

---

It's the title bitchess! !!

THOMAS Garrett & WEICKER David

6<sup>th</sup> November 2015

---

## 1 Stability of Numerical Schemes

We have the general scheme

$$\begin{aligned} U^{n+1} &= Q(t_n)U^n + \Delta t F^n \\ U^0 &= g \end{aligned}$$

where  $U^n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

### 1.1 Duhamel's Principle

We are given the following discrete Duhamel's Principle:

$$U^n = S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu, \quad (1)$$

where  $t_n = n\Delta t$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} S_h(t, t) &= I, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \\ S_h(t_{n+1}, t_\mu) &= Q(t_n)S_h(t_n, t_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

We begin by showing that (1) holds by induction.

Base Case:  $n = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} U^0 &= S_h(0, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{-1} S_h(0, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which fits the general scheme. Now we assume that the (1) fits the general scheme at step  $n$ , and we want to show that this implies that it fits for step  $n + 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} U^{n+1} &= S_h(t_{n+1}, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^n S_h(t_{n+1}, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu \\ &= Q(t_n)S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t Q(t_n) \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu + S_h(t_{n+1}, t_{n+1})F^n \\ &= Q(t_n)(S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu) + F^n \\ &= Q(t_n)U_n + F^n \end{aligned}$$

which fits our general scheme.

## 1.2 Bound in the $h$ -norm

We now wish to show that

$$\|S_h(t_{\nu+1}, t_\nu)\|_h \leq K e^{ah} \implies \|U^n\|_h \leq K(e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h)$$

Taking  $\|\cdot\|_h$  of both sides of (1), then by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^n\|_h &= \|S_h(t_n, 0)g + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq \|S_h(t_n, 0)\|_h \|g\|_h + \|\Delta t\|_h \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \|S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})\|_h \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \|\Delta t\|_h \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \|S_h(t_n, t_{\nu+1})\|_h \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} K e^{a(t_n-t_{\nu+1})} \|F^\nu\|_h \end{aligned}$$

we notice that  $\Delta t \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} K e^{a(t_n-t_{\nu+1})}$  is a right Remman sum of a strictly decreasing function, thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + K \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \|F^\nu\|_h \\ &\leq K(e^{at_n} \|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{a(t_n-s)} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h) \end{aligned}$$

IS a POSITIVE??

## 1.3 a Value

If  $a = h^{-1/2}$ , then we would have  $\|S_h(t_{\nu+1}, t_\nu)\|_h \leq K e^{\sqrt{h}}$ . Plugging this into our second inequality, we obtain,

$$\|U^n\|_h \leq K(e^{\sqrt{t_n}} \|g\|_h + \int_0^{t_n} e^{\sqrt{t_n-s}} ds \max_{0 \leq \nu \leq n-1} \|F^\nu\|_h)$$

I dont get the hint

## 2 Linerization

The shallow water equation can be written in quasilinear form as

$$u_t + f'(u)u_x = 0$$

where  $u = (h \quad hv)^T$  and

$$f'(u) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -(\frac{u_2}{u_1})^2 & 2(\frac{u_2}{u_1}) \end{pmatrix}$$