Semester Project Grading Rubric:

Tools For Data Analysis (Updated during Module 6, 2021)

	1	2	3	4	5
Task	Needs Improvement Task of interest not described	Fair Task mentioned, but not explained	Good Task briefly explained	Excellent Task explained well	Perfect Group successfully and clearly illustrates the value of the task to data analysts
Code	Needs Improvement Code does not run, no comments provided	Fair Code does not run, but some comments may be provided	Good Code runs with minor errors, or no comments are provided	Excellent Code runs with minor errors, and comments are provided	Perfect Code runs without errors, and comments are provided
Present- ation	Needs Improvement Presentation lacks or- ganization and clarity	Fair Some details of the library and example are demonstrated in the presentation	Good The library is described, but the example is duplicated from the website of the library	Excellent The library is described, but the example is unclear	Perfect Both library description and example are clear and well-presented
Writeup	Needs Improvement Writeup fails to explain work or does not an- swer project prompt	Fair Writeup attempts to explain work and project aim, but is un- clear	Good Writeup explains work and project aim, but lacks detail	Excellent Writeup explains work and project aim	Perfect Writeup explains work and project aim so that students could use to incorporate this library into their work
Application to Data Analysis	Needs Improvement No application to data analysis provided	Fair Application to data analysis not stated clearly	Good Application to data analysis stated, but needs improvement	Excellent Application to data analysis clearly stated	Perfect Application to data analysis clearly stated, and supported by results of project