# IRTPRO Version 2.0 Output generated by IRTPRO estimation engine Version 4.54 (32-bit)

Project:	
Description:	
Date:	31 May 2018
Time:	10:43 AM

#### **Table of Contents**

Graded Model Item Parameter Estimates for Group 1, logit:  $a(\theta - b)$ 

Summed-Score Based Item Diagnostic Tables and  $X^2s$  for Group 1

Graded Model Item Parameter Estimates for Group 2, logit:  $a(\theta - b)$ 

Summed-Score Based Item Diagnostic Tables and  $X^2s$  for Group 2

**Group Parameter Estimates** 

Marginal fit  $(X^2)$  and Standardized LD  $X^2$  Statistics for Group 1

Marginal fit  $(X^2)$  and Standardized LD  $X^2$  Statistics for Group 2

Item Information Function Values for Group 1 at 15 Values of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  from -2.8 to 2.8

Item Information Function Values for Group 2 at 15 Values of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  from -2.8 to 2.8

Likelihood-based Values and Goodness of Fit Statistics

Summary of the Data and Control Parameters

Item	Label	а	s.e.	c <sub>1</sub>	s.e. c <sub>2</sub>	s.e. c <sub>3</sub>	s.e. c <sub>4</sub>	s.e. c <sub>5</sub>	s.e. c <sub>6</sub>	s.e.
1	tol1	7 3.96	0.27	1 2.61	0.25 2 -0.09	0.20 3 -2.44	0.24 4 -3.83	0.28 5 -5.26	0.35 6 -6.93	0.45
2	tol2	<sup>14</sup> 4.21	0.30	8 2.41	0.25 9 -0.68	0.22 10 -2.66	0.26 11 -3.99	0.30 12 -5.78	0.39 13 -7.30	0.48
3	tol3	<sup>21</sup> 4.33	0.31 1	5 2.56	0.26 16 -0.52	0.22 17 -2.61	0.26 18 -3.98	0.31 19 -5.47	0.38 20 -6.93	0.46
4	tol4	<sup>28</sup> 2.51	0.16 2	1.65	0.16 23 -0.38	0.15 24 -1.40	0.16 25 -2.29	0.18 26 -3.68	0.22 27 -5.38	0.32
5	tol5	<sup>35</sup> 2.93	0.20 2	9 0.89	0.17 30 -1.16	0.17 31 -2.31	0.20 32 -3.25	0.22 33 -4.32	0.26 34 -6.04	0.37

# Graded Model Item Parameter Estimates for Group 1, logit: $a(\theta - b)$ (Back to TOC)

Item	Label	а	s.e.	<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>	s.e.	b <sub>2</sub>	s.e.	<b>b</b> <sub>3</sub>	s.e.	b <sub>4</sub>	s.e.	<i>b</i> <sub>5</sub>	s.e.	<i>b</i> <sub>6</sub>	s.e.
1	tol1	7 3.96	0.27	-0.66	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.62	0.05	0.97	0.06	1.33	0.07	1.75	0.10
2	tol2	<sup>14</sup> 4.21	0.30	-0.57	0.06	0.16	0.05	0.63	0.05	0.95	0.06	1.37	0.08	1.73	0.10
3	tol3	<sup>21</sup> 4.33	0.31	-0.59	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.60	0.05	0.92	0.06	1.26	0.07	1.60	0.09
4	tol4	<sup>28</sup> 2.51	0.16	-0.66	0.07	0.15	0.06	0.56	0.06	0.91	0.07	1.47	0.09	2.15	0.13
5	tol5	<sup>35</sup> 2.93	0.20	-0.30	0.06	0.39	0.06	0.79	0.06	1.11	0.07	1.47	0.09	2.06	0.12

Summed-Score Based Item Diagnostic Tables and  $X^2$ s for Group 1 (Back to TOC)

S-X<sup>2</sup> Item Level Diagnostic Statistics

Item	Label	X <sup>2</sup>	d.f.	Probability
1	tol1	179.93	73	0.0001
2	tol2	123.13	70	0.0001
3	tol3	117.64	70	0.0003
4	tol4	170.93	88	0.0001
5	tol5	164.94	84	0.0001

<b>Graded Model Item</b>	Parameter Estima	tes. logit: a0 + c
Crauca Model Item	i arameter Estima	tes, logit. av · c

Item	Label	а	s.e. c <sub>1</sub>	s.e. c <sub>2</sub>	s.e. c <sub>3</sub>	s.e. c <sub>4</sub>	s.e. c <sub>5</sub>	s.e. c <sub>6</sub>	s.e.
1	tol1	<sup>42</sup> 2.97	0.26 <sup>36</sup> 5.15	0.31 37 2.16	0.18 38 -0.11	0.15 39 -1.24	0.16 40 -2.69	0.21 41 -5.36	0.37
2	tol2	<sup>49</sup> 3.49	0.31 43 5.58	0.37 44 2.31	0.22 45 -0.13	0.17 46 -1.50	0.19 47 -2.91	0.23 48 -6.30	0.45
3	tol3	<sup>56</sup> 3.19	0.29 50 5.37	0.34 51 2.12	0.20 52 -0.13	0.16 53 -1.48	0.17 54 -2.96	0.22 55 -5.57	0.38
4	tol4	<sup>63</sup> 2.38	0.23 57 3.75	0.25 58 1.33	0.16 59 0.07	0.14 60 -1.03	0.14 61 -2.42	0.18 62 -4.57	0.29
5	tol5	<sup>70</sup> 2.57	0.26 64 2.91	0.22 65 0.58	0.15 66 -0.90	0.15 <sup>67</sup> -1.85	0.16 68 -3.09	0.21 69 -5.20	0.34

#### Graded Model Item Parameter Estimates for Group 2, logit: $a(\theta - b)$ (Back to TOC)

Item	Label	а	s.e.	<i>b</i> <sub>1</sub>	s.e.	b <sub>2</sub>	s.e.	<b>b</b> <sub>3</sub>	s.e.	$b_4$	s.e.	<i>b</i> <sub>5</sub>	s.e.	<i>b</i> <sub>6</sub>	s.e.
1	tol1	<sup>42</sup> 2.97	0.26	-1.74	0.15	-0.73	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.42	0.06	0.91	0.09	1.81	0.18
2	tol2	<sup>49</sup> 3.49	0.31	-1.60	0.14	-0.66	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.43	0.06	0.84	0.08	1.81	0.17
3	tol3	<sup>56</sup> 3.19	0.29	-1.68	0.15	-0.67	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.46	0.06	0.93	0.09	1.75	0.16
4	tol4	<sup>63</sup> 2.38	0.23	-1.58	0.15	-0.56	0.08	-0.03	0.06	0.43	0.07	1.02	0.10	1.92	0.18
5	tol5	<sup>70</sup> 2.57	0.26	-1.13	0.11	-0.23	0.06	0.35	0.06	0.72	0.09	1.20	0.12	2.02	0.20

Summed-Score Based Item Diagnostic Tables and X<sup>2</sup>s for Group 2 (Back to TOC)

S-X2 Item Level Diagnostic Statistics

	=0 . 0. = .ug			
Item	Label	$\chi^2$	d.f.	Probability
1	tol1	129.10	72	0.0001
2	tol2	106.55	69	0.0025
3	tol3	135.14	70	0.0001
4	tol4	151.05	81	0.0001

5	tol5	154.86	79	0.0001			
Group P	arameter Est	imates (Back to	TOC)				
Group	Label	μ	s.e.	$\sigma^2$	s.e.	σ	s.e.
1	G1	0.00		1.00		1.00	
2	G2	<sup>71</sup> -0.46	0.07	<sup>'2</sup> 1.32	0.22	<sup>72</sup> 1.15	0.09

## Marginal fit ( $X^2$ ) and Standardized LD $X^2$ Statistics for Group 1 (Back to TOC)

		Marginal				
Item	Label	$\chi^2$	1	2	3	4
1	tol1	5.7				
2	tol2	7.0	10.1			
3	tol3	6.1	7.7	6.5		
4	tol4	3.9	12.2	12.7	13.9	
5	tol5	4.8	22.4	15.3	15.9	16.4

# Marginal fit $(X^2)$ and Standardized LD $X^2$ Statistics for Group 2 (Back to TOC)

		Marginal				
Item	Label	$\chi^2$	1	2	3	4
1	tol1	2.1				
2	tol2	3.8	6.8			
3	tol3	2.6	2.7	7.7		
4	tol4	4.1	13.6	8.1	13.7	
5	tol5	3.1	10.3	9.4	8.8	15.4

# $\underline{\text{Item Information Function Values for Group 1 at 15 Values of $\theta$ from -2.8 to 2.8} \quad (\underline{\text{Back to TOC}})$

		θ:														
Item	Label	-2.8	-2.4	-2.0	-1.6	-1.2	-0.8	-0.4	-0.0	0.4	8.0	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.8
1	tol1	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.36	1.48	3.70	4.09	4.40	4.42	4.84	4.86	4.64	3.17	1.04	0.24
2	tol2	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.23	1.09	3.57	4.51	4.63	5.21	5.49	5.37	5.29	3.33	0.95	0.19
3	tol3	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.23	1.17	3.87	4.71	4.99	5.45	5.82	5.81	5.26	2.42	0.56	0.10
4	tol4	0.03	0.08	0.20	0.49	1.03	1.60	1.81	1.90	2.00	2.01	1.97	1.93	1.83	1.47	0.86
5	tol5	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.18	0.54	1.33	2.22	2.49	2.64	2.75	2.74	2.64	2.45	1.72	0.79
Test Info	rmation:	1.04	1.13	1.42	2.50	6.32	15.06	18.34	19.40	20.71	21.90	21.75	20.77	14.20	6.74	3.19
Expec	ted s.e.:	0.98	0.94	0.84	0.63	0.40	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.27	0.39	0.56
Marginal	Marginal Reliability for Response Pattern Scores: 0.90															

Marginal Reliability for Response Pattern Scores: 0.90

 $\underline{\text{Item Information Function Values for Group 2 at 15 Values of $\theta$ from -2.8 to 2.8} \quad (\underline{\text{Back to TOC}})$ 

		θ:														
Item	Label	-2.8	-2.4	-2.0	-1.6	-1.2	-0.8	-0.4	-0.0	0.4	8.0	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.8
1	tol1	0.34	0.95	1.91	2.31	2.16	2.43	2.49	2.65	2.76	2.66	2.38	2.36	2.07	1.11	0.42
2	tol2	0.18	0.66	1.94	3.14	2.80	3.19	3.34	3.59	3.78	3.57	2.79	3.00	2.74	1.21	0.36
3	tol3	0.27	0.85	2.00	2.64	2.34	2.70	2.87	3.04	3.16	3.08	2.77	2.74	2.20	1.01	0.33
4	tol4	0.28	0.61	1.12	1.50	1.56	1.62	1.75	1.79	1.79	1.75	1.67	1.61	1.49	1.05	0.55
5	tol5	0.09	0.24	0.58	1.18	1.72	1.83	1.91	2.03	2.09	2.09	2.01	1.90	1.80	1.34	0.70
Test Info	rmation:	1.92	4.07	8.31	11.53	11.34	12.54	13.11	13.86	14.33	13.90	12.38	12.37	11.06	6.47	3.12
Exped	ted s.e.:	0.72	0.50	0.35	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.39	0.57

Marginal Reliability for Response Pattern Scores: 0.93

# Likelihood-based Values and Goodness of Fit Statistics (Back to TOC)

Statistics based on the loglikelihood

-2loglikelihood: 18288.01

Akaike Information Criterion (AIC): 18432.01

Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC): 18804.37

Statistics based on the full item x item x ... classification

The table is too sparse to compute the general multinomial goodness of fit statistics.

Statistics based on one- and two-way marginal tables

The M<sub>2</sub> statistics were not requested.

# Summary of the Data and Control Parameters (Back to TOC)

Group:	Group 1	Group 2
Sample Size	657	645
Number of Items	5	5
Number of Dimensions	1	1

## Group 1

Item	Label Cate	Model		
1	tol1	7	Graded	
2	tol2	7	Graded	
3	tol3	7	Graded	
4	tol4	7	Graded	
5	tol5	7	Graded	

Group 2

Item	Label Cate	Model		
1	tol1	7	Graded	
2	tol2	7	Graded	
3	tol3	7	Graded	
4	tol4	7	Graded	
5	tol5	7	Graded	

#### **Parameter Estimation Control Values**

Bock-Aitkin EM Algorithm Maximum number of cycles: 500 Convergence criterion: 1.00e-005 Maximum number of M-step iterations: 50 Convergence criterion for iterative M-steps: 1.00e-006 Number of rectangular quadrature points: 49 -6.00 Minimum, Maximum quadrature points: 6.00 SEM algorithm tolerance: 1.00e-003 Supplemented EM Standard error computation algorithm:

#### Miscellaneous Control Values

Print parameter numbers? Yes
Z tolerance, max. abs. logit value: 50.00
Number of processor cores used: 8
Number of cycles completed: 140
Maximum parameter change: 0.00e+000
Number of free parameters: 72

# Processing times (in seconds)

 E-step computations:
 0.12

 M-step computations:
 0.50

 Standard error computations:
 1.08

 Goodness-of-fits statistics:
 0.03

 Total:
 1.73

#### Output Files

HTML results and control parameters: E:\Scale Construction\DIF analyses\medinc.Test1-irt.htm

## Convergence and Numerical Stability

Engine status: Normal termination

SEM algorithm status: Normal

First-order test: Convergence criteria satisfied

Condition number of information matrix: 3.77e+002

Second-order test: Solution is a possible local maximum