User-friendly plain T_EX macros for formal logic

Dustin Tucker dustin.tucker@colostate.edu

Project repository: https://github.com/dusttuck/logic Source for this document: https://www.overleaf.com/read/qnnwbwfmfmkz

1 Overview

logic.tex is a collection of mutually-independent plain TEX macros for intuitive typesetting of standard formal logic with code that is easy to produce and easy to parse, even for people with no TEX or programming experience.

Here's a list of what the macros cover, with examples on subsequent pages. Click the Overleaf link at the top to view or edit the source for this entire file.

• Single-character abbreviations for symbols. For instance,

$$Ax[F(x) > -G(x,a)]$$
 produces $\forall x[F(x) \to \neg G(x,a)].$

- Fitch-style proofs.
- Truth tables.
- **Arguments.** Premises and a conclusion separated by a horizontal line.
- Diagrams of relative height. These can be used as countermodels to prove invalidity.
- First-order models.
- \itmath. Italic math letters with word-like kerning. For instance,

$$\neg Sees(ruth, alonzo)$$
 instead of $\neg Sees(ruth, alonzo)$.

• \ssmath. Sans-serif math letters. For instance, $P \wedge Q$ instead of $P \wedge Q$.

(The additional macros used to format this document are included in logicdocfmt.tex, some of which depend on eplain.tex, but the core macros from logic.tex are entirely independent of those as well.)

I wrote these primarily so that students could complete problem sets, quizzes, and exams on Overleaf. Here are a few examples:

- Translations: https://www.overleaf.com/read/tjmqfmjkqvkh
- Truth tables: https://www.overleaf.com/read/yswxpbykzgtj
- Fitch-style proofs: https://www.overleaf.com/read/gmbhbqzrbjst

2 Abbreviations

Three macros make the following characters behave like the listed expressions:

	Character	Expression				
\connectives	_	\lnot	(in math mode)			
	V	$\mathbf{mathrel}\$				
	^	$\mathbf{\hat{\lambda}}$				
	>	\rightarrow				
	<	\leftrightarro	W			
	!	\bot				
	=	\mathbin=				
\quantifiers	Α	\forall	(in math mode)			
-	E	\exists	,			
\makeasteriskbig	*	\big	(everywhere)			

They can be ended with \endconnectives, \endquantifiers, and \resetasterisk.

To use \sim and & (abbreviated by \sim and &) or \supset and \equiv (still abbreviated by > and <), simply uncomment the relevant lines in the definition of \connectives.

3 Fitch-style proofs

+ produces additional vertical space between lines. I find that it looks better to use two +s between consecutive subproofs:

1.
$$P \lor Q$$
2. $P \to R$
3. $Q \to R$ Goal: R
4. P Assumption Setting up: \lor Elim Goal: R
5. R \to Elim: 2, 4
6. Q Assumption Setting up: \lor Elim Goal: R
7. R \to Elim: 3, 6
8. R \lor Elim: 1, 4–5, 6–7

Proofs (and all the other constructions in logic.tex) can be used inline, and all the spacing and bar widths are customizable. To customize the indentation of the idiosyncratic notes I use in my class (goal, setting up, etc.), use \fitchproofindentby:

Example. 1.
$$\exists x \forall y F(x, y)$$

2. $\forall x [\exists y F(y, x) \rightarrow G(x)]$ Goal: $\forall x G(x)$
3. $a \forall y F(a, y)$ Assumption Setting up: \exists Elim Goal: $\forall x G(x)$
4. $b \quad \text{Assumption}$ Setting up: \forall Intro Goal: $G(b)$
5. $\exists y F(y, b) \rightarrow G(b) \quad \forall$ Elim: 2
6. $F(a, b) \quad \forall$ Elim: 3
7. $\exists y F(y, b) \quad \exists$ Intro: 6
8. $G(b) \quad \rightarrow$ Elim: 5, 7
9. $\forall x G(x) \quad \forall$ Intro: 4-8
10. $\forall x G(x) \quad \exists$ Elim: 1, 3-9

Duplicate -s are optional, as are nearly all spaces; only spaces separating | from a - being used as negation are required (I include leading spaces for readibility, but they can also be omitted):

1.
$$A \lor B$$

2. $A \to C$
3. $B \to D$ Goal: $\neg D \to C$
4. $\neg D$ Assumption Setting up: \rightarrow Intro Goal: C
5. A Assumption Setting up: \lor Elim Goal: C
6. $C \to Elim$: 2,5
7. B Assumption Setting up: \lor Elim Goal: C
8. $D \to Elim$: 3,7
9. \bot \bot Intro: 4,8
10. $C \to Elim$: 9
11. $C \to Elim$: 9
12. $\neg D \to C \to Elim$: 1,5-6,7-10
12. $\neg D \to C \to Elim$: 4-11

A few additional notes:

- If you don't use a colon after the name of a rule in a citation, the line numbers won't typeset quite right.
- A capital R that begins a rule name (for Reit) will be correctly typeset without math mode.
- The capital S is necessary for the **Setting up** note to work properly.

4 Truth tables

- Truth tables automatically call \endquantifiers, so there's no need to use that before starting a truth table.
- For correct spacing, every character should be in its own column, separated by periods.
- In every row but the first, asterisks make the following character bold and larger. (If \makeas-teriskbig is active, * continues to function as \big in the first row.)
- In every row but the first, brackets are ignored, so they can be included to make the code easier to read.
- Only the first + is required to create the horizontal line; subsequent +s are optional.

The following examples illustrate the spacing and capitalization I personally use for formatting truth tables, all of which is optional.

A	B	C	$A \wedge \neg B$	$C \lor A$	$\neg \ [\ C \ \lor \ B \]$
Т	Т	Т	t F F t	t T t	F t T t
${ m T}$	${ m T}$	F	t F F t	${f f}$ ${f T}$ ${f t}$	${f F}$ f T t
${ m T}$	F	Т	t ${f T}$ T f	${f t}$ ${f T}$ ${f t}$	${f F}$ t T f
${ m T}$	F	F	t ${f T}$ T f	${f f}$ ${f T}$ ${f t}$	${f T}$ f F f
F	Т	Т	f ${f F}$ F t	t ${f T}$ f	F t T t
F	Т	F	f ${f F}$ F t	f ${f F}$ f	F f T t
F	F	Т	f ${f F}$ T f	t ${f T}$ f	${f F}$ t T f
\mathbf{F}	F	F	f ${f F}$ T f	f ${f F}$ f	${f T}$ f F f

Again, all spacing and bar widths are customizable:

A	B	C	- [A	V	[B	\wedge	C]]	V	[A	V	<i>B</i>]
Т	Т	Т	F	t	Т	t	Т	t	\mathbf{T}	t	Т	t
Т	Т	F	F	t	Т	t	F	f	${f T}$	t	Τ	t
Т	F	Т	F	t	Т	\mathbf{f}	F	t	${f T}$	t	Τ	f
Т	F	F	F	t	Т	\mathbf{f}	F	f	${f T}$	t	Τ	f
F	Т	Т	F	f	Т	t	Τ	t	${f T}$	f	Τ	t
F	Т	F	Т	f	F	t	F	f	${f T}$	f	Т	t
F	F	Т	Т	f	F	\mathbf{f}	F	t	${f T}$	f	F	f
F	F	F	Т	f	F	\mathbf{f}	\mathbf{F}	f	${f T}$	\mathbf{f}	F	f

5 Arguments

This is the argument the first truth table on the previous page proved invalid:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \wedge \neg B \\ C \vee A \\ \hline \neg [C \vee B] \end{array}$$

Changing spacing and the bar width:

```
Taller(ruth, alonzo) \lor Taller(ruth, kurt)
\neg [Shorter(kurt, alonzo) \land Taller(ruth, kurt)]
```

 $Taller(ruth, kurt) \lor Shorter(kurt, alonzo)$

6 Diagrams of relative height

- These are a simple way to give countermodels for validity of arguments about relative height.
- Names must be in order from shortest to tallest.
- When using these diagrams inline, they align with the words.

Example:



The previous argument is invalid, as both Alonzo Ruth Kurt and ARK illustrate.

7 First-order models

< and > produce \langle and $\rangle,$ and slightly decrease the space after commas between them.

Domain: Ruth, Alonzo, Kurt, Julia

Logician: Alonzo, Julia

Happy: Ruth, Alonzo, Kurt

Saw: (Ruth, Ruth), (Ruth, Alonzo), (Ruth, Kurt),

(Alonzo, Alonzo), (Alonzo, Julia),

⟨Kurt, Ruth⟩, ⟨Kurt, Alonzo⟩, ⟨Kurt, Julia⟩, ⟨Julia, Ruth⟩, ⟨Julia, Alonzo⟩, ⟨Julia, Kurt⟩

Spacing is again customizable (but increasing the depth of subsequent rows is a little weird):

Domain: Ruth, Alonzo, Kurt, Julia

Logician: Alonzo, Julia

Happy: Ruth, Alonzo, Kurt

Saw: $\langle \text{Ruth, Ruth} \rangle$, $\langle \text{Ruth, Alonzo} \rangle$, $\langle \text{Ruth, Kurt} \rangle$,

(Alonzo, Alonzo), (Alonzo, Julia),

⟨Kurt, Ruth⟩, ⟨Kurt, Alonzo⟩, ⟨Kurt, Julia⟩,
⟨Julia, Ruth⟩, ⟨Julia, Alonzo⟩, ⟨Julia, Kurt⟩