Citadel Protocol Specification

Dusk Network

March 27, 2023

Contents

| 1 | General Overview | 2 | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | General Overview 1.1 What is Citadel | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | 1.2 Document Organization | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | efinitions | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 The Roles involved | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 The Elements involved | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Protocol Workflow | 3 | | | | | | | | |

1 General Overview

1.1 What is Citadel

A Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI) protocol serves the purpose of allowing users of a given service to manage their identities in a fully transparent manner. In other words, every user can know which information about them is shared with other parties, and accept or deny any request for personal information.

Citadel is a SSI protocol build on top of Dusk Network. Users of a service can get a *license*, which represents their *right* to use such a service. In particular, Citadel allows for the following properties:

- **Proof of Ownership:** a user of a service is able to prove ownership of a license that allows them to use such a service.
- Proof of Validity: users can prove ownership of a valid license, that has not been revoked.
- Unlinkability: no one can link any activity with other activities done in the network.
- **Decentralized Nullification:** when a user spends a license, everyone in the network learns that this happened, so it cannot be spent again.
- Attribute Blinding: the user is capable of deciding which information they want to leak, blinding any other sensitive information and providing only the desired one.

1.2 Document Organization

In Section 2 we define all the object types and entities involved in the protocol. In Section 3 we roll out the protocol with full details.

2 Definitions

2.1 The Roles involved

- User: An entity that interacts with the wallet to request licenses and prove ownership of those.
- Service Provider: An entity offering an off-chain service that receives requests for licenses, and upon acceptance, issues them. It also provides the service upon verification that a service request is correct.

2.2 The Elements involved

• **Request:** A request includes the encryption of a stealth address belonging to the user, where the license has to be sent to. The structure is as follows:

| Element | Type | Info. |
|---------|------|---|
| nonce | - | It is a randomness needed to compute enc. |
| enc | - | It is a ciphertext of size 3. |
| (rpk,R) | - | It is a stealth address of the SP. |

• License: A license is an asset that represents the right of a user to use a given service. The structure is as follows:

| Element | Type | Info. |
|---------|------|---|
| pos | - | It is the position of the license into a Merkle tree of licenses. |
| nonce | - | It is a randomness needed to compute enc. |
| enc | - | It is a ciphertext of size 4. |
| (lpk,R) | - | It is a stealth address of the user. |

• LicenseProverParameters: A prover needs some auxiliary parameters to compute the proof that nullifies a license when desired to be spent. The structure is as follows:

| Element | Type | Info. |
|--------------------------|------|---|
| lpk' | - | The license public key prime. |
| sig _{lic} | - | The signature of the license. |
| com_0^{hash} | - | A hash commitment of the public key of the SP. |
| com_1 | - | A Pedersen Commitment of the attributes. |
| com_2 | - | A Pedersen Commitment of the c value. |
| tx_hash | - | The hash of the transaction calling the nullifying contract. |
| sig _{tx} | - | The signature of the transaction calling the nullifying contract. |
| nullifier _{lic} | - | The nullifier of the license. |
| merkle_proof | - | Membership proof of the license in the Merkle tree of licenses. |

• Session Cookie: A session cookie is a secret value known only by the user and the SP. It contains a set of openings to a given set of commitments. The structure is as follows:

| Element | Type | Info. |
|-------------------|------|---|
| pk _{SP} | - | The public key of the SP. |
| attr | _ | The attributes of the user. |
| c | - | The challenge value. |
| (s_0, s_1, s_2) | _ | The randomness used to compute the commitments. |

3 Protocol Workflow

The workflow is depicted in Figure 1, and described with full details as follows.




Figure 1: Overview of the protocol messages exchanged between the user, the Dusk Network, and the SP.

- 1. (user) send_license_req : TBD.
- 2. (SP) get_license_req: Continuously check the network for incoming license requests. Upon receiving the payment from a user, define a set of attributes attr representing the license, and compute a digital signature as follows:

$$\mathsf{sig}_\mathsf{lic} = \mathsf{sign_single_key}_\mathsf{sk_{\mathsf{SP}}}(\mathsf{lpk},\mathsf{attr})$$

- 3. (SP) send_license : Compute $enc = Enc_{k_{user}}((sig_{lic}, attr); nonce)$, set all the parameters of the license struct, and send it to the user.
- 4. (user) get_license : Receive the license.
- 5. (user) call_nullify_license: When desiring to use the license, nullify it by executing a call to the license contract. The following steps are performed:

- The user sets a session cookie sc where $enc = Enc_k((s_0, s_1, s_2); nonce)$ and sets the SP as the receiver.
- The user issues the transaction that includes the session cookie described in the previous step, by calling the license contract. In this case, the tx_proof is computed as done in the standard Phoenix model to pay for the gas, but into the same circuit, the gadget depicted in Figure 2 is appended.
- The network validators will execute the smart contract, which verifies the proof. Upon success, the session cookie will be forwarded, and the license nullifier nullifier nullifier will be added to a shared list of nullifiers.
- 6. (SP) get_session_cookie: Receive the session cookie sc.
- 7. (user) req_service: Request the service to the SP, establishing communication using a secure channel, and providing the tuple (tx_hash, pk_{SP} , attr, c, sc).
- 8. (SP) grant_service: Grant or deny the service upon verification of the following steps:
 - Check whether or not the values (attr, pk_{SP} , c) are correct.
 - Check whether or not the openings $((pk_{SP}, s_0), (attr, s_1), (c, s_2))$ match the commitments $com_0^{hash}, com_1, com_2$ found in the transaction tx_hash .




Figure 2: Arithmetic circuit for proving a license's ownership.

Furthermore, the SP might request the user to nullify the license they are using (i.e. this is a single-use license, like entering a concert). This is done through the computation of nullifier_{lic}. The deployment of this part of the circuit has two different possibilities:

- If we set c = 0 (or directly remove this input from the circuit), the license will be able to be used only once.
- If the SP requests the user to set a custom value for c (e.g. the date of an event), the license will be able to be reused only under certain conditions.