

Model assessment

Jonathan Dushoff, McMaster University

MMED 2017

Goals

- ▶ Discuss model types and model goals
- ▶ Explain the value of simulation for validating models
- ▶ Discuss metrics for evaluating fit
- ▶ Put the Goodness of fit test in its place

Do I have a good model?

- ▶ What is my model trying to accomplish?
 - ▶ Generating hypotheses
 - ▶ Evaluating plausibility
 - ▶ Prediction
 - ▶ Extrapolation
 - ▶ Mechanistic understanding

Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation


- Goodness of fit

- Capturing patterns


- Going beyond

Conclusion



Disease thresholds



Effects of clinical immunity



Bistability



Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation


- Goodness of fit

- Capturing patterns


- Going beyond

Conclusion


Ptolemy v. Copernicus



Ptolemy v. Copernicus



Where will we see cholera cases?



Where will we see cholera cases?



Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation

Goodness of fit


Capturing patterns

Going beyond

Conclusion

Model Validation

- Does your fitting algorithm match your *model world*?




- If you use your fitting algorithm on simulations from your model world, then you *know the right answer!*

Validation measures

- ▶ Coverage
- ▶ Precision
- ▶ Bias?
- ▶ Accuracy?

Coverage



- ▶ The right answer should be inside your 95% confidence interval 95% of the time
 - ▶ If more, your model is *too conservative*
 - ▶ If less, your model is *invalid*
- ▶ In many cases it's good to look at the two tails separately:
 - ▶ How often do you overestimate? Underestimate?

Precision

- ▶ You should aim to make your confidence intervals as narrow as possible
 - ▶ Provide as much information as possible
- ▶ As data increases, your precision should increase
 - ▶ CIs should approach zero width

Bias?

- ▶ Nobody wants to be biased
- ▶ You *need* to be *asymptotically* unbiased
 - ▶ Good coverage and good precision assure this
- ▶ Not so clear you need to be *absolutely* unbiased
 - ▶ Bias is the difference between the *mean* expected prediction and the true value
 - ▶ Scale dependent: an unbiased estimate of γ is automatically a biased estimate of D (but not asymptotically biased)
- ▶ It may be better to evaluate using medians (instead of means)

Accuracy?

- ▶ Nobody wants to be inaccurate
- ▶ Good coverage and good precision should guarantee good accuracy

Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation

- Goodness of fit

- Capturing patterns

- Going beyond

Conclusion

Model Evaluation

- ▶ Does your model match the *real world*?



Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation

- Goodness of fit**

- Capturing patterns

- Going beyond


Conclusion

Goodness of fit


- ▶ Goodness of fit *statistics* describe how well a model prediction matches observed data
- ▶ Goodness of fit *tests* attempt to determine whether the observed difference between model and data is statistically significant

Your model is false!


- ▶ A goodness of fit test won't make it true
- ▶ You can “pass” a goodness of fit test by:
 - ▶ having a good model
 - ▶ making very broad predictions
 - ▶ having bad data
 - ▶ choosing an inappropriate way to compare
- ▶ So why do we use P values at all in biology?



Vitamin study



Vitamin study



Low P values



High P values



What does the P value mean?

- ▶ Low: you are seeing something clearly
- ▶ High: you are seeing something unclearly

Goodness of fit test

- ▶ Your model is *not* reality (null hypothesis is false)
- ▶ Can we see the difference clearly?
 - ▶ If no, model may be good or bad.
 - ▶ We probably can't add any more complexity based on current data
 - ▶ If yes, model may be good or bad. We *may* be able to add more complexity based on current data
 - ▶ But we may not need to

Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation

Goodness of fit

Capturing patterns

Going beyond

Conclusion

Capturing patterns

- ▶ You can ask:
 - ▶ Does your model do a reasonable job of capturing the data?
 - ▶ You can use a goodness of fit *statistic* for this, and not worry about the P value
 - ▶ Does your model capture patterns and relationships that you (or other experts) think are important?

Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation

Goodness of fit

Capturing patterns

Going beyond

Conclusion

Out-of-sample validation

- ▶ Does your model make predictions *outside* the range on which you calibrated it?
 - ▶ Predicting gravitational shifts in star positions from measurements in Earth laboratories
 - ▶ Predicting cholera outbreaks in Bangladesh from a model calibrated to Haiti
 - ▶ Predicting influenza patterns in 2010 from a model calibrated from 2000–2009

Test sets

- ▶ What is **test set** spelled backwards?
- ▶ Hold some data out while fitting your model
- ▶ Or just *pretend* to do this as an evaluation method
 - ▶ In other words, test what would happen under various withholding scenarios


Other model worlds

- ▶ The model you're *fitting* is probably pretty simple
- ▶ But you can *simulate* very complicated models, indeed




- ▶ How well can you do? Which details are important?

Other model worlds




Other model worlds




Generating hypotheses



Generating hypotheses



Testing hypotheses



Testing hypotheses



Testing hypotheses



Hard questions



Answers are not always easy

Outline

Conceptual models

Prediction

Model Validation

Model Evaluation

- Goodness of fit

- Capturing patterns

- Going beyond

Conclusion

Summary

Dynamic models can help:


- ▶ Think clearly
- ▶ Understand outcomes
- ▶ Predict outcomes
- ▶ Find new mechanisms

Summary

Evaluation

- ▶ Validation (inside your model world)
- ▶ Inspection (compare patterns)
- ▶ Prediction (and other out-of-sample comparison)
- ▶ Generate and test mechanistic hypotheses

Conclusion



Essentially, all models are wrong, but some are useful.
– Box and Draper (1987), *Empirical Model Building* ...



This presentation is made available through a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial license. Details of the license and permitted uses are available at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>



© 2015–2017, International Clinics on Infectious Disease Dynamics and Data

Title: Model assessment

Attribution: Jonathan Dushoff, McMaster University, MMED 2017

Source URL: <http://tinyurl.com/ici3d-figshare>

For further information please contact admin@ici3d.org.



AIMS

African Institute for
Mathematical Sciences
SOUTH AFRICA



SACEMA
Centre of Excellence in Systemic Modelling and Analysis



UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

College of Public Health