

## Numerical Mathematics II for Engineers

### Homework Assignment 1: Submitted on October 28th, 2019

Submitted by **Group 5**

---

Kagan Atci	338131	Physiscal Engineering, M.Sc.
Daniel V. Herrmannsdoerfer	...	...
Navneet Singh	...	...

---

## Exercise 1

a & b)

- Common PDE: Kaup-Kupersmidt equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^5 u}{\partial x^5} + 10 \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} u + 25 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 20 u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \quad (1)$$

is a PDE fifth order.

- Member 1 PDE: Hunter-Saxton equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \quad (2)$$

is a PDE second order.

- Member 2 PDE: Liouville equation

$$\nabla^2 u + e^{\lambda u} = 0 \quad (3)$$

is a PDE second order.

- Member 3 PDE:  $\varphi^4$  - Equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} - \varphi + \varphi^3 = 0 \quad (4)$$

is a PDE second order.

c) The Navier-Stokes-Equation describes the motion of the viscous fluid substances and is expressed for compressible fluid as

$$\rho(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u) = -\nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 u + f \quad (5)$$

with  $\rho$  the density,  $u$  velocity vector,  $p$  pressure, and  $\mu$  kinematic viscosity of the fluid. Equation (5) is expressed in homogenous form by setting  $f = 0$  as follows

$$\rho(\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u) + \nabla p - \mu \nabla^2 u = 0 \quad (6)$$

For  $u(t, x) = (u_0 x_2 (H - x_2), 0)^T$  with  $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega = \mathbb{R} \times (0, H)$ , and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , the partial differentiations result

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = (0, 0)^T \quad (7)$$

$$\nabla u = (0, 0)^T \quad (8)$$

$$\nabla^2 u = (0, 0)^T \quad (9)$$

since  $u$  is not  $t$ -dependent and  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are not effected by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , respectively. Equations 7, 8 and 9 show that  $u$  is a twice differentiable function, satisfying the homogenous Navier-Stokes PDE with a boundary condition in a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , which is referred to as the classical solution for second order PDEs. For the given conditions, Equation (6) can be expressed as

$$\nabla p = 0 \quad (10)$$

This can be referred to a 2D-flow model of a fluid in a tube with a width of  $H$  at any certain height, which is observed along the gravity axis. Therefore, the pressure in the domain  $\Omega$  is described as  $p = \text{const.} \in [0, \infty)$ .

## Exercise 2

Given is the Toeplitz-Matrix

$$\underline{\underline{K_4}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \quad (11)$$

and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \underline{\underline{x}}^T \underline{\underline{K_4}} \underline{\underline{x}} : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

a)  $f(x)$  can be expressed by executing the matrix multiplication as

$$f(x) = x_1^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 + (x_2 - x_3)^2 + (x_3 - x_4)^2 + x_4^2 \quad (12)$$

and the gradient of  $f(x)$  is

$$\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 4x_1 - x_2 \\ -x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3 \\ -x_2 + 4x_3 - x_4 \\ -x_3 + 4x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - \frac{x_2}{2} \\ \frac{-x_1}{2} + 2x_2 - \frac{x_3}{2} \\ \frac{-x_2}{2} + 2x_3 - \frac{x_4}{2} \\ \frac{-x_3}{2} + 2x_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

and  $\underline{\underline{K_4x}}$  gives

$$\underline{\underline{K_4x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - \frac{x_2}{2} \\ \frac{-x_1}{2} + 2x_2 - \frac{x_3}{2} \\ \frac{-x_2}{2} + 2x_3 - \frac{x_4}{2} \\ \frac{-x_3}{2} + 2x_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Hence, the statement  $\nabla f(x) = \underline{\underline{K_4x}}$  is verified, since Equation (13) and Equation (14) portray equal functionals.

**b)** A real symmetric matrix  $\underline{\underline{K_n}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is considered as positive definite, if  $\underline{x}^T \underline{\underline{K_n}} \underline{x} > 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .  $f(x)$  is a good example for fulfilment of this condition, since the  $\underline{x}^T \underline{\underline{K_4x}}$  is already expanded in Equation (12) that consists of sum of square terms, and therefore non-negative for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

**c)** In the first step of the induction  $\det(K_1)$  for  $n = 1$  is investigated. With

$$\det(\underline{\underline{K_1}}) = \det(2) = 2 \quad (15)$$

the statement  $\det(\underline{\underline{K_n}}) = n + 1$  is fulfilled.

In the second step, we prove a statement for a general  $n$ , assuming that the relation holds for every value up to  $n-1$ . The determinant  $\det(\underline{\underline{K_n}})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is written

with the Laplace expansion as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}_n = 2 \cdot (-1)^{n+n} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}_{n-1} + \dots \\
 & \dots - 1 \cdot (-1)^{n+n-1} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}_{n-1} \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

The minor determinant in the second term on the right hand-side of Equation (16) is further expanded as

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}_{n-1} = (-1) \cdot (-1)^{n-1+n-1} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & -1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}_{n-2} \quad (17)$$

With Equation (17) plugged in Equation (16), and assuming that stated relation holds,  $\det(\underline{\underline{K}}_n)$  can be expressed as

$$\det(\underline{\underline{K}}_n) = 2 \det(\underline{\underline{K}}_{n-1}) - \det(\underline{\underline{K}}_{n-2}) \quad (18)$$

$$= 2n - (n - 1) = n + 1 \quad (19)$$