

---

It's the title bitchess! !!

THOMAS Garrett & WEICKER David

6<sup>th</sup> November 2015

---

## 1 Stability of Numerical Schemes

We have the general scheme

$$\begin{aligned}U^{n+1} &= Q(t_n)U^n + \Delta t F^n \\U^0 &= g\end{aligned}$$

where  $U^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

### 1.1 Duhamel's Principle

We are given the following discrete Duhamel's Principle:

$$U^n = S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu, \quad (1)$$

where  $t_n = n\Delta t$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}S_h(t, t) &= I, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \\S_h(t_{n+1}, t_\mu) &= Q(t_n)S_h(t_n, t_\mu).\end{aligned}$$

We begin by showing that (1) holds by induction.

Base Case:  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}U^0 &= g \\&= S_h(0, 0)g + \Delta t 0 \\&= S_h(0, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{-1} S_h(0, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\end{aligned}$$

which fits the Duhamel. Now we assume that the discrete Duhamel's Principle fits the general scheme at step  $n$ , and we want to show that this implies that it fits for step  $n + 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}U^{n+1} &= Q(t_n)U^n + F^n \\&= Q(t_n)(S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu) + F^n \quad \text{by induction assumption} \\&= Q(t_n)S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t Q(t_n) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu + S_h(t_{n+1}, t_{n+1})F^n \\&= S_h(t_{n+1}, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^n S_h(t_{n+1}, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\end{aligned}$$

which fits Duhamel.

## 1.2 Bound in the $h$ -norm

We now wish to show that

$$\|S_h(t_{\nu+1}, t_\nu)\|_h \leq K e^{a\Delta t} \implies \|U^n\|_h \leq K(e^{at_n}\|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h)$$

Taking  $\|\cdot\|_h$  of both sides of (1), then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^n\|_h &= \|S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq \|S_h(t_n, 0)\|_h \|g\|_h + \|\Delta t\|_h \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \|S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})\|_h \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \|\Delta t\|_h \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \|S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})\|_h \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} K e^{a(t_n-t_{\nu+1})} \|F^\nu\|_h \end{aligned}$$

we notice that  $\Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} K e^{a(t_n-t_{\nu+1})}$  is a right Remman sum of a strictly decreasing function, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + K \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K(e^{at_n}\|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h) \end{aligned}$$

IS a POSITIVE??

## 1.3 a Value

If  $a = \Delta t^{-1/2}$ , then we would have  $\|S_h(t_{\nu+1}, t_\nu)\|_h \leq K e^{n\sqrt{\Delta t}}$ . Plugging this into our second inequality, we obtain,

$$\|U^n\|_h \leq K(e^{n\sqrt{t_n}}\|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{n\sqrt{t_n-s}} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h)$$

## 2 Shallow water model

### 2.1 Numerical solution

bla

### 2.2 Linearization

Bro, write section 2.2 in 22.tex! Tack :)

### 2.3 Non-reflecting boundary conditions

bla

### 3 Linerization

The shallow water equation can be written in quasilinear form as

$$u_t + f'(u)u_x = 0$$

where  $u = (h, hv)^T$ ,

$$f'(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(\frac{u_2}{u_1})^2 + gu_1 & 2(\frac{u_2}{u_1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$q(x, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, to make this system linear we must simply pick a constant state  $(h_0, v_0)$  which is consistent with the boundary and initial conditions. We choose  $(h_0, v_0)$  at  $x = 0$  and  $t = 0$ . We can now compute  $(h_0, v_0)$  using the initial condition. We get  $(h_0, v_0) \approx (1, 0)$ . Thus we have

$$f'(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 9.61 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can see easily  $f'(u)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1,2} = \pm\sqrt{9.61} = \pm 3.1$  which are real. It also has a full set of eigenvectors i.e.  $(1, 3.1)^T$  and  $(-1, 3.1)$ . This together confirm that the linear problem is hyperbolic. We also know that the wave speeds are the eigenvalues, so we have wave speeds  $\pm\sqrt{9.61}$

#### 3.1 b

We have the PDE,

$$u_t + f'(u)u_x = 0$$

which we have shown can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + VDV^{-1}u_x &= 0 \\ \implies V^{-1}u_t + DV^{-1}u_x &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3.1 & 3.1 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 3.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3.1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.1613 \\ -0.5 & 0.1613 \end{pmatrix}$$

and initial condition

$$q(x, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, defining a new variable  $r = V^{-1}q$  we have the decoupled system of equations

$$r_t + Dr_x = 0$$

and

$$r(x, 0) = V^{-1}q(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ -H - \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From Lavengen, we know the solutions of this system are

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(x, t) &= r_n(x + \lambda_1 t, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \\ \text{and } r_2(x, t) &= r_2(x + \lambda_2 t, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(-H - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally switching back to  $q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, t) = Vr(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} + H + \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 3.1(H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} - H - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} + \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 3.1(\epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now, simply plugging in  $t = 1$  we get

$$q(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1-L/2)^2/w^2} + \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 3.1(\epsilon e^{-(x+3.1-L/2)^2/w^2} - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{pmatrix}$$