

Project: Cross-validation for model selection (rough draft)

Diego Urdapilleta de la Parra

March 4, 2025

1 Background

1.1 Setup and preliminary definitions

Assumptions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H1} : \quad & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \|M_\alpha \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{A} \\ \mathbf{H2} : \quad & \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} = O(n) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} = O(n^{-1}) \\ \mathbf{H3} : \quad & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \leq n} h_{ii, \alpha} = 0\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_n := \{(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i) : i \in [n]\}$ be a set of independent data points drawn from a distribution $\mathbb{P}_{y, \mathbf{x}}$ for $(y, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+p}$. We treat the \mathbf{x}_i as predictors of the outcome y_i , and we assume a linear model

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e}$$

where $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{x}_n]^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is the design matrix, $\mathbf{y} = [y_1 \ y_2 \ \cdots \ y_n]^\top$, and \mathbf{e} is a mean-zero random vector with $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{e}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n$.

Definition 1.1

We denote the average squared error of \hat{m}_α by

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha) := \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbb{E}[y \mid \mathbf{X}] - \hat{m}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})\|^2.$$

Additionally, we write $R_n(\alpha) := \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha) \mid \mathbf{X}]$.

Proposition 1.1

If we assume a linear model $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e}$, then

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{e}\|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_n(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2,$$

where $\mathbf{H}_\alpha = \mathbf{X}_\alpha (\mathbf{X}_\alpha^\top \mathbf{X}_\alpha)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_\alpha^\top$ and $\mathbf{M}_\alpha = \mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_\alpha$.

Proof. First, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{X}] - \hat{m}_\alpha(\mathbf{X})\|^2 &= \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{X}_\alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\alpha\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{H}_\alpha (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{e})\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{e}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{e}$ are orthogonal:

$$\mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{H}_\alpha (\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{H}_\alpha) \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = 0.$$

Hence, by the Pythagorean theorem, the first part is satisfied.

For the second part, we note that $\mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{H}_\alpha \mathbf{e}\|^2 \mid \mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2 p(\alpha)$ by the “trace trick,” where $p(\alpha)$ denotes the size of model α . \square

Proposition 1.2

Suppose that the set of correct candidate models $\mathcal{A}_c \subset \mathcal{A}$ is non-empty, and let α^* be the smallest correct model in \mathcal{A}_c . Then, α^* minimizes $R_n(\alpha)$ over $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ be arbitrary and suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c$. Then, $\mathbf{X}_\alpha \boldsymbol{\beta}_\alpha = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $p(\alpha^*) \leq p(\alpha)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} R_n(\alpha) &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}\|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 p(\alpha) + \frac{1}{n} \underbrace{\|\mathbf{M}_\alpha \mathbf{X}_\alpha \boldsymbol{\beta}_\alpha\|^2}_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 p(\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{n} \sigma^2 p(\alpha^*) = R_n(\alpha^*). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_w$. If $p(\alpha) \geq p(\alpha^*)$, the result follows by assumption H1. If $p(\alpha) < p(\alpha^*)$, then ... **MISSING**. \square

2 Leave-One-Out CV

Definition 2.1

The LOOCV estimator of $R_n(\alpha)$ is

$$\hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \mathbf{x}_{i\alpha}^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_\alpha}{1 - h_{ii,\alpha}} \right)^2$$

Lemma 2.1 (Shao, 1993)

$$\hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{e}\|^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sigma^2 p(\alpha) - \|H_\alpha \mathbf{e}\|^2 + o_{\mathbb{P}}(n^{-1}) & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c \\ R_n(\alpha) + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A corollary of this Lemma is that $\hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha) \rightarrow_{\mathbb{P}} \sigma^2 \leftarrow_{\mathbb{P}} R_n(\alpha)$.

Proposition 2.2 (Shao, 1993)

Let $\hat{\alpha}^{(1)}$ be the model minimizing $\hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha)$.

1. Under H1, H2, and H3,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\alpha}^{(1)} \in \mathcal{A}_w) = 0.$$

2. If $p(\alpha) \neq p$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\hat{\alpha}^{(1)} = \alpha^*) \neq 1$$

3. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_c$ with $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha) \leq \hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha^*)) = \mathbb{P}(2(p(\alpha) - p(\alpha^*))\sigma^2 < \mathbf{e}^\top (H_\alpha - H_{\alpha^*})\mathbf{e}) + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1).$$

If $\mathbf{e} \sim \mathbb{N}(0_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha) \leq \hat{R}_n^{(1)}(\alpha^*)) = \mathbb{P}(2k < \chi^2(k)) + o_{\mathbb{P}}(1)$$

for $k = p(\alpha) - p(\alpha^*)$.

Corollary 2.3

LOOCV overfits with non-zero probability asymptotically.