# An Operator–Algebraic Perspective on Entropy Flow in Spin Networks

Matthew Sandoz & Collaborators

August 2, 2025

## 1 Introduction

The combinatorial "bridge-monotonicity" and "entropy-monotonicity" theorems established in [1, 2] show that inserting a spin–$j_b$ bridge across a cut $\gamma$ increases the boundary entropy by

$$\Delta S = \ln(2j_b + 1).$$

We recast those results in the language of finite von Neumann algebras. The reformulation exposes links to Jones index theory and modular flows, hinting at a uniqueness theorem for the emergent large-scale operator algebra of the universe.

**Relation to earlier subfactor constructions.** Temperley–Lieb subfactors first appeared in Jones' original index paper [3] and later in statistical–mechanics models [4], planar algebras [5], and conformal nets [6]. Our construction provides a *spin-network* realisation of the same standard invariant, motivated by loop-quantum-gravity entropy flow. This physics-driven perspective yields a concrete operator-algebraic interpretation of the entropy jump (4.1) and suggests new applications of subfactor theory to quantum information.

## 2 Boundary von Neumann Algebras

**Definition 2.1** (Edge algebra)**.** *For a cut $\gamma$ whose intersected edges carry spins $\{j_e\}_{e\in\gamma}$, define the* edge algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_\gamma := \bigotimes_{e\in\gamma} \mathrm{End}(V_{j_e}).$$

*Here the tensor product is taken over $\mathbb{C}$. For a finite cut this is the algebraic tensor product, while for an infinite cut we take the spatial (von Neumann) completion. It is a finite (resp. properly infinite) $\mathrm{C}^*$-algebra equipped with the normalised trace* $\mathrm{tr}$*.*

**Definition 2.2** (Gauge-invariant algebra)**.** *The diagonal* $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ *action $u^{\otimes}$ on $\mathcal{A}_\gamma$ yields the* boundary algebra

$$\mathcal{N}_\gamma := \mathcal{A}_\gamma^{\mathrm{SU}(2)} = \{X \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma \mid u^{\otimes} X u^{\otimes *} = X \ \forall u \in \mathrm{SU}(2)\}.$$

## 3 Relational Entropy and Modular Hamiltonian

**Definition 3.1** (Relational state and modular generator)**.** *Let $P_\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_\gamma$ project onto the singlet subspace and set*

$$\rho_\gamma := \frac{P_\gamma}{\mathrm{tr} P_\gamma}, \qquad K_\gamma := -\ln \rho_\gamma.$$

*Then $S_\gamma = \ln \mathrm{tr} P_\gamma$ reproduces the combinatorial count, and $K_\gamma$ generates the Tomita–Takesaki flow on $(\mathcal{N}_\gamma, \rho_\gamma)$.*

**Remark 3.1** (Parity obstruction)**.** *If the cut has* odd *total spin, $\mathrm{tr} P_\gamma = 0$ and $\rho_\gamma$ is undefined. The operator–algebraic framework below therefore assumes $d_0 := \mathrm{tr} P_\gamma > 0$. Odd–parity cuts can be handled by first performing a Type III parity-flipping move (see Definition 6.1) and then applying the results in Proposition 6.1 and Appendix A.*

### Parity-flipping as Morita equivalence

Let $\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}$ be a cut of odd total spin. Define the bimodule $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{pf}}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{pf}} := \mathrm{Inv}\Big(V_{1/2} \otimes \bigotimes_{e \in \gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}} V_{j_e}\Big),$$

on which $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}$ acts on the right and $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}$ (obtained by attaching a spin–$\frac{1}{2}$ stub) acts on the left. This $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{pf}}$ is an *invertible* $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{even}}}$–$\mathcal{N}_{\gamma^{\mathrm{odd}}}$ bimodule, hence a Morita equivalence [8, Def. 2.1]. Type III/IV moves therefore transport the standard invariant unchanged, so all parity sectors share the same limit factor $\mathcal{R}$.

## 4 Bridge Insertion as an Algebra Inclusion

**Proposition 4.1** (Jones index of a bridge)**.** *Inserting a vertex-disjoint bridge of spin $j_b$ yields*

$$\iota_{j_b} : \mathcal{N}_\gamma \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} \quad \textit{with} \quad [\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} : \mathcal{N}_\gamma] = 2j_b + 1.$$

*Proof.* Write $W := V_{j_b}$ and let $\Pi_0$ be the orthogonal projector onto the $\ell = 0$ summand of $\bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{2j_b} V_\ell$. Define $\iota_{j_b}(X) := (X \otimes \mathbf{1}_{j_b}^{\otimes 2}) W^* \Pi_0 W$, $X \in \mathcal{N}_\gamma$. Because $W$ intertwines the diagonal SU(2) action, $\iota_{j_b}$ maps $\mathcal{N}_\gamma$ into $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'}$ faithfully.

**Trace calculation.** Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_m\}_{m=-j_b}^{j_b}$ of $V_{j_b}$. The matrix units $E_{mn} := |e_m\rangle\langle e_n|$ generate $\mathrm{End}(V_{j_b})$. Orthogonality of Clebsch–Gordan coefficients gives $W^*\Pi_0 W = \frac{1}{2j_b+1} \sum_{m,n} (-1)^{j_b - m} E_{n}$ $E_{-m,-n}$. Consequently

$$\mathrm{tr}\big(W^* \Pi_0 W\big) = \frac{1}{2j_b + 1}.$$

Since $\mathrm{tr}(P_{\gamma'}) = \mathrm{tr}\big(P_\gamma \otimes \mathbf{1}\big)\ \mathrm{tr}\big(W^*\Pi_0 W\big)$ and $\mathrm{tr}\big(P_\gamma \otimes \mathbf{1}\big) = \mathrm{tr}\, P_\gamma$, we obtain $\mathrm{tr}\, P_{\gamma'} = \frac{1}{2j_b+1}\, \mathrm{tr}\, P_\gamma$, hence $\Delta S = \ln(2j_b + 1)$.

**Jones index.** The Pimsner–Popa basis $\{(2j_b + 1)^{1/2}\, u_i\}$ given by the matrix units satisfies the $E_{\mathcal{N}_\gamma}$–basis condition, so the index of $\iota_{j_b}$ equals $(2j_b + 1)$ [8, Thm. 2.1]. $\square$

**Theorem 4.1** (Bridge-monotonicity $\Leftrightarrow$ index additivity)**.** *For any sequence $\{j_b^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n$ of disjoint bridges,*

$$S_{\gamma_n} - S_{\gamma_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(2j_b^{(i)} + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i} : \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{i-1}}] \tag{4.1}$$

**Remark 4.1** (Entropy *vs.* index additivity)**.** *Equation* (4.1) *does more than tally logarithms: it unifies an* information-theoretic *quantity (boundary entropy) with a* subfactor-theoretic *invariant (Jones index), inviting cross-fertilisation between the two fields.*

# 5 Quantum-Group Extension

Replacing $\operatorname{Rep}\mathrm{SU}(2)$ by $\operatorname{Rep}\mathrm{SU}(2)_k$ truncates the index to

$$[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} : \mathcal{N}_\gamma]_k = \min(2j_b + 1,\ k - 2j_b + 1),$$

saturating at $S_{\max} = \ln(k + 2)$, as in [2].

# 6 Admissible Local Moves

**Definition 6.1** (Admissible moves)**.** *The rewrite system consists of the four local moves of [2]:*

***I. Bridge insertion*** *— add a vertex-disjoint edge of spin $j_b$ across the cut.*

***II. Bridge removal*** *— inverse of I.*

***III. Parity-flipping contraction*** *— contract an odd-spin boundary edge, flipping total parity.*

***IV. Parity-flipping expansion*** *— inverse of III.*

**Proposition 6.1** (Finite depth under bounded spin)**.** *Fix a constant $\delta_{\max} > 1$. Suppose every bridge inserted by moves I–II satisfies $[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} : \mathcal{N}_\gamma] \le \delta_{\max}$. Then the Jones tower generated from any seed cut is of finite depth; equivalently, the relative commutants $(\mathcal{N}'_{\gamma_k} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{k+n}})$ stabilise for $n \ge 2$.*

*Proof.* Because each inclusion is obtained via the basic construction with index $\le \delta_{\max}$, the sequence of higher relative commutants forms a Temperley–Lieb planar algebra $\mathrm{TL}_{\delta_{\max}}$ (cf. Appendix A). Temperley–Lieb algebras are known to be finite depth for $\delta_{\max} < \infty$ [7, Prop. 2.2]. Hence the tower has finite depth. □

**Physical origin of the index bound $\boldsymbol{\delta_{\max}}$.** In loop-quantum-gravity each edge spin $j$ measures the quantum of transverse area carried by that edge, $A(j) = 8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2\sqrt{j(j+1)}$. Coarse graining across a macroscopic cut therefore probes an *effective area spectrum*: spins much larger than

$$j_{\max} \approx \frac{A_{\text{cut}}}{8\pi\gamma\ell_P^2}$$

would correspond to curvature or energy densities beyond the semiclassical regime where spin-network techniques are trusted. Imposing $j_b \le j_{\max}$ is thus a physically motivated UV cut-off, not merely a technical convenience. Mathematically it is equivalent to working in the quantum-group sector $\operatorname{Rep} SU(2)_k$ with $k = 2j_{\max}$, where the index bound $\delta_{\max} = 2j_{\max} + 1$ arises automatically. All results below—and in particular the uniqueness Theorem 6.1—hold uniformly for any such finite, physically meaningful $\delta_{\max}$.

**Theorem 6.1** (Uniqueness under bounded index)**.** *Assume the bounded-index condition of Proposition 6.1. Then the inductive-limit algebra $\mathcal{N}_\infty$ is $*$-isomorphic to the hyperfinite type* $\mathrm{II}_1$ *factor $\mathcal{R}$.*

**Popa's hypotheses.** Popa's uniqueness theorem requires (i) finite depth, (ii) amenability of the standard invariant, and (iii) non-triviality of the relative commutants. Condition (i) is Proposition 6.1; (ii) holds because $\mathrm{TL}_{\delta_{\max}}$ is a finite depth, amenable planar algebra [5]; (iii) is automatic for index $> 1$. Hence all Popa hypotheses are met.

*Proof.* Proposition 6.1 shows the tower has finite depth with standard invariant $\mathrm{TL}_{\delta_{\max}}$. By Popa's uniqueness theorem for finite-depth Temperley-Lieb subfactors [8] any two such towers are conjugate inside $\mathcal{R}$, hence $\mathcal{N}_\infty \cong \mathcal{R}$. □

# 7 Outlook

The operator-algebraic frame opens the door to modular theory, planar algebras and quantum-information monotones. A key next step is to recast the Type III/IV moves as *Morita equivalences*—bimodules between boundary algebras—so that parity changes integrate seamlessly into subfactor bimodule formalism. Other directions: (i) a full $9j$ analysis of linked bridges; (ii) categorical extensions to arbitrary fusion categories; (iii) numerical tests of modular-flow locality.

**Physical meaning of $\mathcal{N}_\infty \cong \mathcal{R}$.** In loop quantum gravity, the boundary algebra encodes all gauge–invariant degrees of freedom seen by an observer who probes the spin network across the cut $\gamma$. The fact that every macroscopic cut yields the *same* hyperfinite $\mathrm{II}_1$ factor $\mathcal{R}$ implies:

(i) **Universality of coarse geometry.** Large-scale observables depend only on the index spectrum, not on microscopic spin assignments or bridge orderings.

(ii) **No super-selection of global parity.** Morita equivalence of parity sectors means odd and even boundaries are indistinguishable to low-energy observers.

(iii) **Entropy = logarithm of index.** The bridge formula $\Delta S = \ln[\mathcal{N}_{\gamma'} : \mathcal{N}_\gamma]$ shows relational entropy is literally the Connes–Hiai relative entropy of the subfactor inclusion.

These operator-algebraic facts give a model-independent argument for why coarse-grained quantum geometries exhibit a unique thermodynamic behaviour.

# 8 Linked bridges and 9j recouplings

Overlapping bridges introduce a recoupling map governed by Wigner $9j$ symbols. Let $B^{(1)}_{j_b}$ and $B^{(2)}_{j_b}$ share a vertex. Their combined Jones projection is $e_{\text{link}} = W^* \,\Pi_0^{(1)}\Pi_0^{(2)}\, W$, which decomposes into a linear combination of TL idempotents with coefficients given by $\begin{Bmatrix} j_b & j_b & \ell \\ j_b & j_b & \ell \\ \ell & \ell & 0 \end{Bmatrix}$. Orthogonality of $9j$ symbols implies the same TL relations as in Appendix A, so linked bridges do not enlarge the standard invariant. A detailed derivation is available in the ancillary `Mathematica` notebook.

# A Temperley–Lieb relations for bridge idempotents

Let $e_i \in \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{i+1}}$ denote the Jones projection implementing the $i$-th bridge inclusion $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma_i} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\gamma_{i+1}}$.

**Lemma A.1.** *For fixed loop parameter $\delta := 2j_b + 1$ (note $\delta \le \delta_{\max}$ under Proposition 6.1) the projections $\{e_i\}$ satisfy the Temperley–Lieb relations*

$$e_i^2 = \delta^{-1} e_i, \qquad e_i e_{i\pm 1} e_i = e_i, \qquad e_i e_j = e_j e_i \ (|i-j| \ge 2).$$

*Proof.* Diagrammatically, $e_i$ is the partial trace $P_\gamma \cup V_{j_b}^* \Pi V_{j_b} \cap P_\gamma$ with $\Pi$ the $\ell = 0$ projector.

*Idempotency.* Stacking two copies of $e_i$ merges the middle cups; evaluating the resulting $\ell = 0$ cap yields the scalar $\delta^{-1}$, so $e_i^2 = \delta^{-1} e_i$.

*Reidemeister III.* For $e_i e_{i+1} e_i$, isotopy slides the middle bridge over the right-hand one and back, giving $e_i$; the same move works for $e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1}$.

*Commutation.* If $|i-j| \ge 2$ the corresponding bridges live on disjoint strands, so their projections commute.

Algebraic versions of these calculations follow from the Wigner–Eckart theorem and the recoupling identity $V_{j_b}^* \Pi V_{j_b} V_{j_b}^* \Pi V_{j_b} = \delta^{-1} V_{j_b}^* \Pi V_{j_b}$. □

Hence the standard invariant of the tower is the Temperley–Lieb planar algebra $\mathrm{TL}_\delta$.

## References


[1] M. Sandoz, *Bridge-Monotonicity in Spin Networks*, 2025.

[2] M. Sandoz, *Entropy Flow in Spin Networks*, 2025.

[3] V. F. R. Jones. Index for subfactors. *Invent. Math.*, 72:1–25, 1983.

[4] L. H. Kauffman and S. L. Lins. *Temperley–Lieb Recoupling Theory and Invariants of 3–Manifolds.* Princeton UP, 1994.

[5] V. F. R. Jones. Planar algebras, I. *arXiv:math/9909027*, 1999.

[6] Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo. Classification of local conformal nets. Case c < 1. *Ann. Math.*, 160:493–522, 2004.

[7] V. F. R. Jones. The Temperley–Lieb algebra and the Jones polynomial. In *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 45 (1986), 335–354.

[8] S. Popa. *Classification of Subfactors and Their Endomorphisms.* CBMS 93, AMS, 1995.

[9] S. Popa. On the relative Dixmier property for inclusions of $C^*$-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 122:487–516, 1994.