

# Quantum Theory - Worksheet 8

## Problem 1

- (a) Consider two operators,  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$ . Explain why it is generally *not* the case that  $\exp(\hat{A})\exp(\hat{B}) = \exp(\hat{A} + \hat{B})$  if  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  do *not* commute. (Note:  $\exp(\hat{A})\exp(\hat{B}) = \exp(\hat{A} + \hat{B})$  if  $\hat{A}$  commutes with  $\hat{B}$ ; however, you are not asked to prove this.)
- (b) Recall that the evolution operator  $\hat{U}(t, t_0)$  for a time-independent Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  is given by the equation

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp[-i\hat{H}(t - t_0)/\hbar]. \quad (1)$$

This equation can also be written as

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t \hat{H} dt\right). \quad (2)$$

One might think that Eq. (2) generalizes to

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t \hat{H}(t) dt\right) \quad (3)$$

in the case of a time-dependent Hamiltonian. Show, to the contrary, that Eq. (3) does not give the evolution operator for  $\hat{H}(t)$  if there is a time  $t_1$  for which the operators

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \hat{H}(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_1}^t \hat{H}(t) dt$$

do not commute. [Hints: (1) Remember that  $\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{U}(t, t_1)\hat{U}(t_1, t_0)$ . (2) Don't be confused by the notation: In Eq. (1),  $\hat{H}(t - t_0)$  means  $\hat{H}$  multiplied by  $(t - t_0)$ , while in Eq. (3) the  $(t)$  indicates that the Hamiltonian is a function of  $t$ .]

## Problem 2

Consider a 1D system in a state  $|\psi\rangle$  represented by a wave function  $\psi(x)$  in the position representation and by a wave function  $\phi(p)$  in the momentum representation. From general results,

$$\phi(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) \exp(-ipx/\hbar) dx,$$

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p) \exp(ipx/\hbar) dp.$$

- (a) The position and momentum operators  $\hat{Q}$  and  $\hat{P}$  acting on ket vectors are represented by different operators in these two representations, i.e., by the operators  $x_p$  and  $p_p$  in the position representation and by the operators  $x_m$  and  $p_m$  in the momentum representation. Thus  $x_p$  is the operator which, when acting on a wave function  $\psi(x)$ , multiplies it by  $x$ , and  $p_m$  is the operator which, when acting on a wave function  $\phi(p)$ , multiplies it by  $p$ :  $x_p\psi(x) = x\psi(x)$  and  $p_m\phi(p) = p\phi(p)$ . Likewise,

the ket vector  $\hat{P}|\psi\rangle$  is represented by the function  $p_p\psi(x)$  in the position representation and by the function  $p_m\phi(p)$  in the momentum representation. Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} p_p\psi(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [p_m\phi(p)] \exp(ipx/\hbar) dp \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p \phi(p) \exp(ipx/\hbar) dp \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p) \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dx} \exp(ipx/\hbar) dp \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p) \exp(ipx/\hbar) dp \\ &= -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the momentum operator in the position representation,  $p_p$ , is  $-i\hbar d/dx$ . Show that the position operator in the momentum representation,  $x_m$ , is  $i\hbar d/dp$ .

- (b) Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi(x) \right] \exp(-ipx/\hbar) dx \\ = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} p^2 \phi(p). \end{aligned}$$

[Hint: Integrate by parts, assuming that  $\psi(x)$  and its derivatives go to zero for  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ .]

Hence, show that if

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2\psi}{dx^2} + V(x)\psi(x) = E\psi(x), \quad (4)$$

then

$$\frac{p^2}{2m} \phi(p) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{V}(p - p') \phi(p') dp' = E\phi(p)$$

with

$$\tilde{V}(p - p') = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(x) \exp[-i(p - p')x/\hbar] dx.$$

This result shows that a Schrödinger equation such as Eq. (4), which is a differential equation, becomes an integral equation in the momentum representation (i.e., an equation relating the dependent variable,  $\phi(p)$  here, to an integral involving that function).

## Problem 3

Let  $\hat{A}' = \hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^\dagger$ , where  $\hat{U}$  is a unitary operator.

- (a) Show that the operator  $\hat{A}'$  is Hermitian if the operator  $\hat{A}$  is Hermitian.
- (b) Show that the operators  $\hat{A}'$  and  $\hat{A}$  have the same eigenvalues.