

Derivation Rules in Fialyzer

fialyzer developers

This file shows derivation rules used in fialyzer.

Our derivation rules are almost same as the original success typings paper^{*1}'s one, but extended by remote call, local call, list, etc.

1. Derivation Rules

Here are the BNFs used in the derivation rules:

```
e ::= v | x | fn | {e, ..., e} | let x = e in e | letrec x = fn, ..., x = fn in e
    | e(e, ..., e) | case e of pg → e; ...; pg → e end | fun f/a | [e|e] | [] | fun m:f/a
    | #{e ⇒ e, ..., e ⇒ e} | e#{e ⇒ e, ..., e ⇒ e, e := e, ..., e := e} (term)
v ::= 0 | 'ok' | ... (constant)
x ::= (snip) (variable)
fn ::= fun(x, ..., x) → e (function)
pg ::= p when g; ...; g (pattern with guard sequence)
p ::= v | x | {p, ..., p} | [p|p] | [] | #{e := p, ..., e := p} (pattern)
g ::= v | x | {e, ..., e} | [e|e] | [] | e(e, ..., e) (guard)
m ::= e (module name. a term to be an atom)
f ::= e (function name. a term to be an atom)
a ::= e (arity. a term to be a non_neg_integer)
τ ::= none() | any() | α | {τ, ..., τ} | (τ, ..., τ) → τ | τ ∪ τ
    | integer() | atom() | 42 | 'ok' | ... (type)
α, β ::= (snip) (type variable)
C ::= (τ ⊆ τ) | (C ∧ ... ∧ C) | (C ∨ ... ∨ C) (constraint)
A ::= A ∪ A | {x ↦ τ, ..., x ↦ τ} (context. mapping of variable to type)
```

Here are the derivation rules:

$$\frac{}{A \cup \{x \mapsto \tau\} \vdash x : \tau, \emptyset} \text{(VAR)}$$

¹ T. Lindahl and K. Sagonas. Practical Type Inference Based on Success Typings. In *Proceedings of the 8th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Principles and Practice of Declarative Programming*, pages 167–178. ACM, 2006.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_1, C_1 \quad \dots \quad A \vdash e_n : \tau_n, C_n}{A \vdash \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} : \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}, C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n} \text{(STRUCT)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_1, C_1 \quad A \cup \{x \mapsto \tau_1\} \vdash e_2 : \tau_2, C_2}{A \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2, C_1 \wedge C_2} \text{(LET)} \\
\\
\frac{A' \vdash fn_1 : \tau_1, C_1 \dots A' \vdash fn_n : \tau_n, C_n \quad A' \vdash e : \tau, C \quad \text{where } A' = A \cup \{x_i \mapsto \alpha_i\}}{A \vdash \text{letrec } x_1 = f_1, \dots, x_n = f_n \text{ in } e : \tau, C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n \wedge C \wedge (\tau'_1 = \tau_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\tau'_n = \tau_n)} \text{(LETREC)} \\
\\
\frac{A \cup \{x_1 \mapsto \alpha_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \alpha_n\} \vdash e : \tau, C}{A \vdash \text{fun}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow e : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \rightarrow \tau, C} \text{(ABS)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash e : \tau, C \quad A \vdash e_1 : \tau_1, C_1 \dots A \vdash e_n : \tau_n, C_n}{A \vdash e(e_1, \dots, e_n) : \beta, (\tau = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \rightarrow \alpha) \wedge (\beta \subseteq \alpha) \wedge (\tau_1 \subseteq \alpha_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (\tau_n \subseteq \alpha_n) \wedge C \wedge C_1 \wedge \dots \wedge C_n} \text{(APP)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash p : \tau, C_p \quad A \vdash g : \tau_g, C_g}{A \vdash p \text{ when } g : \tau, (\tau_g \subseteq \text{boolean}()) \wedge C_p \wedge C_g} \text{(PAT)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash e : \tau, C_e \quad A_i \vdash pg_i : \tau_{pg_i}, C_{pg_i} \quad A_i \vdash b_i : \tau_{b_i}, C_{b_i} \quad \text{where } A_i = A \cup \{v \mapsto \alpha_v \mid v \in \text{Var}(pg_i)\}}{A \vdash \text{case } e \text{ of } pg_1 \rightarrow b_1; \dots pg_n \rightarrow b_n \text{ end} : \beta, C_e \wedge (C_1 \vee \dots \vee C_n) \text{ where } C_i = ((\beta = \tau_{b_i}) \wedge (\tau = \tau_{pg_i}) \wedge C_{pg_i} \wedge C_{b_i})} \text{(CASE)} \\
\\
\frac{}{A \cup \{\text{fun } f/a \mapsto \tau\} \vdash \text{fun } f/a : \tau, \emptyset} \text{(LOCALFUN)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash e_1 : \tau_1, C_1 \quad A \vdash e_2 : \tau_2, C_2}{A \vdash [e_1 \mid e_2] : \text{list}(\alpha \mid \tau_1), \tau_2 = \text{list}(\alpha) \wedge C_1 \wedge C_2} \text{(LISTCONS)} \\
\\
\frac{}{A \vdash [] : \text{list}(\text{none}()), \emptyset} \text{(LISTNIL)} \\
\\
\frac{}{A \cup \{\text{fun } m : f/a \mapsto \tau\} \vdash \text{fun } m : f/a : \tau, \emptyset} \text{if } m \text{ and } f \text{ is atom literal, } a \text{ is non_neg_integer literal (MFA)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash m : \tau_m, C_m \quad A \vdash f : \tau_f, C_f \quad A \vdash a : \tau_a, C_a}{A \vdash \text{fun } m : f/a : \beta, (\tau_m \subseteq \text{atom}()) \wedge (\tau_f \subseteq \text{atom}()) \wedge (\tau_a \subseteq \text{number}()) \wedge C_m \wedge C_f \wedge C_a} \text{if } \diamond \text{ (MFAEXPR)} \\
\\
\diamond : \text{neither } m, f \text{ is atom literal nor } a \text{ is non_neg_integer literal} \\
\\
\frac{}{A \vdash \#\{\dots\} : \text{map}(), \emptyset} \text{(MAPCREATION)} \\
\\
\frac{A \vdash e : \tau, C}{A \vdash e\#\{\dots\} : \text{map}(), (\tau \subseteq \text{map}()) \wedge C} \text{(MAPUPDATE)}
\end{array}$$

1.1. Differences from the original paper

The differences from the derivation rules on the original paper are as follows.

- α , β , and τ are clearly distinguished. τ is a type, and α , β are type variables.
- LET is fixed: e_2 , not e .
- ABS is modified: τ and constrained function are omitted.
- PAT is modified: type of g is `boolean()`, not `true`.
- CASE is fixed: τ , not τ_i . replaced $p_1 \cdots p_n$ with $pg_1 \cdots pg_n$ because these are patterns with guards.
- LOCALFUN is added.
- MFA is added.
- MFAEXPR is added.
- MAPCREATION is added (temporary definition).
- MAPUPDATE is added (temporary definition).
- ...and some variables are α -converted for understandability.

1.2. Notes

- In $A \vdash p : \tau, C_p$ of PAT rule, p is not an expression but a pattern. Therefore, we have to convert p to an expression which is the same form of p .
 - This is not described in the original paper.