

6.3

Let's start from C

$$V(B) = 0.5 \quad V(D) = 0.5$$

Reward is 0 for either side.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } V(C) &= 0.5 + 0.1(0 + 0.5 - 0.5) \\ &= 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

estimate remains same

Suppose we went to D

$$V(D) = 0.5 + 0.1(0 + 0.5 - 0.5)$$

 $= 0.5$  , whether we went to C or E  
estimate remains same

Suppose we went to E

reward is 1 for going to the terminal state and 0 otherwise.

If we went to the terminal state,

$$V(E) = 0.5 + 0.1(1 + \overset{0}{\cancel{0.5}} - 0.5)$$

$$V(E) = 0.55$$

But according to the figure,  $V(E) = 0.5$   
which means that this episode terminated at the bottom.

$$\begin{aligned} V(A) &= 0.5 + 0.1(0 + 0 - 0.5) \\ &= 0.5 - 0.05 \\ &= 0.45 \end{aligned}$$

Change is -0.05

6.4

Yes. For example, ~~using~~  $\alpha = 1$  would make Monte

MC at  $\alpha = 0.01$  performs better than TD at  $\alpha = 0.01$ .  
~~For lower~~ For higher values of  $\alpha$ , TD seems to perform better than MC. This could be due to the fact that individual rewards don't affect  $V(s)$  as much as episode returns.

6-5

This may be happening due to the whole stochasticity of the process, and due to the updates happening before the final return is generated

$$V(s) \leftarrow V(s) + \alpha (R + V(s') - V(s))$$

$V(s)$  is affected more when  $\alpha$  is larger and  $R$  is fluctuating as well. This may be why we observe the increase in error. With smaller  $\alpha$ , the learning takes place more steadily, but slowly.