

Draft MEETING MINUTES

Meeting/Project Name:	Human Resources Policies Task Force		
Date of Meeting: (MM/DD/YYYY)	2/11/2009	Time:	4pm-6pm
Minutes Prepared By:	Suzanne Richardson	Location:	Bobst Soho Conf.room 1244

1. Meeting Objective			
Further Discussion on Retiree Medical With Jim Archer from Towers Perrin.	Margaret Meagher/ Jim Archer		

2. Attendance at Meeting				
Name	Department/Division	E-mail	Phone	
Charles Bertolami	Dean, College of Dentristry	Charles.bertolami@nyu.edu	89898	
Katie Casey	VP of Human Resources EVP	Catherine.Casey@nyu.edu	81245	
Lisa Biagas	Senior Director Human Resources	Lisa.Biagas@nyu.edu	89808	
Jim Hinojosa	Professor and chair, department of occupational therapy	Jh9@nyu.edu	85845	
Elise Eisenberg	Director of Informatics & Digital Support Services	Elise.eisenberg@nyu.edu	89790	
Katherine Drummond	Sr. Director of HR Administrative Services	Katherine.drummond@nyu.ed u	81904	
Lisa Waldman	Associate Dean for Faculty and Administration	Lbw1@nyu.edu	86690	
Ted Madger (Not in attendance)	Associate prof of Culture and community	Ted.Madger@nyu.edu	85015	
Grace Garnice	Exectutive Director HR- Stern	Ggarnice@stern.nyu.edu	80135	

Submitted by: [Name] Page 1 of 5



Retiree Medical Discussion Continued

- There are many different approaches to retiree medical eligibility. Hybrid plan at U Chicago there is a 3 year cliff. Anyone who leaves within 3 years does not own any accrued benefits. Dartmouth has the same structure.
- Harvard and Hopkins have a 2 year wait for eligibility.
- A 1 year wait is very competitive. In this environment retiree medical is worth millions.
- If you want to change the eligibility back to no wait, you can always amend the plan.
- I think it's worth looking at it at the current time because we're
 moving to the safe harbor plan. This has carried an additional
 cost. What is it about moving to the safe harbor plan means
 you can have this feature?
- The school of med has eliminated the waiver. This became a problem for our benefits people. We should consider waiving the waiver as well. If we make the change now it may be more accepted.

Submitted by: [Name] Page 2 of 5



What should we "Fast Track" My knee jerk here's some things we might have consensus on and might be able to send to the core for approval Banding: ❖ Banding allows us to move people laterally across the university. If we were able to move people in positions identified for layoff into open vacant budgeted positions we could save jobs and hit our budget reduction through attrition Current Obstacles to internal redeployment are the vertical classifications systems, and banding would eliminate this problem. ❖ All Thumbs up on "Fast Tracking" Banding UNUM: The pilot has been very successful in reducing overtime, and FMLA time away. ❖ If we roll it out enterprise wide we pick up a cost save, and assure compliance with the recent legislative changes... All Thumbs up on "Fast Tracking" UNUM Severance policy ❖ The current policy says if we outsource a function the employees would be entitled to severance under the plan. ❖ The redrafted policy would say that employees who are being picked up by the new vendor as employees will not be entitled to severance.

Submitted by: [Name] Page 3 of 5



Vacatio	on accrual Policy: 100% Thumbs up on "Fast Tracking" this change!	
Creativ	ve Ideas 80%-20% and 9-10 month jobs	
*	Creative options to keep people employed. I will work 80% time and give back 20% of my pay.	
*	Seasonal positions. O You've been told you're position has been reclassified. Benefits continue but your job is a 8-9-	
*	10 month job instead of 12 months We've created draft policies. Policy documents are attached.	
	Any input or thoughts are appreciated. This is about to go live	

4. Action Items		
Action	Owner	Due Date
Retire Medical Conversation continued	Margaret Meagher	03/25/09

Submitted by: [Name] Page 4 of 5



5. Next Meeting (if applicable)						
Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/25/09	Time:	4-6pm	Location:	Bobst Soho conf room 1244	
Objective:						

Submitted by: [Name] Page 5 of 5