

# Cohort scribbles

Cohort consortium

in progress

## Continuous-time SIR

We have an initial cohort of infectees  $I_0$ , a recovery rate  $V$  (analogous to SIR  $\gamma$ ), and a transmission factor  $F$  (analogous to SIR  $\beta$ ). These are all scalars.  $I_0$  is conventionally set to 1 and ignored. We are keeping it here because it might be a vector later.

The cohort of remaining infectees at age of infection  $\tau$  is given by

$$I(\tau) = I_0 \exp(-V\tau).$$

The generated force of infection is

$$\Lambda(\tau) = FI_0 \exp(-V\tau).$$

and the next cohort is given by the integral:

$$I' = FI_0/V$$

The reproductive number  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is

$$I'/I_0 = F/V$$

and the renewal kernel is

$$k(\tau) = \Lambda(\tau)/(\mathcal{R}_0 I_0) = V \exp(-V\tau),$$

with moments

$$k_n = \Gamma(n+1)/V^n.$$

In particular, the mean  $mu_k = k_1 = 1/V$ , and the squared CV  $\kappa_k = k_2/k_1^2 - 1 = 1$ .

## Continuous-time with compartments

The initial cohort of infectees  $I_0$  is now an  $i \times 1$  column vector, where  $i$  is the number of initial-infection boxes. To model flow through the  $c$  infected compartments (containing individuals who can infect without infecting again), we multiply by a  $c \times i$  “expansion matrix”  $X$ , with 1s on the main diagonal and 0s elsewhere.

NB: We don’t really need  $X$  if we’re willing to just put a lot of extra zeroes into  $F$ , which I guess is the more standard way to do it.

$V$  is now a  $c \times c$  open flow matrix, with outflows representing recovery.

The cohort of infectees at age of infection  $\tau$  is now

$$I(\tau) = \exp(-V\tau)XI_0,$$

basically the same as above, but with the correct linear-algebra book-keeping.

The generated force of infection is based on a  $i \times c$  transmission matrix ( $F$ ), describing how each of the infected compartments moves individuals into the initial-infection compartments, so

$$\Lambda(\tau) = F \exp(-V\tau)XI_0.$$

and the next-generation integral is:

$$I' = FV^{-1}XI_0 = GI_0,$$

where  $G = FV^{-1}X$  is an next-generation operator, and  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is the dominant eigenvalue, with associated eigenvector  $I^*$ .

## Taking stock

None of the above is new.

Does it give a good way to think about or calculate things? We can straightforwardly extend our formal calculation to the kernel when  $i = 1$ , even if  $c > 1$ , but I guess there’s a sensible way to do it with right eigenvectors when  $c > 1$ . Note that this would involve *defining* the mean kernel in a non-obvious multi-compartment case. We could also explore the circumstances under which you *don’t* need to do that (e.g., if there are different tracks ( $i > 1$ ), but they are synchronized (the only difference is how the groups interact with each other, not the timing).

## Future

I expect whatever we do in continuous time to be translatable to discrete time. I was thinking of staying on this side until the concepts are ironed out, since it seems likely that the algebra is simpler. On the other hand, I could imagine switching to the discrete side if things get confusing in a way that makes us want to test ourselves with simple simulations (or with MacPan itself).