Advanced Macroeconomics II

Handout 9 - Search Models I

Sergio Ocampo

Western University

December 1, 2020

Search models

We want models where agents can change their type endogenously

- Sub-type of "occupational choice models"
 - Focus on employment vs unemployment
 - Applies more broadly!
- ▶ Key: Type changes cannot happen at will
 - ► Search frictions explain inability to switch occupations at will
 - Technically: opportunities to switch arrive randomly

Partial equilibrium vs General equilibrium

- Are wages determined in equilibrium?
 - 1. Draw wage offers from exogenous distribution (McCall, 1970)
 - 2. Draw wage offers from endogenous distribution (Burdett & Mortensen, 1998)
 - 3. Determine wages by bargaining (upon matching) (Pissarides, 1990)
- Are search frictions determined in equilibrium?
 - 1. Offers follow an exogenous process (arrive with fixed probability)
 - 2. Offers are endogenous but one-sided (depend on search effort)
 - 3. Offers follow an endogenous process (depends on aggregate behavior)

Idiosyncratic and aggregate heterogeneity

- Idiosyncratic heterogeneity is built-in here!
 - Model has heterogeneity by design:
 Unemployed vs employed, different wages, etc
- Aggregate heterogeneity is harder (sometimes)
 - Can you aggregate the results of your model?
 - No need to track individual behavior
 - Can you solve the model block-recursively?
 - No need to know aggregates from the beginning

Three families of models

- 1. McCall models
- 2. DMP models
- 3. Directed/Competitive search models

Objectives:

- 1. Give you an overview of these models
- 2. Bare-bones application
- 3. Show you continuous and discrete time versions

McCall Models

Model overview

- ► Time is discrete
- lacktriangle Agents have linear utility and discount utility at rate eta

Two types of agents:

- 1. Employed (boring agents)
 - Agents are hand-to-mouth
 - Characterized by a wage w
 - Employment is permanent (no firing/quitting/on-the-job-search)
- 2. Unemployed (slightly more interesting)
 - Agents are hand-to-mouth
 - All unemployed are equal:
 - ▶ All receive the same unemployment benefits *b* > 0
 - Wage offer received every period from some distribution

Aside: Interpretation issues

- ▶ What does *b* mean in the linear utility world?
 - Value of leisure? Value of home production? Value of unemployment insurance?
- ► Large debate in the literature
 - ► Chorodow-Reich & Karabarbounis (2016) and Hall & Millgrom (2005) look at this in relation to the cyclicality of unemployment
 - ► Aguiar, Hurst & Karabarbounis (2013) Series of papers on time use data show what *b* can be
- Linear utility is feature/bug of many search models (DMP/BurdettMortensen)

Benefits/Drawbacks

- Flexible framework for a variety of questions:
 - Effect of unemployment benefits/welfare programs // Effect of human capital on search (and uncertainty about human capital) // Effect of savings and access to credit for employment fluctuations
- Model can handle a lot of individual heterogeneity
 - Model real world policies and qualifying criteria for welfare programs
- Model is in partial equilibrium!
 - Wage offers and job offers are exogenous
 - Subject to Lucas Critique
 - Can be computationally (depends on VFI)

Basic McCall - Set up

- ▶ Main input: F(w), the CDF of wage offers
 - F is exogenous to the model
 - ► You can get it from data (Jolivet, Postel-Vinay, & Robin, 2006)
- If an agent rejects an offer they remain unemployed
 - No recall of previous offers
 - ► Fujita & Moscarini (2017) show this is false: "Over 40% of the employed workers who separate into unemployment ("EU" flow) return, after the jobless spell, to their last employer"
- Notation:
 - \blacktriangleright W(w) is the value of an employed agent with wage w
 - U is the value of an unemployed agent

Basic McCall - Bellman equations

Employed agents:

$$W(w) = w + \beta W(w) \longrightarrow W(w) = \frac{w}{1-\beta}$$

equivalently:

$$W(w) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} w = w \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} = \frac{w}{1-\beta}$$

Unemployed agents:

$$U = b + \int_{0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\max \{U, W(w)\}}_{\text{Occupational Choice}} dF(w)$$

Note: Easy to extend to include expiration of benefits, life cycle, human capital and earning penalties, family or joint search

Basic McCall - What constitutes a solution?

- ▶ There is only one choice in the model
 - Which jobs (wages) should the agent accept?
- ► The solution of the model is an acceptance rule for jobs
 - ▶ We are looking for $w \in [0, \infty]$ such that $W(w) \ge U$
 - \triangleright We know that W is increasing in wages, and that U is constant
 - ▶ Then there exists a unique w^R such that

$$W\left(w^R\right) = U$$

... and thus W(w) > U for $w > w^R$

▶ So acceptance rule takes the form of a reservation wage w^R

- ▶ By definition we have $U = w^R/1-\beta$
- ▶ Replacing on the value function:

$$U = b + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \max \{U, W(w)\} dF(w)$$
$$\frac{w^{R}}{1 - \beta} = b + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \max \{w^{R}, w\} dF(w)$$

This equation defines the reservation wage.

▶ Turns out, this equation is the fixed point of a contraction!

$$w^{R} = T(w^{R}) = (1 - \beta)b + \beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \max\{w^{R}, w\} dF(w)$$

▶ We can solve by iterating following the CMT!

We can also get a bit more with some integration:

$$\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} \max \left\{ w^{R}, w \right\} dF(w) = \beta w^{R} F(w^{R}) + \beta \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w dF(w)$$
$$= \beta w^{R} + \beta \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} (w - w^{R}) dF(w)$$

Then use integration by parts (treating the ∞ with care):

$$\lim_{\overline{w} \to \infty} \int_{w^R}^{\overline{w}} (w - w^R) dF(w) = \lim_{\overline{w} \to \infty} \left[(w - w^R) F(w) \Big|_{w^R}^{\overline{w}} - \int_{w^R}^{\overline{w}} F(w) dw \right]$$

$$= \lim_{\overline{w} \to \infty} \left[(\overline{w} - w^R) F(\overline{w}) - \int_{w^R}^{\overline{w}} F(w) dw \right]$$

$$= \lim_{\overline{w} \to \infty} \left[\int_{w^R}^{\overline{w}} F(\overline{w}) - F(w) dw \right]$$

$$= \int_{w^R}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$$

Replacing back we get the standard representation:

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$$

- ▶ Given a functional form for F we can actually solve the integral
- Pareto distributions are popular for closed form solutions

Basic McCall - Algorithm

- There is only one step
 - Find the reservation wage!
- You can do this by iterating on the contraction mapping
 - ► That is very slow
- Better to approach it as root finding:

$$G(x) = x - b - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \int_{x}^{\infty} (1 - F(w)) dw$$

 \triangleright w^R is a root of this function

Basic McCall - What can I use this for?

- What happens if b changes?
- ▶ What happens if *F* changes? (application to mean preserving spreads)
- Implications for duration of unemployment
 - Let N be the periods of unemployment?
 - ▶ $Pr(N = 1) = 1 F(w^R)$ (probability of an acceptable offer)
 - ▶ $Pr(N = 2) = F(w^R)(1 F(w^R))$ (probability of an unacceptable offer followed by an acceptable offer)
 - ▶ $Pr(N = n) = (F(w^R))^n (1 F(w^R))$ (key: job offers are iid)
 - ▶ Unemployment duration distributed geometrically with $\lambda = F(w^R)$
 - ▶ Mean unemployment duration is $1/(1-F(w^R))$

Heterogeneity - What about the distribution?

- ▶ In the limit all agents become employed
- ▶ Distribution of employed is easy:
 - ► Truncated distribution of job offers: $G(w) = \frac{F(w)}{1 F(w^R)}$

McCall Models Continuous Time

Bellman equations

- New notation:
 - ightharpoonup lpha: Odds that a worker gets an offer (or average number of offers per period)
 - $ightharpoonup \Delta$: length of a period
 - r: Interest rate for discounting $\left(\beta = \frac{1}{1+r}\right)$
- ► Value of an employed agent:

$$W(w) = \Delta w + \frac{1}{1 + \Delta r}W(w) \longrightarrow rW(w) = w$$

Value of an employed agent:

$$U = \Delta b + rac{\Delta lpha}{1 + \Delta r} \int_0^\infty \max \left\{ U, W(w) \right\} dF(w) + rac{1 - \Delta lpha}{1 + \Delta r} U$$
 $rU = b + lpha \int_0^\infty \max \left\{ 0, W(w) - U \right\} dF(w)$

Reservation wage

▶ Just as before we want w^R such that $rU = rW(w^R) = w^R$

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha}{r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \max \{0, w - w^{R}\} dF(w)$$

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha}{r} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w - w^{R} dF(w)$$

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha}{r} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} 1 - F(w) dw$$

▶ This is (basically) the same equation we had before

Poisson processes

- ▶ They are everywhere in continuous time
- Measure the probability of infrequent events
 - ► As opposed to diffusions that change all the time
- ▶ In this case the Poisson process refers to the arrival of job offers
- Let X_t be a random variable that indicates the number of job offers received by time t. Then $X_t \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$.
- $ightharpoonup X_t$ is a stationary Markov process
 - Probability that $X_t = m$ goes to $X_{t+h} = m+1$ is independent of m and t
 - We only care about the increment in time and increment in offers

Poisson processes

Key: Probability of single offer in any interval $[t, t + \Delta]$ is $\Delta \alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$

- ▶ Denote $P_m(t)$ as the probability of m offers by time t
- $ightharpoonup P_0\left(t+\Delta
 ight)$ is the probability no offers occur until $t+\Delta$
 - Equivalent to no offers until t and no offers between t and $t + \Delta$
 - ▶ Probability of no offers between t and $t + \Delta$ is **independent** of history

$$P_0(t + \Delta) = P_0(t) P_0(\Delta) = P_0(t) (1 - \Delta \alpha + o(\Delta))$$

▶ We can define the change in $P_0(t)$ with respect to time:

$$P_{0}^{'}(t) = \frac{P_{0}(t + \Delta) - P_{0}(t)}{\Delta} = P_{0}(t)\left(-\alpha + \frac{o(\Delta)}{\Delta}\right) = -\alpha P_{0}(t)$$

• We can solve this differential equation: $P_0(t) = e^{-\alpha t}$

Unemployment duration

- lacktriangle We know that job offers follow a Poisson process with arrival rate lpha
 - lacktriangle Note that lpha can be larger than 1
- We also know that an acceptable offer arrives with probability $\Delta \alpha \left(1 F\left(w^R\right)\right)$
- So the probability of no acceptable offers until time t is

$$P_0(t) = e^{-\alpha \left(1 - F\left(w^R\right)\right)t}$$

▶ The average unemployment duration is then:

$$D = \int_{0}^{\infty} \underbrace{t}_{\text{Duration}} \cdot \underbrace{\alpha \left(1 - F\left(w^{R} \right) \right)}_{\text{Pr. Accepting an offer in } t} \cdot \underbrace{e^{-\alpha \left(1 - F\left(w^{R} \right) \right) t}}_{\text{Pr. No offer until } t} dt = \frac{1}{\alpha \left(1 - F\left(w^{R} \right) \right)}$$

McCall Models

Extension: Job Destruction

Bellman equations

- New notation: δ is the job destruction rate (again a Poisson random variable)
- ▶ Value of an employed agent:

$$W(w) = \Delta w + \frac{1 - \Delta \delta}{1 + \Delta r} W(w) + \frac{\Delta \delta}{1 + \Delta r} U$$

$$rW(w) = w + \delta (U - W(w))$$

Value of an unemployed (same as before):

$$rU = b + \alpha \int_{0}^{\infty} \max \{0, W(w) - U\} dF(w)$$

Reservation wage

- ▶ Just as before we want w^R such that $U = W(w^R)$
- From the value of the employed we get: $w^R = rW(w^R) = rU$

$$r(W(w) - U) = w - w^{R} + \delta(U - W(w))$$
$$(r + \delta)(W(w) - U) = w - w^{R}$$

Replacing on the value of the unemployed:

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha}{r + \delta} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w - w^{R} dF(w)$$

 $w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha}{r + \delta} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} 1 - F(w) dw$

▶ We now discount with a lower rate (because of separations)

Evolution of employment/unemployment

We now have flows in and out of states:

$$egin{aligned} u_{t+\Delta} &= u_t - \Delta lpha \left(1 - F\left(w^R
ight)
ight) + \Delta \delta e_t + o\left(\Delta
ight) \ \dfrac{u_{t+\Delta} - u_t}{\Delta} &= -lpha \left(1 - F\left(w^R
ight)
ight) u_t + \delta \left(1 - u_t
ight) + \dfrac{o\left(\Delta
ight)}{\Delta} \ \dot{u} &= -lpha \left(1 - F\left(w^R
ight)
ight) u_t + \delta \left(1 - u_t
ight) \end{aligned}$$

The steady state of unemployment is $\dot{u} = 0$, so:

$$u_{ss} = \frac{\delta}{\delta + \alpha \left(1 - F\left(w^{R}\right)\right)}$$

We can get comparative statics through total differential of reservation wage equation

More results: Dispersion of wages

Results from Hornstein, Krusell & Violante (2011)

- ▶ Objective: Compute "mean to min" ratio of wages
- ▶ Go back to the value of an unemployed:

$$rU = b + \alpha \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} (W(w) - U) dF(w)$$

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha}{r + \delta} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w - w^{R} dF(w)$$

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{\alpha (1 - F(w^{R}))}{r + \delta} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w - w^{R} d\frac{F(w)}{1 - F(w^{R})}$$

- 1. $jf = \alpha (1 F(w^R))$ is the job finding rate
- 2. $G(w) = \frac{F(w)}{1 F(w^R)}$ is the distribution of wages of the employed

More results: Dispersion of wages

Some algebra:

$$w^{R} = b + \frac{jf}{r + \delta} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w - w^{R} dG(w)$$
 $w^{R} = b + \frac{jf}{r + \delta} \left(\int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} w dG(w) - w^{R} \int_{w^{R}}^{\infty} dG(w) \right)$
 $w^{R} = b + \frac{jf}{r + \delta} \left(\overline{w} - w^{R} \right)$
 $1 = b + \frac{jf}{r + \delta} \left(\frac{\overline{w}}{w^{R}} - 1 \right)$

And the result:

$$\frac{\overline{w}}{w^R} = \frac{1 + \frac{jf}{r+\delta}}{r + \frac{jf}{r+\delta}}$$

McCall Models

Extension: Human capital

Model setup

Results based on Ljungqvist & Sargent (1998)

- Study hysteresis (persistence) of unemployment in Europe
- ► Focus on scarring effects of unemployment
 - Depreciation of human capital
- Welfare benefits extend unemployment duration
 - Side effect: Long term unemployment due to low human capital
 - Better to stay on welfare than to work if human capital is too low

Model setup

- Discrete time and a continuum of workers with linear utility
 - lacktriangle Perpetual youth model with survival probability lpha
- ▶ Variable search effort: *s* > 0
 - Effort has a cost C(s) and changes probability of offers $\pi(s)$
- ▶ Wage offers from exogenous distribution F(w)
- ▶ Probability of separation $\delta \in [0, 1]$
- Human capital h (take home pay is wh)
 - h increases while employed and decreases while unemployed
- Unemployment income b
 - ightharpoonup Benefits financed with income taxes au
 - ▶ Optional: Benefits expire with probability p_b and go to \underline{b}

Assumptions on human capital

- Human capital changes in discrete amounts
 - Human capital lies on a grid:

$$\vec{h} = [\underline{h}, \underline{h} + \Delta, \dots, \underline{h} + n\Delta, \dots, \overline{h}]$$

- ▶ If unemployed human capital goes down by $\psi_u \Delta$ every period $(\psi_u \in \mathbb{N})$
- ▶ If fired human capital goes down by $\psi_f \Delta$ immediately $(\psi_f \in \mathbb{N})$
- ▶ If employed human capital goes up by Δ every period
- ▶ Changes in human capital are capped by \underline{h} and \overline{h}
- Newborns are unemployed with some h

Bellman equations

Employed agent:

$$W(w, h) = (1 - \tau) wh + \alpha \beta \delta U(\max\{h - \psi_f \Delta, \underline{h}\}) + \alpha \beta (1 - \delta) W(w, \min\{h + \Delta, \overline{h}\})$$

Unemployed agent:

$$U(h) = \max_{s} (1 - \tau) b - C(s) + \alpha \beta \pi(s) \int_{0}^{\infty} \max \left\{ W(w, h'), U(h') \right\} dF(w)$$
$$+ \alpha \beta (1 - \pi(s)) U(h')$$
$$\text{s.t. } h' = \max \{ h - \psi_{u} \Delta, \underline{h} \}$$

Government

- Let $G^{U}(h)$ be the distribution of h for the unemployed
- Let $G^W(w,h)$ be the joint distribution of h for the employed
 - We set it up such that: $\int_h dG^U(h) + \int_w \int_h dG^W(w,h) = 1$
 - ▶ The unemployment rate is $u = \int_{h} dG^{U}(h)$
- ► Government solves for τ such that:

$$\int_{h} bdG^{U}(h) = \int_{h} \tau bdG^{U}(h) + \int_{w} \int_{h} \tau whdG^{W}(w, h)$$
 $(1 - \tau) bu = \tau \int_{w} \int_{h} whdG^{W}(h)(w, h)$
 $(1 - \tau) bu = \tau E[wh](1 - u)$

Stationary RCE

A Stationary RCE is a list of policy functions for the unemployed $\{s^{\star}(h), w^{R}(h)\}$, value functions for the employed and unemployed $\{W(w,h), U(h)\}$, a stationary distribution for employed and unemployed agents $\{G^{U}, G^{W}\}$, and a tax rate $\{\tau\}$, such that:

- Given taxes and the value function of the employed, policy functions are optimal for the unemployed, and the value function satisfies the Bellman equation
- 2. Given taxes and the value function of the unemployed, the value function of the employed satisfies the Bellman equation
- 3. Given policy functions the distributions are stationary
- 4. Given distributions tax rate balances the government budget

Algorithm

- 0. Discretize wages (you can also discretize the offer distribution F)
- 1. Choose a tax rate
- 2. Solve for the value functions using VFI
 - 2.1 In each VFI step solve the policy functions of the unemployed
- 3. Use histogram method to update the distributions. Two options:
 - 3.1 List all states in a vector

$$\left\{\left(U,\underline{h}\right),\ldots,\left(U,\overline{h}\right),\left(W,w_{1},\overline{h}\right),\ldots,\left(W,w_{N},\underline{h}\right),\ldots,\left(W,w_{1},\overline{h}\right),\ldots,\left(W,w_{N},\overline{h}\right)\right\}$$

Create transition matrix.

- 3.2 Use loops to update. This can be easier to code. Probably as fast.
- 4. Compute the government deficit
- 5. Update tax rate (say with golden-section or any root-finding)

McCall Models

Extension: Savings and Income Risk

Model setup

Continuous time and a continuum of risk averse agents

$$E_{0}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}e^{-\rho t}\left(u\left(c_{t}\right)-e\left(s_{t}\right)\right)dt\right]$$

- Utility is CRRA $u(c_t) = c_t^{1-\gamma} 1/1 \gamma$ and effort cost is $e(s_t) = \mu s^{\eta}/\eta$
- ► Agents can save is risk-less asset:

$$\dot{a} = ra + \underbrace{y}_{lncome} - c$$
 s.t. $a \ge \underline{a}$

- Search effort affects arrival of job offers, which follow Poisson with λs
 - Job offers come from distribution F (w)
- lacksquare Jobs end endogenously (on-the-job-search) or exogenously at rate δ

Bellman equations

Employed agent:

$$\rho W(a, w) = \max_{\{c, s\}} u(c) - e(s) + W_a(a, w) \underbrace{(ra + w - c)}_{\dot{a}}$$
$$+ \lambda s \int \max \{W(a, x) - W(a, w), 0\} dF(x) + \delta (U - W(a, w))$$

Unemployed agent:

$$\rho U(a) = \max_{\{c,s\}} u(c) - e(s) + U_a(a) \underbrace{(ra + b - c)}_{\dot{a}}$$
$$+ \lambda s \int \max \{W(a,x) - U(a), 0\} dF(x)$$

Optimality conditions

The consumption and search effort choices are immediate:

$$u'(c^{U}(a)) = U_{a}(a)$$
 $e'(s^{U}(a)) = \lambda \int \max\{W(a, x) - U(a), 0\} dF(x)$
 $u'(c^{W}(a, w)) = W_{a}(a, w)$
 $e'(s^{W}(a, w)) = \lambda \int \max\{W(a, x) - W(a, w), 0\} dF$

The reservation wages are also simple:

$$w_R^U(a) = b$$
 $w_R^W(a, w) = w$

Immediate from job offer and separation rates being independent of (a, w)

Solution

- ➤ You can use finite difference or Markov chain approximation to solve this problem
- ▶ You can also use simple (but slower) value function iteration
- For the distribution use the KFE or histogram method
- Careful with how to manage draws from wages
- ➤ You can also pose the equivalent discrete time version and use all the tools we have
- Even without the numerical solution Jeremy shows that the model gives many closed form expressions (READ THE PAPER!)

Other Resources

Other resources

- Quantecon has a good set of notes on how to solve these models
 - Click here and check Lectures I-V
- ► Sargent & Junqvist The book!

Applications

- ▶ UI: Chetty (2008, JPE), Schmieder et al (2013)
- ► Earnings losses after layoffs: Kolikowski (2013)
- ▶ Bankruptcy: Athreya & Simpson (2006), Chen (2012), Athreya et al (2014)
- ► Mortgage Crisis: Herkenhoff & Ohanian (2012)