CPSC 513 — Assignment #3 Solutions for Question #4

In this question you were asked to prove the following.

Recursion Theorem for Post-Turing Programs: Let $g: \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a computable (partial or total) function.

Then there exists a number $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi_P^{(m)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, e) = g(e, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m).$$

Solution: As the following indicates the proof of this version of the Recursion Theorem is virtually identical to the proof of the original version of the theorem: All you need to do is replace the recursively enumerable function S_m^n (respectively, the computable function $\Phi^{(m)}$) described in the original version of the Parameter Theorem with the function that is called S_m^n described in the Parameter Theorem for Post-Turing programs that was proved in the answer for Question #3 (respectively, with the function $\Phi_P^{(m)}$ that is also mentioned in the statement of this theorem).

With that noted let $g: \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a computable (partial or total) function. Consider the partial function $h: \mathbb{N}^{m+1} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $v, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, v) = g(S_m^1(v, v), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$$

where $S_m^1:\mathbb{N}^2\to\mathbb{N}$ is the primitive recursive function whose existence is proved and whose properties are described in the Parameter Theorem for Post-Turing programs. Since g is a computable partial or total function, h is a computable partial or total function as well.

It follows that h is (weakly) computed by some Post-Turing program \mathcal{P} . Let $z_0 = \#(\mathcal{P})$. Then

$$g(S_m^1(v,v), x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, v)$$

$$= \Phi_P^{(m+1)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, v, z_0)$$

$$= \Phi_P^{(m)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, S_m^1(v, z_0)).$$

Now let $v=z_0$ and let $e=S^1_m(z_0,z_0)$. Then it follows that, for all $x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_m\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{split} g(e,x_1,x_2,\dots,x_m) &= g(S_m^1(z_0,z_0),x_1,x_2,\dots,x_m) & \text{(since } e = S_m^1(z_0,z_0)) \\ &= \Phi_P^{(m)}(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_m,S_m^1(z_0,z_0)) & \text{(as shown above)} \\ &= \Phi_P^{(m)}(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_m,e) & \text{(since } S_m^1(z_0,z_0) = S_m^1(v,z_0) = e) \end{split}$$

as claimed.