

---

It's the title bitchess! !!

THOMAS Garrett & WEICKER David

6<sup>th</sup> November 2015

---

## 1 Stability of Numerical Schemes

We have the general scheme

$$\begin{aligned}U^{n+1} &= Q(t_n)U^n + \Delta t F^n \\U^0 &= g\end{aligned}$$

where  $U^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

### 1.1 Duhamel's Principle

We are given the following discrete Duhamel's Principle:

$$U^n = S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu, \quad (1)$$

where  $t_n = n\Delta t$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}S_h(t, t) &= I, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \\S_h(t_{n+1}, t_\mu) &= Q(t_n)S_h(t_n, t_\mu).\end{aligned}$$

We begin by showing that (1) holds by induction.

Base Case:  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}U^0 &= g \\&= S_h(0, 0)g + \Delta t 0 \\&= S_h(0, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{-1} S_h(0, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\end{aligned}$$

which fits the Duhamel. Now we assume that the discrete Duhamel's Principle fits the general scheme at step  $n$ , and we want to show that this implies that it fits for step  $n + 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}U^{n+1} &= Q(t_n)U_n + F^n \\&= Q(t_n)(S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu) + F^n \quad \text{by induction assumption} \\&= Q(t_n)S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t Q(t_n) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu + S_h(t_{n+1}, t_{n+1})F^n \\&= S_h(t_{n+1}, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^n S_h(t_{n+1}, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\end{aligned}$$

which fits Duhamel.

## 1.2 Bound in the $h$ -norm

We now wish to show that

$$\|S_h(t_{\nu+1}, t_\nu)\|_h \leq K e^{a\Delta t} \implies \|U^n\|_h \leq K(e^{at_n}\|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h)$$

Taking  $\|\cdot\|_h$  of both sides of (1), then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^n\|_h &= \|S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq \|S_h(t_n, 0)\|_h \|g\|_h + \|\Delta t\|_h \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \|S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})\|_h \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \|\Delta t\|_h \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \|S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})\|_h \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} K e^{a(t_n-t_{\nu+1})} \|F^\nu\|_h \end{aligned}$$

we notice that  $\Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} K e^{a(t_n-t_{\nu+1})}$  is a right Remman sum of a strictly decreasing function, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + K \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K(e^{at_n}\|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h) \end{aligned}$$

IS a POSITIVE??

## 1.3 a Value

If  $a = \Delta t^{-1/2}$ , then we would have  $\|S_h(t_{\nu+1}, t_\nu)\|_h \leq K e^{n\sqrt{\Delta t}}$ . Plugging this into our second inequality, we obtain,

$$\|U^n\|_h \leq K(e^{n\sqrt{t_n}}\|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{n\sqrt{t_n-s}} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h)$$

## 2 Shallow water model

In this section, we are going to solve numerically the time-dependent shallow water model in one spatial dimension. At first, we have solid walls at the boundary and thus the waves should be reflected. We will also linearize this non linear model and compare the solution of the linear problem with that of the non linear one. Finally, we will impose non-reflecting boundary conditions.

The shallow water model is given by :

$$\begin{aligned} h_t + (hv)_x &= 0 \\ (hv)_t + (hv^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2)_x &= 0 \\ \text{on } (x, t) &\in [0, L] \times [0, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

The initial condition is given by :

$$\begin{aligned}h(x, 0) &= H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\v(x, 0) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Regarding the boundary condition, we imposed solid walls on  $x = 0$  and  $x = L$ . We will see in the next section how that is implemented in practice.

## 2.1 Numerical solution

To solve this problem numerically, we implemented a finite volume scheme using the Lax-Friedrichs method. The matlab code is available at the end of the report.

## 2.2 Linearization

Bro, write section 2.2 in 22.tex! Tack :)

## 2.3 Non-reflecting boundary conditions

bla

## 3 Linerization

The shallow water equation can be written in quasilinear form as

$$u_t + f'(u)u_x = 0$$

where  $u = (h, hv)^T$ ,

$$f'(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(\frac{u_2}{u_1})^2 + gu_1 & 2(\frac{u_2}{u_1}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$q(x, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, to make this system linear we must simply pick a constant state  $(h_0, v_0)$  which is consistent with the boundary and initial conditions. We choose  $(h_0, v_0)$  at  $x = 0$  and  $t = 0$ . We can now compute  $(h_0, v_0)$  using the initial condition. We get  $(h_0, v_0) \approx (1, 0)$ . Thus we have

$$f'(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 9.61 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can see easily  $f'(u)$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_{1,2} = \pm\sqrt{9.61} = \pm 3.1$  which are real. It also has a full set of eigenvectors i.e.  $(1, 3.1)^T$  and  $(-1, 3.1)$ . This together confirm that the linear problem is hyperbolic. We also know that the wave speeds are the eigenvalues, so we have wave speeds  $\pm\sqrt{9.61}$

### 3.1 Analytical Solution

We have the PDE,

$$u_t + f'(u)u_x = 0$$

which we have shown can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + VDV^{-1}u_x &= 0 \\ \implies V^{-1}u_t + DV^{-1}u_x &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3.1 & 3.1 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 3.1 & 0 \\ 0 & -3.1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } V^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.1613 \\ -0.5 & 0.1613 \end{pmatrix}$$

and initial condition

$$q(x, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now, defining a new variable  $r = V^{-1}q$  we have the decoupled system of equations

$$r_t + Dr_x = 0$$

and

$$r(x, 0) = V^{-1}q(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ -H - \epsilon e^{-(x-L/2)^2/w^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

From Lavenge, we know the solutions of this system are

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(x, t) &= r_1(x + \lambda_1 t, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \\ \text{and } r_2(x, t) &= r_2(x + \lambda_2 t, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(-H - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally switching back to  $q$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} q(x, t) = Vr(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} + H + \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 3.1(H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} - H - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} + \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 3.1(\epsilon e^{-(x+3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2} - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1t-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now, simply plugging in  $t = 1$  we get

$$q(x, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 2H + \epsilon e^{-(x+3.1-L/2)^2/w^2} + \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1-L/2)^2/w^2} \\ 3.1(\epsilon e^{-(x+3.1-L/2)^2/w^2} - \epsilon e^{-(x-3.1-L/2)^2/w^2}) \end{pmatrix}$$

### 3.2 Numerical Solution of the Linear Problem