

Software Engineering: Tutorial 9

David Voigt

October 23th, 2022

Agenda

1. Common mistakes in the last homework
2. Subtyping
3. Variance
4. exercise

Common mistakes: Fixation on hash maps

```
class StdInStore(  
    private var myStorage: HashMap[String, String]  
) extends Store {  
    def readInput(): Unit = {  
        var key = ""  
        while (key != ":q") {  
            key = readLine("Enter Key (:q to stop input): ")  
            if (key != ":q") {  
                val value = readLine("Enter Value for previous key: ")  
                myStorage = myStorage.updated(key, value);  
            }  
        }  
    }  
  
    def get(key: String): Option[String] = {  
        myStorage.get(key)  
    }  
}
```

Common mistakes: Fixation on hash maps

```
class StdInStore(  
    private var myStorage: HashMap[String, String]  
) extends Store {  
    def readInput(): Unit = {  
        var key = ""  
        while (key != ":q") {  
            key = readLine("Enter Key (:q to stop input): ")  
            if (key != ":q") {  
                val value = readLine("Enter Value for previous key: ")  
                myStorage = myStorage.updated(key, value);  
            }  
        }  
    }  
    def get(key: String): Option[String] = {  
        myStorage.get(key)  
    }  
}
```

- The Store interface is not directly related to a hash map. Only the converse.

Common mistakes: Fixation on hash maps

```
class StdInStore extends Store {  
  def get(key: String): Option[String] =  
    readLine(s"Enter a value for $key: ") match {  
      case null | "" => None  
      case s => Some(s)  
    }  
}
```

Common mistakes: Use regexes

- Instead of manually juggling with indices by splitting strings, one may rather use regular expressions for parsing
- This really simplifies the parser for time and distance

Common mistakes: Use regexes

- Instead of manually juggling with indices by splitting strings, one may rather use regular expressions for parsing
- This really simplifies the parser for time and distance

```
case class Distance(n: Int)
object Distance {
  private val pKilometer = raw"(\d+) km".r
  private val pMeter = raw"(\d+) m".r
  def parseDistance(s: String): Option[Distance] = s match {
    case pKilometer(n) => Some(Distance(n.toInt * 1000))
    case pMeter(n) => Some(Distance(n.toInt))
    case _ => None
  }
}
```

Subtyping & Variance

Wait... subtyping - never heard of it

Well yes, you have in Informatik 2 (maybe by another name):

```
class Item
class Buyable extends Item
class Book extends Buyable
```

- Here, Buyable is a **supertype** of Book, while Book is a **subtype** of Buyable (similarly for Item and Buyable)
- In Scala we may write this relation as `Book <: Buyable <: Item`
- How is this useful? A **subtype** may be used everywhere a **supertype** is expected!

```
def getPrice[T <: Buyable](b: T): Price
```

Variance: Definition

- Variance is directly related to subtyping and describes how a type constructor transform the ordering of types
- Let $T: * \rightarrow *$ be type constructor, and A and B types
 - **Covariance:** $A <: B \Rightarrow T\langle A \rangle <: T\langle B \rangle$
 - **Contravariance:** $A <: B \Rightarrow T\langle B \rangle <: T\langle A \rangle$
 - **Invariance:** If neither covariant nor contravariant, then invariant

type- what now?

- Type constructors are similar to value constructors.
- Take for example the **value constructor** `Some`, `Some` takes a value argument and creates a value of type `Option[T]`, where `T` is the type of the value argument.
- Similarly, `Option` can be seen as a **type constructor** that takes one type as argument and returns a type. For example, the type `Int` applied to `Option` yields the type `Option[Int]`.
- `* -> *` is called a kind and is the “type” of type, that is, in this example, one type is expected and a new type is returned.
`* -> *` is the the kind of the type constructor `Option`

Example: Mutable Array

- Array has the kind $* \rightarrow *$, that is, it takes one type parameter and returns a new type
- An mutable array has to be invariant. Why?
- Lets consider an an example array `Array[Animal]`, where `Cat <: Animal` and `Dog <: Animal`

- **Contravariance:** `Array[Animal] <: Array[Cat]` and `Array[Animal] <: Array[Dog]`, but then a user reading from an `Array[Cat]` may encounter a dog!

```
var a: Array[Cat] = ...; val b: Array[Animal] = ...; a = b // !!!
```

- **Covariance:** `Array[Cat] <: Array[Animal]` and `Array[Dog] <: Array[Animal]`, but then a user might insert a Dog into an `Array[Cat]!`

```
var a: Array[Animal] = ...; val b: Array[Cat] = ...  
a=b; a.insert(Dog()) // !!!
```

- Conclusion:
 - Read-only data types may be covariant
 - Write-only data types may be contravariant

- <https://github.com/se-tuebingen-exercises/tut7-exercise9>
- `git clone`
`git@github.com:se-tuebingen-exercises/tut7-exercise9.git`