Dropout

In this notebook, you will implement dropout. Then we will ask you to train a network with batchnorm and dropout, and acheive over 55% accuracy on CIFAR-10.

CS231n has built a solid API for building these modular frameworks and training them, and we will use their very well implemented framework as opposed to "reinventing the wheel." This includes using their Solver, various utility functions, and their layer structure. This also includes nndl.fc_net, nndl.layers, and nndl.layer_utils. As in prior assignments, we thank Serena Yeung & Justin Johnson for permission to use code written for the CS 231n class (cs231n.stanford.edu).

```
In [1]: ## Import and setups
        import time
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from nndl.fc net import *
        from nndl.layers import *
        from cs231n.data_utils import get_CIFAR10_data
        from cs231n.gradient_check import eval numerical_gradient, eval_numerica
        l gradient array
        from cs231n.solver import Solver
        %matplotlib inline
        plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots
        plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
        plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'
        # for auto-reloading external modules
        # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-i
        n-ipython
        %load ext autoreload
        %autoreload 2
        def rel error(x, y):
            """ returns relative error """
            return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))
        ))))
In [2]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.
```

```
In [2]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data.

data = get_CIFAR10_data()
    for k in data.keys():
        print('{}: {} '.format(k, data[k].shape))

X_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32)
    y_train: (49000,)
    X_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
    y_val: (1000,)
    X_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32)
    y test: (1000,)
```

Dropout forward pass

Implement the training and test time dropout forward pass, dropout_forward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your implementation by running the following cell.

```
In [3]: x = np.random.randn(500, 500) + 10

for p in [0.3, 0.6, 0.75]:
    out, _ = dropout_forward(x, {'mode': 'train', 'p': p})
    out_test, _ = dropout_forward(x, {'mode': 'test', 'p': p})

    print('Running tests with p = ', p)
    print('Mean of input: ', x.mean())
    print('Mean of train-time output: ', out.mean())
    print('Mean of test-time output: ', out_test.mean())
    print('Fraction of train-time output set to zero: ', (out == 0).mean
())
    print('Fraction of test-time output set to zero: ', (out_test == 0).
    mean())
```

```
Running tests with p = 0.3
Mean of input: 10.0009276157
Mean of train-time output: 10.0184373302
Mean of test-time output: 10.0009276157
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.699484
Fraction of test-time output set to zero:
Running tests with p = 0.6
Mean of input: 10.0009276157
Mean of train-time output: 9.99599440569
Mean of test-time output: 10.0009276157
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.40014
Fraction of test-time output set to zero:
Running tests with p = 0.75
Mean of input: 10.0009276157
Mean of train-time output: 9.98951728772
Mean of test-time output: 10.0009276157
Fraction of train-time output set to zero: 0.250892
Fraction of test-time output set to zero: 0.0
```

Dropout backward pass

Implement the backward pass, dropout_backward, in nndl/layers.py. After that, test your gradients by running the following cell:

```
In [12]: x = np.random.randn(10, 10) + 10
    dout = np.random.randn(*x.shape)

dropout_param = {'mode': 'train', 'p': 0.8, 'seed': 123}
    out, cache = dropout_forward(x, dropout_param)
    dx = dropout_backward(dout, cache)
    dx_num = eval_numerical_gradient_array(lambda xx: dropout_forward(xx, dropout_param)[0], x, dout)

print('dx relative error: ', rel_error(dx, dx_num))
```

dx relative error: 5.4456110067e-11

Implement a fully connected neural network with dropout layers

Modify the FullyConnectedNet() class in nndl/fc_net.py to incorporate dropout. A dropout layer should be incorporated after every ReLU layer. Concretely, there shouldn't be a dropout at the output layer since there is no ReLU at the output layer. You will need to modify the class in the following areas:

- (1) In the forward pass, you will need to incorporate a dropout layer after every relu layer.
- (2) In the backward pass, you will need to incorporate a dropout backward pass layer.

Check your implementation by running the following code. Our W1 gradient relative error is on the order of 1e-6 (the largest of all the relative errors).

```
In [29]: N, D, H1, H2, C = 2, 15, 20, 30, 10
         X = np.random.randn(N, D)
         y = np.random.randint(C, size=(N,))
         for dropout in [0.5, 0.75, 1.0]:
             print('Running check with dropout = ', dropout)
             model = FullyConnectedNet([H1, H2], input_dim=D, num_classes=C,
                                       weight scale=5e-2, dtype=np.float64,
                                       dropout=dropout, seed=123)
             loss, grads = model.loss(X, y)
             print('Initial loss: ', loss)
             for name in sorted(grads):
                 f = lambda _: model.loss(X, y)[0]
                 grad num = eval numerical_gradient(f, model.params[name], verbos
         e=False, h=1e-5)
                 print('{} relative error: {}'.format(name, rel error(grad num, g
         rads[name])))
             print('\n')
         Running check with dropout = 0.5
         Initial loss: 2.29788023816
         W1 relative error: 1.4081197329537414e-06
         W2 relative error: 2.2537391051352578e-08
         W3 relative error: 4.103582939046388e-08
         b1 relative error: 3.0008795128604203e-08
         b2 relative error: 1.1540244338464926e-09
         b3 relative error: 1.2912061432212848e-10
         Running check with dropout = 0.75
         Initial loss: 2.30823414062
         W1 relative error: 2.6453375927214743e-07
         W2 relative error: 1.3070188356021375e-07
         W3 relative error: 2.223975213558462e-07
         b1 relative error: 7.112910572926549e-09
         b2 relative error: 5.347954717817801e-09
         b3 relative error: 1.0886215791527195e-10
         Running check with dropout = 1.0
         Initial loss: 2.30662260831
         W1 relative error: 2.998747145573698e-07
         W2 relative error: 1.4466533842741292e-06
         W3 relative error: 8.24336812277723e-08
         b1 relative error: 1.5773079555112075e-08
         b2 relative error: 6.4687895564047865e-09
         b3 relative error: 1.223192314770519e-10
```


Dropout as a regularizer

In class, we claimed that dropout acts as a regularizer by effectively bagging. To check this, we will train two small networks, one with dropout and one without dropout.

```
In [30]: # Train two identical nets, one with dropout and one without
         num_train = 500
         small_data = {
              'X_train': data['X_train'][:num_train],
              'y_train': data['y_train'][:num_train],
              'X val': data['X_val'],
              'y_val': data['y_val'],
         }
         solvers = {}
         dropout_choices = [0.6, 1.0]
         for dropout in dropout_choices:
             model = FullyConnectedNet([100, 100, 100], dropout=dropout)
             solver = Solver(model, small_data,
                              num_epochs=25, batch_size=100,
                              update_rule='adam',
                              optim_config={
                                'learning rate': 5e-4,
                              },
                              verbose=True, print_every=100)
             solver.train()
             solvers[dropout] = solver
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 2.300199
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.158000; val acc: 0.127000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.132000; val acc: 0.121000
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.204000; val_acc: 0.170000
(Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.240000; val acc: 0.192000
(Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.312000; val acc: 0.274000
(Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.314000; val acc: 0.269000
(Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.364000; val acc: 0.252000
(Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.390000; val acc: 0.281000
(Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.386000; val_acc: 0.290000
(Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.372000; val acc: 0.267000
(Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.424000; val acc: 0.286000
(Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.396000; val acc: 0.275000
(Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.458000; val acc: 0.299000
(Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.496000; val acc: 0.305000
(Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.492000; val_acc: 0.299000
(Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.550000; val acc: 0.296000
(Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.584000; val acc: 0.297000
(Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.582000; val acc: 0.309000
(Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.612000; val acc: 0.306000
(Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.628000; val acc: 0.323000
(Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.608000; val_acc: 0.324000
(Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 1.369535
(Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.644000; val acc: 0.330000
(Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.708000; val acc: 0.341000
(Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.690000; val_acc: 0.297000
(Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.740000; val acc: 0.300000
(Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.750000; val_acc: 0.329000
(Iteration 1 / 125) loss: 2.300607
(Epoch 0 / 25) train acc: 0.172000; val acc: 0.167000
(Epoch 1 / 25) train acc: 0.210000; val acc: 0.197000
(Epoch 2 / 25) train acc: 0.284000; val_acc: 0.240000
(Epoch 3 / 25) train acc: 0.302000; val acc: 0.246000
(Epoch 4 / 25) train acc: 0.392000; val acc: 0.289000
(Epoch 5 / 25) train acc: 0.420000; val_acc: 0.274000
(Epoch 6 / 25) train acc: 0.420000; val acc: 0.304000
(Epoch 7 / 25) train acc: 0.474000; val acc: 0.293000
(Epoch 8 / 25) train acc: 0.516000; val acc: 0.330000
(Epoch 9 / 25) train acc: 0.566000; val acc: 0.322000
(Epoch 10 / 25) train acc: 0.620000; val acc: 0.321000
(Epoch 11 / 25) train acc: 0.656000; val_acc: 0.317000
(Epoch 12 / 25) train acc: 0.676000; val acc: 0.319000
(Epoch 13 / 25) train acc: 0.680000; val acc: 0.304000
(Epoch 14 / 25) train acc: 0.752000; val acc: 0.323000
(Epoch 15 / 25) train acc: 0.802000; val acc: 0.321000
(Epoch 16 / 25) train acc: 0.804000; val_acc: 0.300000
(Epoch 17 / 25) train acc: 0.868000; val acc: 0.303000
(Epoch 18 / 25) train acc: 0.894000; val acc: 0.298000
(Epoch 19 / 25) train acc: 0.910000; val acc: 0.282000
(Epoch 20 / 25) train acc: 0.926000; val acc: 0.316000
(Iteration 101 / 125) loss: 0.245816
(Epoch 21 / 25) train acc: 0.950000; val acc: 0.282000
(Epoch 22 / 25) train acc: 0.958000; val_acc: 0.292000
(Epoch 23 / 25) train acc: 0.966000; val acc: 0.307000
(Epoch 24 / 25) train acc: 0.966000; val acc: 0.285000
(Epoch 25 / 25) train acc: 0.970000; val acc: 0.284000
```

```
# Plot train and validation accuracies of the two models
train_accs = []
val_accs = []
for dropout in dropout_choices:
    solver = solvers[dropout]
    train_accs.append(solver.train_acc_history[-1])
    val accs.append(solver.val acc history[-1])
plt.subplot(3, 1, 1)
for dropout in dropout_choices:
    plt.plot(solvers[dropout].train_acc_history, 'o', label='%.2f dropou
t' % dropout)
plt.title('Train accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
plt.subplot(3, 1, 2)
for dropout in dropout choices:
    plt.plot(solvers[dropout].val_acc_history, 'o', label='%.2f dropout'
% dropout)
plt.title('Val accuracy')
plt.xlabel('Epoch')
plt.ylabel('Accuracy')
plt.legend(ncol=2, loc='lower right')
plt.gcf().set_size_inches(15, 15)
plt.show()
```



Question

Based off the results of this experiment, is dropout performing regularization? Explain your answer.

Answer:

As we can see in the training accuracy graph, when dropout is occuring, activations are being thrown away, causing the model to be less powerful, but more generalized. Thus, the model with dropout avoids overfitting the training data. Our validation accuracy graph supports this, as the model with dropout narrowly beats the model without after around 16 epochs.

Final part of the assignment

Get over 55% validation accuracy on CIFAR-10 by using the layers you have implemented. You will be graded according to the following equation:

min(floor((X - 32%)) / 23%, 1) where if you get 55% or higher validation accuracy, you get full points.

```
# ----- #
In [32]:
      # YOUR CODE HERE:
         Implement a FC-net that achieves at least 55% validation accuracy
         on CIFAR-10.
      # ============ #
      optimizer = 'adam'
      best_model = None
      layer_dims = [500, 500, 500]
      weight scale = 0.01
      learning_rate = 1e-3
      lr_decay = 0.9
      model = FullyConnectedNet(layer_dims, weight_scale=weight_scale,
                         use_batchnorm=True, dropout=0.6)
      solver = Solver(model, data,
                  num_epochs=10, batch_size=100,
                  update rule=optimizer,
                  optim_config={
                   'learning_rate': learning_rate,
                  lr decay=lr decay,
                  verbose=True, print_every=50)
      solver.train()
      # END YOUR CODE HERE
```

```
(Iteration 1 / 4900) loss: 2.315670
(Epoch 0 / 10) train acc: 0.172000; val acc: 0.159000
(Iteration 51 / 4900) loss: 1.985675
(Iteration 101 / 4900) loss: 1.752022
(Iteration 151 / 4900) loss: 1.637101
(Iteration 201 / 4900) loss: 1.849953
(Iteration 251 / 4900) loss: 1.622382
(Iteration 301 / 4900) loss: 1.635581
(Iteration 351 / 4900) loss: 1.430959
(Iteration 401 / 4900) loss: 1.632563
(Iteration 451 / 4900) loss: 1.690440
(Epoch 1 / 10) train acc: 0.452000; val acc: 0.462000
(Iteration 501 / 4900) loss: 1.366797
(Iteration 551 / 4900) loss: 1.400385
(Iteration 601 / 4900) loss: 1.649626
(Iteration 651 / 4900) loss: 1.725212
(Iteration 701 / 4900) loss: 1.291366
(Iteration 751 / 4900) loss: 1.458295
(Iteration 801 / 4900) loss: 1.475591
(Iteration 851 / 4900) loss: 1.621632
(Iteration 901 / 4900) loss: 1.426365
(Iteration 951 / 4900) loss: 1.594802
(Epoch 2 / 10) train acc: 0.494000; val acc: 0.486000
(Iteration 1001 / 4900) loss: 1.598037
(Iteration 1051 / 4900) loss: 1.504805
(Iteration 1101 / 4900) loss: 1.474550
(Iteration 1151 / 4900) loss: 1.545481
(Iteration 1201 / 4900) loss: 1.501066
(Iteration 1251 / 4900) loss: 1.226699
(Iteration 1301 / 4900) loss: 1.376705
(Iteration 1351 / 4900) loss: 1.310161
(Iteration 1401 / 4900) loss: 1.475299
(Iteration 1451 / 4900) loss: 1.367088
(Epoch 3 / 10) train acc: 0.518000; val acc: 0.501000
(Iteration 1501 / 4900) loss: 1.479137
(Iteration 1551 / 4900) loss: 1.766190
(Iteration 1601 / 4900) loss: 1.358386
(Iteration 1651 / 4900) loss: 1.297767
(Iteration 1701 / 4900) loss: 1.356515
(Iteration 1751 / 4900) loss: 1.300821
(Iteration 1801 / 4900) loss: 1.404942
(Iteration 1851 / 4900) loss: 1.595150
(Iteration 1901 / 4900) loss: 1.428987
(Iteration 1951 / 4900) loss: 1.248848
(Epoch 4 / 10) train acc: 0.551000; val acc: 0.524000
(Iteration 2001 / 4900) loss: 1.256842
(Iteration 2051 / 4900) loss: 1.317723
(Iteration 2101 / 4900) loss: 1.351787
(Iteration 2151 / 4900) loss: 1.359591
(Iteration 2201 / 4900) loss: 1.378969
(Iteration 2251 / 4900) loss: 1.211360
(Iteration 2301 / 4900) loss: 1.364973
(Iteration 2351 / 4900) loss: 1.313382
(Iteration 2401 / 4900) loss: 1.263512
(Epoch 5 / 10) train acc: 0.583000; val acc: 0.559000
(Iteration 2451 / 4900) loss: 1.348116
(Iteration 2501 / 4900) loss: 1.174153
```

```
(Iteration 2551 / 4900) loss: 1.235559
(Iteration 2601 / 4900) loss: 1.203556
(Iteration 2651 / 4900) loss: 1.390703
(Iteration 2701 / 4900) loss: 1.180792
(Iteration 2751 / 4900) loss: 1.442741
(Iteration 2801 / 4900) loss: 1.121575
(Iteration 2851 / 4900) loss: 1.213788
(Iteration 2901 / 4900) loss: 1.299856
(Epoch 6 / 10) train acc: 0.601000; val acc: 0.548000
(Iteration 2951 / 4900) loss: 1.331210
(Iteration 3001 / 4900) loss: 1.147929
(Iteration 3051 / 4900) loss: 1.180255
(Iteration 3101 / 4900) loss: 1.293730
(Iteration 3151 / 4900) loss: 1.164596
(Iteration 3201 / 4900) loss: 1.238197
(Iteration 3251 / 4900) loss: 1.171942
(Iteration 3301 / 4900) loss: 1.323456
(Iteration 3351 / 4900) loss: 1.256149
(Iteration 3401 / 4900) loss: 1.414370
(Epoch 7 / 10) train acc: 0.593000; val acc: 0.564000
(Iteration 3451 / 4900) loss: 1.392587
(Iteration 3501 / 4900) loss: 1.355776
(Iteration 3551 / 4900) loss: 1.209341
(Iteration 3601 / 4900) loss: 1.105936
(Iteration 3651 / 4900) loss: 1.300336
(Iteration 3701 / 4900) loss: 1.323444
(Iteration 3751 / 4900) loss: 1.149997
(Iteration 3801 / 4900) loss: 1.178634
(Iteration 3851 / 4900) loss: 1.216717
(Iteration 3901 / 4900) loss: 1.178940
(Epoch 8 / 10) train acc: 0.643000; val acc: 0.565000
(Iteration 3951 / 4900) loss: 1.142942
(Iteration 4001 / 4900) loss: 1.104936
(Iteration 4051 / 4900) loss: 1.169886
(Iteration 4101 / 4900) loss: 1.374895
(Iteration 4151 / 4900) loss: 1.298176
(Iteration 4201 / 4900) loss: 1.123109
(Iteration 4251 / 4900) loss: 1.226131
(Iteration 4301 / 4900) loss: 1.226938
(Iteration 4351 / 4900) loss: 1.363159
(Iteration 4401 / 4900) loss: 1.035636
(Epoch 9 / 10) train acc: 0.609000; val acc: 0.571000
(Iteration 4451 / 4900) loss: 1.307827
(Iteration 4501 / 4900) loss: 1.255807
(Iteration 4551 / 4900) loss: 1.253609
(Iteration 4601 / 4900) loss: 1.087653
(Iteration 4651 / 4900) loss: 1.070275
(Iteration 4701 / 4900) loss: 1.171748
(Iteration 4751 / 4900) loss: 1.228380
(Iteration 4801 / 4900) loss: 1.149847
(Iteration 4851 / 4900) loss: 0.974690
(Epoch 10 / 10) train acc: 0.665000; val acc: 0.567000
```