

---

## Ungapped bricklayer lattice

a and b are unit vectors of an arbitrary bricklayers lattice of squares

we want to see if they can be combined to make a larger bravais squares whose volume is k

```
a = UnitVector[1]
{1, 0}

b = {0, 1}
{0, 1}

FindInstance[{eqnx, eqny, eqnz} /. {k -> 34}, {n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers]
{{n1 -> -5, n2 -> 3, n3 -> -3, n4 -> -5}}

b = {1 / 2, 1}
{1/2, 1}

FindInstance[{eqnx, eqny, eqnz} /. {k -> 18}, {n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers]
{{n1 -> -3, n2 -> 3, n3 -> -3, n4 -> -3}}

b = {1 / 3, 1}
{1/3, 1}

FindInstance[{eqnx, eqny, eqnz} /. {k -> 18}, {n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers]
{{n1 -> -3, n2 -> 3, n3 -> -3, n4 -> -3}}

b = {1 / d, 1}
{1/d, 1}

FindInstance[{eqnx, eqny, eqnz} /. {k -> 60}, {d, n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers]
{}

b = {c / d, 1}
{c/d, 1}

FindInstance[{eqnx, eqny, eqnz, d > 0, c < d, c > 0} /. {k -> 64, b -> c / d},
{c, d, n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers]
$Aborted

{0, 1}

Clear[a]
```

```
FindInstance[{eqnx, eqny, eqnz} /. {k -> 18}, {n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers]
{{n1 -> -3, n2 -> 3, n3 -> -3, n4 -> -3}}
```

$$\text{eqnx} := (\text{Norm}[n1 a + n2 b]^2 == k)$$

$$\text{eqny} := (\text{Norm}[n3 a + n4 b]^2 == k)$$

$$\text{eqnz} := ((n1 n4 - n2 n3) == k)$$

Here are the sum of square solutions

```
In[79]:= Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Table[i^2 + j^2, {i, 1, 8}, {j, 1, 8}]]]]
Out[79]= {2, 5, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18, 20, 25, 26, 29, 32, 34, 37, 40, 41, 45,
          50, 52, 53, 58, 61, 65, 68, 72, 73, 74, 80, 85, 89, 98, 100, 113, 128}
```

---

## Gapped bricklayer lattice

### ■ The constraints needed to satisfy the small lattice and large lattice commensurate

$n1, n2, n3, n4$  must be integers

$a$  and  $b$  are the lattice vectors of the lattice of squares

The two lattice vectors of the torus are  $n1 a + n2 b$  and  $n3 a + n4 b$ . These must be orthogonal:

$$\text{eqnzg} := (\text{Dot}[n1 a + n2 b, n3 a + n4 b] == 0)$$

and equal length

$$\text{eqnxyg} := (\text{Norm}[n1 a + n2 b] == \text{Norm}[n3 a + n4 b])$$

$$\text{eqnxys} := (\text{Norm}[n1 a + n2 b]^2 == \text{Norm}[n3 a + n4 b]^2)$$

The unit vectors are  $a$ , along the row of bricks

$$a = \text{UnitVector}[1]$$

$$\{1, 0\}$$

and  $b$  from one row to the next

The shift is  $c$  and the gap is  $d - 1$

$$b = \{c, d\}$$

$$\{c, d\}$$

$$b = \{m / (n - 1), 1 + 1 / (n - 1)\}$$

$$\left\{ \frac{m}{-1 + n}, 1 + \frac{1}{-1 + n} \right\}$$

```
In[105]:= eqnn := (n == Abs[n1 n4 - n2 n3])
```

### ■ $N = 6$

- **N = 11**
- **N = 14**
- **N = 21 no luck**
- **N = 22 a bricklayer with 1x2 bricks**

A bricklayer where the brick is a 1x2 block

```
nss = {n1 → 4, n2 → 1, n3 → -3, n4 → 2}
{n1 → 4, n2 → 1, n3 → -3, n4 → 2}

n1 n4 - n2 n3 /. nss
11

cdss = Solve[{eqnxyg, eqnzg} /. nss, {c, d}, Reals]
{{c → 2/5, d → -11/5}, {c → 2/5, d → 11/5}}
```

Show that the torus does have N = 11 of the 1x2 bricks

```
Abs[Cross[{n1, n2, 0}, {n3, n4, 0}][[3]]] /. nss
11
```

Compute the density

```
22 / Norm[n1 a + n2 b]^2 /. cdss /. nss
{10/11, 10/11}

22 / Norm[n1 a + n2 b]^2 /. cdss /. nss // N
{0.909091, 0.909091}
```

- **N = 27 a standard gapped bricklayer**
- **General analysis**
- **The known optimal bricklayer solutions for N<28**

```
In[92]:= FindInstance[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. n → 6, {n1, n2, n3, n4, m}, Integers]
Out[92]= {{n1 → -2, n2 → 1, n3 → -2, n4 → -2, m → -2}}
```

```
In[94]:= Reduce[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. {n → 6, n1 → 2, n2 → -1, n3 → 2, n4 → 2, m → -2}]
Out[94]= True
```

```
Abs[Cross[{n1, n2, 0}, {n3, n4, 0}][[3]]] /.
{c → 3/5, d → 6/5, n1 → 0, n2 → 2, n3 → 3, n4 → -1}
```

```
FindInstance[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. n → 11, {n1, n2, n3, n4, m}, Integers]
{{n1 → 3, n2 → 1, n3 → -2, n4 → 3, m → 3}}
```

```
FindInstance[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. n → 14, {n1, n2, n3, n4, m}, Integers]
{{n1 → -4, n2 → -3, n3 → 2, n4 → -2, m → -8}}
```

```
FindInstance[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn, n2 == n3} /. {n → 14, m → -8},
{n1, n2, n3, n4}, Integers, RandomSeed → 36]
{}
```

```
FindInstance[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. n → 27, {n1, n2, n3, n4, m}, Integers]
{{n1 → -2, n2 → -5, n3 → 5, n4 → -1, m → -5}}
```

```
In[110]:= Reduce[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. {n → 27, n1 → 2, n2 → 5, n3 → -5, n4 → 1, m → -5}]
```

```
Out[110]= True
```

#### ■ Other examples that are not optimal or don't exist

#### ■ The full list of sums of two squares and gapped bricklayers less than 101

```
In[90]:= sts = Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Table[i^2 + j^2, {i, 1, 10}, {j, 1, 10}]]]]
```

```
Out[90]= {2, 5, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18, 20, 25, 26, 29, 32, 34, 37, 40, 41, 45, 50, 52,
53, 58, 61, 65, 68, 72, 73, 74, 80, 82, 85, 89, 90, 97, 98, 100, 101, 104,
106, 109, 113, 116, 117, 125, 128, 130, 136, 145, 149, 162, 164, 181, 200}
```

```
In[88]:= gb = Table[{i,
FindInstance[{eqnzg, eqnxys, eqnn} /. n → i, {n1, n2, n3, n4, m}, Integers]
},
{i, 2, 100}];
```

```
In[89]:= TableForm[gb]
```

```
Out[89]//TableForm=
2      n1 → -2  n2 → 0  n3 → -1  n4 → -1  m → -1
3      n1 → -1  n2 → 1  n3 → -2  n4 → -1  m → -1
4
5
6      n1 → -2  n2 → 1  n3 → -2  n4 → -2  m → -2
7
8
9
10
11     n1 → 3   n2 → 1  n3 → -2  n4 → 3   m → 3
12
13
14     n1 → -4  n2 → -3  n3 → 2   n4 → -2  m → -8
15
16
17
18     n1 → -2  n2 → -4  n3 → 4   n4 → -1  m → -4
```

```
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26   n1 → -2  n2 → -4  n3 → 5  n4 → -3  m → 7
27   n1 → -2  n2 → -5  n3 → 5  n4 → -1  m → -5
28
29
30   n1 → 0  n2 → -5  n3 → 6  n4 → -2  m → 12
31
32
33
34
35   n1 → -5  n2 → -5  n3 → 4  n4 → -3  m → -13
36
37
38   n1 → -2  n2 → -6  n3 → 6  n4 → -1  m → -6
39
40
41
42   n1 → -3  n2 → -5  n3 → 6  n4 → -4  m → 9
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51   n1 → -2  n2 → -7  n3 → 7  n4 → -1  m → -7
52
53
54   n1 → -13  n2 → -7  n3 → 4  n4 → -2  m → -83
55
56
57
58
59   n1 → -15  n2 → -7  n3 → 2  n4 → -3  m → -99
60
61
62   n1 → -4  n2 → -6  n3 → 7  n4 → -5  m → 11
63
64
65
66   n1 → -2  n2 → -8  n3 → 8  n4 → -1  m → -8
67
```

```

68
69
70
71
72
73
74      n1 → -14  n2 → -8  n3 → 4  n4 → -3  m → -100
75      n1 → -8   n2 → -7  n3 → 5  n4 → -5  m → -31
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83      n1 → -2  n2 → -9  n3 → 9  n4 → -1  m → -9
84
85
86      n1 → -7  n2 → -9  n3 → 8  n4 → -2  m → -47
87
88
89
90      n1 → -10 n2 → -8  n3 → 5  n4 → -5  m → -55
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98      n1 → -11 n2 → -9  n3 → 6  n4 → -4  m → -75
99
100

```

## ■ Check Chris 6 - 28 - 2011 notes

```
In[123]:= rr1 := - (n1 n3) / (n2 n4) + (n2 n3 + n1 n4) ^ 2 / (2 n2 n4) ^ 2
```

```
In[124]:= Simplify[rr1 /. (n1 n4 - n2 n3) → n]
```

```
Out[124]= 
$$\frac{(n2 n3 - n1 n4)^2}{4 n2^2 n4^2}$$

```

```
In[125]:= Reduce[rr1 == n ^ 2 / (2 n2 n4) ^ 2 /. n → n1 n4 - n2 n3]
```

```
Out[125]= True
```

```
In[128]:= rr2 := - (n1 ^ 2 - n3 ^ 2) / (n2 ^ 2 - n4 ^ 2) + (n1 n2 - n3 n4) ^ 2 / (n2 ^ 2 - n4 ^ 2) ^ 2
```

In[129]:= **Simplify**[rr2]

$$\text{Out[129]} = \frac{(n_2 n_3 - n_1 n_4)^2}{(n_2^2 - n_4^2)^2}$$

In[130]:= **cc1** := (n1 n2 - n3 n4) / (n2^2 - n4^2)^2

In[131]:= **cc2** := (n2 n3 + n1 n4) / (2 n2 n4)

**Simplify**[rr1 - (rr1 - rr2 + Abs[cc1 - cc2])^2 / (4 (cc1 - cc2)^2)] /. .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Out[132]} = & -\frac{1}{16 n_2^2 n_4^2} \\ & \left( 16 n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4 - 4 (n_2 n_3 + n_1 n_4)^2 + \left( (n_2 n_3 - n_1 n_4)^2 (n_2^4 - 6 n_2^2 n_4^2 + n_4^4) + 4 n_2^2 \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. n_4^2 (n_2^2 - n_4^2)^2 \text{Abs}\left[-\frac{n_2 n_3 + n_1 n_4}{2 n_2 n_4} + \frac{n_1 n_2 - n_3 n_4}{(n_2^2 - n_4^2)^2}\right]\right)^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. (n_2^5 n_3 + n_1 n_2^4 n_4 - 2 n_2^3 n_3 n_4^2 + n_1 n_4^5 - 2 n_1 n_2^2 n_4 (1 + n_4^2) + n_2 n_3 n_4^2 (2 + n_4^2)) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$