University of California, Santa Barbara

Lexical flexibility in discourse

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree Doctor of Philosophy in Linguistics

by

Daniel W. Hieber

Committee in Charge:

Professor Marianne Mithun, Chair

Professor Benard Comrie

Professor Stefan Th. Gries

Professor William Croft (University of New Mexico)

June 2020

Bernard Comrie		
Stefan Th. Gries		
William Croft		

June 2020

Lexical flexibility in discourse

Copyright © 2020

by

Daniel W. Hieber

Typeset using LaTeX software and the Linux Libertine family of fonts.

Published under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY 4.0): https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

This thesis may be downloaded at:

https://files.danielhieber.com/publications/dissertation.pdf

The source code, data, and accompanying scripts for this thesis are available on GitHub: https://github.com/dwhieb/dissertation

Dedication (#469)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipisicing elit, sed do eiusmod tempor incididunt ut labore et dolore magna aliqua. Ut enim ad minim veniam, quis nostrud exercitation ullamco laboris nisi ut aliquip ex ea commodo consequat. Duis aute irure dolor in reprehenderit in voluptate velit esse cillum dolore eu fugiat nulla pariatur. Excepteur sint occaecat cupidatat non proident, sunt in culpa qui officia deserunt mollit anim id est laborum. (#467)

update Curriculum Vitae (#546)

CURRICULUM VITAE

Daniel W. Hieber

EDUCATION

June 2020	Ph.D. in Linguistics, University of California, Santa Barbara
March 2016	M.A. in Linguistics, University of California, Santa Barbara
June 2008	B.A. in Linguistics & Philosophy, The College of William & Mary (magna cum laude)

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

2018-2019	Editor, Custom Language Products, Rosetta Stone
2015-2017	Teaching Assistant, Department of Linguistics, University of California, Santa Barbara
2014-2015	Research Assistant (under Prof. Carol Genetti), Department of Linguistics, University of California, Santa Barbara
2011-2013	Associate Researcher, Research Labs, Rosetta Stone
2008-2011	Editor, Endangered Languages Program, Rosetta Stone
2007-2008	Intern, Endangered Languages Program, Rosetta Stone
2006	Spanish Instructor, Nielsen Builders
2004-2006	Lab Assistant, Language Lab, The College of William & Mary
2003-2004	Latin Instructor, Bridgewater Home School Unit

Publications

2019	The Chitimacha language: A history. In Nathalie Dajko & Shana Walton (eds.), <i>Languages in Louisiana: Community & culture</i> (America's Third Coast Series). University Press of Mississippi.
2019	Semantic alignment in Chitimacha. <i>International Journal of American Linguistics</i> 85(3): 313–363. DOI:10.1086/703239
2018	Category genesis in Chitimacha: A constructional approach. In Kristel Van Goethem, Muriel Norde, Evie Coussé, & Gudrun Vanderbauwhede (eds.), <i>Category change from a constructional perspective</i> (Constructional Approaches to Language 20), 15–46. John Benjamins. DOI:10.1075/cal.20.02hie

2016	The cohesive function of prosody in Ékegusií (Kisii) narratives: A functional-typological approach. M.A. thesis, University of California, Santa Barbara.
2013	On linguistics, language, and our times: A linguist's narrative reviewed. Linguistic Typology 17(2): 291–321. Review article of I am a linguist by R. M. W. Dixon (Brill, 2010). DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.13238.96329
2013	(with Sharon Hargus & Edward Vajda, eds.) Working papers in Athabaskan (Dene) languages 2012. Alaska Native Language Center Working Papers 11. ANLC.

Awards

2019	SSILA Best Student Presentation Award
2015	National Science Foundation (NSF) Graduate Student Research Fellowship (GRFP)
2015	2 nd place, University of California Grad Slam
2015	Winner, University of California, Santa Barbara Grad Slam
2013	Chancellor's Fellowship, University of California, Santa Barbara
2006	Boren Scholarship, National Security Education Program (NSEP)

FIELDS OF STUDY

Major Fields: Linguistic Typology, Language Documentation & Description, Language Revitalization, Prosody, Discourse, Language Change, Language Contact, Digital Linguistics, Corpus Linguistics

Linguistic Typology with Professor Bernard Comrie & Professor Marianne Mithun

Language Documentation & Description with Professor Eric Campbell, Professor Carol Genetti, & Professor Marianne Mithun

Language Revitalization with Professor Carol Genetti

Prosody with Professor Carol Genetti, Professor Matthew Gordon, & Professor Marianne Mithun

Discourse with Professor Patricia Clancy, Professor John W. DuBois, Professor Carol Genetti, & Professor Marianne Mithun

Language Change with Professor Marianne Mithun

Language Contact with Professor Marianne Mithun

Digital Linguistics with Professor Eric Campbell & Professor Stefan Th. Gries

Corpus Linguistics with Professor Eric Campbell, Professor John W. DuBois, & Professor Stefan Th. Gries

ABSTRACT

Lexical flexibility in discourse

by

Daniel W. Hieber

FOCUS STATEMENT

Do some languages have more flexible parts of speech than others? Can the lexical flexibility of words and languages be quantified and captured empirically? This dissertation is a quantitative corpus-based study of lexical flexibility in English (Indo-European) and Nuuchahnulth (Wakashan). I develop a quantitative metric for determining the lexical flexibility of words in a corpus, and apply that metric to English and Nuuchahnulth. I find that the two languages differ drastically in not only their degree of lexical flexibility, but the way in which that flexibility is realized. This study advances the discussion of lexical flexibility—as well as parts of speech more generally—by adding a new kind of empirical evidence to the dicussion (quantitative corpus-based data), thereby providing answers to several longstanding and much-debated questions about how lexical categories operate in English and Nuuchahnulth. The abstract should include 1) a brief statement of the problem; 2) a description of the methods and procedures used to gather data or study the problem; 3) a condensed summary of the findings. The abstract should be double-spaced. The recommended length is 1–2 pages. (add Abstract)

Table of Contents

	Acknowledgments	V
	Curriculum Vitae	vi
	Abstract	ix
	Table of Contents	X
	List of Figures	xi
	List of Tables	xii
	List of Abbreviations	xiii
	A Note on Linguistic Conventions	xiv
1	Introduction	1
2	Background	2
3	Data & Methods	3
4	Results	4
5	Conclusion	5
Re	ferences	6
	Sources of Literature	6
La	nguage Index	8

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

The following table provides the meaning of each abbreviation used in interlinear glossed examples throughout this thesis.

1	first person
2	second person
3	third person
SUBJ	subject

A Note on Linguistic Conventions

This brief note documents the conventions I have adopted regarding linguistic data and terminology throughout this thesis.

It is well known that the world's languages realize widely different sets of morphosyntactic categories (Whaley 1997: 58, Haspelmath 2007). Moreover, even when these categories bear the same name, they may differ drastically in their behavior (Dixon 2010: 9). It is the subject of much debate whether these language-specific categories can be mapped onto each other or compared in any useful way (Croft 1995, Song 2001: 10–15, Croft 2003: 13–19, Haspelmath 2010a,b, Newmeyer 2010, Stassen 2011, Hieber 2013: 308–310, Croft 2014, Plank 2016, Song 2018: 44–58). Recognizing these difficulties, I have made no attempt to standardize the linguistic terminology used in examples from different languages. I have, however, standardized the abbreviations used to refer to those terms. For example, even though one researcher may abbreviate Subject as SUBJ and another researcher abbreviate it as SUB, I nonetheless gloss all Subject morphemes as SUBJ. See the List of Abbreviations (p. xiii) for a complete list of glossing abbreviations.

I have not attempted to standardize the transcription systems and orthographies used in examples. All examples are given as transcribed in their original source. The reader should consult those original sources for further details regarding orthography. The source of each example is provided following the example itself.

In all interlinear glossed examples, I follow the formatting conventions (but not necessarily the recommended abbreviations) of the Leipzig Glossing Rules (Bickel, Comrie & Haspel-

math 2015).

It is an increasingly common convention in typological studies to write terms and categories that are particular to specific languages with an initial capital letter, while writing terms that refer to language-general or semantic/functional concepts (e.g. the crosslinguistic notion of subject) in lowercase (Haspelmath 2010a: 674, Croft 2014: 535). For example, the English Participle suffix -ing is, obviously, specific to English, and does not exist in any other language; therefore it capitalized and written as *Participle*. If, however, a writer is discussing the category of participles generally and crosslinguistically, not specific to any particular languages, the term is written in lowercase as *participle*. I follow these same capitalization conventions in this thesis.

add styling for code blocks (#544)

add quotes
package (#545)

The first mention of a language within each chapter is followed by its genealogical affiliation (following the format family > phylum) and the location where it is spoken. For example, Central Alaskan Yup'ik would appear as "Central Alaskan Yup'ik (Eskimo-Aleut > Eskimo; Alaska)". Language information is taken from the Glottolog database (Hammarström, Forkel & Haspelmath 2019). Language names are given in English following Haspelmath (2017). A complete list of languages mentioned in this thesis, along with their ISO 639-3 codes and Glottolog codes, is in the List of Languages.

add List of Languages (#547)

Introduction

Background

Data & Methods

Results

Conclusion

References

Sources of Literature

The references listed in this section are literature on the topic of this thesis that have been cited in the text.

- Bickel, Balthasar, Bernard Comrie & Martin Haspelmath. 2015. *The Leipzig Glossing Rules: Conventions for interlinear morpheme-by-morpheme glosses*. Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. Leipzig: Department of Linguistics. https://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php.
- Croft, William. 1995. Modern syntactic typology. In Masayoshi Shibatani & Theodora Bynon (eds.), *Approaches to language typology*, 85–144. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Croft, William. 2003. *Typology and universals*. 2nd edn. (Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. DOI:10.1017/CB09780511840579.
- Croft, William. 2014. Comparing categories and constructions crosslinguistically (again): The diversity of ditransitives. *Linguistic Typology* 18(3). 533–551. DOI:10 . 1515 / lingty 2014-0021.
- Dixon, R. M. W. 2010. *Basic Linguistic Theory*. Vol. 1: *Methodology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hammarström, Harald, Robert Forkel & Martin Haspelmath. 2019. *Glottolog 4.0.* Max Planck Institute for the Science of Human History. https://glottolog.org.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2007. Pre-established categories don't exist: Consequences for language description and typology. *Linguistic Typology* 11(1). 119–132. DOI:10 . 1515/LINGTY . 2007.011.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2010a. Comparative concepts and descriptive categories in crosslinguistic studies. *Language* 86(3). 663–687. DOI:10.1353/lan.2010.0021.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2010b. The interplay between comparative concepts and descriptive categories (Reply to Newmeyer). *Language* 86(3). 696–699. DOI:10.1353/lan.2010.0021.
- Haspelmath, Martin. 2017. Some principles for language names. *Language Documentation & Conservation* 11. 81–93. DOI:10125/24725.

- Hieber, Daniel W. 2013. On linguistics, linguists, and our times: A linguist's personal narrative reviewed. *Linguistic Typology* 17(2). 291–321. DOI:10.1515/lity-2013-0013.
- Newmeyer, Frederick J. 2010. On comparative concepts and descriptive categories: A reply to Haspelmath. *Language* 86(3). 688–695. DOI:10.1353/lan.2010.0000.
- Plank, Frans (ed.). 2016. Linguistic Typology 20(2): Of categories: Language-particular comparative universal.
- Song, Jae Jung. 2001. *Linguistic typology: Morphology and syntax* (Longman Linguistics Library). London: Routledge.
- Song, Jae Jung. 2018. *Linguistic typology* (Oxford Textbooks in Linguistics). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Stassen, Leon. 2011. The problem of cross-linguistic identification. In Jae Jung Song (ed.), *The Oxford handbook of linguistic typology* (Oxford Handbooks in Linguistics), 90–99. Oxford: Oxford University Press. DOI:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199281251.013.0006.
- Whaley, Lindsay J. 1997. *Introduction to typology: The unity and diversity of language*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Language Index

Central Alaskan Yup'ik, xv

English, ix

Nuuchahnulth, ix

Yup'ik, see Central Alaskan Yup'ik

Todo list

add Dedication (#469)
add Acknowledgments (#467)
update Curriculum Vitae (#546)
add Abstract
add styling for code blocks (#544)
add quotes package (#545)
cross reference
add List of Languages (#547)