## ECSE 543A NUMERICAL METHODS IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

## Assignment 3


Set: 10-Nov-2020 Due: 03-Dec-2020

## **NOTE: QUESTION 1 IS WORTH 20 MARKS**

- 1. You are given a list of measured BH points for M19 steel (Table 1), with which to construct a continuous graph of B versus H.
  - (a) Interpolate the first 6 points using full-domain Lagrange polynomials. Is the result plausible, i.e. do you think it lies close to the true B versus H graph over this range?
  - Now use the same type of interpolation for the 6 points at B = 0, 1.3, 1.4, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9. Is this result plausible?
  - (c) An alternative to full-domain Lagrange polynomials is to interpolate using cubic Hermite polynomials in each of the 5 subdomains between the 6 points given in (b). With this approach, there remain 6 degrees of freedom the slopes at the 6 points. Suggest ways of fixing the 6 slopes to get a good interpolation of the points. Test your suggestion and comment on the results.
  - (d) The magnetic circuit of Figure 1 has a core made of Ml9 steel, with a cross-sectional area of 1 cm<sup>2</sup>.  $L_c = 30$  cm and  $L_a = 0.5$  cm. The coil has N = 800 turns and carries a current I = 10 A. Derive a (nonlinear) equation for the flux  $\psi$  in the core, of the form  $f(\psi) = 0$ .
  - (e) Solve the nonlinear equation using Newton-Raphson. Use a piecewise-linear interpolation of the data in Table 1. Start with zero flux and finish when  $|f(\psi)|/f(0)| < 10^{-6}$ . Record the final flux, and the number of steps taken.
  - (f) Try solving the same problem with successive substitution. If the method does not converge, suggest and test a modification of the method that *does* converge.


B (T)	H (A/m)
0.0	0.0
0.2	14.7
0.4	36.5
0.6	71.7
0.8	121.4
1.0	197.4
1.1	256.2
1.2	348.7
1.3	540.6
1.4	1062.8
1.5	2318.0
1.6	4781.9
1.7	8687.4
1.8	13924.3
1.9	22650.2
	<del></del>

Table 1: BH Data for M19 Steel



## NOTE: ANSWER ONLY ONE OF THE TWO FOLLOWING QUESTIONS (EACH IS WORTH 10 MARKS)

- 2. For the circuit shown in Figure 2 below, the DC voltage E is 200 mV, the resistance R is 512  $\Omega$ , the reverse saturation current for diode A is  $I_{sA} = 0.8 \,\mu\text{A}$ , the reverse saturation current for diode B is  $I_{sB} = 1.1 \,\mu\text{A}$ , and assume  $kT/q = 25 \,\text{mV}$ .
  - (a) Derive nonlinear equations for a vector of nodal voltages,  $\mathbf{v}_n$ , in the form  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{v}_n) = 0$ . Give  $\mathbf{f}$  explicitly in terms of the variables  $I_{SA}$ ,  $I_{SB}$ , E, R and  $\mathbf{v}_n$ .
  - (b) Solve the equation  $\mathbf{f} = 0$  by the Newton-Raphson method. At each step, record  $\mathbf{f}$  and the voltage across each diode. Is the convergence quadratic? [Hint: define a suitable error measure  $\varepsilon_k$ ].



- 3. Write a program that accepts as input the values for the parameters  $x_0$ ,  $x_N$ , and N and integrates a function f(x) on the interval  $x = x_0$  to  $x = x_N$  by dividing the interval into N equal segments and using one-point Gauss-Legendre integration for each segment.
  - (a) Use your program to integrate the function f(x) = sin(x) on the interval  $x_0 = 0$  to  $x_N = 1$  for N = 1, 2, ..., 20. Plot  $log_{10}(E)$  versus  $log_{10}(N)$  for N=1,2,...,20, where E is the absolute error in the computed integral. Comment on the result.
  - (b) Repeat part (a) for the function f(x) = ln(x), only this time for N = 10, 20, ..., 200. Comment on the result.
  - (c) Repeat part (b) for the function  $f(x) = ln(0.2 | \sin(x) |)$ . Comment on the result.
  - (d) An alternative to dividing the interval into equal segments is to use smaller segments in more difficult parts of the interval. Experiment with a scheme of this kind, and see how accurately you can integrate f(x) in part (b) and (c) using only 10 segments. Comment on the results.