

Week Three, Lecture One

Recap

Finite state languages are all languages accepted by a finite state machine. 🤖

- FSM = DFA or NFA

DFAs are a very concrete model of patterns. NFAs are a very abstract model. Is there something in between?

- Is there a "pure pattern" model?
- Yes, **regular expressions**.

Regular expressions are declarative, "pure patterns," not in any way imperative.

- They do not tell you *how* like a DFA

But in fact, regular expressions are exactly equivalent to both DFAs and NFAs (which are also equivalent).

$$\text{RegEx} = \text{DFA} = \text{NFA}$$

Regular Expressions 🦄:cat:⭐

With just three operations, you can represent everything you can with an FSM:

1. Union 🦄
2. Concatenation 🐱
3. Kleene star ⭐

Syntax	Semantics
a	{a}
ϵ	{ ϵ }
\emptyset	{ }
$R \cup S$	"Set of strings denoted by R" \cup "Set of string denoted by S"
$R \circ S$	"Set of strings denoted by R" \circ "Set of string denoted by S"
R^*	(Set denoted by R) [*]

Suppose we have an alphabet {0,1} a language $L = \{\text{all even length strings}\}$. How would we represent this with a RegEx?

$$((0 \cup 1) \circ (0 \cup 1))^*$$

Suppose we have another language $L = \{\text{no two 1's adjacent}\}$.

$$0^*(100^*)^* \cup (1 \cup \epsilon)$$

We need the term " $0^*(1 \cup \epsilon)$ " to account for "01".

Context-Free Grammars

$$G := (V, \Sigma, R, S)$$

- V = finite set of variables
 - usually represented as capital letters
- Σ - finite set of terminals/symbols
 - same thing as alphabet
- R = finite set of rewriting rules
 - of the type $V \rightarrow (V \cup \Sigma)^*$
- S = start variable

In terms of operator precedence, Kleene-star > concatenation > union.

- This also corresponds to our intuition of concatenation as multiplication and union as addition (and kinda Kleene-star as exponentation?).