - 1).$$

The two genus one curves $y^2 = \pm(x^2 - 2x - 1)(x^2 + 2x - 1)$ are isomorphic to the conductor 32 elliptic curve $C : y^2 = x^3 - x$, hence, over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ the modular curve $X(4, 8)$ is isomorphic to C (since $X(4, 8)$ is connected). For a different proof see Lemma 13 in [Naj12].

Therefore, for prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ (which splits in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$) we have that

$$\#X(4, 8)(\mathbb{F}_p) = \#C(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a(p),$$

since the modular form $f_1(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)q^n$ corresponds to C by the modularity theorem (as it is the only newform in $S_2(\Gamma_0(32))$).

4.5 Compatible families of ℓ -adic Galois representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$

To each of these elliptic surfaces and to every positive integer k , we can associate two compatible families of ℓ -adic Galois representations of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. To ease notation, we denote by Γ_j , for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, groups $\Gamma_1(4)$, $\Gamma(2, 4)$, $\Gamma_1(8)$ and $\Gamma(2, 8)$ respectively, and by $X(\Gamma_j)$ the corresponding modular curve.

We define the representation $\rho_{j,\ell}^k$ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ as follows. Let $X(\Gamma_j)^0$ be the complement in $X(\Gamma_j)$ of the cusps. Denote by i the inclusion of $X(\Gamma_j)^0$ into $X(\Gamma_j)$, and by $h'_j : E^{j,0} \rightarrow X(\Gamma_j)^0$ the restriction of elliptic surface h_j to $X(\Gamma_j)^0$. For a prime ℓ we obtain a sheaf

$$\mathcal{F}_\ell^j = R^1 h'^*_j \mathbb{Q}_\ell$$

on $X(\Gamma_j)^0$, and also a sheaf $i_* \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F}_\ell$ on $X(\Gamma_j)$ (here \mathbb{Q}_ℓ is the constant sheaf on the elliptic surface $E^{j,0}$, and R^1 is derived functor). The action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -space

$$W_{k,\ell}^j = H_{et}^1(X(\Gamma_j) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, i_* \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F}_\ell^j)$$

defines ℓ -adic representation $\rho_{j,\ell}^k$ which is pure of weight $k + 1$.

The second family, $\tilde{\rho}_{j,\ell}^k$, is ℓ -adic realization of the motive associated to the spaces of cusp forms $S_{k+2}(\Gamma_j)$. For the construction see [Sch85, Section 5].

Similarly as in [ALL08, Section 3], since the elliptic surface E^j is the universal elliptic curve over the modular curve $X(\Gamma_j)$, we can argue that these two representations are isomorphic, i.e. $\rho_{j,\ell}^k \sim \tilde{\rho}_{j,\ell}^k$. In particular, we will frequently use the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 9. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Denote by B the set of normalized Hecke eigenforms in $S_{k+2}(\Gamma_j)$. For every odd prime $\ell \neq p$ we have*

$$\text{Trace}(\rho_{j,\ell}^k(\text{Frob}_p)) = \sum_{f \in B} a_f(p),$$

where $a_f(p)$ is the p -th Fourier coefficient of the eigenform f , and Frob_p is a geometric Frobenius at p .

4.6 Traces of Frobenius

To simplify notation, denote $\mathcal{F} = R^1 h'_{j*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ and $W = H_{et}^1(X(\Gamma_j) \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, i_* \mathcal{F})$. We denote by $Frob_p \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ a geometric Frobenius at p . We have the following well known result.

THEOREM 10. *The following are true:*

(1) *We have that*

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|W) = - \sum_{t \in X(\Gamma_j)(\mathbb{F}_p)} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \mathcal{F})_t).$$

(2) *If the fiber $E_t^j := h_j^{-1}(t)$ is smooth, then*

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \mathcal{F})_t) = \text{Trace}(Frob_p|H^1(E_t^j, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)) = p + 1 - \#E_t^j(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Furthermore,

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F})_t) = \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \mathcal{F})_t)^2 - p,$$

and

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \text{Sym}^3 \mathcal{F})_t) = \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \mathcal{F})_t)^3 - 2p \cdot \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \mathcal{F})_t).$$

(3) *If the fiber E_t^j is singular, then*

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \mathcal{F})_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the fiber is split multiplicative,} \\ -1 & \text{if the fiber is nonsplit multiplicative,} \\ 0 & \text{if the fiber is additive.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F})_t) = 1$ if the fiber is multiplicative or potentially multiplicative (e.g. fiber E_∞^1), and

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \text{Sym}^3 \mathcal{F})_t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the fiber is split multiplicative,} \\ -1 & \text{if the fiber is nonsplit multiplicative,} \\ 0 & \text{if the fiber is potentially multiplicative.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) is the consequence of the Lefschetz fixed point formula ([Del77], Rapport 3.2). For good t , $(i_* \mathcal{F})_t = H^1(E_t^j, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$, hence the first formula in (2) follows. Note that if λ_1 and λ_2 are eigenvalues of $Frob_p$ acting on $(i_* \mathcal{F})_t$, then $\lambda_1^k, \lambda_1^{k-1} \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_1 \lambda_2^{k-1}, \lambda_2^k$ are the eigenvalues of $\text{Sym}^k Frob_p$ acting on $\text{Sym}^k (i_* \mathcal{F})_t$. Since $(i_* \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F})_t = \text{Sym}^k (i_* \mathcal{F})_t$, the second part of (2) follows (note that determinant of $Frob_p$ is equal to p).

In order to calculate trace of $Frob_p$ at bad fibers, we follow 3.7 of [Sch88]. If $t \in X(\Gamma_j)(\mathbb{F}_p)$, let K be the function field of the connected component of $X(\Gamma_j) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ containing t . Let v be the discrete valuation of K corresponding to t , and K_v the completion. Let G_v be the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(K_v^{\text{sep}}/K_v)$, I_v the inertia group, and F_v a geometric Frobenius. Write $H_v = H^1(E^j \otimes K_v^{\text{sep}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$. Then H_v is a G_v -module and

$$\text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_* \text{Sym}^k \mathcal{F})_t) = \text{Trace}(F_v|(\text{Sym}^k H_v)^{I_v}).$$

In the case of multiplicative reduction $H_v^{I_v}$ is one dimensional and F_v acts on it as 1 if the reduction is split multiplicative, and as -1 if the reduction is nonsplit multiplicative. If the reduction is additive, then $H_v^{I_v} = \{0\}$. The first formula in (3) follows (see Section 10 of Chapter IV in [Sil94]).

In our situation (see Lemma 5.2 and Exercises 5.11, 5.13 in [Sil94]), inertia subgroup I_v acts on H_v as $\begin{pmatrix} \chi & * \\ 0 & \chi \end{pmatrix}$, where $*$ is not identically zero, and χ is the character associ-

ated to $L_v = K_v \left(\sqrt{\frac{-c_4(E^j \otimes K_v)}{c_6(E^j \otimes K_v)}} \right) / K_v$. If reduction at v is multiplicative this character is unramified (or trivial), and if the reduction is additive it is ramified. Denote by Y a generator of $H_v^{I(K_v^{sep}/L_v)}$. Then a direct computation shows that Y^2 and Y^3 generate $(Sym^2 H_v)^{I(K_v^{sep}/L_v)}$ and $(Sym^3 H_v)^{I(K_v^{sep}/L_v)}$ respectively. If the reduction is multiplicative, then $I_v = I(K_v^{sep}/L_v)$. If the reduction is potentially multiplicative then I_v acts on Y as ± 1 . Hence $(Sym^3 H_v)^{I_v} = \{0\}$, and $(Sym^2 H_v)^{I_v}$ is generated by Y^2 . The claim follows. \square

5. Results

5.1 $X_1(4)$

The universal elliptic curve E^1 over $X_1(4)$ has three singular fibers (over the cusps): additive $t = \infty$, split multiplicative $t = 0$, and fiber $t = 1$ which is split multiplicative if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and nonsplit multiplicative if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Moreover, the additive fiber $t = \infty$ becomes (split) multiplicative over quadratic extension of the base field. Denote by $\mathcal{F} = R^1 h'_1{}^* \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

PROPOSITION 11. a)

$$\sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t) = \begin{cases} p^2 - p & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p^2 - p - 2 & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

b)

$$\sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t)^2 = \begin{cases} p^3 + p^2 - p - 1, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p^3 + p^2 - 5p - 5, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

c)

$$\sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t)^3 = \begin{cases} p^4 + 4p^3 - 4p - 3 + e(p), & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ p^4 + 4p^3 - 6p^2 - 20p - 11 + e(p), & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. a) Parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 10 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(Frob_p | W_{1,\ell}^1) &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p | (i_* \mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \neq 0,1} (p + 1 - P(t)), \\ &= \sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t) - (p^2 - p - 2) - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p | (i_* \mathcal{F})_t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim(S_3(\Gamma_1(4))) = 0$ it follows that $\text{Trace}(Frob_p | W_{1,\ell}^1) = 0$. Claim now follows from Theorem 10 (3) and the description of reduction types of singular fibers for $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

b) Since by Theorem 10(3) the trace at every singular fiber is 1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(Frob_p | W_{2,\ell}^1) &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p | (i_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \neq 0,1} \left((p + 1 - P(t))^2 - p \right), \\ &= -3 - \sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t)^2 - p^3 + p^2 + p + 2 + 2(p + 1) \sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from the part a) since $\dim(S_4(\Gamma_1(4))) = 0$ (hence $\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|W_{2,\ell}^1) = 0$).
 c) Theorem 10 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|W_{3,\ell}^1) &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|(i_*\text{Sym}^3\mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \neq 0,1} \left((p+1-P(t))^3 - 2p(p+1-P(t)) \right), \\ &= \sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t)^3 - 3(p+1) \sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t)^2 + (3(p+1)^2 - 2p) \sum_{t \neq 0,1} P(t) \\ &\quad - (p-2)(p+1)^3 + 2p(p-2)(p+1) - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|(i_*\text{Sym}^3\mathcal{F})_t). \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows from the parts a) and b) and Proposition 7a) (hence $\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|W_{3,\ell}^1) = e(p)$). Note that

$$\sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|(i_*\text{Sym}^3\mathcal{F})_t) = \begin{cases} 0 + 1 + 1 = 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0 + 1 + (-1) = 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

□

5.2 $X(2, 8)$

Universal elliptic curve E^4 over $X(2, 8)$ has 10 singular fibers: $t_4 = \pm i$ (two cusps above $t_1 = \infty$) and $t_4^2 + 2t_4 - 1 = 0$ and $t_4^2 - 2t_4 - 1 = 0$ (four cusps above $t_1 = 1$) which are split multiplicative if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and nonsplit multiplicative otherwise, and split multiplicative $t_4 = \pm 1, 0, \infty$ (four cusps above $t_1 = 0$). Denote $\mathcal{F} = R^1h'_{4*}\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

PROPOSITION 12. a)

$$\sum_{t \in T_2} 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{p-9}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-3}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-5}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-7}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

b)

$$\sum_{t \in T_2} P(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{p^2-8p+1+2b(p)+c(p)}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p^2-2p+1+2b(p)+c(p)}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p^2-4p+1+2b(p)+c(p)}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p^2-6p-7+2b(p)+c(p)}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. a) Since T_2 is equal to the image of \mathbb{F}_p -points (which are not cusps) on $X(2, 8)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ under the natural map $g_4 : X(2, 8) \rightarrow X_1(4)$ of degree 8, we have $\sum_{t \in T_2} 1 = \frac{p+1-c(2,8)}{8}$, since $\#X(2, 8)(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$. The claim follows.

b) From the definition of T_2 we have $\sum_{t \in T_2} P(t) = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\substack{t \in g_3(X(2,8)(\mathbb{F}_p)) \\ t \notin \text{cusps}}} P(t)$. Theorem 10 implies that

(the sum is over $X(2, 8)(\mathbb{F}_p)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|W_{1,\ell}^4) &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} (p+1 - P(t)), \\ &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) - (p+1)(p+1 - c(2, 8)) + \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} P(t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 7b) that $\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|W_{1,\ell}^4) = 2b(p) + c(p)$. The claim follows since Theorem 10(3) implies

$$\sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) = \begin{cases} 10, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 6, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

□

5.3 $X_1(8)$

Universal elliptic curve E^3 over $X_1(8)$ has 6 singular fibers: split multiplicative $t_3 = \infty$ and $t_3 = \pm 1$ (two cusps above $t_1 = 0$) and $t_3 = 0, \pm\sqrt{2}$ (three cusps above $t_1 = 1$) which are split multiplicative if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and nonsplit multiplicative otherwise. Denote $\mathcal{F} = R^1 h'_{3*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

PROPOSITION 13. a)

$$\sum_{t \in T_3} 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{3p-11}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p-9}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p-7}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p-13}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

b)

$$\sum_{t \in T_3} P(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{3p^2-8p+2b(p)-c(p)+3}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p^2-6p+2b(p)-c(p)-5}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p^2-4p+2b(p)-c(p)+3}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p^2-10p+2b(p)-c(p)-13}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. a) By definition, T_3 is equal to the image of \mathbb{F}_p -points (which are not cusps) on $X_1(8)$ under the natural map $g_3 : X_1(8) \rightarrow X_1(4)$ of degree 4. Since we have $p+1-c(8) = 4 \sum_{t \in T_2} 1 + 2 \sum_{t \in T_3} 1$, the claim follows.

b) From the definition of T_3 it follows that

$$4 \sum_{t \in T_2} P(t) + 2 \sum_{t \in T_3 \setminus T_2} P(t) = \sum_{\substack{t \in g_2^{-1}(X_1(8)(\mathbb{F}_p)) \\ t \notin \text{cusps}}} P(t),$$

hence $\sum_{t \in T_3} P(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t \in g_2(X_1(8)(\mathbb{F}_p)) \\ t \notin \text{cusps}}} P(t) - \sum_{t \in T_2} P(t)$. Theorem 10 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|W_{1,\ell}^3) &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} (p+1 - P(t)), \\ &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) - (p+1)(p+1 - c(8)) + \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} P(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the sums are over $X_1(8)(\mathbb{F}_p)$. It follows from Proposition 7c) that $\text{Tr}(Frob_p|W_{1,\ell}^3) = b(p)$, and the claim follows. Note that Theorem 10 implies

$$\sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Tr}(Frob_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) = \begin{cases} 6, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ 2, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

□

5.4 $X(2, 4)$

Universal elliptic curve E^2 over $X(2, 4)$ has 4 singular fibers: $t_2 = 0$ (the cusp above $t_1 = 1$) and $t_2 = \infty$ which are split multiplicative if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and nonsplit multiplicative otherwise, and split multiplicative $t_2 = \pm 1$ (two cusps above $t_1 = 0$). Denote $\mathcal{F} = R^1 h_{2*} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

PROPOSITION 14. a)

$$\sum_{t \in T_1} 1 = \frac{p-3}{2},$$

b)

$$\sum_{t \in T_1} P(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)^2}{2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p^2-2p-3}{2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

c)

$$\sum_{t \in T_1} P(t)^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{p^3+1-d(p)}{2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p^3-8p-7-d(p)}{2}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Proof. a) By definition, T_1 is equal to the image of \mathbb{F}_p -points (which are not cusps) on $X(2, 4)_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ under the natural map $g_2 : X(2, 4) \rightarrow X_1(4)$ of degree 2. Since we have $p+1 - c(2, 4) = 2 \sum_{t \in T_1} 1$ the claim follows.

b) We have $\sum_{t \in T_1} P(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t \in g_1(X(2,4)(\mathbb{F}_p)) \\ t \notin \text{cusps}}} P(t)$. Theorem 10 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|W_{1,\ell}^2) &= - \sum_{t \text{ cusp}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \neq \text{cusp}} (p+1 - P(t)), \\ &= - \sum_{t \text{ cusp}} \text{Trace}(Frob_p|(i_*\mathcal{F})_t) - (p+1)(p+1 - c(2, 4)) + \sum_{t \neq \text{cusp}} P(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim S_3(\Gamma_1(4) \cap \Gamma^0(2)) = 0$, it follows $\text{Trace}(Frob_p|W_{1,\ell}^2) = 0$, and the claim follows. Note

that we used

$$\sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p | (i_* \mathcal{F})_t) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

c) We have $\sum_{t \in T_1} P(t)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{t \in g_1(X(2,4)(\mathbb{F}_p)) \\ t \notin \text{cusps}}} P(t)^2$. Theorem 10 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p | W_{2,\ell}^2) &= - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p | (i_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F})_t) - \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} \left((p+1 - P(t))^2 - p \right), \\ &= - \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} P(t)^2 + 2(p+1) \sum_{t \notin \text{cusps}} P(t) - (p+1 - c(2,4))(p^2 + p + 1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p | (i_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F})_t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 7d) that $\text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p | W_{2,\ell}^2) = d(p)$. The claim follows. Note that we used

$$\sum_{t \in \text{cusps}} \text{Trace}(\text{Frob}_p | (i_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{F})_t) = 4.$$

□

5.5 $X(4, 8)$

For $t \in \mathbb{F}_p, t \neq 0, 1$, denote by E'_t the elliptic curve $E_t / \langle 2R \rangle$. The curve E'_t is given by the equation $E'_t : y^2 = (x - 2t)(x + 2t)(x - 2t + 4)$, and is isomorphic to the Legendre elliptic curve \mathcal{E}_{1-t} where $\mathcal{E}_t : y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - t)$.

PROPOSITION 15. a) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $t \in T_5$, then \mathcal{E}_{1-t} has full \mathbb{F}_p -rational 4-torsion, and the point $(1, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_{1-t}$ is divisible by 4.

b) If p is an odd prime and $t \in T_4$, then the point $(1, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_{1-t}$ is divisible by 4.

c) If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then there are no elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_p with full 4-torsion over \mathbb{F}_p .

Proof. a) and b) Let $S \in E_t$ be such that $2S \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $4S = R$ and $\langle S \rangle$ is \mathbb{F}_p -rational. Then $S + \langle 2R \rangle \in E_t / \langle 2R \rangle$ is \mathbb{F}_p -rational and has order 8. The point $4S + \langle 2R \rangle$ maps to the point $(1, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_{1-t}$ under $E_t / \langle 2R \rangle \cong \mathcal{E}_{1-t}$.

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $T \in E_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of order 2, $T \neq 2R$, we have by Proposition 4a) and c), that $x(T)$ is a square in \mathbb{F}_p and that $P^\sigma - P \in \{\mathcal{O}, 2R\}$, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} / \mathbb{F}_p)$, where $2P = T$. It follows that $P + \langle 2R \rangle$ is \mathbb{F}_p -rational of order 4.

c) If $y^2 = (x - a)(x - b)(x - c)$ is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_p$, then it follows from the descent homomorphism that, for example, the point $(a, 0)$ is divisible by 2 over \mathbb{F}_p if and only if $a - b$ and $a - c$ are squares in \mathbb{F}_p . If both $(a, 0)$ and $(b, 0)$ are divisible by 2 (i.e. if the elliptic curve has full \mathbb{F}_p -rational 4-torsion), then both $a - b$ and $b - a$ are squares, hence -1 is a square in \mathbb{F}_p , and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. □

Modular curve $X(4, 8)$ is a moduli space for (generalized) elliptic curves with (linearly independent) points of order 8 and 4 with the fixed value of Weil pairing. We have a map $g : X(4, 8) \rightarrow X(2)$, given by the $(E, Q, P) \mapsto (E, 2Q, 4P)$, where Q and P are points on E of order 4 and 8 respectively. The degree of this map is 16 (note that we identify (E, Q, P)

with $(E, -Q, -P)$ and take into account only those pairs (Q, P) which satisfy the Weil pairing condition). Denote by $\tilde{g} : X(2, 8) \mapsto X(2)$ the map given by $(E, Q, P) \mapsto (E, Q, 4P)$.

PROPOSITION 16. a)

$$\sum_{t \in T_5} 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{p-a(p)-15}{16}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-3}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-a(p)-7}{16}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-7}{8}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

b)

$$\sum_{t \in T_4} 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{3p+a(p)-21}{16}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-3}{4}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{3p+a(p)-13}{16}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\ \frac{p-7}{4}, & \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. a) Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. It follows from Proposition 4 that $\#T_5$ is equal to the number of t 's for which the points $(1, 0), (0, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_t$ are divisible by 4 and 2 (in $\mathcal{E}_t(\mathbb{F}_p)$) respectively, which in turn, by Proposition 4 is equal to the number of the point in the image of \mathbb{F}_p -point of $X(4, 8)$ under the map g , i.e. $\#T_4 = \#g(X(4, 8)(\mathbb{F}_p))$.

Note that $f_1(\tau)$ is the modular form that corresponds under the modularity theorem to the elliptic curve $X(4, 8)$, hence $\#X(4, 8)(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a(p)$. Also, if one point in the preimage of g is \mathbb{F}_p -rational, then the same holds for all the points in the preimage, so we have that $p + 1 - a(p) - c(4, 8) = 16 \sum_{t \in T_5} 1$, and the claim follows.

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $\#T_5 = \#T_2$ (by Proposition 4 a)), and the claim follows from Proposition 12.

b) Similarly as in part a), it follows from Proposition 4 that $\#T_4$ is equal to the number of elements in the image of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of $X(2, 8)$ under the map \tilde{g} .

Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. There are $\#T_5$ points in the image of \tilde{g} which are also in the image of g , hence each of these points have eight \mathbb{F}_p -rational points in the preimage by the map \tilde{g} , while the remaining $\#T_4 - \#T_5$ points have four \mathbb{F}_p -rational points in the preimage. Hence $8\#T_5 + 4\#(T_4 - \#T_5) = p + 1 - c(2, 8)$, and the claim follows.

If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then by Proposition 15 there are no elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_p with full 4-torsion over \mathbb{F}_p , hence $4\#T_4 = p + 1 - c(2, 8)$, and the claim follows. \square

Next we prove that if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ then $\#T_0 = \frac{1}{2}\#T_1$ and $\sum_{t \in T_0} P(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \in T_1} P(t)$. By definition, $t \in T_1$ implies that $1 - t = u^2$ for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Denote by $t' = 1 - (\frac{1}{u})^2$. It follows that $t' \in T_1$ and $(t')' = t$. Moreover, only one of $t = 1 - u^2$ and $t' = \frac{u^2 - 1}{u^2}$ is a square, hence precisely one of them is an element of T_0 . It follows that $\#T_0 = \frac{1}{2}\#T_1$. The second equality now follows from the fact that $P(t) = P(t')$ (it is easy to check that E_t and $E_{t'}$ have the same j -invariants).

Theorem 1 now follows from Proposition 5, Proposition 6, Propositions 11-16 and the previous discussion.

6. Diophantine m -tuples in \mathbb{F}_p and character sums

In this section, we will use properties of character sums (sums of the Legendre symbols) to show that for arbitrary $m \geq 2$ there exist Diophantine m -tuples in \mathbb{F}_p for sufficiently large p . We will

also derive formulas for the number of Diophantine pairs and triples in \mathbb{F}_p .

THEOREM 17. *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. If $p > 2^{2m-2}m^2$ is a prime, then there exists a Diophantine m -tuple in \mathbb{F}_p .*

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on m . For $m = 2$ and $p > 16$ (in fact, for $p \geq 5$), we may take the Diophantine pair $\{1, 3\}$ in \mathbb{F}_p .

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer such that the statement holds. Take a prime $p > 2^{2m}(m+1)^2$. Since $p > 2^{2m-2}m^2$, there exist a Diophantine m -tuple $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ in \mathbb{F}_p . Let

$$g := \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : \left(\frac{a_i x + 1}{p}\right) = 1, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

and denote by \bar{a}_i the multiplicative inverse of a_i in \mathbb{F}_p . Then, by [LN97, Exercise 5.64], we have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_p : \left(\frac{x + \bar{a}_i}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{\bar{a}_i}{p}\right), \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m\} \\ &\geq \frac{p}{2^m} - \left(\frac{m-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2^m}\right) \sqrt{p} - \frac{m}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$\left(\frac{m-2}{2} + \frac{1}{2^m}\right) \sqrt{p} + \frac{m}{2} + (m+1) \leq \sqrt{p} \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{2^m} + \frac{3}{2^{m+1}}\right) < \frac{m}{2} \sqrt{p} < \frac{p}{2^m},$$

we get that $g > m+1$. Thus, we conclude that there exist $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $x \notin \{0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$, such that $\left(\frac{a_i x + 1}{p}\right) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence, $\{a_1, \dots, a_m, x\}$ is a Diophantine $(m+1)$ -tuple in \mathbb{F}_p . \square

In the proof of the next two propositions we will several times use the following well-known fact (see e.g. [Hua82, Section 7.8]):

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\frac{\alpha x^2 + \beta x + \gamma}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{p}\right),$$

provided $\beta^2 - 4\alpha\gamma \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

PROPOSITION 18. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of Diophantine pairs in \mathbb{F}_p is equal to*

$$N^{(2)}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{4}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{p^2-3p+4}{4}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$4N^{(2)}(p) = \sum_{a, b \neq 0, a \neq b} \left(1 + \left(\frac{ab+1}{p}\right)'\right),$$

where $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)' = \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\left(\frac{0}{p}\right)' = 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4N^{(2)}(p) &= \sum_{b \neq 0} \sum_{a \neq 0, b} 1 + \sum_{b \neq 0} \sum_{a \neq 0, b} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p}\right) + \sum_{b \neq 0, b^2 \neq -1} 1 \\ &= (p-1)(p-2) + \sum_{b \neq 0} \left(-1 - \left(\frac{b^2+1}{p}\right)\right) + \sum_{b \neq 0, b^2 \neq -1} 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the last sum is equal to $p - 3$. Thus we get

$$4N^{(2)}(p) = (p-1)(p-2) - (p-1) + 2 + (p-3) = (p-1)(p-2).$$

Similarly, for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we get

$$4N^{(2)}(p) = (p-1)(p-2) - (p-1) + 2 + (p-1) = p^2 - 3p + 4.$$

□

PROPOSITION 19. *Let p be an odd prime. The number of Diophantine triples in \mathbb{F}_p is equal to*

$$N^{(3)}(p) = \begin{cases} \frac{(p-1)(p-3)(p-5)}{48}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{(p-3)(p^2-6p+17)}{48}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$48N^{(3)}(p) = \sum_S \left(1 + \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right)' \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{ac+1}{p} \right)' \right) \left(1 + \left(\frac{bc+1}{p} \right)' \right),$$

where the sum is taken over all triples a, b, c in \mathbb{F}_p such that $a, b, c \neq 0$, $a \neq b$, $a \neq c$, $b \neq c$. Let us denote:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_S 1, \\ S_2 &= \sum_S \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right), \\ S_3 &= \sum_S \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{ac+1}{p} \right), \\ S_4 &= \sum_S \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{ac+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{bc+1}{p} \right), \\ S_5 &= \sum_{S'} 1, \\ S_6 &= \sum_{S'} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right), \\ S_7 &= \sum_{S'} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{-a^{-1}b+1}{p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the sums S_5, S_6, S_7 are taken over all pairs a, b in \mathbb{F}_p such that $a, b \neq 0$, $b \neq a, -a^{-1}$, $a^2 \neq -1$. Then we have

$$48N^{(3)}(p) = S_1 + 3S_2 + 3S_3 + S_4 + 3S_5 + 6S_6 + 3S_7. \quad (1)$$

Thus, it remains to compute the sums S_1, \dots, S_7 . We will derive the formulas for the cases $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$. When the formulas for these two cases differ, the upper sign will correspond to $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, while the lower sign will correspond to $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= (p-1)(p-2)(p-3), \\ S_2 &= (p-3) \sum_{\substack{a, b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b}} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) = -(p-3)^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_3 &= \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b}} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \sum_{c \neq 0, a, b} \left(\frac{ac+1}{p} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b}} \left(-1 - \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \right) \\
 &= (p-3) - \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b \\ ab+1 \neq 0}} 1 - \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b}} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \\
 &= (p-3) - (p^2 - 4p + 4 \pm 1) - \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \left(-1 - \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \right) \\
 &= (p-3) - (p^2 - 4p + 4 \pm 1) - 2 + (p-2 \mp 1) = -p^2 + 6p - 11 \mp 2,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_4 &= \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b}} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \sum_{c \neq 0, a, b} \left(\frac{ac+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{bc+1}{p} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b}} \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \left(- \left(\frac{ab}{p} \right) - 1 - \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{b^2+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{ab+1}{p} \right) \right) \\
 &= - \sum_{a \neq 0} \sum_{t \neq 0, a^2} \left(\frac{t+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{t}{p} \right) + \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \right) - 2 \sum_{\substack{a,b \neq 0 \\ a \neq b \\ ab+1 \neq 0}} \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \\
 &= - \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(-1 - \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \right) + \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \right) \\
 &\quad - 2 \sum_{a \neq 0} \left(-1 - 1 - \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) - \left(\frac{a^{-2}+1}{p} \right) \right) \\
 &= (4p-12) + 2((p-1)-2) = 6p-18,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$S_5 = p^2 - 5p + 6 \mp (p-3),$$

$$S_6 = \sum_{\substack{a \neq 0 \\ a^2 \neq -1}} \left(-1 - \left(\frac{a^2+1}{p} \right) \right) = -p + 4 \pm 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_7 &= \sum_{\substack{a \neq 0 \\ a^2 \neq -1}} \sum_{c \neq 0, a, -a^{-1}} \left(\frac{ac+1}{p} \right) \left(\frac{-a^{-1}c+1}{p} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{a \neq 0 \\ a^2 \neq -1}} (\mp 1 - 1) = -p + 3 \mp (p-3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting all these formulas together in (1), we get

$$48N^{(3)}(p) = p^3 - 9p^2 + 29p - 33 \mp (6p - 18),$$

and by writing separately the cases $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$, we obtain the formula for $N^{(3)}(p)$ given in the statement of the proposition. \square

For small values for m , the bound from Theorem 17 can be improved by using concrete examples of integer Diophantine m -tuples for $m = 2, 3, 4$ and rational Diophantine m -tuples for $m = 5, 6$. From the integer Diophantine pair $\{2, 4\}$ we get $N^{(2)}(p) > 0$ for $p \geq 3$; from the integer Diophantine triple $\{2, 4, 12\}$ we get $N^{(3)}(p) > 0$ for $p \geq 7$; from the integer Diophantine quadruples $\{1, 3, 8, 120\}$ and $\{2, 24, 40, 7812\}$ we get $N^{(4)}(p) > 0$ for $p \geq 11$ (for any prime $p \geq 11$ at least one of these two quadruples gives, by the reduction modulo p , a Diophantine quadruple in \mathbb{F}_p); from the rational Diophantine quintuples $\{5/16, 21/16, 4, 285/16, 420\}$ and $\{1/5, 21/20, 69/20, 25/4, 96/5\}$ (see [Duj97]) we get $N^{(5)}(p) > 0$ for $p \geq 23$; from the rational Diophantine sextuples $\{221/1260, 175/324, 203/180, 81/35, 265/28, 1120/9\}$, $\{377/1260, 119/180, 297/140, 992/315, 175/9, 2275/4\}$, $\{5/36, 665/1521, 5/4, 32/9, 3213/676, 189/4\}$ (see [Gibbs]) we get $N^{(6)}(p) > 0$ for $p \geq 43$.

We can follow the proof of Proposition 19 to sketch the proof of the asymptotic formula $N^{(m)}(p) = \frac{1}{2^{\binom{m}{2}}} \frac{p^m}{m!} + o(p^m)$. Indeed, we have

$$m!2^{\binom{m}{2}}N^{(m)}(p) = \sum \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \left(1 + \left(\frac{a_i a_j + 1}{p} \right)' \right),$$

where the sum is taken over all m -tuples a_1, \dots, a_m of distinct non-zero elements of \mathbb{F}_p . The main term comes from $\sum 1 = (p-1)(p-2)\dots(p-m) = p^m + o(p^m)$, while all other terms are of the form

$$\sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}} \sum_{a_m} \left(\frac{f(a_m)}{p} \right),$$

where $f(x)$ is a non-square polynomial of degree $\leq m-1$ and the sums are taken over almost all m -tuples in \mathbb{F}_p . By Weil's estimate for character sums (see e.g. [LN97, Theorem 5.41]), we conclude that the contribution of all these terms is $O(p^{m-1}\sqrt{p}) = o(p^m)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Ivica Gusić, Filip Najman and Anthony Scholl for some helpful comments. This work was supported by the QuantiXLie Centre of Excellence, a project cofinanced by the Croatian Government and European Union through the European Regional Development Fund - the Competitiveness and Cohesion Operational Programme (Grant KK.01.1.1.01.0004). A.D. was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project no. 6422.

REFERENCES

- ALL08 A. O. L. Atkin, W.-C. W. Li, L. Long, *On Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruence relations (2)*, Math. Ann. **340** (2008), no. 2, 335–358.
- BN16 P. Bruin, F. Najman, *A criterion to rule out torsion groups for elliptic curves over number fields*, Res. Number Theory **2** (2016), no. 3, 1–13.

- Del77 P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale (SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$)*, Lect. Notes in Math. **569** (1977)
- Duj97 A. Dujella, *On Diophantine quintuples*, Acta Arith. **81** (1997), 69–79.
- Duj04 A. Dujella, *There are only finitely many Diophantine quintuples*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **566** (2004), 183–214.
- Duj16 A. Dujella, *What is...a Diophantine m -tuple?*, Notices of the AMS **63**, 7 (2016), 772–774.
- DujKaz A. Dujella, M. Kazalicki, *More on Diophantine sextuples*, In: Number Theory Diophantine Problems, Uniform Distribution and Applications, Festschrift in honour of Robert F. Tichys 60th birthday (C. Elsholtz, P. Grabner, Eds.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. (2017), 227–235.
- DKMS16 A. Dujella, M. Kazalicki, M. Mikić, M. Szikszai, *There are infinitely many rational Diophantine sextuples*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2017** (2) (2017), 490–508.
- Gibbs P. E. Gibbs, *A survey of rational Diophantine sextuples of low height*, preprint, 2016.
- Hua82 L. K. Hua, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, (1982).
- Kato04 K. Kato, *p -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques. III. Astérisque **295** (2004), 117–290.
- Iwa97 H. Iwaniec, *Topics in Classical Automorphic Forms*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1997).
- LMFDB The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database*, <http://www.lmfdb.org>, (2016)[Online; accessed 20 September 2016].
- LN97 R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Cambridge University Press, (1997).
- MS10 R. L. Miller, M. Stoll, *Explicit isogeny descent on elliptic curves*, Math. Comp. **82** (2013), 513–529.
- Naj12 F. Najman, *Exceptional elliptic curves over quartic fields*, Int. J. Number Theory, **8** (2012), 1231–1246.
- Ono03 K. Ono, *The Web of Modularity: Arithmetic of the Coefficients of Modular Forms and q -series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, **102** (2003).
- SAGE *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 7.2.)*, The Sage Developers, 2016, <http://www.sagemath.org>.
- Sch85 A. J. Scholl, *Modular forms and de Rham cohomology; Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer congruences*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 49–77.
- Sch88 A. J. Scholl, *The l -adic representations attached to a certain noncongruence subgroup*, J. reine angew. Math. **392** (1988), 1–15.
- Sil09 J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **106**, Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
- Sil94 J. H. Silverman, *Advanced Topics in the Arithmetics of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **151**, Springer-Verlag, New York, (1994).

Andrej Dujella duje@math.hr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Matija Kazalicki matija.kazalicki@math.hr

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, Bijenička cesta 30, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia