

CS350 Final Project

- Dustin Van Tate Testa
- Fall 2019
- BS Tree In MIPS Assembly

Questions:: How is recursion different between C and MIPS?

In C, pushing values onto the stack and handling parameters and such is handled automatically where as with mips we have to do this manually before calling the function. Really mips doesn't support functions or recursion, all it supports are goto's, and we have to use the other hardware tools to provide the functionality needed. Although the C compiler will often assemble the C code to operate the same in assembly sometime it uses optimizations to make it so that we don't waste resources on recursion (ie- TCO).

Questions:: How does the stack change with recursive calls?

As before we call the function, we push relevant register values onto the stack and they are written in in order. Calling another/the same function again pushes more values onto the stack after the previously mentioned values. When a function returns we then pop the values off the stack and put them back into relevant registers.

Code overview

- I'll walk through the different functions, but I think they're essentially direct translations from C to MIPS with some minor optimizations
- Opinion: I don't think that the bTree struct needs to exist and instead functions should operate on the root node. If I didn't use the provided skeleton code the performance would have been improved by a constant amount due to overhead of getting the root node from the bTree struct

```

137 # hint: you need to write a recursive call here
138 addNode:
139     # procedural is just better
140     # li $t1, 0          # prev=NULL
141     move $t2, $a0       # n = root node
142
143     # this is a do-while loop
144     # it's acceptable here because we're gauranteed the root node has a value
145     addNode_while:      # do {
146         move $t1, $t2    #   prev = n
147         lw $t0, 0($t2)   #   get value from node
148
149         # 3 case if statement
150         blt $t0, $a1, addNode_while_if_lt
151         bgt $t0, $a1, addNode_while_if_gt
152
153         # else: n->value == value
154         jr $ra # already in tree, return
155
156         addNode_while_if_gt:      # if (n->value > value)
157             lw $t2, 4($t2)        #   n = n->leftChild
158             j addNode_while_cond
159
160         addNode_while_if_lt:      # if (n->value < value)
161             lw $t2, 8($t2)        #   n = n->rightChild
162             j addNode_while_cond
163
164         addNode_while_cond:       # } while (n != NULL)
165             bnez $t2, addNode_while
166
167     # allocate memory for new node
168     subu $sp, $sp, 4 # adjust the stack pointer
169     sw $ra, 0($sp)  # save the return address on stack
170
171     li $a0, 3      # (struct Node*)malloc(sizeof(struct Node));
172     jal malloc     # create a 3 words length space for root node
173     # address is in $v0
174
175     sw $a1, 0($v0) #newNode->value = value;
176     sw $zero, 8($v0) #newNode->rightChild = NULL;
177     sw $zero, 4($v0) #newNode->leftChild = NULL;
178
179     lw $ra, 0($sp) # get the return address
180     addu $sp, $sp, 4 # adjust the stack pointer
181
182     # link it with tree
183     blt $t0, $a1, addNode_ins_if_lt
184     addNode_ins_if_gt:
185         sw $v0, 4($t1)
186         jr $ra
187     addNode_ins_if_lt:
188         sw $v0, 8($t1)
189         jr $ra
190
191

```

Add Node

- As you can see my Assembly for the add function is a near direct translation of my C code
- I chose against doing a recursive function because of the performance, readability, analysis, etc. costs
- Because we are guaranteed that the root node is not NULL, I used a do-while loop in the assembly so that I wouldn't have to waste a jump instruction

```

int add (struct bTree* root, int value) {

    struct Node* prev = NULL;
    struct Node* n = root->root;

    // find where to insert
    do {
        prev = n;
        if (n->value > value)
            n = n->leftChild;
        else if (n->value < value)
            n = n->rightChild;
        else // already in the tree
            return 1;
    }while (n != NULL);

    // insert
    struct Node* ret = malloc(sizeof(struct Node));
    ret->leftChild = NULL;
    ret->rightChild = NULL;
    ret->value = value;
    // link w tree
    if (prev->value > value)
        prev->leftChild = ret;
    else
        prev->rightChild = ret;

    return 0;
}

```

Contains

- Another near direct translation
- Again I chose to avoid using recursion for the same reasons as before
- Algorithm similar to what was used in addNode function
- Because the root value is guaranteed to not be null I can use a do while loop like before

```
15 # to create another recursive method to implement contain
16 # recursion still bad
17
18 lw $t2, 0($a0)      # n = bTree->root;
19 # do while is acceptable here as we're guaranteed root node is not NULL
20 contain_while:      # do {
21     lw $t3, 0($t2) # get value      # v = n->value
22
23     blt $t3, $a1, contain_while_lt # if (v < value) ...
24     bgt $t3, $a1, contain_while_gt # if (v > value) ...
25
26     # else (v == value)
27     # return 1
28     li $v0, 1
29     jr $ra
30
31     contain_while_gt:      #... if (v < value)
32     lw $t2, 4($t2)        # n = n->left
33     j contain_while_cond
34     contain_while_lt:      #... if (v > value)
35     lw $t2, 8($t2)        # n = n->right
36     # j contain_while_cond
37     contain_while_cond:
38     bnez $t2, contain_while # } while (n != NULL)
39
40 li $v0, 0
41 jr $ra
42
```

```
int contain(struct bTree* root, int value) {
    struct Node* n = root->root;

    // find where to insert
    while (n)
        if (n->value > value)
            n = n->leftChild;
        else if (n->value < value)
            n = n->rightChild;
        else // already in the tree
            return 1;

    return 0;
}
```

Delete Node::Overview

- Because I wanted to ensure the BSTree property stays true after deleting a node, I needed to make a more complex function
- I needed 3 functions in C to accomplish this
 - removeNodeN(): recursive function that returns a pointer to update subtree reference
 - findMin(): needed for rotating subtrees
 - removeNode(): function provided in assignment, because I didn't want to change function signature I just made this function call removeNodeN()
- These were all directly translated from C to assembly

Delete Node::Find Min

- I modified my C findMin() that I used in lab8 to not use recursion
- I had to use a while loop here because the left branch may not be defined for the root node, this added an additional jump instruction to the condition
- The assembly version returns the left-most node->value as this is all that gets used
- This function diverged the most from the C code I wrote
- Node checked for null value before calling findMin so don't need to check

```
struct Node* findMin(struct Node* root) {  
    if (root == NULL)  
        return NULL;  
    while (root->leftChild != NULL)  
        root = root->leftChild;  
    return root;  
}
```

```
findMin:  
    # not a do-while as that would change logic  
    move $t0, $a0          # n = root  
    lw $a0, 0($t0)         # v = n.value  
    j findMin_while_cond   # while  
findMin_while:             # {  
    lw $a0, 0($t0)         # v = n.value  
    findMin_while_cond:    # } while (  
    lw $t0, 4($t0)         # (n = n.left  
    bnez $t2, findMin_while # ) != NULL);  
    jr $ra                 # return n
```

Delete Node::removeNode

- Another near direct translation
- Instead of having the `return root` at the end of the function, I added it to each condition. This results in one less jump instruction at the cost of increased binary size. I think this change is fairly insignificant but results in one less jump instruction getting run and falls more in line with procedural programming ideology
- I chose to keep this function recursive as making the logic procedural wasn't straightforward
- The function operates by deleting the node and updating the pointer in previous node using the return value
- It branches down the left and right subtrees until it finds the appropriate node, at which point it runs the appropriate code to delete it (different code depending on number of child nodes we have to move)

removeNode C code

- Assembly equivalent is too long for one slide

```
69 //you need to use free() to release the memory when a node is removed
70 struct Node* removeNodeN(struct Node* root, int value) {
71     if (root == NULL)
72         return NULL;
73
74     // b left
75     if (value < root->value) {
76         root->leftChild = removeNodeN(root->leftChild, value);
77         return root;
78     // b right
79     } else if (value > root->value) {
80         root->rightChild = removeNodeN(root->rightChild, value);
81         return root;
82     } else {
83
84         // no children
85         if (root->leftChild == NULL && root->rightChild == NULL) {
86             free(root);
87             root = NULL;
88
89         // one child (right)
90         } else if (root->leftChild == NULL) {
91             struct Node* temp = root; // save current node as a backup
92             root = root->rightChild;
93             free(temp);
94
95         // one child (left)
96         } else if (root->rightChild == NULL) {
97             struct Node* temp = root; // save current node as a backup
98             root = root->leftChild;
99             free(temp);
100
101         // two children
102         } else {
103             struct Node* temp = findMin(root->rightChild); // find minimal value of right sub tree
104             root->value = temp->value; // duplicate the node
105             root->rightChild = removeNodeN(root->rightChild, temp->value); // delete the duplicate node
106         }
107     }
108
109     return root; // parent node can update reference
110
111 }
112
```

Free

- I probably should have found correct syscall for free but this is what assignment says to do

```
414 |  
415 | # please implement this method  
416 | #  
417 | # free the memory block, here we can simply set  
418 | # all bits of the memory blocks to be zero  
419 | #  
420 | # input: $a0 the address of memory block  
421 | #      $a1 the size of memory block in words  
422 | free:  
423 |     # while ($a1--) *$a0++ = 0;  
424 |     j free_loop_cond  
425 |     free_loop:  
426 |         sw $zero, 0($a0)      # *$a0 = 0  
427 |         addi $a0, $a0, 4      # $a0 += sizeof(word)  
428 |         subi $a1, $a1, 1      # $a1--  
429 |     free_loop_cond:  
430 |         bnez $a1, free_loop  
431 |     jr $ra
```

Test cases

- I did at least two test cases for each subroutine to line up with what was required for lab8.c
- Additionally I added a test case for the findMin subroutine despite it not needing
- I observed that the memory in the heap which free was called on were overwritten with zeros. However I chose not to determine a way to print this out because if free used the correct syscalls, doing so would cause a segmentation fault
- As with my lab8.c I didn't add special test cases to my create tree subroutine as the only edge case is if the system is out of memory which isn't in the scope of my program