

Flex Beta-Engine

Security Implementation Overview



1. Current Architecture & Setup

Website

- **Steam OpenID Authentication:**
 - Users log in via Steam OpenID (OpenID 2.0), which verifies their identity and retrieves a unique Steam ID.
- **Email Collection:**
 - After login, users are prompted to enter an email address. This email is used for further communication and identification.
- **Token Considerations:**
 - Currently, the website does not pass a user-managed token to Beta Engine; it relies on the fact that the Steam login already verifies the user.

Beta Engine

- **Input Handling:**
 - Endpoints like `/user` and `/user/details` receive a Steam ID (and optionally a name) and process the request.
- **Protected Endpoints:**
 - Endpoints such as `/user/traits` and `/user/skills` are protected using an `x-api-key` mechanism.
- **Stateless Design:**
 - Beta Engine does not maintain per-user sessions; all persistent data is stored in a database.
- **Internal Processing:**
 - The API calls internal helper functions (e.g., `internal.add_games_data()`, `internal.add_achievements_data()`) that retrieve and process user data based on the provided Steam ID.

Models API

- **JWT-Protected Service:**
 - Beta Engine calls the Models API (which uses JWT for its endpoints) to fetch predictions and configuration data.
- **Secure Communication:**
 - Beta Engine obtains a JWT from the Models API's `/login` endpoint (using stored credentials) and includes it in the `Authorization` header when making API calls.

2. Security Gaps

- **Trusting the Steam ID Input:**
 - Beta Engine currently accepts any Steam ID provided by the website. Without further verification, an attacker could supply arbitrary IDs. (spoofing)
 - **Static API Key:**
 - The `x-api-key` used for protecting endpoints is static
 - **Lack of End-to-End Token Verification:**
 - Beta Engine relies solely on the website's authentication via Steam OpenID. There is no additional token or session check to ensure that the request originates from a verified source.
-

3. Proposed Security Enhancements

Option A: Strengthen Existing x-api-key Mechanism

- **Key Rotation & Secure Storage:**
 - Regularly rotate the API key and ensure it is stored only as secure environment variables in Render.
- **Network-Level Restrictions:**
 - Consider restricting access via IP whitelisting or a reverse proxy so that only requests from the official website are accepted.

Option B: Introduce an Internal JWT Flow

- **Website Issues Its Own JWT:**
 - After a successful Steam OpenID login, the website could generate a JWT that includes the verified Steam ID (and possibly the email) as claims.
- **Token Attachment to Requests:**
 - The website attaches this JWT to requests made to Beta Engine.
 - Beta Engine uses middleware (e.g., Flask-JWT-Extended) to verify the token, ensuring that the request came from an authenticated source.
- **Advantages:**
 - This method verifies that the Steam ID was authenticated on the website without requiring customers to manage tokens themselves.
 - It provides end-to-end integrity and non-repudiation without exposing additional complexity to external clients.

Option C: Validate the Steam ID with the Steam API

- **Direct Verification:**
 - As an extra layer, Beta Engine could call the Steam API to verify that the provided Steam ID exists and is valid.
 - **Considerations:**
 - This adds network overhead and might contribute to reaching the Steam rate limit (100,000 calls per day), so it should be used sparingly or cached.
-

4. Why Not Use Steam OpenID Directly for Token Generation?

- **OpenID 2.0 vs. OpenID Connect (OIDC):**
 - Steam uses the older OpenID 2.0 specification, which does not provide a JWT-like ID token. OpenID Connect (OIDC) offers standardized tokens that are easier to validate and use in a JWT flow.
 - **Implementation Complexity:**
 - Re-implementing the OpenID 2.0 flow for direct token generation in Beta Engine would be complex and error-prone.
 - **Rate Limit Concerns:**
 - Steam's API rate limit (100,000 calls per day) makes it impractical to verify every request by calling Steam directly.
-

6. OAuth for Email – Possibility & Considerations

Possibility of Adding OAuth

- **Option:**
 - You could add an OAuth flow (using a provider like Google) specifically to authenticate the email address.
- **Advantages:**
 - This would provide an additional layer of verification for the email address.
 - It may allow users to sign in using their email if they prefer, or to verify that their email is indeed valid.
- **Redundancy Considerations:**

- Since the website already uses Steam OpenID to verify the user and then collects an email, adding a separate OAuth flow solely for email might be redundant.
 - If most users are coming through Steam and the email is used only for communication purposes, the current process may be sufficient.
 - **Recommendation:**
 - Maintain the current process where the email is collected after Steam authentication.
-

7. Recommendations

Long-Term Recommendations

- **Consider Internal JWT Flow:**
 - Evaluate the feasibility of having the website issue a JWT after Steam OpenID login.
 - Use this JWT for all internal communications with Beta Engine to provide stronger verification without additional customer friction.
 - **Evaluate OAuth for Email:**
 - If your user base expands to non-steam users or if email verification becomes critical, consider adding a minimal OAuth flow for email.
 - For now, it may be redundant given the current workflow, but it should be documented as a potential enhancement.
-