

## Chapter 6



11. Suppose you're consulting for a company that manufactures PC equipment and ships it to distributors all over the country. For each of the next n weeks, they have a projected  $supply\ s_i$  of equipment (measured in pounds), which has to be shipped by an air freight carrier.

Each week's supply can be carried by one of two air freight companies, A or B.

- Company A charges a fixed rate r per pound (so it costs  $r \cdot s_i$  to ship a week's supply  $s_i$ ).
- Company B makes contracts for a fixed amount *c* per week, independent of the weight. However, contracts with company B must be made in blocks of four consecutive weeks at a time.

A *schedule*, for the PC company, is a choice of air freight company (A or B) for each of the *n* weeks, with the restriction that company B, whenever it is chosen, must be chosen for blocks of four contiguous weeks at a time. The *cost* of the schedule is the total amount paid to company A and B, according to the description above.

Give a polynomial-time algorithm that takes a sequence of supply values  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$  and returns a *schedule* of minimum cost.

**Example.** Suppose r = 1, c = 10, and the sequence of values is

Then the optimal schedule would be to choose company A for the first three weeks, then company B for a block of four consecutive weeks, and then company A for the final three weeks.



12. Suppose we want to replicate a file over a collection of n servers, labeled  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ . To place a copy of the file at server  $S_i$  results in a *placement* cost of  $c_i$ , for an integer  $c_i > 0$ .

Now, if a user requests the file from server  $S_i$ , and no copy of the file is present at  $S_i$ , then the servers  $S_{i+1}$ ,  $S_{i+2}$ ,  $S_{i+3}$ ... are searched in order until a copy of the file is finally found, say at server  $S_j$ , where j > i. This results in an *access cost* of j - i. (Note that the lower-indexed servers  $S_{i-1}$ ,  $S_{i-2}$ , ... are not consulted in this search.) The access cost is 0 if  $S_i$  holds a copy of the file. We will require that a copy of the file be placed at server  $S_n$ , so that all such searches will terminate, at the latest, at  $S_n$ .

We'd like to place copies of the files at the servers so as to minimize the sum of placement and access costs. Formally, we say that a *configuration* is a choice, for each server  $S_i$  with i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, of whether to place a copy of the file at  $S_i$  or not. (Recall that a copy is always placed at  $S_n$ .) The *total cost* of a configuration is the sum of all placement costs for servers with a copy of the file, plus the sum of all access costs associated with all n servers.

Give a polynomial-time algorithm to find a configuration of minimum total cost.

**20.** Suppose it's nearing the end of the semester and you're taking n courses, each with a final project that still has to be done. Each project will be graded on the following scale: It will be assigned an integer number on a scale of 1 to g > 1, higher numbers being better grades. Your goal, of course, is to maximize your average grade on the n projects.

You have a total of H > n hours in which to work on the n projects cumulatively, and you want to decide how to divide up this time. For simplicity, assume H is a positive integer, and you'll spend an integer number of hours on each project. To figure out how best to divide up your time, you've come up with a set of functions  $\{f_i: i=1,2,\ldots,n\}$  (rough estimates, of course) for each of your n courses; if you spend  $h \leq H$  hours on the project for course i, you'll get a grade of  $f_i(h)$ . (You may assume that the functions  $f_i$  are *nondecreasing*: if h < h', then  $f_i(h) \leq f_i(h')$ .)

So the problem is: Given these functions  $\{f_i\}$ , decide how many hours to spend on each project (in integer values only) so that your average grade, as computed according to the  $f_i$ , is as large as possible. In order to be efficient, the running time of your algorithm should be polynomial in n, g, and H; none of these quantities should appear as an exponent in your running time.



- **23.** Suppose you are given a directed graph G = (V, E) with costs on the edges  $c_e$  for  $e \in E$  and a sink t (costs may be negative). Assume that you also have finite values d(v) for  $v \in V$ . Someone claims that, for each node  $v \in V$ , the quantity d(v) is the cost of the minimum-cost path from node v to the sink t.
  - (a) Give a linear-time algorithm (time O(m) if the graph has m edges) that verifies whether this claim is correct.
  - (b) Assume that the distances are correct, and d(v) is finite for all  $v \in V$ . Now you need to compute distances to a different sink t'. Give an  $O(m \log n)$  algorithm for computing distances d'(v) for all nodes  $v \in V$  to the sink node t'. (*Hint:* It is useful to consider a new cost function defined as follows: for edge e = (v, w), let  $c'_e = c_e d(v) + d(w)$ . Is there a relation between costs of paths for the two different costs c and c'?)