

# CS5011 A3 Report

170008773

19th November 2017

## 1 Parts completed

- We successfully implemented all the requirements for part 1
- We again, successfully implemented all the requirements for part 2.

## 2 Parts not completed

- At time of writing we did not attempt to implement the SAT-solver strategy.

## 3 Literature review

### The history

**The rules** Minesweeper consists of a rectangular board of cells. At the start of the game, all the cells are covered, and some cells will contain mines. The Player/agent can perform two actions in this game: Flagging or uncovering a cell. If a cell containing a mine is uncovered the agent has lost the game. If a cell that does not contain a mine is uncovered it will reveal a number. This number is equal to the number of cells that are adjacent to the uncovered cell and contain a mine. If a cell is uncovered that is not adjacent to any mines, all of its neighbours will be uncovered. The agent has won when all of the cells that do not contain a mine are uncovered.

**P vs. NP** Much has been written about the complexity of minesweeper. Complexity is a measure of how “hard” a problem is. Kaye (2000) tells us that complexity-theory is a way of estimating the amount of time needed to solve a problem given the *length* of the input. The first class of problems is a class called P, for *polynomial-time computable* problems. These are the problems that when given an input of length  $n$ , that can be solved in  $n^k$  steps for some exponent  $k$ . Kaye writes that these problems are precisely the ones that are practically solvable. Conversely NP or *Nondeterministic Polynomial-time computable* is a class of problems that is solvable in polynomial time using “non-deterministic” algorithms (i.e. algorithms where the computer is allowed to make some guesses).

**The complexity of minesweeper** Kaye (2000) proved that minesweeper is NP-Complete. This

## 4 Design

## 5 Examples and Testing

### 5.1 Testing

**Initial testing** During the early stages of developemtn we mainly used two forms of testing. Manual inspection of states and outputs and **assert** statements.

#### Framework

### 5.2 Examples

**A single run** A single run of the programm using the easy equation strategy looks as follows:

```
java -jar Logic2.jar ../worlds/easy/nworld1
```

```
Starting new game
```

```
Probing: (0,0)
```

```
0  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?
```

```
Probing: (1,0)
```

```
0  0  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?
```

```
Probing: (2,0)
```

```
0  0  0  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?
```

```
Probing: (3,0)
```

```
0  0  0  2  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?
```

```
Probing: (1,1)
```

```
0  0  0  2  ?  
?  0  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?  
?  ?  ?  ?  ?
```

? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (0,1)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (0,2)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 ? ? ?  
 1 ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (1,2)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 ? ? ?  
 1 2 ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (2,1)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 0 ? ?  
 1 2 ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (3,1)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 0 2 ?  
 1 2 ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (2,2)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 0 2 ?  
 1 2 1 ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (3,2)

0 0 0 2 ?  
 0 0 0 2 ?  
 1 2 1 2 ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

SPS

Checking Cell (1,2)

Checking Cell (2,2)

Checking Cell (3,2)  
 Checking Cell (3,1)  
 Checking Cell (3,0)  
 Flagging: (4,0)  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 0 0 0 2 ?  
 1 2 1 2 ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Flagging: (4,1)  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 1 2 1 2 ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

SPS  
 Checking Cell (1,2)  
 Checking Cell (2,2)  
 Checking Cell (3,2)  
 Checking Cell (3,1)  
 Probing: (4,2)  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 1 2 1 2 1  
 ? ? ? ? ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

SPS  
 Checking Cell (1,2)  
 Checking Cell (2,2)  
 Checking Cell (3,2)  
 Checking Cell (4,2)  
 Probing: (3,3)  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 1 2 1 2 1  
 ? ? ? 2 ?  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (4,3)  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 1 2 1 2 1  
 ? ? ? 2 0  
 ? ? ? ? ?

Probing: (3,4)  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 0 0 0 2 F  
 1 2 1 2 1  
 ? ? ? 2 0  
 ? ? ? 2 ?

Probing: (4,4)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F
1	2	1	2	1
?	?	?	2	0
?	?	?	2	0

SPS

Checking Cell (1,2)

Checking Cell (3,4)

Flagging: (2,3)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F
1	2	1	2	1
?	?	F	2	0
?	?	?	2	0

Flagging: (2,4)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F
1	2	1	2	1
?	?	F	2	0
?	?	F	2	0

SPS

Checking Cell (1,2)

Checking Cell (2,2)

Probing: (1,3)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F
1	2	1	2	1
?	3	F	2	0
?	?	F	2	0

SPS

Checking Cell (1,2)

Flagging: (0,3)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F
1	2	1	2	1
F	3	F	2	0
?	?	F	2	0

Probing: (0,4)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F
1	2	1	2	1
F	3	F	2	0
1	?	F	2	0

Probing: (1,4)

0	0	0	2	F
0	0	0	2	F

```

1  2  1  2  1
F  3  F  2  0
1  3  F  2  0

```

```

Final number of random guesses: 0
Final number of probes: 20
Final number of flags: 5
Number of runs untill success: 1

```

Whereas a run form the ProduceExperimentReport.jar looks like this:

```

flags
                                EASY.EQUATION,  RANDOM.GUESS,  SINGLE.POINT,
../ worlds/easy/nworld1        5,              5,              5,
../ worlds/easy/nworld2        9,              5,              8,
../ worlds/easy/nworld3        8,              5,              7,
../ worlds/easy/nworld4        7,              5,              7,
../ worlds/easy/nworld5        8,              5,              7,
../ worlds/hard/nworld1       20,              0,             20,
../ worlds/hard/nworld2       34,              0,             32,
../ worlds/hard/nworld3       33,              0,             35,
../ worlds/hard/nworld4       34,              0,             34,
../ worlds/hard/nworld5       34,              0,             34,
../ worlds/medium/nworld1      16,              0,             16,
../ worlds/medium/nworld2      10,              0,             10,
../ worlds/medium/nworld3      16,              0,             17,
../ worlds/medium/nworld4      10,              0,             10,
../ worlds/medium/nworld5      16,              0,             16,

probes
                                EASY.EQUATION,  RANDOM.GUESS,  SINGLE.POINT,
../ worlds/easy/nworld1       20,              20,             20,
../ worlds/easy/nworld2       16,              20,             17,
../ worlds/easy/nworld3       17,              20,             18,
../ worlds/easy/nworld4       18,              20,             18,
../ worlds/easy/nworld5       17,              20,             18,
../ worlds/hard/nworld1       80,              67,             80,
../ worlds/hard/nworld2       66,              11,             68,
../ worlds/hard/nworld3       67,              45,             65,
../ worlds/hard/nworld4       66,              36,             66,
../ worlds/hard/nworld5       66,              25,             66,
../ worlds/medium/nworld1      65,              19,             65,
../ worlds/medium/nworld2      71,              57,             71,
../ worlds/medium/nworld3      65,              34,             64,
../ worlds/medium/nworld4      71,              50,             71,
../ worlds/medium/nworld5      65,              65,             65,

randomGuesses
                                EASY.EQUATION,  RANDOM.GUESS,  SINGLE.POINT,
../ worlds/easy/nworld1        0,              4,              0,
../ worlds/easy/nworld2        0,              6,              6,
../ worlds/easy/nworld3        0,              7,              1,
../ worlds/easy/nworld4        0,              4,              0,
../ worlds/easy/nworld5        0,              4,              2,

```

../ worlds/hard/nworld1	0,	7,	5,
../ worlds/hard/nworld2	0,	1,	5,
../ worlds/hard/nworld3	0,	1,	1,
../ worlds/hard/nworld4	0,	1,	0,
../ worlds/hard/nworld5	0,	6,	0,
../ worlds/medium/nworld1	0,	2,	0,
../ worlds/medium/nworld2	0,	1,	0,
../ worlds/medium/nworld3	0,	6,	2,
../ worlds/medium/nworld4	0,	2,	0,
../ worlds/medium/nworld5	0,	9,	1,

runsUntilSuccess

	EASY.EQUATION,	RANDOM.GUESS,	SINGLE.POINT,
../ worlds/easy/nworld1	1,	72,	1,
../ worlds/easy/nworld2	1,	345,	3,
../ worlds/easy/nworld3	1,	523,	5,
../ worlds/easy/nworld4	1,	161,	1,
../ worlds/easy/nworld5	1,	137,	3,
../ worlds/hard/nworld1	1,	1000,	4,
../ worlds/hard/nworld2	1,	1000,	6,
../ worlds/hard/nworld3	1,	1000,	2,
../ worlds/hard/nworld4	1,	1000,	1,
../ worlds/hard/nworld5	1,	1000,	1,
../ worlds/medium/nworld1	1,	1000,	1,
../ worlds/medium/nworld2	1,	1000,	1,
../ worlds/medium/nworld3	1,	1000,	19,
../ worlds/medium/nworld4	1,	1000,	1,
../ worlds/medium/nworld5	1,	1000,	3,

## 6 Running

- Several `.jar` files are included with the submission. All of the `LogicN.jar` files should be run in the same maner: `java -jar LogicN.jar <testDirectory>` The program expects there to be a file in this directory called `map.txt`. The format of this file is as follows. The first three lines of the file should contain just one integer. The first two should be the length and width of the world respectively. The third should be the number of nettles present in the world. Then the array of the world should follow in CSV format (i.e. rows of integers seperated by commas and rows should be seperated by newlines). For example:

```

5
5
0, 0, 0, 2, -1
0, 0, 0, 2,-1
1, 2, 1, 2, 1
-1, 3, -1, 2, 0
1, 3, -1, 2, 0

```

Further examples of the file and directory structure that the programmes expect are included.

- There is another `.jar` file included with the submission called `ProduceExperimentReport.jar`. This file expects as argument the root directory of the experiments. It will then recursively go through this directory tree looking for files called `map.txt` and running the experiments it finds with all provided

implementations and record the data those experiments report. When all the experiments are done it will output the result in a table format (one for every variable)

## 7 Evaluation

## 8 Conclusion

word count:

## References

Kaye, R. (2000). Minesweeper is NP-complete. *Mathematical Intelligencer*, 22(2):9.