

Name – Vipin Dhoundiyal

Student Id/ email – 2025aa05527 / 2025aa05527@wilp.bits-pilani.ac.in

Date – 2026-02-15

1. **GitHub link** - <https://github.com/dvipin2/ml-assignment>
2. **Streamlit App link** - <https://ml-assignment-dvipin2.streamlit.app/>
3. **readme.md Content** –

#### **Problem statement**

Implement binary classification models for classifying Breast Cancer dataset as Benign vs Malignant. This Project includes

- Python Notebook which classifies the problem statement using 6 models and saves the related model artifacts
- Evaluates the result corresponding to each model
- Also include Streamlit App for Model testing and accuracy.

#### **Dataset Description**

This dataset contains the Diagnostic Wisconsin Breast Cancer Database. Features are computed from a digitized image of a fine needle aspirate (FNA) of a breast mass, describing characteristics of cell nuclei present in the image. Sample images are available at <http://www.cs.wisc.edu/~street/images/>

dataset Fields:

- mean radius
- mean texture
- mean perimeter
- mean area
- mean smoothness
- mean compactness
- mean concavity
- mean concave points

- mean symmetry
- mean fractal dimension
- radius error
- texture error
- perimeter error
- area error',
- smoothness error
- compactness error
- concavity error
- concave points error
- symmetry error
- fractal dimension error
- worst radius
- worst texture
- worst perimeter
- worst area
- worst smoothness
- worst compactness
- worst concavity
- worst concave points
- worst symmetry
- worst fractal dimension
- target - output label of the dataset

value count for output

- 1: 357
- 0: 212

## Models Used Evaluation

ML Model Name	Accuracy	AUC	Precision	Recall	F1	MCC
Logistic Regression	0.9737	0.9974	0.9722	0.9859	0.9790	0.9439
Decision Tree	0.9474	0.9358	0.9577	0.9577	0.9577	0.8880
kNN	0.9474	0.9817	0.9577	0.9577	0.9577	0.8880
Naive Bayes	0.9649	0.9974	0.9589	0.9859	0.9722	0.9253
Random Forest (Ensemble)	0.9649	0.9977	0.9589	0.9859	0.9722	0.9253
XGBoost (Ensemble)	0.9561	0.9928	0.9583	0.9718	0.9650	0.9064

## Model Performance Observations

ML Model Name	Observation
Logistic Regression	Logistic Regression remains most honest model which is performing well on all 4 quadrants for this simple linearly separable data as shown in the notebook as well. I think for this dataset Logistic regression is the best.
Decision Tree	since it has lowest AUC and MCC, then it might be an indicator that because of greedy nature of decision trees, data might be overfitting, we can pre prune it to have only depth of 3 if possible but overall performance is good.
kNN	Tied with Decision Tree on Accuracy (0.9474) and MCC (0.8880), but has a significantly higher AUC (0.9817). The high AUC indicates that kNN is actually very good at ranking the probability of a tumor being malignant, even if the final hard-label "Accuracy" is slightly lower than

<b>ML Model Name</b>	<b>Observation</b>
	the top models. This suggests that with a bit of "K" value tuning (Hyperparameter optimization), it could match the top performers.
Naive Bayes	Performs Very strong! It achieved an MCC of 0.9253 and a high AUC of 0.9974 . It is a very stable, low-variance classifier. It performed better than the Decision Tree and kNN, proving that sometimes "simpler is better."
Random Forest (Ensemble)	Random Forest is performing well for all metrics except MCC, which means still LR is performing better than Random forest for this simple dataset
XGBoost (Ensemble)	XGBoost is mostly winner in all situations, I think dataset size is quite small and might be getting overoptimized for the same reason. However it is nearby LR, so I didn't tune it further

## **Bits Execution Upload**

Interactive Classification Report

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.99	0.97	0.98	212.00
1	0.98	0.99	0.99	357.00
accuracy	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
macro avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	569.00
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	569.00

jupyter 2025aa05527\_ml\_assignment\_2

```
eval_metric='logloss',
random_state=42)

xgb_model.fit(X_train, y_train)
y_xgb_pred = xgb_model.predict(X_test)
y_xgb_proba = xgb_model.predict_proba(X_test)[:,1]

# evaluation matrices
evaluate_model(y_true=y_test, y_pred=y_xgb_pred, y_proba=y_xgb_proba)

# plot confusion matrix
plot_confusion_matrix(y_test, y_xgb_pred)
```

/home/dvipin2/dev/miniconda3/envs/bits/lib/python3.12/site-packages/xgboost/training.py:200: UserWarning: [08:53:37] WARNING: /\_\_w/xgboost/xgboost/src/learner.cc:782:  
Parameters: { "use\_label\_encoder" } are not used.

```
bst.update(dtrain, iteration=i, fobj=obj)
Accuracy: 0.9561
Precision: 0.9583
Recall: 0.9718
F1 Score: 0.9650
roc AUC Score: 0.9929
```