

CPSC 511 — Fall 2014

Solutions for Question #2 on Midterm Test

In this question you were asked to consider the following **decision problems**.

***k*-Clique**

Instance: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive integer k such that $k \leq |V|$

Question: Does there exist a clique with size at least k in G ?

Half-Clique

Instance: An undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ such that $|V| = 2n$ for some positive integer n

Question: Does there exist a clique with size at least $n = |V|/2$ in G ?

You were asked to use the fact that the ***k*-Clique** problem is \mathcal{NP} -complete to prove that the **Half-Clique** problem is \mathcal{NP} -complete as well.

Assumptions about Encodings

A1. Instances of both problems are encoded over an alphabet Σ_G such that

$$\{\mathbf{v}, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, (,), ,\} \subseteq \Sigma_G$$

so vertices in a graph G can be ordered as

$$V = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$$

where $n = |V|$, and vertices can be represented in a straightforward way. For example, the vertex v_i might be encoded using the letter \mathbf{v} followed by an unpadding decimal representation of the index i . Suppose that encodings of vertices do not include the symbols $($, $)$, or $,$.

- A2. It is possible to decide whether a string $\omega \in \Sigma_G^*$ is a valid encoding of some instance (G, k) of ***k-Clique*** deterministically, using time that is polynomial in the length $|\omega|$ of ω , in the worst case.
- A3. It is possible to decide whether a string $\omega \in \Sigma_G^*$ is a valid encoding of some instance G of ***Half-Clique*** deterministically, using time that is polynomial in the length $|\omega|$ of ω , in the worst case.
- A4. If $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ is a valid encoding of an instance of either ***k-Clique*** or ***Half-Clique***, that includes an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, then the length of ω is
- at least linear in the number of vertices in G , and
 - at most polynomial in the number of vertices in G .
- A5. If ω is a valid encoding of an instance of ***Half-Clique*** — that is, an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ including an even number of vertices — then every vertex $v \in V$ has an encoding as a string in Σ_G^* whose length is at most polynomial¹ in $|\omega|$.
- A6. If ω is a valid encoding of an instance of ***Half-Clique*** — that is, an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ — and μ is a string in Σ_G^* whose length is at most polynomial in the length of ω , then it is possible to decide whether μ encodes a vertex in V deterministically, using time that is polynomial in the length of ω as well.
- A7. If ω is a valid encoding of an instance of either ***k-Clique*** or ***Half-Clique*** that includes an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, then the decimal representation of the number $|V|$ of vertices in G can be computed deterministically from ω using time that is polynomial in the length of ω .
- A8. If ω is a valid encoding of an instance of ***k-Clique*** then the decimal representation of the integer input k can also be computed deterministically from ω using time that is polynomial in the length of ω .
- A9. If ω is a valid encoding of an instance of either ***k-Clique*** or ***Half-Clique*** that includes an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, and $\mu, \nu \in \Sigma_G^*$ are strings encoding vertices $u, v \in V$ respectively, then it is possible to use ω, μ and ν to do each of the following, deterministically, and using time that is polynomial in the length of ω :
- Check whether $u = v$.
 - Check whether $(u, v) \in E$.

Note: It is certainly acceptable if some (or even most) of these assumptions are not mentioned in your answer! However, they all do (very probably) get used in a complete solution for this problem.

¹Indeed, it is likely that every vertex has an encoding whose length is at most *logarithmic* in $|\omega|$, too, but the above assumption is really all that is needed here.

Proof of Membership in \mathcal{NP}

Suppose, now, that $\omega \in \Sigma_G^*$ is an encoding of a Yes-instance of **Half-Clique**. That is, ω encodes a graph $G = (V, E)$ such that G has a clique with size at least n , where $|V| = 2n$.

A **certificate** for ω will initially be defined to be an encoding of a set $S \subseteq V$ such that $|S| = n$ and S is a clique in G .

This might be encoded as a string over an alphabet Σ_C that includes the symbols listed in Assumption A1, above, so that vertices can also be represented as strings in Σ_C^* .

In this case a certificate μ for ω might have the form

$$(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ are strings in Σ_C^* that encode vertices $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in V$, respectively.

Note that, by assumptions A1, A4 and A5, it is possible to choose strings $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$, in the above certificate, whose lengths are at most polynomial in the length of ω — so that there exist integer constants c_1 and c_2 such that every encoding ω of a Yes-instance of **Half-Clique** has a certificate with length at most $p(|\omega|)$, where $p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is the polynomial function such that $p(n) = c_1 n^{c_2}$ for every integer $n \geq 0$.

The definition of a **certificate** will now be slightly modified: A certificate for an encoding ω of a Yes-instance of **Half-Clique** is an encoding $\mu \in \Sigma_C^*$ of a clique in G with size n (where $|V| = 2n$) such that $|\mu| \leq p(|\omega|)$.

Suppose now that $\#$ is a symbol that does not belong to either Σ_G or Σ_C , so that it can be used as a separator between an encoding of an instance of **Half-Clique** and a certificate for this. A **verification algorithm** for **Half-Clique** is an algorithm, taking a string $\zeta \in (\Sigma_G \cup \Sigma_C \cup \{\#\})^*$ as input, that is as shown in Figure 1 on page 4.

It should be clear by an inspection of this algorithm that it accepts a string ζ if and only if ζ is an encoding of a Yes-instance of **Half-Clique** along with a certificate for this encoding, as this has been defined above. Thus this is a **correct** verification algorithm for **Half-Clique**. It should also be clear that the above assumptions — including, in particular, assumptions A3, A4, A6 and A9 — can be used to show that this algorithm can be implemented as a deterministic algorithm (or Turing machine) that uses a number of steps that is polynomial in the length of the encoding ω of the Yes-instance that is part of the input string ζ in the worst case. Thus this is a **polynomial time verification algorithm** for **Half-Clique**, as needed to show that **Half-Clique** $\in \mathcal{NP}$.

On input $\zeta \in (\Sigma_G \cup \Sigma_C \cup \{\#\})^*$:

1. If $\zeta = \omega\#\mu$ where $\omega \in \Sigma_G^*$, $|\mu| \leq p(|\omega|)$, and $\mu \in \Sigma_C^*$, then go to step 2. Otherwise **reject**.
2. If ω is a valid encoding of an instance of **Half-Clique** including an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ such that $|V| = 2n$ for some integer $n \geq 0$ then go to step 3. Otherwise **reject**.
3. If μ is an encoding of a set

$$S = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$$

of n vertices in V then go to step 4. Otherwise **reject**.

4. If u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are distinct and $(u_i, u_j) \in E$ for all integers i and j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ then **accept**. Otherwise **reject**.

Figure 1: A Polynomial-Time Verification Algorithm for **Half-Clique**

Proof That **Half-Clique** is \mathcal{NP} -Hard

Consider a total function

$$f : \Sigma_G^* \rightarrow \Sigma_G^*$$

with the following properties.

- If a string $\omega \in \Sigma_G^*$ is not a valid encoding of an instance of **k-Clique**, then $f(\omega)$ is an encoding of an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with four vertices and no edges —



— that is, an encoding of a small No-instance of **Half-Clique**.

- If a string $\omega \in \Sigma_G^*$ is a valid encoding of an instance of **k-Clique** including an integer input k such that either $k = 0$ or $k = 1$ — so that this is an encoding of a Yes-instance of **k-Clique** — then $f(\omega)$ is an encoding of an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with *two* vertices and no edges —



— that is, an encoding of a small Yes-instance of **Half-Clique**.

- Otherwise ω is a valid encoding of an instance of **k-Clique** that includes an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ and an integer k such that $2 \leq k \leq n = |V|$. In this case $f(\omega)$ is an encoding of a graph $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ that is as follows.

- $\hat{V} = V \cup \{v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{2n}\}$ where the vertices $v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{2n}$ are distinct and do not belong to V — so that $|\hat{V}| = 2n = 2 \cdot |V|$.
- \hat{E} includes
 - * the edges in E
 - * edges (v_{n+i}, v_{n+j}) for all integers i and j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n - k$, and
 - * edges (u, v_{n+i}) for each vertex $u \in V$ and for every integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq n - k$

— and no others. Note that it follows that none of the vertices $v_{2n-k+1}, v_{2n-k+2}, \dots, v_{2n}$ have any neighbours in \hat{G} .

Claim: If ω encodes a Yes-instance (G, k) of **k-Clique** then $f(\omega)$ encodes a Yes-instance of **Half-Clique**.

Proof: This is certainly true if $k = 0$ or $k = 1$. Suppose, instead, that (G, k) is a Yes-instance of **k-Clique** such that $k \geq 2$. Then there exists a subset S of V such that $|S| = k$ and S is a clique in G . It is easy to confirm that the set

$$S \cup \{v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{n-k}\}$$

is then a subset of \hat{V} with size $n = |\hat{V}|/2$ that is a clique in \hat{G} , as needed to show that \hat{G} is a Yes-instance of **Half-Clique**.

Claim: If $f(\omega)$ is an encoding of a Yes-instance \hat{G} of **Half-Clique** then ω is a valid encoding of a Yes-instance (G, k) of **k-Clique**.

Proof: Once again, the claim is trivial if ω is not a valid encoding of an instance of **k-Clique** at all (since $f(\omega)$ encodes a No-instance of **Half-Clique** in this case) or if ω is a valid encoding of an instance (G, k) of **k-Clique** such that $k = 0$ or $k = 1$ (in which case this is trivially a Yes-instance of this problem). It remains only to consider the case that ω is a valid encoding of an instance (G, k) of **k-Clique** such that $k \geq 2$.

Since $f(\omega)$ encodes a Yes-instance $\hat{G} = (\hat{V}, \hat{E})$ of **Half-Clique** such that $|\hat{V}| = 2n \geq 2k \geq 4$, there exists a set $S \subseteq \hat{V}$ with size $n \geq 2$ such that S is a clique in \hat{G} . As noted above, the vertices $v_{2n-k+1}, v_{2n-k+2}, \dots, v_{2n}$ do not have any neighbours in \hat{G} so they cannot belong to S , and

$$S \subseteq V \cup \{v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{2n-k}\}.$$

Now since $|S| = n$ and

$$|S \cap \{v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{2n-k}\}| \leq |\{v_{n+1}, v_{n+2}, \dots, v_{2n-k}\}| = n - k,$$

it follows that

$$|S \cap V| \geq |S| - (n - k) = k.$$

It is now reasonably easy to check that $S \cap V$ is a clique in G with size at least k , as required to confirm that (G, k) is a Yes-instance of ***k-Clique***.

Finally, it should be reasonably clear that the function $f : \Sigma_G^* \rightarrow \Sigma_G^*$ that has now been described can be computed, deterministically, using time that is at most polynomial in the length of the input string ω — see assumptions A1, A2, A7 and A8 above. Thus f is a polynomial-time mapping reduction from ***k-Clique*** to ***Half-Clique***, so that

$$\mathbf{k-Clique} \preceq \mathbf{Half-Clique}.$$

Since ***k-Clique*** is \mathcal{NP} -hard, it follows that ***Half-Clique*** is \mathcal{NP} -hard as well.

Conclusion

Since ***Half-Clique*** $\in \mathcal{NP}$ and ***Half-Clique*** is \mathcal{NP} -hard, it follows that ***Half-Clique*** is \mathcal{NP} -complete.

A Final Note

This solution is *definitely* longer and more detailed than anything needed to receive full marks for this question on the midterm test! However, for full marks it was necessary that

- a ***certificate*** for a Yes-instance of ***Half-Clique*** was clearly described,
- at least a little bit of information about a polynomial-time verification algorithm was provided, and
- a polynomial-time mapping reduction from ***k-Clique*** to ***Half-Clique*** was clearly presented and discussed.