A	В	C	D	E
1	Capstone 4	Milestones 3	Milestones 2	Benchmark 1
Define Problem  2	Demonstrates the ability to construct a clear and insightful problem statement with evidence of all relevant contextual factors.	Demonstrates the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, and problem statement is adequately detailed.	Begins to demonstrate the ability to construct a problem statement with evidence of most relevant contextual factors, but problem statement is superficial.	Demonstrates a limited ability in identifying a problem statement or related contextual factors.
Identify Strategies  3	Identifies multiple approaches for solving the problem that apply within a specific context.	Identifies multiple approaches for solving the problem, only some of which apply within a specific context.	Identifies only a single approach for solving the problem that does apply within a specific context.	Identifies one or more approaches for solving the problem that do not apply within a specific context.
Propose Solutions/Hypotheses  4	Proposes one or more solutions/ hypotheses that indicates a deep comprehension of the problem. Solution/ hypotheses are sensitive to contextual factors as well as all of the following: ethical, logical, and cultural dimensions of the problem.	Proposes one or more solutions/ hypotheses that indicates comprehension of the problem. Solutions/ hypotheses are sensitive to contextual factors as well as the one of the following: ethical, logical, or cultural dimensions of the problem.	Proposes one solution/ hypothesis that is " off the shelf " rather than individually designed to address the specific contextual factors of the problem.	Proposes a solution/ hypothesis that is difficult to evaluate because it is vague or only indirectly addresses the problem statement.
Evaluate Potential Solutions  5	E valuation of solutions is deep and elegant (for example, contains thorough and insightful explanation) and includes, deeply and thoroughly, all of the following: considers history of problem, reviews logic/ reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impacts of solution.	E valuation of solutions is adequate (for example, contains thorough explanation) and includes the following: considers history of problem, reviews logic/reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impacts of solution.	E valuation of solutions is brief (for example, explanation lacks depth) and includes the following: considers history of problem, reviews logic/ reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impacts of solution.	E valuation of solutions is superficial (for example, contains cursory, surface level explanation) and includes the following: considers history of problem, reviews logic/ reasoning, examines feasibility of solution, and weighs impacts of solution.

${f A}$	В	$\mathbf{C}$	D	${f E}$
Implement Solution	Implements the solution in	Implements the	Implements the	Implements the
	a manner that addresses	solution in a	solution in a	solution in a manner
	thoroughly and deeply	manner that	manner that	that does not directly
	multiple contextual factors	addresses	addresses the	address the problem
	of the problem.	multiple	problem statement	statement.
		contextual	but ignores relevant	
		factors of the	contextual factors.	
		problem in a		
		surface		
		manner.		
	Reviews results relative to	Reviews	Reviews results in	Reviews results
	the problem defined with	results relative	terms of the	superficially in terms
	thorough, specific	to the problem	problem defined	of the problem defined
	considerations of need for	defined with	with little, if any,	with no consideration
	further work.	some	consideration of	of need for further
		consideration	need for further	work
		of need for	work.	
		further work.		