Question 1

 \mathbf{a}

```
A neural network that implements Standard Linear Regression: Input layer: \tilde{x}=(1,x)\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1} Weights: w_i=\beta_i , i=0,...,p
```

No hidden layers.

Output layer: $\hat{y} = w^T \tilde{x}$

Minimize loss function with Gradient Descent (we'll use LS): $||y - \hat{y}||^2$

This implements linear regression since the solutio will be a linear combination that tries to minmized the least square loss function

A neural network that implements Logistic Regression:

```
Input layer: \tilde{x}=(1,x)\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1} Weights: w_i=\beta_i , i=0,...,p No hidden layers.\ Non-linear function between layers: g(\tilde{x},w)=\log(\frac{1}{1+e^{-w^T\tilde{x}}}) Output layer: \hat{p}=g(w^T\tilde{x}) Minimize loss function with Gradient Descent (we'll use Binary Entropy): \tilde{p} , y=1
```

 $1-\tilde{p}$, y=0This implements logistic regression by preforming a linear comination threw the logit function that tries to minmized the least logit loss function

Becuase both problems are convex we expect that the model will be the same if the neural network manges to converge. Because the step size of the gradient descent isn't optimaly choosen we have have no garuntee of converges.

```
set.seed(6)
heart = read.csv("https://
                                                                                  .data",row.names=1)
heart$famhist= as.numeric(heart$famhist) # need only numbers
heart=array(unlist(heart),dim=c(462,10)) # move from data frame to array for keras
n = dim(heart)[1]
p = dim(heart)[2]
test.id = sample(n,n/3)
x_train = heart[-test.id,-p]
y_train = heart[-test.id,p]
x_test = heart[test.id,-p]
y_test = heart[test.id,p]
batch_size <- 32
epochs <- 1000
rm(model)
model <- keras_model_sequential()</pre>
model %>%
  layer dense(units = 1, activation = 'sigmoid', input shape = c(dim(x train)[2]))
# example with two layers:
# model %>%
# layer_dense(units = 3, activation = 'sigmoid', input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2])) %>%
# layer_dense(units = 1, activation = 'sigmoid')
```

```
summary(model)
## Layer (type)
                      Output Shape
(None, 1)
## dense 7 (Dense)
## Total params: 10
## Trainable params: 10
## Non-trainable params: 0
## ______
model %>% compile(
 loss = 'binary crossentropy',
 optimizer = optimizer_rmsprop(),
 metrics = c('accuracy')
model %>% fit(
 x_train, y_train,
 batch_size = batch_size,
 epochs = epochs,
 validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
#######
model_lin <- keras_model_sequential()</pre>
model_lin %>%
 layer_dense(units = 1, input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2]))
# example with two layers:
# model %>%
# layer_dense(units = 3, activation = 'sigmoid', input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2])) %>%
# layer_dense(units = 1, activation = 'sigmoid')
summary(model_lin)
## Layer (type)
                      Output Shape
                                           Param #
## -----
## dense_8 (Dense)
                  (None, 1)
## Total params: 10
## Trainable params: 10
## Non-trainable params: 0
## ______
```

```
model_lin %>% compile(
 loss = 'mean_squared_error',
 optimizer = optimizer_rmsprop(),
 metrics = c('accuracy')
model lin %>% fit(
 x_train, y_train,
 batch_size = batch_size,
 epochs = epochs,
 validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
model_hidden <- keras_model_sequential()</pre>
model_hidden %>%
 layer_dense(units = 10, activation = 'sigmoid', input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2])) %>%
 layer_dense(units = 1, activation = 'sigmoid')
summary(model hidden)
## Layer (type) Output Shape Param #
## dense_9 (Dense)
                            (None, 10)
                                                      100
## dense_10 (Dense) (None, 1)
                                             11
## Total params: 111
## Trainable params: 111
## Non-trainable params: 0
model_hidden %>% compile(
 loss = 'mean_squared_error',
 optimizer = optimizer_rmsprop(),
metrics = c('accuracy')
)
model_hidden %>% fit(
 x_train, y_train,
 batch_size = batch_size,
 epochs = epochs,
 validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
model_hidden_linear <- keras_model_sequential()</pre>
model hidden linear %>%
```

```
layer_dense(units = 3 , input_shape = c(dim(x_train)[2])) %>%
 layer_dense(units = 1)
summary(model_hidden_linear)
## _____
## Layer (type)
                           Output Shape
## -----
## dense 11 (Dense)
                            (None, 3)
## dense_12 (Dense) (None, 1)
## Total params: 34
## Trainable params: 34
## Non-trainable params: 0
model_hidden_linear %>% compile(
 loss = 'mean_squared_error',
optimizer = optimizer_rmsprop(),
metrics = c('accuracy')
)
model_hidden_linear %>% fit(
 x_train, y_train,
 batch_size = batch_size,
 epochs = epochs,
 validation_data = list(x_test, y_test)
print("Neural logistic")
## [1] "Neural logistic"
phat_NN_1_sig = predict_proba(model,x_test, batch_size = NULL, verbose = 0, steps = NULL)
#summary(phat_NN_1_siq)
table(phat_NN_1_sig>0.5, y_test) # 2*2 table
##
       y_test
       0 1
##
    TRUE 100 54
print("Neural linear")
## [1] "Neural linear"
```

```
phat_NN_1_sig = predict(model_lin,x_test, batch_size = NULL, verbose = 0, steps = NULL)
#summary(phat_NN_1_siq)
table(phat_NN_1_sig>0.5, y_test) # 2*2 table
##
          y_test
##
             0
                1
##
     FALSE 100 46
##
     TRUE
             0
print("logistic")
## [1] "logistic"
mod.lr = glm(y~., data=data.frame(x=x_train,y=y_train), family=binomial)
phat_logit = predict.glm(mod.lr, newdata = data.frame(x=x_test,y=y_test),type="response")
table(phat_logit>0.5, y_test) # 2*2 table
##
          y_test
##
            0 1
     FALSE 86 20
##
     TRUE 14 34
##
print("linear")
## [1] "linear"
mod.lr2 = lm(y~., data=data.frame(x=x_train,y=y_train), family=binomial)
lin_logit = predict.glm(mod.lr2, newdata = data.frame(x=x_test,y=y_test))
table(lin_logit>0.5, y_test) # 2*2 table
##
          y_test
##
            0 1
     FALSE 88 24
##
     TRUE 12 30
##
print("Neural hidden")
## [1] "Neural hidden"
phat_NN_1_sig = predict_proba(model_hidden,x_test, batch_size = NULL, verbose = 0, steps = NULL)
#summary(phat_NN_1_sig)
table(phat_NN_1_sig>0.5, y_test) # 2*2 table
##
          y_test
##
            0 1
##
     FALSE 67 28
     TRUE 33 26
##
```

b

We see that the logistic neural network didnt mange to converge well on a good solution. The linear regression did and preforfmed close to the real model. A reason why there might be a diffreence between the linear neural network and the actual model is that the neural network approximate the minimum numerically while the linear regression model uses an analytical solution.

\mathbf{c}

It did mange to find a reasonable solutoion but it is not much better than the linear and logistic models. It did get a very low loss on the train set that might indicate overfiting.

d

Obviously since the input to all nodes is linear and the activation is linear we have a linear combination of linear combination which in itself is a linear combination. This model basically tries to find a linear regression solution for the problem.