

**Duncan Law - 281967**

AI-Powered Dynamic Narrative System for RPGs

**\* the complexity of the project**

The report presents a well-defined and nuanced discussion regarding AI-generated content from prompts. It offers a thoughtful analysis of the alignment between the creator's intent and the extent to which AI-generated content can fulfill that intent. However, the report lacks clarity regarding the specific game being proposed. While the analysis is technically sound, the discussion remains largely theoretical and would benefit from being more closely grounded in the practical aspects of the proposed project.

The topic is timely and interesting. The complexity of the project is hard to judge because large parts of the report are addressed too superficially. Already the Introduction should much more concretely state the problem and motivate the approach to address it. The report promises a lot but it is unclear to me to what extent the goals can be achieved.

**\* the requirements analysis (and background research)**

The report references key sources of information and relevant background research. It provides a thoughtful discussion of how these sources have informed the thought process around the central problem the project seeks to address. However, the connection between the background research and the project itself is somewhat limited, with opportunities to establish stronger, more specific links rather than maintaining a general discussion.

The literature review is extensive but the link to the actual project is too brief. The report has some considerations on the project specifications including success criteria, but lacks concreteness. The user group is also not clearly defined. I am in particular missing explicit statements on what is own new work.

**\* the professional issues (including ethical considerations)**

The report references the BCS Code of Conduct and provides a suitable discussion of the ethical considerations involved. While it mentions the concept of "red lines," these are not yet clearly defined within the report. Additionally, the report includes a detailed timeline that effectively scaffolds the project's objectives and planned activities. The student has completed a software compliance form.

A thorough discussion on ethics, risks, and data protection is presented. The project plan could have been more elaborated on.

**\* the presentation and structure (including English).**

The report is well-structured and clearly presented, demonstrating a strong understanding of the technical and professional issues involved. There is thoughtful analysis of the problem area; however, at times the expression of ideas and concepts does not read naturally. Some aspects remain underdeveloped, and addressing the specifics of project delivery in greater detail could further enhance the likelihood of the project's success.

Overall, the report is well written. Some figures use too small font sizes. Figure captions are missing.