

# Problem Set IV

Econometrics I - FGV EPGE  
Instructor: Raul Guarini Riva  
TA: Taric Latif Padovani

## Problem 1 – (points: 2)

In the next questions, you will be asked to derive CLTs for the sample mean under different assumptions. You should decide what type of theorem to use in each case and *impose the assumptions you think you need*. You have less assumptions than you need on purpose. Justify your choices.

- a) Suppose  $X_t$  is an  $\alpha$ -mixing weakly stationary process with  $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = 0$  and covariance function  $\gamma(h)$ . Let  $Y_t = X_t + 0.5 \cdot X_{t-1}$ . Derive a CLT for  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T Y_t$ .
- b) Suppose that  $X_t$  is an i.i.d. scalar sequence with zero mean and finite fourth moment. If  $Y_t \equiv X_t X_{t-1}$ , derive a CLT for  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=2}^T Y_t$

## Problem 2 – (points: 1)

During the derivation of the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test, we used a trick and invoked a result without proving it. You will prove it now. Consider  $p$  coefficients from an AR( $p$ ) process given by  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p$ . Consider the following  $p \times p$  matrix  $B$ :

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\mathbf{y}_{t-1} = (y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots, y_{t-p})'$  be a  $p \times 1$  vector. Show that:

$$B\mathbf{y}_{t-1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{t-1} \\ \Delta y_{t-1} \\ \Delta y_{t-2} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta y_{t-p+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Furthermore, let  $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p)'$  and assume that there exist scalars  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  and a vector  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_{p-1})' \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$  such that:

$$\phi = \mathbf{B}' \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

Show that this transformation implies:

- (a)  $\rho = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_p = \sum_{j=1}^p \phi_j$ ;  
(b) An AR( $p$ ) process can be equivalently written as:

$$y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \beta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \beta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $\varepsilon_t$  is the innovation term.

### Problem 3 – (points: 1)

Consider an AR-DL(1,2) model where  $y_t$  depends on its own lag and on current and lagged values of an exogenous variable  $x_t$ :

$$y_t = \alpha + \rho y_{t-1} + \beta_0 x_t + \beta_1 x_{t-1} + \beta_2 x_{t-2} + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $|\rho| < 1$  ensures stationarity, and  $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_t | y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots, x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots] = 0$ .

- (a) **Impact Multiplier:** Suppose that at time  $t = 0$ , the system is in equilibrium with  $y_t = \bar{y}$  for all  $t \leq 0$  and  $x_t = \bar{x}$  for all  $t \leq 0$ . At time  $t = 1$ , there is a one-time shock such that  $x_1 = \bar{x} + 1$  (i.e.,  $x$  increases by one unit), and then  $x_t = \bar{x}$  for all  $t \geq 2$ .

Compute the *impact multiplier*, defined as the immediate effect on  $y_1$ :

$$\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x_1} = ?$$

- (b) **Dynamic Multipliers:** Continuing from part (a), compute the effect of this shock on  $y_t$  for  $t = 2, 3, 4$ . Show that:

$$y_2 - \bar{y} = \rho \beta_0 + \beta_1$$

$$y_3 - \bar{y} = \rho^2 \beta_0 + \rho \beta_1 + \beta_2$$

$$y_4 - \bar{y} = \rho^3 \beta_0 + \rho^2 \beta_1 + \rho \beta_2$$

What is the general pattern here?

- (c) **Long-Run Multiplier:** The *long-run multiplier* is defined as the cumulative effect of a permanent one-unit increase in  $x$  on the long-run equilibrium value of  $y$ .

Suppose instead that starting at  $t = 1$ ,  $x$  increases permanently from  $\bar{x}$  to  $\bar{x} + 1$  (i.e.,  $x_t = \bar{x} + 1$  for all  $t \geq 1$ ). Let  $y^*$  denote the new long-run equilibrium value of  $y$ . Show that:

$$y^* = \frac{\alpha + (\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)(\bar{x} + 1)}{1 - \rho}$$

and therefore the long-run multiplier is:

$$\frac{dy^*}{dx} = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2}{1 - \rho}$$

*Hint:* In the new steady state,  $y_t = y_{t-1} = y^*$  and  $x_t = x_{t-1} = x_{t-2} = \bar{x} + 1$ .

- (d) **General AR-DL(1,q) Case:** Consider the more general AR-DL(1,q) model:

$$y_t = \alpha + \rho y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=0}^q \beta_j x_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t$$

Using the same reasoning as in part (c), show that the long-run multiplier is:

$$\text{LRM} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^q \beta_j}{1 - \rho}$$

Interpret this result: why does the autoregressive coefficient  $\rho$  amplify (if  $\rho > 0$ ) or dampen (if  $\rho < 0$ ) the long-run effect relative to the sum of the contemporaneous and lagged coefficients on  $x$ ?

## Problem 4 – (points: 2)

Take the linear model  $Y_t = X_t' \beta + e_t$  with  $\mathbb{E}[Z_t e_t] = 0$  for some variable  $Z_t \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ , where  $Y_t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Consider the GMM estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  of  $\beta$  and assume  $\ell \geq k$ . Let  $J = T \hat{m}_T(\hat{\beta})' \hat{S}^{-1} \hat{m}_T(\hat{\beta})$  be the  $J$ -statistic for the test regarding overidentifying restrictions.  $S$  is the asymptotic variance of the moment conditions and  $\hat{S}$  is a consistent estimator.

We also let  $\mathbf{X}_{T \times k} \equiv (X_1', \dots, X_T')'$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_{T \times \ell} \equiv (Z_1', \dots, Z_T')'$ . Finally,  $\mathbf{I}_\ell$  is the  $\ell \times \ell$  identity matrix. We will now show that  $J \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{\ell-k}^2$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  by demonstrating the following items:

- (a) Argue that we can write  $S^{-1} = \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}'$  and  $S = \mathbf{C}'^{-1} \mathbf{C}^{-1}$  for some matrix  $\mathbf{C}$ .
- (b) Show that we can write  $J = T (\mathbf{C}' \hat{m}_T(\hat{\beta}))' (\mathbf{C}' \hat{S} \mathbf{C})^{-1} \mathbf{C}' \hat{m}_T(\hat{\beta})$ .

(c) Show that  $\mathbf{C}'\hat{m}_T(\hat{\beta}) = \mathbf{A}_T\mathbf{C}'\hat{m}_T(\beta)$  where  $\hat{m}_T(\beta) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t e_t$  and

$$\mathbf{A}_T = \mathbf{I}_\ell - \mathbf{C}' \left( \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{X} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Z} \right) \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{X} \right) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{T} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{Z} \right) \hat{\mathbf{S}}^{-1} \mathbf{C}'^{-1}.$$

(d) Show that  $\mathbf{A}_T \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{I}_\ell - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{R}'$  where  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}'\mathbb{E}[Z_t X_t']$ .

(e) Show that  $T^{1/2}\mathbf{C}'\hat{m}_T(\beta) \xrightarrow{d} u \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_\ell)$ .

(f) Show that  $J \xrightarrow{d} u' (\mathbf{I}_\ell - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{R}') u$ .

(g) Show that  $u' (\mathbf{I}_\ell - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{R}') u \sim \chi_{\ell-k}^2$ .

*Hint:*  $\mathbf{I}_\ell - \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{R}'$  is a projection matrix. What do we know about these matrices?

## Problem 5 – (points: 2)

You want to estimate  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[Y_i]$  under the assumption that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ , where  $Y_i$  and  $X_i$  are scalars and observed from a random sample  $\{(Y_i, X_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ . Find an efficient GMM estimator for  $\mu$ . Why the information about  $X_i$  might help to improve the estimation of  $\mu$ ?

## Problem 6 – (points: 2)

In this question, we will explore the asymptotic distribution of the GMM estimator under model misspecification.

The observed data is  $\{Y_i, X_i, Z_i\} \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ,  $k > 1$  and  $\ell > k > 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The model assumed by the econometrician is  $Y = X'\beta + e$  with  $\mathbb{E}[Ze] = 0$ .

(a) Given a weight matrix  $W > 0$  write down the GMM estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  for  $\beta$ .

(b) Suppose the model is misspecified. Specifically, assume that for some  $\delta \neq 0$ ,

$$e = \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{n}} + u$$

$$\mathbb{E}[u | Z] = 0$$

with  $\mu_Z = \mathbb{E}[Z] \neq 0$ . Show that (13.32) implies that  $\mathbb{E}[Ze] \neq 0$ .

(c) Express  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$  as a function of  $W$ ,  $n$ ,  $\delta$ , and the variables  $(X_i, Z_i, u_i)$ .

- (d) Find the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$  in this case and show that it has an asymptotic bias.
- (e) Is this misspecification bias eliminated if we use the optimal weight matrix? Justify your answer.
- (f) Will this misspecification affect consistency?