An Operator-Algebraic Perspective on Entropy Flow in Spin Networks

Matthew Sandoz & Collaborators

August 3, 2025

1 Introduction

The combinatorial "bridge-monotonicity" and "entropy-monotonicity" theorems established in [1, 2] show that inserting a spin- j_b bridge across a cut γ increases the boundary entropy by

$$\Delta S = \ln(2j_b + 1).$$

We recast those results in the language of finite von Neumann algebras. The reformulation exposes links to Jones index theory and modular flows, hinting at a uniqueness theorem for the emergent large-scale operator algebra of the universe.

Relation to earlier subfactor constructions. Temperley–Lieb subfactors first appeared in Jones' original index paper [3] and later in statistical-mechanics models [4], planar algebras [5], and conformal nets [6]. Our construction provides a *spin-network* realisation of the same standard invariant, motivated by loop-quantum-gravity entropy flow. This physics-driven perspective yields a concrete operator-algebraic interpretation of the entropy jump (4.1) and suggests new applications of subfactor theory to quantum information.

2 Boundary von Neumann Algebras

Definition 2.1 (Edge algebra). For a cut γ whose intersected edges carry spins $\{j_e\}_{e \in \gamma}$, define the edge algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{\gamma} := \bigotimes_{e \in \gamma} \operatorname{End}(V_{j_e}).$$

Here the tensor product is taken over \mathbb{C} . For a finite cut this is the algebraic tensor product, while for an infinite cut we take the spatial (von Neumann) completion. It is a finite (resp. properly infinite) \mathbb{C}^* -algebra equipped with the normalised trace tr .

Definition 2.2 (Gauge-invariant algebra). The diagonal SU(2) action u^{\otimes} on \mathcal{A}_{γ} yields the boundary algebra

$$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma} := \mathcal{A}_{\gamma}^{\mathrm{SU}(2)} = \{ X \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma} \mid u^{\otimes} X u^{\otimes *} = X \ \forall u \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \}.$$

3 Relational Entropy and Modular Hamiltonian

Definition 3.1 (Relational state and modular generator). Let $P_{\gamma} \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma}$ project onto the singlet subspace and set

 $\rho_{\gamma} := \frac{P_{\gamma}}{\operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma}}, \qquad K_{\gamma} := -\ln \rho_{\gamma}.$

Then $S_{\gamma} = \ln \operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma}$ reproduces the combinatorial count, and K_{γ} generates the Tomita-Takesaki flow on $(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}, \rho_{\gamma})$.

Remark 3.1 (Parity obstruction). If the cut has odd total spin, $\operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma} = 0$ and ρ_{γ} is undefined. The operator-algebraic framework below therefore assumes $d_0 := \operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma} > 0$. Odd-parity cuts can be handled by first performing a Type III parity-flipping move (see Definition 6.1) and then applying the results in Proposition 6.1 and Appendix A.

Parity-flipping as Morita equivalence

Let γ^{odd} be a cut of odd total spin. Define the bimodule \mathcal{H}_{pf} by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{pf}} := \mathrm{Inv}\Big(V_{1/2} \otimes \bigotimes_{e \in \gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}} V_{j_e}\Big),$$

on which $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}}$ acts on the right and $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}$ (obtained by attaching a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ stub) acts on the left. This \mathcal{H}_{pf} is an *invertible* $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}$ - $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}}$ bimodule, hence a Morita equivalence [8, Def. 2.1]. Type III/IV moves therefore transport the standard invariant unchanged, so all parity sectors share the same limit factor \mathcal{R} .

Proposition 3.1 (Morita equivalence of parity sectors). Let $F := \bigotimes_{e \in \gamma^{\text{odd}}} V_{j_e}$ and put $H_{\text{pf}} := \text{Inv}_{\text{SU}(2)}(V_{1/2} \otimes F)$. Then

$$H_{\mathrm{pf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\mathrm{odd}}} \overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}} \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}, \qquad \overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}} H_{\mathrm{pf}} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}} \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}},$$

hence $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}$ are Morita equivalent.

Proof. Throughout, $\varepsilon: V_{1/2} \otimes V_{1/2} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\iota: \mathbb{C} \to V_{1/2} \otimes V_{1/2}$ are the standard SU(2) cup and cap, normalised so $\varepsilon \circ \iota = \mathbf{1}$.

1. A concrete orthonormal basis. Fix an admissible fusion tree $(\frac{1}{2}, j_{e_1}, j_{e_2}, \dots) \rightsquigarrow (\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots)$ and denote by $\psi_{\ell} \in H_{\rm pf}$ its Wigner basis element. The set $\{\psi_{\ell}\}_{\ell}$ is orthonormal and spans $H_{\rm pf}$; similarly for its complex conjugates $\overline{\psi_{\ell}}$.

2. First bimodule map Θ . Define

$$\Theta(\psi \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\text{odd}}} \overline{\phi}) := (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F)(\psi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \in \text{End}(F)^{\text{SU}(2)} = \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}.$$

Balanced-tensor well-definedness. For any $a \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}}$ we must show $\Theta(\psi a \otimes \overline{\phi}) = \Theta(\psi \otimes \overline{a^*\phi})$. Because a acts only on the F factor and ε acts only on the two $V_{1/2}$ legs, the two expressions coincide, proving well-definedness.

Bimodule relations. For $b, c \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}$, $b \cdot \Theta(\xi \otimes \overline{\eta}) \cdot c = \Theta(b \cdot \xi \otimes \overline{\eta} \cdot \overline{c})$, again because b, c commute with ε .

Isometry. Using the graphical inner product $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F)(\psi^* \phi)$, one computes

$$\langle \Theta(\psi \otimes \overline{\phi}), \Theta(\psi \otimes \overline{\phi}) \rangle = \varepsilon(\iota(1)) \ \langle \psi, \psi \rangle \langle \phi, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi \otimes \overline{\phi}, \psi \otimes \overline{\phi} \rangle,$$

so Θ preserves the bimodule inner product.

Surjectivity. For each fusion label ℓ the image $\Theta(\psi_{\ell} \otimes \overline{\psi_{\ell}})$ is the minimal projection onto the ℓ -isotypic subspace of F; these projections generate $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}$, hence Θ is surjective.

3. Inverse map Φ . Define

$$\Phi(X) := \iota(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} X \quad \in \ H_{\mathrm{pf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}} \overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}}.$$

Balanced-tensor relations are immediate and $(\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F)(\iota(1) \otimes X) = X$, so $\Theta \circ \Phi = \mathrm{id}$. Conversely, $(\iota \otimes \mathbf{1}_F)(\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F) = \mathbf{1}_{H_{\mathrm{pf}} \otimes \overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}}}$, whence $\Phi \circ \Theta = \mathrm{id}$. Therefore Θ is a unitary bimodule isomorphism.

4. Second isomorphism. Replacing ε by ι and vice-versa yields the map

$$\Xi: \ \overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}} H_{\mathrm{pf}} \longrightarrow_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}} \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}, \qquad \Xi(\overline{\phi} \otimes \psi) := (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F)(\overline{\phi} \otimes \psi),$$

and one verifies exactly as above that Ξ is a unitary inverse to its adjoint.

Both bimodule isomorphisms being established, the two boundary algebras are Morita equivalent. $\hfill\Box$

Hence every odd-parity boundary algebra lies in the same Morita class as its even-parity partner; the large-scale factor \mathcal{R} is therefore parity-independent.

Verification details for the parity-flipping bimodule

Lemma 3.1. Let $F = \bigotimes_{e \in \gamma^{\text{odd}}} V_{j_e}$ and $H_{\text{pf}} = \text{Inv}(V_{1/2} \otimes F)$ as in the proposition. Then H_{pf} is an $(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}, \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}})$ -bimodule via

$$a_L \cdot \psi \cdot a_R := (a_L \otimes \mathbf{1}_V) \, \psi \, (\mathbf{1}_V \otimes a_R), \qquad a_L \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}, \ a_R \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}, \ \psi \in H_{\mathrm{pf}}.$$

Moreover the balanced tensor product relation $\psi \cdot a_R \otimes \overline{\phi} = \psi \otimes \overline{a_R^* \phi}$ holds for all a_R, ψ, ϕ .

Proof. Because a_L (respectively a_R) acts non-trivially only on F, the left and right actions commute and preserve the SU(2) invariant subspace. For the balanced tensor product observe that

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F) ((\psi \cdot a_R) \otimes \overline{\phi}) = (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F) (\psi \otimes \overline{a_R^* \phi}),$$

because ε contracts only the two $V_{1/2}$ legs; hence the two simple tensors are identified in the quotient.

Invertibility and balanced-tensor details

Explicit evaluation and coevaluation. Fix the standard weight basis $|+\rangle := |m = \frac{1}{2}\rangle$, $|-\rangle := |m = -\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ of $V_{1/2}$. Set

$$\varepsilon(|m_1\rangle\otimes|m_2\rangle) := (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}-m_1}\delta_{m_1,-m_2}, \qquad \iota(1) := |+\rangle\otimes|-\rangle - |-\rangle\otimes|+\rangle.$$

Then $\varepsilon \circ \iota = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $(\iota^{\dagger} \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes \varepsilon) = \mathbf{1}_{V_{1/2}}$, so ε, ι implement the rigid duality structure of Rep SU(2).

Balanced-tensor identity (detail). Let $\psi, \phi \in H_{\text{pf}}$ and $a_R \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}} = \text{End}(F)^{\text{SU}(2)}$. Because a_R acts as $\mathbf{1}_{V_{1/2}} \otimes a_R$ on $V_{1/2} \otimes F$,

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F) \big((\psi \cdot a_R) \otimes \overline{\phi} \big) = (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F) \big(\psi \otimes (\mathbf{1}_{V_{1/2}} \otimes a_R^*) \overline{\phi} \big) = (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F) \big(\psi \otimes \overline{a_R^* \phi} \big),$$

verifying the balanced-tensor relation required for Θ .

Invertibility — both directions. Define Θ and Φ exactly as in the previous proof and set

$$\Xi(\overline{\phi} \otimes \psi) := (\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}_F)(\overline{\phi} \otimes \psi), \qquad \Psi(X) := \overline{\iota(1)} \otimes X.$$

A direct contraction check gives $\Theta \circ \Phi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}}$, $\Phi \circ \Theta = \mathrm{id}$, and similarly $\Xi \circ \Psi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}}$, $\Psi \circ \Xi = \mathrm{id}$. Thus $H_{\mathrm{pf}} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}} \overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}$ and $\overline{H_{\mathrm{pf}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}} H_{\mathrm{pf}} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}$, so H_{pf} is invertible.

Hypotheses of Popa's conjugacy theorem. Popa's Prop. 2.3 requires an *invertible, finite-index* $(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}, \mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}})$ -bimodule. Invertibility is now proven. Finite index holds because $\dim_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}} H_{\text{pf}} = \operatorname{tr}_q(\iota(1)\iota(1)^{\dagger}) = 1$, so left and right statistical dimensions coincide and are finite. Hence all hypotheses of Popa's theorem are satisfied, justifying Corollary 1.

Parity moves and the standard invariant

Corollary 1 (Type III/IV moves preserve the planar algebra). Let $\gamma^{\text{odd}} \stackrel{\text{III/IV}}{\leftrightarrow} \gamma^{\text{even}}$ be a single parity-flipping move. Tensor-conjugation by the invertible bimodule H_{pf} sends the Jones tower of $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{odd}}}$ to that of $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\text{even}}}$, hence their standard invariants (planar algebras) coincide.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.1, $H_{\rm pf}$ is invertible. Popa's "conjugation by an invertible bimodule" theorem [9, Prop. 2.3] states that such a conjugation leaves all higher relative commutants—and therefore the planar-algebra standard invariant—unchanged.

Consequently the parity-flipping Type III/IV moves do not alter the Temperley–Lieb standard invariant already established for even-parity cuts; all results of Sections ??–5 hold in both parity sectors.

4 Bridge Insertion as an Algebra Inclusion

Proposition 4.1 (Jones index of a bridge). Inserting a vertex-disjoint bridge of spin j_b yields

$$\iota_{j_b}: \mathcal{N}_{\gamma} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} \quad with \quad [\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'}: \mathcal{N}_{\gamma}] = 2j_b + 1.$$

Proof. Write $W := V_{j_b}$ and let Π_0 be the orthogonal projector onto the $\ell = 0$ summand of $\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{2j_b} V_{\ell}$. Define $\iota_{j_b}(X) := (X \otimes \mathbf{1}_{j_b}^{\otimes 2})W^*\Pi_0W$, $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma}$. Because W intertwines the diagonal SU(2) action, ι_{j_b} maps \mathcal{N}_{γ} into $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'}$ faithfully.

Trace calculation. Write $\{e_m\}_{m=-j_b}^{j_b}$ for the weight basis of V_{j_b} and set $E_{mn}:=|e_m\rangle\langle e_n|$. The Clebsch–Gordan intertwiner satisfies $W^*\Pi_0W=\delta^{-1}\sum_{m,n}(-1)^{j_b-m}E_{mn}\otimes E_{-m,-n}$, with $\delta=2j_b+1$. Compute

$$\operatorname{tr} \big(W^* \Pi_0 W \big) = \delta^{-1} \sum_{m,n} (-1)^{j_b - m} \operatorname{tr} (E_{mn}) \operatorname{tr} (E_{-m,-n}) = \delta^{-1} \sum_m 1 = \frac{1}{2j_b + 1}.$$

Next, $P_{\gamma'} = (P_{\gamma} \otimes \mathbf{1}) (W^* \Pi_0 W)$, so

$$\operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma'} = \operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma} \operatorname{tr} (W^* \Pi_0 W) = \frac{\operatorname{tr} P_{\gamma}}{2j_b + 1},$$

yielding $\Delta S = \ln(2j_b + 1)$.

Faithfulness. If $X \neq 0$ and $\iota_{j_b}(X) = 0$, then $(X \otimes \mathbf{1}) W^* \Pi_0 W = 0$. Because $W^* \Pi_0 W$ is a rank-one projection, this forces X = 0. Hence ι_{j_b} is injective and therefore a faithful *-homomorphism.

Jones index. The Pimsner-Popa basis $\{(2j_b+1)^{1/2}u_i\}$ given by the matrix units satisfies the $E_{\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}}$ -basis condition, so the index of ι_{j_b} equals $(2j_b+1)$ [8, Thm. 2.1].

Theorem 4.1 (Bridge-monotonicity \Leftrightarrow index additivity). For any sequence $\{j_b^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n$ of disjoint bridges,

$$S_{\gamma_n} - S_{\gamma_0} = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(2j_b^{(i)} + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \ln[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i} : \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{i-1}}]$$
 (4.1)

Remark 4.1 (Entropy vs. index additivity). Equation (4.1) does more than tally logarithms: it unifies an information-theoretic quantity (boundary entropy) with a subfactor-theoretic invariant (Jones index), inviting cross-fertilisation between the two fields.

5 Quantum-group regularisation

Loop quantum gravity often imposes a level-k cutoff by replacing Rep SU(2) with the modular category Rep SU(2) $_k$ at the q-root of unity $q = e^{\frac{\pi i}{k+2}}$; see [10] for background. This section records how our operator-algebra picture adapts to that setting.

5.1 Truncated fusion rules and quantum dimensions

Irreducible objects are labelled by spins $j \in \{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2}\}$ and satisfy the truncated fusion rule

$$j_1 \otimes j_2 = \bigoplus_{j=|j_1-j_2|}^{\min(j_1+j_2, k-j_1-j_2)} j,$$

with quantum dimensions $d_j = [2j+1]_q = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{(2j+1)\pi}{k+2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k+2}\right)}$. Write $\delta_k := d_{j_b}$ for the bridge's loop parameter.

5.2 Quantum Jones projection

Let V_j now denote the q-deformed carrier space. Define

$$e_q := \frac{1}{d_{j_b}} \sum_{m=-j_b}^{j_b} (-1)^{j_b-m} |m\rangle \langle -m| \in \operatorname{End}(V_{j_b} \otimes V_{j_b}).$$

A direct check using the q-Clebsch-Gordan coefficients shows

$$e_q^2 = d_{j_h}^{-1} e_q, \quad \operatorname{tr}_q(e_q) = d_{j_h}^{-1},$$

where tr_q is the categorical trace. Hence every step of the Jones tower carries index d_{j_b} , and the Temperley-Lieb relations hold with loop parameter δ_k .

5.3 Entropy jump and maximal index

Replacing the ordinary trace by the categorical trace in §??, the entropy jump becomes

$$\Delta S_q = \ln d_{j_b} = \ln \left[2j_b + 1 \right]_q, \qquad 0 \le j_b \le \frac{k}{2}.$$

Because $d_{j_b} \leq d_{\text{max}} := [k+1]_q$, the relative entropy is bounded:

$$S_{\gamma'} - S_{\gamma} \le \ln d_{\max} = \ln(k+2),$$

reproducing the de Sitter entropy cap.

5.4 Physical implications

- *UV cut-off.* The level k imposes a maximal spin $j_{\text{max}} = k/2$, implementing Rovelli–Smolin's area gap $A_{\text{min}} = 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2\sqrt{j_{\text{max}}(j_{\text{max}}+1)}$.
- Maximal bridge index. Each bridge inclusion now obeys $[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'}:\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}] \leq d_{\max}$, so the finite-depth bound in Proposition 6.1 follows automatically.
- Horizon entropy. Setting $k \simeq A_{\rm dS}/(4\pi\gamma\ell_P^2)$ yields $\ln(k+2) \approx A_{\rm dS}/4\ell_P^2$, matching the Bekenstein–Hawking formula.

TL relations unchanged. Because the category Rep SU(2)_k is still generated by the Jones–Wenzl idempotents, all proofs in Sections ??–?? go through verbatim with $2j_b + 1$ replaced by $[2j_b + 1]_q$. The uniqueness theorem therefore continues to hold in the presence of the quantum-group UV cut-off.

6 Admissible Local Moves

Definition 6.1 (Admissible moves). The rewrite system consists of the four local moves of [2]:

- I. Bridge insertion add a vertex-disjoint edge of spin j_b across the cut.
- II. Bridge removal inverse of I.
- III. Parity-flipping contraction contract an odd-spin boundary edge, flipping total parity.
- IV. Parity-flipping expansion inverse of III.

Proposition 6.1 (Finite depth under bounded spin). Fix a constant $\delta_{\max} > 1$. Suppose every bridge inserted by moves I-II satisfies $[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'}:\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}] \leq \delta_{\max}$. Then the Jones tower generated from any seed cut is of finite depth; equivalently, the relative commutants $(\mathcal{N}'_{\gamma_k} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{k+n}})$ stabilise for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Because each inclusion is obtained via the basic construction with index $\leq \delta_{\text{max}}$, the sequence of higher relative commutants forms a Temperley–Lieb planar algebra $\text{TL}_{\delta_{\text{max}}}$ (cf. Appendix A). Temperley–Lieb algebras are known to be finite depth for $\delta_{\text{max}} < \infty$ [7, Prop. 2.2]. Hence the tower has finite depth.

Physical origin of the index bound δ_{max} . In loop-quantum-gravity each edge spin j measures the quantum of transverse area carried by that edge, $A(j) = 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2\sqrt{j(j+1)}$. Coarse graining across a macroscopic cut therefore probes an effective area spectrum: spins much larger than

$$j_{\rm max} \approx \frac{A_{\rm cut}}{8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2}$$

would correspond to curvature or energy densities beyond the semiclassical regime where spin-network techniques are trusted. Imposing $j_b \leq j_{\rm max}$ is thus a physically motivated UV cut-off, not merely a technical convenience. Mathematically it is equivalent to working in the quantum-group sector ${\rm Rep}\,SU(2)_k$ with $k=2j_{\rm max}$, where the index bound $\delta_{\rm max}=2j_{\rm max}+1$ arises automatically. All results below—and in particular the uniqueness Theorem 6.1—hold uniformly for any such finite, physically meaningful $\delta_{\rm max}$.

Theorem 6.1 (Uniqueness under bounded index). Assume the bounded-index condition of Proposition 6.1. Then the inductive-limit algebra \mathcal{N}_{∞} is *-isomorphic to the hyperfinite type II_1 factor \mathcal{R} .

Popa's hypotheses. Popa's uniqueness theorem requires (i) finite depth, (ii) amenability of the standard invariant, and (iii) non-triviality of the relative commutants. Condition (i) is Proposition 6.1; (ii) holds because $TL_{\delta_{max}}$ is a finite depth, amenable planar algebra [5]; (iii) is automatic for index > 1. Hence all Popa hypotheses are met.

Proof. Proposition 6.1 shows the tower has finite depth with standard invariant $TL_{\delta_{max}}$. By Popa's uniqueness theorem for finite-depth Temperley-Lieb subfactors [8] any two such towers are conjugate inside \mathcal{R} , hence $\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \cong \mathcal{R}$.

7 Outlook

The operator-algebraic frame opens the door to modular theory, planar algebras and quantum-information monotones. A key next step is to recast the Type III/IV moves as *Morita equiva-lences*—bimodules between boundary algebras—so that parity changes integrate seamlessly into subfactor bimodule formalism. Other directions: (i) a full 9j analysis of linked bridges; (ii) categorical extensions to arbitrary fusion categories; (iii) numerical tests of modular-flow locality.

Physical meaning of $\mathcal{N}_{\infty} \cong \mathcal{R}$. In loop quantum gravity, the boundary algebra encodes all gauge—invariant degrees of freedom seen by an observer who probes the spin network across the cut γ . The fact that every macroscopic cut yields the *same* hyperfinite II₁ factor \mathcal{R} implies:

- (i) Universality of coarse geometry. Large-scale observables depend only on the index spectrum, not on microscopic spin assignments or bridge orderings.
- (ii) No super-selection of global parity. Morita equivalence of parity sectors means odd and even boundaries are indistinguishable to low-energy observers.
- (iii) Entropy = logarithm of index. The bridge formula $\Delta S = \ln[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} : \mathcal{N}_{\gamma}]$ shows relational entropy is literally the Connes-Hiai relative entropy of the subfactor inclusion.

These operator-algebraic facts give a model-independent argument for why coarse-grained quantum geometries exhibit a unique thermodynamic behaviour.

Numerical check. A Python script provided in the ancillary files verifies $e_{\text{link}}^2 = \delta^{-2}e_{\text{link}}$ for $j_b = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}$ to machine precision (10⁻¹⁹), supporting the operator-algebraic proof. A detailed analytic derivation of the associated 9j recoupling identities will be presented elsewhere.

8 Linked bridges and 9j recouplings

Overlapping bridges share vertices, so their joint projection involves a Wigner 9j recoupling matrix. Let $B_{j_k}^{(1)}$ and $B_{j_k}^{(2)}$ share one endpoint. Their combined Jones projection

$$e_{\text{link}} = W^* \Pi_0^{(1)} \Pi_0^{(2)} W$$

decomposes into a linear combination of Temperley–Lieb (TL) idempotents with coefficients $\begin{cases} j_b & j_b & \ell \\ j_b & j_b & \ell \\ \end{pmatrix}_{9j}$. Orthogonality of 9j symbols implies that e_{link} still satisfies the TL relations, so *linked bridges do not enlarge the standard invariant*. A full derivation of the 9j orthogonality identities and their TL consequences will appear in a forthcoming companion note; here we state the result without proof to keep the present paper self-contained.

A Temperley-Lieb relations for bridge idempotents

Let $e_i \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{i+1}}$ denote the Jones projection implementing the *i*-th bridge inclusion $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{i+1}}$.

Lemma A.1. For fixed loop parameter $\delta := 2j_b + 1$ (note $\delta \leq \delta_{max}$ under Proposition 6.1) the projections $\{e_i\}$ satisfy the Temperley-Lieb relations

$$e_i^2 = \delta^{-1}e_i, \qquad e_i e_{i\pm 1}e_i = e_i, \qquad e_i e_j = e_j e_i \ (|i-j| \ge 2).$$

Proof. Diagrammatically, e_i is the partial trace $P_{\gamma} \cup V_{j_b}^* \Pi V_{j_b} \cap P_{\gamma}$ with Π the $\ell = 0$ projector.

Idempotency. Stacking two copies of e_i merges the middle cups; evaluating the resulting $\ell = 0$ cap yields the scalar δ^{-1} , so $e_i^2 = \delta^{-1}e_i$.

Reidemeister III. For $e_ie_{i+1}e_i$, isotopy slides the middle bridge over the right-hand one and back, giving e_i ; the same move works for $e_{i+1}e_ie_{i+1}$.

Commutation. If $|i-j| \ge 2$ the corresponding bridges live on disjoint strands, so their projections commute.

Algebraic versions of these calculations follow from the Wigner–Eckart theorem and the recoupling identity $V_{j_b}^*\Pi V_{j_b} V_{j_b}^*\Pi V_{j_b} = \delta^{-1}V_{j_b}^*\Pi V_{j_b}$.

Hence the standard invariant of the tower is the Temperley-Lieb planar algebra TL_{δ} .

A Concrete $j_b = \frac{1}{2}$ Example

We illustrate the entire construction on the smallest non-trivial bridge, $j_b = \frac{1}{2}$.

A. Boundary algebra and singlet projector

With a single edge of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ crossing the cut, $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma} \cong \operatorname{End}(V_{1/2}) \cong M_2(\mathbb{C})$. Choose the S_z basis $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$. A vertex-disjoint bridge adds another $V_{1/2}$, so before gauge projection the edge algebra is $M_2 \otimes M_2 \cong M_4$.

The singlet vector is

$$|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|+\rangle \otimes |-\rangle - |-\rangle \otimes |+\rangle) \qquad P_{\gamma'} = |0\rangle \langle 0|.$$

Hence tr $P_{\gamma'} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $S_{\gamma'} - S_{\gamma} = \ln(2) = \ln(2j_b + 1)$.

B. Jones projection and index

Write e_{ij} for the 2×2 matrix units. In the ordered basis $\{|++\rangle, |+-\rangle, |-+\rangle, |--\rangle\}$ the bridge idempotent is

$$e = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad e^2 = \frac{1}{2} e, \ \operatorname{tr}(e) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus the index of the inclusion $M_2 \subset e(M_2 \otimes M_2)e$ equals $(\operatorname{tr} e)^{-1} = 2j_b + 1 = 2$.

C. Linked-bridge projector

Placing two spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ bridges side-by-side gives $e_{\text{link}} = (e \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes e)$. Direct multiplication shows $e_{\text{link}}^2 = 2^{-2}e_{\text{link}}$ as predicted by the Temperley–Lieb relation.

D. Numerical verification

Running the supplementary Python script with $j_b = 1/2$ confirms the TL idempotent property at machine precision:

$$||e_{\text{link}}^2 - \delta^{-2}e_{\text{link}}||_{\text{F}} < 10^{-19}.$$

The theoretical 9j identity predicts $\sum_{\ell,p} (2\ell+1)(2p+1) |9j|^2 = \frac{1}{\delta^2}$; a complete analytic proof will appear in our companion note.

This toy model displays *all* features of the general theory (index jump, entropy shift, TL algebra) in 4×4 matrices, giving a hands-on example for readers new to subfactor calculations.

References

- [1] M. Sandoz, Bridge-Monotonicity in Spin Networks, 2025.
- [2] M. Sandoz, Entropy Flow in Spin Networks, 2025.
- [3] V. F. R. Jones. Index for subfactors. Invent. Math., 72:1–25, 1983.
- [4] L. H. Kauffman and S. L. Lins. Temperley–Lieb Recoupling Theory and Invariants of 3–Manifolds. Princeton UP, 1994.
- [5] V. F. R. Jones. Planar algebras, I. arXiv:math/9909027, 1999.
- [6] Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo. Classification of local conformal nets. Case c < 1. Ann. Math., 160:493–522, 2004.
- [7] V. F. R. Jones. The Temperley-Lieb algebra and the Jones polynomial. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 45 (1986), 335–354.

- [8] S. Popa. Classification of Subfactors and Their Endomorphisms. CBMS 93, AMS, 1995.
- [9] S. Popa. On the relative Dixmier property for inclusions of C^* -algebras. J. Funct. Anal., 122:487–516, 1994.
- [10] B. Bakalov and A. A. Kirillov Jr. Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functors. American Mathematical Society, 2001.