R c-SignIssue

This is a translated version based on Todd's notes.

We are able to describe the result with a short ODE and being able to solve it numerically together with ODE system of MSV framework.

We would like to compute:

 $p(t) = \mathbb{P}\{\text{the focal infected node infected at time } t \text{ infect random one of its neighbour}\}$

- As in MSV framework, we assume infection of neighbors are independent, thus the number of infected neighbors follows binomial distribution. Follow the idea of Zhao2 result/derivation:
 - We count the condition that the neighbor must be susceptible $\phi_S(t)$ in p(t) s.t.

$$\mu(t) = p(t)$$

• Furthermore, correspond to \mathcal{R}_c^* , we have

$$\mathcal{R}_c(t) = \mu(t) imes (\mathbb{E}[K_I^*] - 1) = p(t) imes \phi(t) rac{G_p''(\phi(t))}{G_p'(\phi(t))}$$

- Initially at t=0, there should be no competing infection among neighbors, all neighbors of focal infected node can only be infected by the focal node.
 - This leads to

$$p(0) = au = rac{eta}{eta + \gamma}$$

as initial value of p(t).

• Furthermore, this agree with the initial value for \mathcal{R}_c , s.t.

$$\mathcal{R}_c(0) = \mathcal{R}_c^*(0) = \mathcal{R}_{c,0} = rac{eta}{eta + \gamma} imes rac{G_p''(1)}{\delta}$$

To find p(t) in the stochastic process, we observe that the focal infected node will infect its neighbor:

- if the focal node makes an infectious contact with the neighbor under rate β before the focal node's recovery under rate γ
- AND the neighbor is not infected (by the neighbor's neighbor other than the focal) at the time of contact by focal.

With the MSV framework, the probability that a randomly chosen neighbor of the focal node is not infected at time t is

$$\phi_S(t) = G_q(\phi(t)) = rac{G_p'(\phi(t))}{\delta}$$

In the random events, lets set the following random variables for time:

• T_r : the time after infection t that the focal infected node recovers. Based on the recovery rate γ and exponential distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T_r > s) = e^{-\gamma}$$

• T_c : the time after infection t that the focal node makes it infectious contact with the neighbor through the edge connecting them. Based on the infection rate β and exponential distribution, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(T_c>s)=e^{-eta s}$$

• T_n : the first time that the neighbor has an infectious contact from one of its other neighbors than the focal node. We further have

$$\mathbb{P}(T_n>t+s)=\phi_S(t+s)$$

With these RVs, we can interpret p(t) in the following probability:

$$p(t) = \mathbb{P}\{t + T_c < (t + T_r) \wedge T_n\}$$

where $t + T_c < (t + T_r) \wedge T_n \iff \min((t + T_r), T_n)$.

Since T_r and T_n are independent, we can further derive:

$$\mathbb{P}\{(t+T_r)\wedge T_n>t+u\}=\mathbb{P}\{T_r>u\}\mathbb{P}\{T_n>t+u\}\ =e^{-\gamma u}\phi_S(t+u)$$

Then we can rewrite the expression for p(t) based on law of total probability:

$$egin{aligned} p(t) &= \mathbb{P}\{t+T_c < (t+T_r) \wedge T_n\} \ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{t+u < (t+T_r) \wedge T_n | t+T_c = t+u\} imes \mathbb{P}\{t+T_c = t+u\} du \ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{t+u < (t+T_r) \wedge T_n | T_c = u\} imes \mathbb{P}\{T_c = u\} du \ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}\{t+u < (t+T_r) \wedge T_n\} imes \mathbb{P}\{T_c = u\} du \quad ext{as } T_n, T_c, T_r ext{ are independent} \ &= \int_0^\infty [e^{-\gamma u} \phi_S(t+u)] imes [eta e^{-eta u}] du \ &= \int_0^\infty eta e^{-(eta+\gamma)u} \phi_S(t+u) du \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}p(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty \beta e^{-(\beta+\gamma)u} \phi_S(t+u) du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \beta e^{-(\beta+\gamma)u} \times \left[\frac{d}{dt} \phi_S(t+u) \right] du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \beta e^{-(\beta+\gamma)u} \times \left[\dot{\phi}_S(t+u) \right] du \\ &= \left[\beta e^{-(\beta+\gamma)u} \phi_S(t+u) \right] \Big|_{u=0}^\infty - \int_0^\infty -(\beta+\gamma)\beta e^{-(\beta+\gamma)u} \phi_S(t+u) du \\ &= -\beta \phi_S(t) + (\beta+\gamma) \int_0^\infty \beta e^{-(\beta+\gamma)u} \phi_S(t+u) du \\ &= -\beta \phi_S(t) + (\beta+\gamma) p(t) \end{split} \tag{I.B.P.}$$

as a ODE of p(t).

At t=0, we expect to have $\phi_S(0)=1$ and $p(0)=rac{eta}{eta+\gamma}$, so take these initial value into the ODE gives us

$$rac{d}{dt}p(t) = -eta + (eta + \gamma) imes rac{eta}{eta + \gamma} = 0$$

which agree with our expectation.

Sign Problem

This notes fixed some typo in <u>Todd's original notes</u> and the final ODE agree with the original one. I review the derivation myself twice and it seems correct.

But we expect p(t) be a probability and monotonically decreasing from its initial value since the following two factor:

- ϕ_S is decreasing as the infection spread out.
- We have more competing infection happens, i.e. it is more likely to have $T_n < t + T_c$ However, in numeric solving, this ODE for p(t) leads to increasing p(t) and getting larger than 1.

See R c-SignIssue.R for numeric solutions CM_P, the ODE is implemented at line #107.

Just an observation: if alter the all signs in the ODE, the results of p(t) seems to be much more reasonable.

So my conjecture now would be that we mess up the sign in the probability arguments somewhere, perhaps in the integration expression of p(t).

But I am having difficulty find the problem, and a second opinion would be really appreciated.