Semester Project Grading Rubric:

	1	2	3	4	5
Data	**Needs Improvement** Data were not properly used in the project	**Fair** Data used, but not formatted to address the project proposal	**Good** Data formatted to address the project proposal, but significant errors remain	**Excellent** Data formatted to address the project proposal, but minor errors remain	**Perfect** Data formatted correctly to address the project proposal
Presentation	**Needs Improvement** Presentation unclear or not present	**Fair** Presentation fails to cover multiple facets of the project clearly (data, figures, results, policy implications)	**Good** Presentation fails to cover at least one facet of the project clearly	**Excellent** Presentation clearly covers all facets of the project	**Perfect** Presentation is exceptional in clarity and content
Figures	**Needs Improvement** No figures created	**Fair** Figures are present, but have no clear labels or meaning	**Good** Figures are present, but contain errors	**Excellent** Figures are present, but cannot be interpreted without the writeup	**Perfect** Figures are present and can be interpreted independently
Writeup	**Needs Improvement** Writeup fails to explain work or does not answer research question	**Fair** Writeup attempts to explain work and research question, but is unclear	**Good** Writeup explains work and research question, but lacks detail	**Excellent** Writeup explains work and research question	**Perfect** Writeup explains work and research question so that non-technical readers could understand
Policy Suggestion	**Needs Improvement** No policy suggestion provided	**Fair** Policy suggestion not stated clearly	**Good** Policy suggestion stated, but needs improvement	**Excellent** Policy suggestion clearly stated	**Perfect** Policy suggestion clearly stated, and supported by results of project