

Math Problem 1. Which of the following functions are increasing? eventually nondecreasing?

If you remember techniques from calculus, you can make use of those.

(1) $f(x) = -x^2$

(2) $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$

(3) $f(x) = x^3 + x$

Soln:

1. $f(x) = -x^2$

First derivative:

$$f'(x) = d/dx(-x^2) = -2x$$

For $x > 0$, $f'(x) = -2x < 0$

For $x < 0$, $f'(x) = -2x > 0$

At $x = 0$, $f'(x) = 0$

Since $f'(x)$ changes sign from positive to negative at $x = 0$, $f(x)$ has a local maximum at $x = 0$.

$f(x)$ is increasing for $x < 0$ and decreasing for $x > 0$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is neither increasing nor eventually nondecreasing.

2. $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 1$

First derivative:

$$f'(x) = d/dx(x^2 + 2x + 1) = 2x + 2$$

To determine if $f(x)$ is increasing or decreasing, we need to analyze the sign of $f'(x)$.

For $x > -1$, $f'(x) = 2x + 2 > 0$

For $x < -1$, $f'(x) = 2x + 2 < 0$

At $x = -1$, $f'(x) = 0$

Since $f'(x)$ changes sign from negative to positive at $x = -1$, $f(x)$ has a local minimum at $x = -1$.

$f(x)$ is decreasing for $x < -1$ and increasing for $x > -1$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is not increasing but it is eventually nondecreasing for $x > -1$.

3. $f(x) = x^3 + x$

First derivative:

$$f'(x) = d/dx(x^3+x) = 3x^2 + 1$$

For all x , $f'(x)=3x^2+1 > 0$. Since $f'(x)$ is always positive, $f(x)$ is strictly increasing for all x .

Therefore, $f(x)$ is increasing and also eventually nondecreasing.

Math Problem 2. Consider the following pairs and functions f , g . Decide if it is correct to say that, asymptotically, f grows no faster than g , g grows no faster than f , or both.

(1) $f(x) = 2x^2$, $g(x) = x^2 + 1$

(2) $f(x) = x^2$, $g(x) = x^3$

(3) $f(x) = 4x + 1$, $g(x) = x^2 - 1$

Soln:

To determine the asymptotic growth rates of the functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ in each pair, we can use Big-O notation. We will compare the growth rates by considering the dominant terms of each function.

1. $f(x) = 2x^2$, $g(x) = x^2 + 1$

As x grows large, the dominant term in $g(x)$ is x^2 . The constant term $+1$ becomes negligible.

$$f(x) = 2x^2 \text{ (Drop constant 2)} = x^2$$

$$g(x) \approx x^2$$

$f(x)=O(g(x))$ and $g(x)=O(f(x))$, therefore, So, asymptotically, f and g grow at the same rate.

2. $f(x) = x^2$, $g(x) = x^3$

As x grows large, the dominant terms are:

$$f(x) = x^2$$

$$g(x) = x^3$$

Since x^2 grows slower than x^3 as x goes to infinity: $f(x) = O(g(x))$ But $g(x) \neq O(f(x))$

So, asymptotically, f grows no faster than g .

3. $f(x) = 4x + 1$, $g(x) = x^2 - 1$

As x grows large, the dominant terms are:

$$f(x) \approx 4x \text{ (Drop constant)} = x$$

$$g(x) \approx x^2$$

Since $4x$ grows slower than x^2 as x goes to infinity: $f(x) = O(g(x))$ but $g(x) \neq O(f(x))$

So, asymptotically, f grows no faster than g .

Problem 1: GCD Algorithm. Write a Java method `gcd(int m, int n)` which accepts positive integer inputs m , n and outputs the greatest common divisor of m and n .

```
private static int gcd(int m, int n) {
    if (m == 0) {
        return n;
    }
    int r;
    while (n != 0) {
        r = m % n;
        m = n;
        n = r;
    }
    return m;
}
```

Problem 2: Brute Force Solution. Formulate your own procedure for solving the SubsetSum Problem.

Think of it as a Java method.

```
public static boolean subsetSum(int[] S, int k) {
    int n = S.length;

    // Generate all subsets using a bit mask approach
    for (int i = 0; i < (1 << n); i++) {
        //List<Integer> subset = new ArrayList<>();
        int sum = 0;
        for(int j = 0; j < n; j++){
            // Check if the j-th bit in the i-th subset is set
            if((i & (1 << j)) != 0){
                //subset.add(S[j]);
                sum += S[j];
            }

            // Check if the sum of the current subset is equal to k
            if(sum == k){
                return true;
            }
        }
    }
    return false;
}
```

NB: Inefficient for large sets

- Exponential time complexity, $O(2^n)$
- However, it guarantees finding the solution if one exists.

Problem 3: *Greedy Strategies.* See if you can solve SubsetSum problems using the following *greedy* strategy. With a greedy strategy, at each step in an algorithm, a value that is optimal *at that time* is chosen.

- Sort the set S in ascending order.
- Initialize an empty subset T .
- Iterate through each element s_i in S :
- If adding s_i to T does not exceed k , add s_i to T .
- Otherwise, skip s_i .

Example 1:

Given $S = \{3, 5, 6, 2\}$ and $k = 10$:

- Sort S : $\{2, 3, 5, 6\}$
- Initialize $T = \{\}$
- Iterate through S :
 - $2 \leq 10$; Add 2 to T , so $T = \{2\}$
 - $2+3 \leq 10$; Add 3 to T , so $T = \{2, 3\}$
 - $2+3+5 \leq 10$; Add 5 to T , so $T = \{2, 3, 5\}$
 - $2+3+5+6 > 10$; Skip 6

Final T : $\{2, 3, 5\}$ which sums to 10. This is correct.

Does Greedy strategy always work?

To determine if the greedy strategy always works, consider the following example.

Example 2:

Given $S = \{1, 2, 5, 9\}$ and $k = 11$

- Sort S : $\{1, 2, 5, 9\}$
- Initialize $T = \{\}$
- Iterate through S :
 - $1 \leq 11$; Add 1 to T , so $T = \{1\}$
 - $1+2 \leq 11$; Add 2 to T , so $T = \{1, 2\}$
 - $1+2+5 \leq 11$; Add 5 to T , so $T = \{1, 2, 5\}$
 - $1+2+5+9 > 11$; Skip 9

Final T: {1, 2, 5} which sums to 8. However, the correct subset that sums to 11 is {2, 9} which is missed by the Greedy strategy. Therefore, the Greedy strategy does not always work for the Subset Sum Problem.

Problem 4: You are given a solution T to a SubsetSum problem with a $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ and k some non-negative integer.

Set $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$.

Sum k.

$T \subseteq S$ such that the sum of elements in T is k.

Given that s_{n-1} is in T:

- i. The sum of elements in T is k.
- ii. This implies $\sum_{t \in T} t = k$.
- iii. Since s_{n-1} is in T, we can write $\sum_{t \in T} t = \sum_{t \in T - \{s_{n-1}\}} t + s_{n-1}$.

Define T':

- i. Let $T' = T - \{s_{n-1}\}$
- ii. Then $\sum_{t \in T'} t = k - s_{n-1}$

New set $S' = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-2}\}$.

New sum $k' = k - s_{n-1}$. We need to determine if $T' \subseteq S'$ and the sum of elements in T' is k' .

Since T is a solution for the original problem, and s_{n-1} is included in T, removing s_{n-1} from T leaves us with T', which is a subset of S' with sum k'. Therefore, it is necessarily true that $T - \{s_{n-1}\}$ is a solution to the Subset Sum problem with inputs S' and k'.