How Tight are Malthusian Constraints?

T. Ryan Johnson University of Houston

Dietrich Vollrath University of Houston

Online Appendi	ζ	

Robustness checks and alternative assumptions for empirical work from the main paper are contained here.

1 Introduction

This appendix consists of a series of tables reporting robustness checks for our main results. Each table is a replica of Table 2 from the main paper, which estimates β , the land elasticity, for subsamples of districts distinguished by their suitability or production of different crops.

Here we list the baseline assumptions behind each table, rather than replicating the same footnotes over and over again. In each case, these are the baseline assumptions, and the individual table may change or drop the assumption, as will be noted in each table in bold.

Conley standard errors, adjusted for spatial auto-correlation with a cutoff distance of 500km, are shown in parentheses. All regressions include province fixed effects, a constant, and controls for the district urbanization rate and log density of district nighttime lights. Rural population is from HYDE database, and caloric yield is the author's calculations based on the data from Galor and Ozak (2016), see the main paper for an explanation of the construction of both.

List of Tables

1	Baseline results
2	Conley SE cutoff of 1000km
3	Province-level data
4	Using cultivated area
5	Using population from 1900CE
6	Using population from 1950CE
7	Using log rural percent of population as a control
8	Dropping districts under 25th percentile in production

Table 1: Baseline results

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By m	By max calories:		arvest area:
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.240 (0.025)	0.143 (0.018)	$0.200 \\ (0.021)$	0.114 (0.018)	0.220 (0.020)	0.126 (0.013)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries	0.000 91	0.000 0.001 79	0.000 82	0.000 0.002 71	0.000 74	0.000 0.000 84
Observations Adjusted R-square	9922 0.24	8396 0.20	10142 0.21	7411 0.17	9929 0.20	6810 0.17

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.279 (0.023)	0.156 (0.021)	0.253 (0.044)	0.143 (0.019)	0.289 (0.038)	0.188 (0.020)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations Adjusted R-square	0.000 83 7046 0.29	0.000 0.000 74 6117 0.24	0.000 24 785 0.18	0.000 0.019 69 8168 0.14	0.000 89 6807 0.26	0.000 0.018 74 6606 0.22

Baseline results

Table 2: Conley SE cutoff of 1000km

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By m	By max calories:		arvest area:
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.240 (0.028)	0.143 (0.020)	0.200 (0.022)	0.114 (0.023)	0.220 (0.021)	0.126 (0.014)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations Adjusted R-square	0.000 91 9922 0.24	0.000 0.004 79 8396 0.20	0.000 82 10142 0.21	0.000 0.006 71 7411 0.17	0.000 74 9929 0.20	0.000 0.000 84 6810 0.17

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.279 (0.027)	0.156 (0.023)	0.253 (0.041)	0.143 (0.021)	0.289 (0.039)	0.188 (0.024)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$	0.000	0.000 0.001	0.000	0.000 0.018	0.000	0.000 0.028
Countries	83	74	24	69	89	74
Observations	7046	6117	785	8168	6807	6606
Adjusted R-square	0.29	0.24	0.18	0.14	0.26	0.22

Use $1000 \mathrm{km}$ to form cutoffs for Conley standard errors

Table 3: Province-level data

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By max calories:		By harvest area:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.399 (0.058)	0.070 (0.020)	0.248 (0.030)	0.016 (0.013)	0.368 (0.043)	0.052 (0.021)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations	0.000 60 417	0.000 0.000 65 587	0.000 70 768	0.199 0.000 63 617	0.000 69 797	0.014 0.000 73 721
Adjusted R-square	0.39	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.35	0.30

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.505 (0.127)	0.057 (0.022)	0.038 (0.134)	0.073 (0.020)	0.193 (0.058)	0.047 (0.021)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$	0.000	0.012 0.001	0.780	0.000 0.797	0.001	0.023 0.019
Countries	13	28	6	59	49	61
Observations	28	89	11	557	234	470
Adjusted R-square	0.54	0.34	-0.09	0.05	0.13	0.06

Using provinces as the units of observation, with country fixed effects. Night lights and urban percent controls are at the province level.

Table 4: Using cultivated area

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By m	By max calories:		arvest area:
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.229 (0.024)	0.144 (0.020)	0.191 (0.020)	0.113 (0.021)	0.207 (0.020)	$0.142 \\ (0.015)$
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations	0.000 90 9871	0.000 0.006 76 8295	0.000 82 10100	0.000 0.006 68 7343	0.000 74 9911	0.000 0.010 81 6749
Adjusted R-square	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.15

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.277 (0.021)	0.161 (0.024)	0.248 (0.038)	0.146 (0.021)	0.262 (0.032)	0.170 (0.023)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations Adjusted R-square	0.000 82 7000 0.26	0.000 0.000 72 6025 0.22	0.000 23 778 0.17	0.000 0.014 67 8092 0.14	0.000 90 6263 0.21	0.000 0.019 75 7175 0.18

Rural density measured using rural population per hectare of cultivated land. Also includes a control for cultivated land as a percent of total land.

Table 5: Using population from 1900CE

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By max calories:		By harvest area:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.240 (0.025)	0.143 (0.018)	0.200 (0.021)	0.114 (0.018)	0.220 (0.020)	0.126 (0.013)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations Adjusted R-square	0.000 91 9922 0.24	0.000 0.001 79 8396 0.20	0.000 82 10142 0.21	0.000 0.002 71 7411 0.17	0.000 74 9929 0.20	0.000 0.000 84 6810 0.17

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.279 (0.023)	0.156 (0.021)	0.253 (0.044)	0.143 (0.019)	0.289 (0.038)	0.188 (0.020)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$	0.000	0.000 0.000	0.000	0.000 0.019	0.000	0.000 0.018
Countries	83	74	24	69	89	74
Observations	7046	6117	785	8168	6807	6606
Adjusted R-square	0.29	0.24	0.18	0.14	0.26	0.22

Rural density measured using population data from 1900CE from HYDE database.

Table 6: Using population from 1950CE

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By max calories:		By harvest area:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.240 (0.025)	0.143 (0.018)	0.200 (0.021)	0.114 (0.018)	0.220 (0.020)	0.126 (0.013)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations Adjusted R-square	0.000 91 9922 0.24	0.000 0.001 79 8396 0.20	0.000 82 10142 0.21	0.000 0.002 71 7411 0.17	0.000 74 9929 0.20	0.000 0.000 84 6810 0.17

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.279 (0.023)	0.156 (0.021)	0.253 (0.044)	0.143 (0.019)	0.289 (0.038)	0.188 (0.020)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations	0.000 83 7046	0.000 0.000 74 6117	0.000 24 785	0.000 0.019 69 8168	0.000 89 6807	0.000 0.018 74 6606
Adjusted R-square	0.29	0.24	0.18	0.14	0.26	0.22

Rural density measured using population data from 1950CE from HYDE database.

Table 7: Using log rural percent of population as a control

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By max calories:		By harvest area:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.254 (0.024)	0.148 (0.019)	0.213 (0.021)	0.120 (0.020)	0.231 (0.020)	0.136 (0.015)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations	0.000 91 9922	0.000 0.001 79 8396	0.000 82 10142	0.000 0.001 71 7411	0.000 74 9929	0.000 0.000 84 6810
Adjusted R-square	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.21	0.18

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.286 (0.025)	0.159 (0.022)	0.288 (0.041)	0.149 (0.020)	0.299 (0.036)	0.194 (0.020)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries	0.000 83	0.000 0.000 74	0.000	0.000 0.002 69	0.000 89	0.000 0.012 74
Observations Adjusted R-square	$7046 \\ 0.30$	6117 0.25	$785 \\ 0.21$	8168 0.15	$6807 \\ 0.27$	$6606 \\ 0.22$

Include log rural percent of the population as a control, consistent with a model of districts being autarkic.

Table 8: Dropping districts under 25th percentile in production

Panel A: Samples defined by crop family (wheat vs. rice):

	By suitability:		By max calories:		By harvest area:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat > 33% (3)	Rice > 33% (4)	Wheat > 50% (5)	Rice > 50% (6)
Log rural density	0.226 (0.025)	0.140 (0.020)	0.186 (0.017)	0.111 (0.021)	0.213 (0.018)	0.125 (0.013)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$ Countries Observations	0.000 82 7568	0.000 0.008 65 6092	0.000 77 7540	0.000 0.005 58 5374	0.000 70 8400	0.000 0.000 72 5704
Adjusted R-square	0.22	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.19	0.16

Panel B: Samples with other restrictions (using suitability to distinguish crop families)

	Urban Pop. $< 25K$:		Ex. Europe/N. Amer.:		Rural dens. > 25 th P'tile:	
	Wheat Only (1)	Rice Only (2)	Wheat Only (3)	Rice Only (4)	Wheat Only (5)	Rice Only (6)
Log rural density	0.272 (0.027)	0.149 (0.023)	0.243 (0.046)	0.141 (0.020)	0.271 (0.044)	0.183 (0.023)
p-value $\beta = 0$ p-value $\beta = \beta^{Wheat}$	0.000 73	0.000 0.001	0.000	0.000 0.043	0.000	0.000 0.082
Countries Observations Adjusted R-square	5093 0.27	64 4127 0.23	18 582 0.15	62 6036 0.13	78 5156 0.23	63 4982 0.19

Drops all districts below the 25th percentile of total tonnes of staple crops produced across all districts. Raw tonnes are used, unadjusted for calorie content.