

Doubly Fed Induction Generator for Wind Energy Conversion Systems with Integrated Active Filter Capabilities

N K Swami Naidu, *Student Member, IEEE*, and Bhim Singh, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract —This paper deals with the operation of Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) with an integrated active filter capabilities using Grid Side Converter (GSC). The main contribution of this work lies in the control of GSC for supplying harmonics in addition to its slip power transfer. The Rotor Side Converter (RSC) is used for attaining maximum power extraction and to supply required reactive power to DFIG. This Wind Energy Conversion System (WECS) works as a Static Compensator (STATCOM) for supplying harmonics even when the wind turbine is in shut down condition. Control algorithms of both GSC and RSC are presented in detail. Proposed DFIG based WECS is simulated using MATLAB / Simulink. A prototype of a proposed DFIG based WECS is developed using a DSP (Digital Signal Processor). Simulated results are validated with test results of the developed DFIG for different practical conditions such as variable wind speed and unbalanced/single phase loads.

Index Terms— DFIG, WECS, Nonlinear load, Integrated Active Filter, Power Quality.

NOMENCLATURE

v_{ab} , v_{bc} , v_{ca}	Three phase stator voltages
i_{sa} , i_{sb} , i_{sc}	Three phase stator currents
i_{ra} , i_{rb} , i_{rc}	Three phase rotor currents
i_{ga} , i_{gb} , i_{gc}	Three phase grid currents
i_{la} , i_{lb} , i_{lc}	Three phase load currents
i_{gscav} , i_{gscb} , i_{gscc}	Three phase GSC currents
i_{dr}	Direct axis rotor current
i_{qr}	Quadrature axis rotor current
\underline{i}_{ld}	Direct axis load current
\underline{i}_{ld}	Direct axis fundamental load current
P_g	Active power fed to the grid
Q_g	Reactive power fed to the grid
P_s	Stator active power
Q_s	Stator reactive power

Manuscript received August 18, 2014. Accepted for publication April 7, 2015.

Copyright (c) 2009 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to use this material for any other purposes must be obtained from the IEEE by sending a request to pubs-permissions@ieee.org.

Bhim Singh and N. K. Swami Naidu are with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi-110016, INDIA (e-mail: bsingh@ee.iitd.ac.in, nkswaminaidu@gmail.com).

Q_s	Stator reactive power
P_l	Load active power
Q_l	Load reactive power
P_{gsc}	GSC active power
Q_{gsc}	GSC reactive power
v_w	Wind speed in m/sec
ω_r	Rotor speed in rad/sec
ω_r^*	Reference rotor speed in rad/sec
θ_e	Angle of grid voltage vector
θ_r	Electrical rotor angle from encoder
v_{dc}	DC link voltage

I. INTRODUCTION

WITH the increase in population and industrialization, the energy demand has increased significantly. However, the conventional energy sources such as coal, oil and gas are limited in nature. Now there is a need for renewable energy sources for the future energy demand [1]. The other main advantages of this renewable sources are eco-friendliness and unlimited in nature [2]. Due to the technical advancements, the cost of the wind power produced is comparable with that of conventional power plants. So the wind energy is the most preferred out of all renewable energy sources [3]. In the initial days, wind turbines have been used as fixed speed wind turbines with squirrel cage induction generator and capacitor banks. Most of the wind turbines are fixed speed because of their simplicity and low cost [4]. By observing wind turbine characteristics, one can clearly identify that for extracting maximum power, the machine should run at varying rotor speeds at different wind speeds. By using modern power electronic converters, the machine is able to run at adjustable speeds [5]. So these variable speed wind turbines are able to improve the wind energy production [6]. Out of all variable speed wind turbines, Doubly Fed Induction Generators (DFIGs) are preferred because of their low cost [7]. The other advantages of this DFIG are the higher energy output, lower converter rating and better utilization of generators [8]. These DFIGs also provide good damping performance for the weak grid [9]. Independent control of active and reactive power is achieved by the decoupled vector control algorithm presented in [10-11]. This vector control of such system is usually realized in synchronously rotating reference frame oriented in

either voltage axis or flux axis. In this work, the control of Rotor Side Converter (RSC) is implemented in voltage oriented reference frame.

Grid code requirements for the grid connection and operation of wind farms are discussed in [12]. Response of DFIG based WECS to grid disturbance is compared with the fixed speed WECS in [13]. As the wind penetration in the grid becoming significant, the use of variable speed WECS for supplementary jobs such as power smoothening and harmonic mitigation are compulsory in addition to its power generation. This power smoothening is achieved by including super magnetic energy storage systems is proposed in [14]. The other auxiliary services such as reactive power requirement and transient stability limit are achieved by including Static Compensator (STATCOM) in [15]. A DSTATCOM (Distribution Static Compensator) coupled with fly-wheel energy storage system is used at the wind farm for mitigating harmonics and frequency disturbances [16]. However, the authors have used two more extra converters for this purpose. A super capacitor energy storage system at the DC link of unified power quality conditioner (UPQC) is proposed in [17] for improving power quality and reliability. In all above methods [15-17], authors have used separate converters for compensating the harmonics and also for controlling the reactive power. But in later stages, some of the researchers have modified the control algorithms of already existed DFIG converters for mitigating the power quality problems and reactive power compensation [18-26]. The harmonics compensation and reactive power control are achieved with the help of existing rotor side converter (RSC) [18-23]. Therefore, harmonics are injected from the RSC into the rotor windings. This creates losses and noise in the machine. These different harmonics in rotating part may also create mechanical unbalance. Moreover, both reactive power compensation and harmonic compensation are achieved in all these methods by using RSC control. These methods increase the RSC rating. In [24-25], harmonic compensation and reactive power control are done by using GSC. So the harmonics are not passing through machine windings in all these cases. Todeschini et. al. [26] have compared three different control algorithms and finally concluded that combined modulation of both RSC and GSC are needed for compensating the harmonics and controlling the reactive power. However, authors have used direct current control of GSC. So harmonic compensation is not so effective and Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) is not less than 5% as per IEEE-519 standard given in Table-I. Authors have also not verified simulation results experimentally. An indirect current control technique is simple and shows better performance for eliminating harmonics as compared to direct current control [27-30].

In this work, a new control algorithm for GSC is proposed for compensating harmonics produced by nonlinear loads by using an indirect current control. RSC is used for controlling the reactive power of DFIG. The other main advantage of proposed DFIG, is that it works as an active filter even when the wind turbine is in shut down condition. So it compensates load reactive power and harmonics at wind turbine stalling case. Both simulation and experimental performances of

proposed integrated active filter based DFIG are presented in this work. The dynamic performance of proposed DFIG is also demonstrated for varying wind speeds and changes in unbalanced nonlinear loads at PCC (Point of Common Coupling).

II. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION AND OPERATING PRINCIPLE

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of proposed DFIG based WECS with integrated active filter capabilities. In DFIG, the stator is directly connected to the grid as shown in Fig. 1. Two back to back connected Voltage Source Converters (VSCs) are placed between the rotor and the grid. Nonlinear loads are connected at PCC as shown in Fig. 1. The proposed DFIG works as an active filter in addition to the active power generation similar to normal DFIG. Harmonics generated by the nonlinear load connected at the PCC distort the PCC voltage. These nonlinear load harmonic currents are mitigated by GSC control, so that the stator and grid currents are harmonic free. RSC is controlled for achieving MPPT and also for making unity power factor at the stator side by using voltage oriented reference frame. Synchronous reference frame (SRF) control method is used for extracting the fundamental component of load currents for the GSC control.

III. DESIGN OF DFIG BASED WECS

Selection of ratings of VSCs and DC link voltage is very much important for the successful operation of WECS. The ratings of DFIG and DC machine used in this experimental system are given in Appendix. In this section, detailed design of VSCs and DC link voltage is discussed for the experimental system used in the laboratory.

A. Selection of DC Link Voltage

Normally, the DC link voltage of VSC must be greater than twice the peak of maximum phase voltage. The selection of DC link voltage depends on both rotor voltage and PCC voltage. While considering from the rotor side, the rotor voltage is slip times the stator voltage. DFIG used in this prototype has stator to rotor turns ratio as 2:1. Normally, the DFIG operating slip is ± 0.3 . So the rotor voltage is always less than the PCC voltage. So the design criteria for the selection of DC link voltage can be achieved by considering only PCC voltage. While considering from the GSC side, the PCC line voltage (v_{ab}) is 230 V as the machine is connected in delta mode.

So the DC link voltage is estimated as [31],

$$V_{dc} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3} * m} V_{ab} \quad (1)$$

where V_{ab} is the line voltage at the PCC. Maximum modulation index is selected as 1 for linear range. The value of DC link voltage (V_{dc}) by (1) is estimated as 375 V. Hence, it is selected as 375 V.

B. Selection of VSC Rating

The DFIG draws a lagging volt-ampere reactive (VAR) for its excitation to build the rated air gap voltage. It is calculated from the machine parameters that the lagging VAR of 2




Fig. 1. Proposed System Configuration.

kVAR is needed when it is running as a motor. In DFIG case, the operating speed range is 0.7 p.u to 1.3 p.u. So the maximum slip (s_{\max}) is 0.3. For making unity power factor at the stator side, reactive power of 600 VAR ($S_{\max} \cdot Q_s = 0.3 \cdot 2$ kVAR) is needed from the rotor side ($Q_{r\max}$). The maximum rotor active power is ($S_{\max} \cdot P$). The power rating of the DFIG is 5 kW. So the maximum rotor active power ($P_{r\max}$) is 1.5kW ($0.3 \cdot 5$ kW=1.5 kW). So the rating of the VSC used as RSC, S_{rated} is given as,

$$S_{\text{rated}} = \sqrt{P_{r\max}^2 + Q_{r\max}^2} \quad (2)$$

Thus kVA rating of RSC, S_{rated} is calculated as 1.615 kVA.

C. Design of Interfacing Inductor

The design of interfacing inductors between GSC and PCC depends upon allowable GSC current limit (i_{gscpp}), DC link voltage and switching frequency of GSC. Maximum possible GSC line currents are used for the calculation. Maximum line current depends upon the maximum power and the line

voltage at GSC. The maximum possible power in the GSC is the slip power. In this case, the slip power is 1.5 kW. Line voltage (V_L) at the GSC is 230 V (the machine is connected in delta mode). So the line current is obtained as, $I_{gsc} = 1.5\text{kW}/(\sqrt{3} \cdot 230) = 3.765$ A. Considering the peak ripple current as 25% of rated GSC current. The inductor value is calculated as,

$$L_i = \frac{\sqrt{3}mv_{dc}}{12af_m \Delta i_{gsc}} = \frac{\sqrt{3} \times 1 \times 375}{12 \times 1.5 \times 10000 \times 0.25 \times 3.76} = 3.8\text{mH} \quad (3)$$

Interfacing inductor between PCC and GSC is selected as 4 mH.

IV. CONTROL STRATEGY

Control algorithms for both GSC and RSC are presented in this section. Complete control schematic is given in Fig. 2. The control algorithm for emulating wind turbine characteristics using DC machine and Type A chopper is also




Fig. 2. Control Algorithm of the proposed WECS.

shown in Fig. 2.

A. Control of RSC

The main purpose of RSC is to extract maximum power with independent control of active and reactive powers. Here, the RSC is controlled in voltage oriented reference frame. So the active and reactive powers are controlled by controlling direct and quadrature axis rotor currents (i_{dr} and i_{qr}) respectively. Direct axis reference rotor current is selected such that maximum power is extracted for a particular wind speed. This can be achieved by running the DFIG at a rotor speed for a particular wind speed. So the outer loop is selected as a speed controller for achieving direct axis reference rotor current (i_{dr}^*)

as,

$$i_{dr}^*(k) = i_{dr}^*(k-1) + k_{pd} \{ \omega_{er}(k) - \omega_{er}(k-1) \} + k_{id} \omega_{er}(k) \quad (4)$$

where the speed error (ω_{er}) is obtained by subtracting sensed speed (ω_r) from the reference speed (ω_r^*). k_{pd} and k_{id} are the proportional and integral constants of the speed controller. $\omega_{er}(k)$ and $\omega_{er}(k-1)$ are the speed errors at k^{th} and $(k-1)^{th}$ instants. $i_{dr}^*(k)$ and $i_{dr}^*(k-1)$ are the direct axis rotor reference current at k^{th} and $(k-1)^{th}$ instants. Reference rotor speed (ω_r^*) is estimated by optimal tip speed ratio control for a particular wind speed.

The tuning of PI controllers used in both RSC and GSC are achieved by using Ziegler Nichols method. Initially k_{id} value is set to zero and increase the value of k_{pd} until the response starts oscillating with a period of T_i . Now the value of k_{pd} is taken as 0.45 k_{pd} and k_{id} is taken as 1.2 k_{pd}/T_i .

Normally, the quadrature axis reference rotor current (i_{qr}^*) is selected such that the stator reactive power (Q_s) is made zero. In this DFIG, quadrature axis reference rotor current (i_{qr}^*) is selected for injecting the required reactive power.

Inner current control loops are taken for control of actual direct and quadrature axis rotor currents (i_{dr} and i_{qr}) close to the direct and quadrature axis reference rotor currents (i_{dr}^* and i_{qr}^*). The rotor currents i_{dr} and i_{qr} are calculated from the sensed rotor currents (i_{ra} , i_{rb} and i_{rc}) as [32],

$$i_{dr} = 2/3 \left[i_{ra} \sin \theta_{slip} + i_{rb} \sin(\theta_{slip} - 2\pi/3) + i_{rc} \sin(\theta_{slip} + 2\pi/3) \right] \quad (5)$$

$$i_{qr} = 2/3 \left[i_{ra} \cos \theta_{slip} + i_{rb} \cos(\theta_{slip} - 2\pi/3) + i_{rc} \cos(\theta_{slip} + 2\pi/3) \right] \quad (6)$$

Where slip angle (θ_{slip}) is calculated as,

$$\theta_{slip} = \theta_e - \theta_r \quad (7)$$

where, θ_e is calculated from PLL for aligning rotor currents into voltage axis. The rotor position (θ_r) is achieved with an encoder.

Direct and quadrature axis rotor voltages (v_{dr}' and v_{qr}') are obtained from direct and quadrature axis rotor current errors (i_{der} , i_{qr}) as,

$$v_{dr}'(k) = v_{dr}'(k-1) + k_{pd} \{ i_{der}(k) - i_{der}(k-1) \} + k_{id} i_{der}(k) \quad (8)$$

$$v_{qr}'(k) = v_{qr}'(k-1) + k_{pqv} \{ i_{qr}(k) - i_{qr}(k-1) \} + k_{iqv} i_{qr}(k) \quad (9)$$

$$\text{where, } i_{der} = i_{dr}^* - i_{dr} \text{ and } i_{qr} = i_{qr}^* - i_{qr} \quad (10)$$

where k_{pd} , k_{id} are the proportional and integral gains of direct axis current controller. k_{pqv} , k_{iqv} are the proportional and integral gains of quadrature axis current controller.

Direct and quadrature components are decoupled by adding some compensating terms as [26],

$$v_{dr}^* = v_{dr}' + (\omega_e - \omega_r) \sigma L_r i_{qr} \quad (11)$$

$$v_{qr}^* = v_{qr}' - (\omega_e - \omega_r) (L_m i_{ms} + \sigma L_r i_{dr}) \quad (12)$$

These reference direct and quadrature voltages (v_{dr}^* , v_{qr}^*) are converted into three phase reference rotor voltages (v_{ra}^* , v_{rb}^* , v_{rc}^*) as [32],

$$v_{ra}^* = v_{dr}^* \sin \theta_{slip} + v_{qr}^* \cos \theta_{slip} \quad (13)$$

$$v_{rb}^* = v_{dr}^* \sin(\theta_{slip} - 2\pi/3) + v_{qr}^* \cos(\theta_{slip} - 2\pi/3) \quad (14)$$

$$v_{rc}^* = v_{dr}^* \sin(\theta_{slip} + 2\pi/3) + v_{qr}^* \cos(\theta_{slip} + 2\pi/3) \quad (15)$$

These three phase rotor reference voltages (v_{ra}^* , v_{rb}^* , v_{rc}^*) are compared with triangular carrier wave of fixed switching frequency for generating Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) signals for the RSC.

B. Control of GSC

The novelty of this work lies in the control of this GSC for mitigating the harmonics produced by the nonlinear loads. The control block diagram of GSC is shown in Fig. 2. Here an indirect current control is applied on the grid currents for making them sinusoidal and balanced. So this GSC supplies the harmonics for making grid currents sinusoidal and balanced. These grid currents are calculated by subtracting the load currents from the summation of stator currents and GSC currents. Active power component of GSC current is obtained by processing the DC link voltage error (v_{dce}) between reference and estimated DC link voltage (V_{dc}^* and V_{dc}) through PI controller as,

$$i_{gsc}^*(k) = i_{gsc}^*(k-1) + k_{pdc} \{ v_{dce}(k) - v_{dce}(k-1) \} + k_{idc} v_{dce}(k) \quad (16)$$

where k_{pdc} and k_{idc} are proportional and integral gains of DC link voltage controller. $V_{dce}(k)$ and $V_{dce}(k-1)$ are DC link voltage errors at k^{th} and $(k-1)^{th}$ instants. $i_{gsc}^*(k)$ and $i_{gsc}^*(k-1)$ are active power component of GSC current at k^{th} and $(k-1)^{th}$ instants.

Active power component of stator current (i_{ds}) is obtained from the sensed stator currents (i_{sa} , i_{sb} and i_{sc}) using abc to dq transformation as [32],

$$i_{ds} = 2/3 \left[i_{sa} \sin \theta_e + i_{sb} \sin(\theta_e - 2\pi/3) + i_{sc} \sin(\theta_e + 2\pi/3) \right] \quad (17)$$

Fundamental active load current (\bar{i}_{ld}) is obtained using SRF theory [33]. Instantaneous load currents (i_{labc}) and the value of phase angle from EPLL are used for converting the load currents in to synchronously rotating dq frame (\bar{i}_{ld}). In synchronously rotating frames, fundamental frequency

currents are converted into DC quantities and all other harmonics are converted into non-DC quantities with a frequency shift of 50 Hz. DC values of load currents in synchronously rotating dq frame (\dot{i}_{ld}) are extracted using low pass filter (LPF).

Direct axis component of reference grid current (i_{gd}^*) is obtained from the direct axis current of stator current (i_{ds}) and load current (\dot{i}_{ld}) in synchronously rotating frame and the loss component of GSC current (i_{gsc}^*) as,

$$i_{gd}^* = i_{gsc}^* + i_{ds} - \dot{i}_{ld} \quad (18)$$

Quadrature axis component of reference grid current (i_{gq}^*) is selected as zero for not to draw any reactive power from grid.

Reference grid currents (i_{ga}^* , i_{gb}^* and i_{gc}^*) are calculated from the direct and quadrature axis grid currents (i_{gd}^* , i_{gq}^*) [32]. The hysteresis current controller is used to generate switching pulses for the GSC. The hysteresis controller is a feedback current control where sensed current tracks the reference current within a hysteresis band (i_{hb}) [34]. At every sampling instant, the actual current (i_{gabc}) is compared with the reference current (i_{gabc}^*) as,

$$\Delta i_{gabc} = i_{gabc}^* - i_{gabc} \quad (19)$$

when $\Delta i_{gabc} > i_{hb}$, lower switch is turned on

when $\Delta i_{gabc} < -i_{hb}$, upper switch is turned on

By using these equations, gating pulses for three phases of GSC are generated in the same way.

V. EXPERIMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATING SEQUENCE

A prototype of the DFIG based WECS with integrated active filter capabilities is developed using DSP (dSPACE DS1103) in the laboratory. A photograph of prototype is shown in Fig. 3. In this experimental system, DFIG is coupled with a DC machine. Wind turbine characteristics are emulated using Type A chopper and a DC machine. The DC machine flux is made constant by keeping the field voltage constant. So the torque of the machine is controlled by controlling the armature current. The torque of the DC machine is selected from the wind turbine characteristics for a particular wind speed and the rotor speed. The armature current is calculated from the demanded torque using flux constant (k_ϕ). The duty ratio of the chopper is obtained from the current controller.

Initially the stator of the DFIG is kept isolated from the grid using switch S1 and the DC machine runs at constant speed by giving fixed duty ratio to the chopper. The GSC is controlled for maintaining the voltage at the DC link. Initially this GSC works like a simple active filter for supplying the reactive power and harmonics of the local nonlinear loads. Now this RSC is made on for making the voltage of the DFIG same as the grid voltage by adjusting the reactive component of rotor current (i_{qr}). An active power component of rotor current (i_{dr}) is made zero for making sure that the stator voltage and the grid voltage are in same phase. Now the switch S1 is made on. The control of DC machine is changed from fixed duty ratio mode to wind turbine mode. Still as there is no active power flow from DFIG to grid, the speed of the machine ramps to

maximum depending upon the inertia of the machine. Now the speed controller is activated. So the machine speed settles to the reference speed and the active power is fed to the grid.




Fig. 3. Photograph of a prototype of DFIG system

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Both simulated and experimental results are presented in this section for validating steady state and dynamic performances of this proposed DFIG with integrated active filter capabilities. In this section, the working of this proposed GSC is presented as an active filter even when the wind turbine is in shut down condition. The power that is coming into the PCC through GSC is considered as positive in this paper.

A. Steady State Performance of DFIG Based WECS with Integrated Active Filter Capabilities

The simulated performance of this proposed DFIG is presented at a 10.6 m/sec wind speed as shown in Fig. 4. As the proposed DFIG is operating at MPPT, the reference speed of the DFIG is selected as 1750 rpm. The load currents are observed to be nonlinear in nature. The GSC is supplying required harmonics currents to the load for making grid currents (i_{gabc}) and stator currents (i_{sabc}) balanced and sinusoidal. Fig. 4 also presents the stator power (P_s), GSC power (P_{gsc}), load power (P_l) and grid power (P_g). At above synchronous speed, the power flow is from the GSC to PCC, so the GSC power is shown as positive. Total power produced by the DFIG is the sum of stator power (P_s) and GSC power (P_{gsc}). After feeding power to the load (P_l), the remaining power is fed to the grid (P_g). Figs. 5 (a-d) show harmonic spectra and waveforms of grid current (i_{ga}), load current (i_{la}), stator current (i_{sa}) and grid voltage (v_{ga}) respectively. From these harmonic spectra, one can understand that grid current and stator current THDs are less than 5% as per IEEE-519 standard [35] limits given in Table-I.

Fig. 6 shows test results by performing tests on the developed prototype at a fixed wind speed of 10.6 m/sec. These test results are observed similar to simulated results.

Fig. 7 shows simulated results of GSC working as an active filter even when the wind turbine is in stall condition. Here, stator currents are zero as there is no power production from the DFIG. The load power is supplied from the grid. So the grid power (P_g) is observed to be negative. Now this GSC supplies harmonics currents and reactive power. So the reactive power taken from the grid (Q_g) is observed to be zero. Grid currents are observed to be balanced and sinusoidal even

load currents are nonlinear. Fig. 8 shows harmonic spectra of load current and grid current. Even the load current THD is very high, grid current THD is under a limit of IEEE-519 standard.




Fig. 4. Simulated performance of the proposed DFIG based WECS at fixed wind speed of 10.6 m/sec (rotor speed of 1750 rpm).




Fig. 5. Simulated waveform and harmonic spectra of (a) grid current (i_{ga}), (b) load current (i_{la}), (c) stator current (i_{sa}) and (d) grid voltage for phase 'a' (v_{ga}) at fixed wind speed of 10.6 m/sec (rotor speed of 1750 rpm).

Fig. 9 shows test results when GSC is working as an active filter when DFIG is in stall condition. These test results are also observed similar to simulation results. Figs. 9 (a-c) show the grid current (i_{ga}), load current (i_{la}), GSC current (i_{gsc}) with

line voltage (v_{ab}). Grid current (i_{ga}) THD is observed to be less than 5% even in test results.




Fig. 6. Performance of the proposed DFIG based WECS at fixed wind speed of 10.6 m/sec (rotor speed of 1750 rpm) (a) v_{ab} , i_{ga} , (b) v_{ab} , i_{sa} , (c) v_{ab} , i_{la} , (d) v_{ab} , i_{gsc} , (e) P_g , (f) P_s , (g) P_l , (h) P_{GSC} , harmonic spectra of (i) i_{Ga} , (j) i_{sa} , (k) i_{la} , (l) v_{ab} .




Fig. 7. Simulated performance of the proposed DFIG based WECS working as a STATCOM at zero wind speed.

B. Dynamic Performance of DFIG Based WECS with Integrated Active Filter Capabilities

Simulated and experimental performances of proposed DFIG based WECS are presented for the variation in wind speeds, sudden removal of one phase of local load and also sudden application of one phase of a local load.




Fig. 8. Simulated waveforms and harmonic spectra of (a) load current (i_{L_a}) and (b) grid current (i_{g_a}) working as a STATCOM at wind turbine shut down condition.




Fig. 9. Performance of the proposed DFIG based WECS working as a STATCOM at zero wind speed (a) v_{ab} , i_{ga} , (b) v_{ab} , i_{la} , (c) v_{ab} , i_{gsc} , (d) P_g , (e) P_i , (f) P_{GSC} , harmonic spectra of (g) i_{la} , (h) i_{ga} , (i) v_{ab} .

Fig. 10 shows simulation results for a decrease in wind speed. The reference speed (ω_{ref}) is decreased with the decrease in wind speed (v_w) for achieving MPPT operation. The actual rotor speed of the DFIG (ω_r) is also decreased with the reference speed. With the decrease in wind speed, the rotor speed (ω_r) of the DFIG is decreased from super-synchronous speed to the sub-synchronous speed and also the slip of the DFIG becomes positive from negative. So the power flow in the rotor is reversed. Previously the rotor supplies power through GSC into the grid. As the speed reaches below synchronous speed, the rotor starts taking power from GSC into the rotor. Therefore, the GSC power is becoming negative.

The load power is taken as constant in this case. At high wind speeds, the excess power is feeding to the grid after supplying to the local load. As the wind speed decreases, the power generated by the DFIG is not sufficient to feed local loads. Therefore, the load is supplied from the grid. So the grid power (P_g) reverses its direction. As the speed changes from

super-synchronous speed to the sub-synchronous speed, the change in the phase sequence of the rotor currents is observed.




Fig. 10. Simulated performance of proposed DFIG for fall in wind speed.

Figs. 11-12 show test results for demonstrating the dynamic performance of proposed DFIG for an increase and decrease in wind speed respectively. The direct axis component of rotor current (i_{dr}), which is responsible for the generation of active power needs to be increased with an increase in wind speed for extracting more power. As shown in Fig. 11(b), with an increase in wind speed, the direct axis rotor current (i_{dr}) is increasing so the stator active power (P_s) is also increasing. From Fig. 11(b), one can clearly observe that, quadrature axis rotor current (i_{qr}) is kept constant to keep stator reactive power (Q_s) zero. Therefore, the whole magnetizing current of the DFIG is supplied by the RSC. Hence, the stator is almost maintained at unity power factor by the RSC. Test results in Fig. 12 are observed to be similar to simulated results.

Fig. 13 shows simulated performance for demonstrating the load compensation capability of the proposed DFIG. This unbalanced load is emulated by suddenly removing one phase of a load. From the results one can clearly observe that, the stator and grid currents are observed to be balanced and sinusoidal even for the unbalanced load. Fig. 13 shows the dynamic performance of this proposed DFIG based WECS for the sudden removal and application of load on phase 'a'. Even for the unbalanced or single phase loads, the stator and grid currents are balanced and sinusoidal by compensating the phase 'a' current through GSC. GSC current (i_{gsc}) in phase 'a' is increased suddenly for balancing grid currents. An increase in grid currents (i_{gabc}) is observed as net load decreases with removal of one phase.




Fig. 11. Dynamic performance of DFIG for the rise in wind speed, (a) v_w , ω_r^* , ω_r and i_{dr} , (b) i_{dr} , i_{qr} , P_s , and Q_s , (c) P_s , P_{gsc} , P_l and P_g (d) ω_r^* , i_{ra} , i_{rb} and i_{rc} .




Fig. 12. Dynamic performance of DFIG based WECS for fall in wind speed, (a) v_w , ω_r^* , ω_r and i_{dr} , (b) i_{dr} , i_{qr} , P_s , and Q_s , (c) P_s , P_{gsc} , P_l and P_g (d) ω_r^* , i_{ra} , i_{rb} and i_{rc} .

Figs. 14-15 show test results of proposed DFIG for sudden removal and injection of load on phase ‘a’ respectively. Test results are observed to be almost similar to the simulated results.

VII. CONCLUSION

The GSC control algorithm of proposed DFIG has been modified for supplying the harmonics and reactive power of the local loads. In this proposed DFIG, the reactive power for the induction machine has been supplied from the RSC and the load reactive power has been supplied from the GSC. The decoupled control of both active and reactive powers have been achieved by RSC control. The proposed DFIG has also been verified at wind turbine stalling condition for compensating harmonics and reactive power of local loads. This proposed DFIG based WECS with an integrated active filter has been simulated using MATLAB/Simulink environment and simulated results are verified with test results of developed prototype of this WECS. Steady state performance of proposed DFIG has been demonstrated for a wind speed. Dynamic performance of this proposed GSC control algorithm has also been verified for the variation in the wind speeds and for local nonlinear load.




Fig. 13. Dynamic performance of DFIG based WECS for the sudden removal and application of local loads.

APPENDICES

- A. DFIG - 3.7kW, $R_s=1.32$ ohm, $L_{ls}=6.832\text{mH}$, $R_2=1.708$ ohm, $L_{lr}=6.832\text{mH}$, $R_c=419.646$ ohm, $L_m=0.219\text{H}$, $J = 0.1878 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{m}^2$, stator to rotor turns ratio $N_r/N_s=1/2$, stator rated rms current =12 A, rotor rated rms current =18 A.
 B. DC Machine - $R_a=1.3$ ohms, $R_f=220$ ohms, $L_a=7.2\text{mH}$, $L_f=7.5\text{mH}$, $K_\Phi=1.3314$.

- C. IEEE-519 limits [35]: current distortion limits for distribution system (120 V to 69000 V) in Table-I.
 D. Voltage Sensors: LEM made LV25P
 E. Current Sensors: LEM made LA25P
 F. GSC: IGBT three-leg Semikron inverter module SKM100GB128DN, $L_f = 4$ mH, 5 kVA star-delta transformer.
 G. RSC: IGBT three-leg Semikron inverter module SKM100GB128DN.
 H. Controller Gains
 Speed Controller: $k_{pd}=0.1$, $k_{id}=0.12$.
 Current controller; k_{pdv} , $k_{pqv}=100$, k_{idv} , $k_{iqv}=130$.
 DC link voltage controller: $k_{pdc} = 0.08$, $k_{idc}=0.02$.

TABLE-I [35]
 CURRENT DISTORTION LIMITS FOR GENERAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS IN TERMS OF INDIVIDUAL HARMONICS ORDER (ODD HARMONICS) [35]

I_s/I_L	<11	$11 \leq h \leq 17$	$17 \leq h \leq 23$	$23 \leq h \leq 35$	$35 \leq h$	TDD
< 20	4.0	2.0	1.5	0.6	0.3	5.0
$20 < 50$	7.0	3.5	2.5	1.0	0.5	8.0
$50 < 100$	10	4.5	4.0	1.5	0.7	12
$100 < 1000$	12	5.5	5.0	2.0	1.0	15.0
> 1000	15.0	7.0	6.0	2.5	1.4	20.0

Where maximum Harmonic Current Distortion is in Percent of I_L :
 I_s = maximum short-circuit current at PCC, I_L =maximum demand load current (fundamental frequency component) at PCC

REFERENCES

- [1] Digambar M. Tagare, *Electric power generation the changing dimensions*, IEEE Press, 2011, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- [2] G M Joselin Herbert, S Iniyani and D Amutha, “A review of technical issues on the development of wind farms”, *Renew Sustain Energy Rev*, pp.619–641,2014.
- [3] I Munteanu, A I Bratcu, N - A Cutululis and E Ceang, *Optimal Control of Wind Energy Systems Towards a Global Approach*, 2008 Springer-Verlag London Limited.
- [4] Abdullah Asuhaimi B. Mohd Zin, H. A. MahmoudPesaran, AzharB.Khairuddin, Leila Jahanshaloo and OmidShariati, “An overview on doubly fed induction generators controls and contributions to wind based electricity generation” *Renew and Sustain Energy Rev*, vol. 27, pp. 692–708, Nov. 2013.
- [5] S. S. Murthy, B. Singh, P. K. Goel and S. K. Tiwari, “A Comparative Study of Fixed Speed and Variable Speed Wind Energy Conversion Systems Feeding the Grid”, in *Proc. IEEE Conf. on Power Electronics & Drive Systems, 2007 PEDS ’07*, 27-30 Nov. 2007, pp. 736-743.
- [6] D. S. Zinger and E. Muljadi, “Annualized wind energy improvement using variable speeds,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1444-1447, Nov/Dec 1997.
- [7] H. Polinder, F. F. A. van der Pijl, G. J. de Vilder and P. J. Tavner “Comparison of direct-drive and geared generator concepts for wind turbines,” *IEEE Trans. Ener. Con.*, vol.21, no.3, pp.725-733, Sept. 2006.
- [8] R. Datta and V. T. Ranganathan, “variable-speed wind power generation using doubly fed wound rotor induction machine-a comparison with alternative schemes,” *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol.17, no.3, pp.414-421, Sep 2002.
- [9] E. Muljadi, C. P. Butterfield, B. Parsons and A Ellis, “Effect of Variable Speed Wind Turbine Generator on Stability of a Weak Grid,” *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 22, no.1, pp. 29-36, March 2007.
- [10] R. Pena, J. C. Clare and G.M Asher, “Doubly fed induction generator using back-to-back PWM converters and its application to variable-speed wind-energy generation,” *IEEE Proc. Electric Power Applications*, vol. 143, no. 3, pp. 231 – 241, May 1996.






Fig. 14. Dynamic performance of DFIG based WECS for the sudden removal of one phase of local load (a) i_{la} , i_{gsca} , i_{sa} , and i_{ga} .




(b)

Fig. 14. Dynamic performance of DFIG based WECS for the sudden removal of one phase of local load (a) i_{la} , i_{gsca} , i_{sa} , and i_{ga} . (b) i_{la} , i_{ga} , i_{gb} , and i_{gc} .



(a)



(b)

Fig. 15. Dynamic performance of DFIG based WECS for the sudden injection of one phase of local load, (a) i_{la} , i_{gsca} , i_{sa} , and i_{ga} . (b) i_{la} , i_{ga} , i_{gb} , and i_{gc} .

- [11] S. Muller, M. Deicke and R. W. De Doncker, "Doubly fed induction generator systems for wind turbines," *IEEE Ind. Appl. Magazine*, vol.8, no.3, pp.26-33, May/Jun 2002.
- [12] Wei Qiao and R. G. Harley, "Grid Connection Requirements and Solutions for DFIG Wind Turbines," *IEEE Energy 2030 Conference, 2008, ENERGY 2008*, 17-18 Nov. 2008, pp.1-8.
- [13] A Petersson, T. Thiringer, Lennart Harnefors and T. Petru, "Modeling and experimental verification of grid interaction of a DFIG wind turbine," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 878-886, Dec. 2005.
- [14] H.M. Hasanien, "A Set-Membership Affine Projection Algorithm-Based Adaptive-Controlled SMES Units for Wind Farms Output Power Smoothing," *IEEE Trans. Sustainable Energy*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1226-1233, Oct. 2014.
- [15] Z. Saad-Saoud, M. L. Lisboa, J. B. Ekanayake, N. Jenkins and G. Strbac, "Application of STATCOMs to wind farms," *IEE Proc. Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, vol. 145, no. 5, pp. 511-516, Sep. 1998.
- [16] G. O. Suvire and P. E. Mercado, "Combined control of a distribution static synchronous compensator/flywheel energy storage system for wind energy applications," *IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution*, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 483-492, June 2012.
- [17] D. Somayajula and M. L. Crow, "An Ultra capacitor Integrated Power Conditioner for Intermittency Smoothing and Improving Power Quality of Distribution Grid," *IEEE Trans. Sustainable Energy*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1145-1155, Oct. 2014.
- [18] M. T. Abolhassani, P. Enjeti, Hamid Toliat, "Integrated Doubly Fed Electric Alternator/Active Filter (IDEA), a Viable Power Quality Solution, for Wind Energy Conversion Systems," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 642-650, June 2008.
- [19] A. Gaillard, P. Poure and S. Saadate, "Active filtering capability of WECS with DFIG for grid power quality improvement", *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Ind. Electron.*, Jun. 30, 2008, pp. 2365 -2370.
- [20] A. Gaillard, P. Poure and S. Saadate, "Reactive power compensation and active filtering capability of WECS with DFIG without any overrating," *Wind Energy*, vol. 13, pp. 603-614, 2009.
- [21] M. Boutoubat, L. Mokrani, and M. Machmoum, "Control of a wind energy conversion system equipped by a DFIG for active power generation and power quality improvement," *Renewable Energy*, vol. 50, pp. 378-386, Feb 2013.
- [22] A.Ejlali and D. Arab Khaburi, "Power quality improvement using nonlinear-load compensation capability of variable speed DFIG based on DPC-SVM method," *2014 5th Power Electronics, Drive Systems and Technologies Conference (PEDSTC)*, 5-6 Feb. 2014, pp. 280-284.
- [23] Boutoubat, Mohamed, Lakhda Mokrani, and Mohamed Machmoum, "Full Capability of Harmonic Current Mitigation for a Wind Energy System," *Electric Power Comp. &Systems*, vol. 42, no. 15, pp.1743-1753, 2009.
- [24] E. Tremblay, A Chandra and P. J. Lagace, "Grid-side converter control of DFIG wind turbines to enhance power quality of distribution network," *2006 IEEE PES General Meeting*, pp. 6.
- [25] E. Tremblay, S. Atayde and A. Chandra, "Direct power control of a DFIG-based WECS with active filter capabilities," *2009 IEEE Electrical Power & Energy Conference (EPEC)*, 22-23 Oct. 2009, pp.1-6.
- [26] G. Todeschini and A. E. Emanuel, "Wind energy conversion system as an active filter: Design and comparison of three control systems," *IET Renewable Power Generat.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 341-353, Jul. 2010.
- [27] B. N. Singh, A Chandra and K. Al-Haddad, "Performance comparison of two current control techniques applied to an active filter," *8th International Conference on Harmonics and Quality of Power Proceedings*, 1998, vol. 1, 14-18 Oct. 1998, pp.133-138.
- [28] B. N. Singh, A. Chandra and K. Al-Haddad, "DSP-based indirect-current-controlled STATCOM. I. Evaluation of current control techniques," *IEE Proc. Electric Power Applns.*, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 107-112, Mar 2000.
- [29] B. N. Singh, A. Chandra and K. Al-Haddad, "DSP-based indirect-current-controlled STATCOM. II. Multifunctional capabilities," *IEE Proc. Electric Power Applns.*, vol. 147, no. 2, pp. 113-118, Mar 2000.

- [30] B. N. Singh, B. Singh, A. Chandra, P. Rastgoufard and K. Al-Haddad, "An Improved Control Algorithm for Active Filters," *IEEE Trans. Power Delivery*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 1009-1020, April 2007.
- [31] S Sharma and B Singh, "Voltage and frequency control of asynchronous generator for stand-alone wind power generation," *IET Power Electronics*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 816-826, Aug. 2011.
- [32] G. Abad, Ló , J. Pez, Rodrí, M. Guez, L. Marroyo and G. Iwanski, *Doubly Fed Induction Machine: Modeling and Control for Wind Energy Generation Applications*, Wiley-IEEE Press, 2011.
- [33] B. Singh and J. Solanki, "A Comparison of Control Algorithms for DSTATCOM," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Elect.*, vol. 56, no. 7, pp. 2738-2745, July 2009.
- [34] Bimal K. Bose, *Modern Power Electronics and AC Drives*, 4th ed., New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2007, Chap. 5.
- [35] IEEE Recommended Practices and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electrical Power Systems, IEEE 519-1992.



Dr. Bhim Singh received the Bachelor of Engineering (Electrical) degree from the University of Roorkee, Roorkee, India, in 1977, and M.Tech. (Power Apparatus and Systems) and Ph.D. degrees from the Indian Institute of Technology Delhi (IITD), New Delhi, India, in 1979 and 1983, respectively.

In 1983, he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Roorkee, as a Lecturer. He became a Reader there in 1988. In

December 1990, he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Delhi, India, as an Assistant Professor. Where he has became an Associate Professor in 1994 and a Professor in 1997. He has been ABB Chair Professor from September 2007 to September 2012. Since October 2012, he is a CEA Chair Professor.

He has received Khosla Research Prize of University of Roorkee in the year 1991. He is recipient of JC Bose and Bimal K Bose awards of The Institution of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineers (IETE) for his contribution in the field of Power Electronics. He is also a recipient of Maharashtra State National Award of Indian Society for Technical Education (ISTE) in recognition of his outstanding research work in the area of Power Quality. He has received PES Delhi Chapter Outstanding Engineer Award for the year 2006. Professor Singh has also received Khosla National Research Award of IIT Roorkee in the year 2013.

He has been the General Chair of the IEEE International Conference on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES'2006), Co-General Chair of (PEDES'2010) held in New Delhi. He has guided 45 Ph.D. dissertations 139 ME/M.Tech. theses and 60 BE/B.Tech. projects. He has been granted one US patent and filed twelve Indian patents. He has executed more than sixty sponsored and consultancy projects.

His fields of interest include power electronics, electrical machines, electric drives, power quality, renewable energy, FACTS (flexible ac transmission systems), HVDC (High voltage direct current) transmission systems.

Prof. Singh is a Fellow of The Indian National Science Academy (FNA), the Indian National Academy of Engineering (FNAE), , The National Academy of Science, India (FNASC), The Indian Academy of Sciences, India (FASc), The World Academy of Sciences (FTWAS), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (FIEEE), the Institute of Engineering and Technology (FIET), Institution of Engineers (India) (FIE), and Institution of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineers (FIETE) and a Life Member of the Indian Society for Technical Education (ISTE), System Society of India (SSI), and National Institution of Quality and Reliability (NIQR).



N K Swami Naidu received the B.Tech. from JNTU, Hyderabad, India, in 2007 and the M.Tech. degree from National Institute of Technology Kurukshetra, India in 2009. He finished his Ph.D. degree at Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi, India in 2015. Presently he is working as a Research Fellow in Nanyang Technological University, Singapore. His research interests are power electronics, wind energy conversion systems, power quality and microgrid based power systems.